# Visualized Evaluation of Acetylcholinesterase Inhibition by an Easy-to-Operate Assay Based on *N*-doped Carbon Nanozyme with High Stability and Oxidase-Like Activity

Mengli Zhang<sup>a, b</sup>, Cui Wang<sup>a</sup>, Yongqi Wang<sup>a</sup>, Feng Li<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Dangqiang Zhu<sup>a, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Qingdao Agricultural University,

Qingdao 266109, People's Republic of China

<sup>b</sup> College of Plant Health & Medicine, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao, 266109,

People's Republic of China

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: lifeng@qau.edu.cn (F. Li); zhudq@qau.edu.cn (D.Q. Zhu)

#### **Reagents and Materials**

3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) and NaOH were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) from drosophila was purchased from Solarbio. Water (18.2 M $\Omega$ ·cm) used in this work was obtained by a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore). Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) from electric eel, Acetylthiocholine (ATCh), Pralidoxime Iodide and 5,5-Dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) were obtained from Macklin Biochemical Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·2H2O and NaH<sub>2</sub>PO4·2H<sub>2</sub>O were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Paraoxon, Dursban, Parathion, Phoxim, Methidathion, Tichlorfon, Dichlorvos, Carbofuran, Carbosulfan and Thiamethoxam were obtained from Tanmo Quality Inspection Technology Co. Ltd.

#### Apparatus

The surface morphology of the nanomaterial was characterized by TEM HT7700 (Hitachi, Japan). XPS ESCALAB 250Xi (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and XRD Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer (Bruker, Germany) were employed to study the composition and valence state. UV-vis spectra were gotten from an UV-1800 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan).

### **Experimental Section**

#### Synthesis of NC900

The synthesis route of the precursor Aza-CMP refers to our previous work (Small, 2022, 18, 2104993). And then, the power was pyrolyzed under  $N_2$  atmosphere for 1 h at 900 °C with a heating rate of 3 °C/min. After cooling to room temperature, the black power (named NC900) was obtained without any post-treatment.

#### **Ellman Method**

Typically, 40  $\mu$ L of various inhibitors (final concentration: 0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 3.0  $\mu$ M) were firstly mixed with 40  $\mu$ L of 200 mU mL<sup>-1</sup> AChE at 37 °C for 30 min. Subsequently, 40  $\mu$ L of 1.0 mM ATCh for another 30 min, and then 40  $\mu$ L of 0.5 mM DTNB was added, which was adjusted to 400  $\mu$ L with PBS buffer (pH 8.0). After the incubation for 30 min, the absorbance at 652 nm was monitored on a UV-1800 spectrophotometer.

#### **Inhibitors detection**

Typically, 40  $\mu$ L of various inhibitors (final concentration: 0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 3.0  $\mu$ M) were firstly incubated with 40  $\mu$ L of 200 mU mL<sup>-1</sup> AChE at 37 °C for 30 min. Subsequently, 40  $\mu$ L of 1.0 mM ATCh and 40  $\mu$ L of 0.2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> NC900 were added for another 30 min. After the addition of 40  $\mu$ L of 5.0 mM TMB, the system was adjusted to 400  $\mu$ L with NaAc-HAc buffer (200 mM, pH 4.0) and

incubated for 30 min. The UV-Vis spectra were monitored on a UV-1800 spectrophotometer, and the absorbance at 652 nm was assigned to the characteristic peak of oxTMB.

#### Influence of pH on the degradation behaviour of trichlorfon and carbosulfan

Trichlorfon was incubated with NaAc-HAc buffer (pH 4.0 or pH 11.0), and then, 40  $\mu$ L of various concentrations (final concentration: 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0  $\mu$ M) were mixed with 40  $\mu$ L of 200 mU mL<sup>-1</sup> AChE at 37 °C for 30 min. Subsequently, 40  $\mu$ L of 1.0 mM ATCh and 40  $\mu$ L of 0.2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> NC900 were added for another 30 min. After the addition of 40  $\mu$ L of 5.0 mM TMB, the system was adjusted to 400  $\mu$ L with NaAc-HAc buffer (200 mM, pH 4.0). The absorbance at 652 nm was monitored on a UV-1800 spectrophotometer. The study of carbosulfan degradation was similar, except for the incubation of carbosulfan in the NaAc-HAc buffer (pH 4.0 or pH 9.0).

#### **Joint Inhibition**

20  $\mu$ L of various dursban concentrations (1.0, 3.0, 5.0, 7.0 and 10  $\mu$ M) were firstly mixed with 20  $\mu$ L of other pescticide with the same concentration, and then 40  $\mu$ L of 200 mU mL<sup>-1</sup> AChE was added. After incubation at 37 °C for 30 min, 40  $\mu$ L of 1.0 mM ATCh and 40  $\mu$ L of 0.2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> NC900 were added at 37 °C for 30 min. After the addition of 40  $\mu$ L of 5.0 mM TMB, the system was adjusted to 400  $\mu$ L with NaAc-HAc buffer (200 mM, pH 4.0) and incubated for 30 min. The absorbance at 652 nm was monitored on a UV-1800 spectrophotometer.

The theoretical absorbance  $A = (A_1+A_2)/2$ ;  $A_1$ : the absorbance of the system based on the inhibitory ability of dursban with the same concentration on AChE;  $A_2$ : the absorbance of of the system based on the inhibitory ability of mixed pesticide with the same concentration on AChE.

#### Screening of AChE activator

After the above-described incubation of inhibitors and AChE, the pralidoxime iodide with various concentrations was added for 30 min. Subsequently, the similar procedure was operated. The UV-Vis spectra were monitored on a UV-1800 spectrophotometer.



Figure S1. Time-dependent absorbance changes at 652 nm upon TMB oxidation.



Figure S2. Influence of pH (A) and temperatures (B) on the catalytic activity of NC900.



**Figure S3.** The influence of various factors on the assay, including AChE concentration (A); ATCh concentration (B); nanozyme concentration (C); and TMB concentration (D)



Figure S4. The chemical structures of the used pesticides in this work.



**Figure S5.** For Ellman's method: UV-vis absorption spectra with different dichlorvos concentration (A); the relationship between dichlorvos concentration and absorbance (B); For nanozyme-mediated assay: UV-vis absorption spectra with different dichlorvos concentration (C); the relationship between dichlorvos concentration and absorbance (D).



**Figure S6.** For Ellman's method: UV-vis spectra with different carbofuran concentration (A); the relationship between carbofuran concentration and absorbance (B); For nanozyme-mediated assay: UV-vis spectra with different carbofuran concentration (C); the relationship between carbofuran concentration and absorbance (D).



**Figure S7.** For Ellman's method: UV-vis spectra with different carbosulfan concentration (A); the relationship between carbosulfan concentration and absorbance (B); For nanozyme-mediated assay: UV-vis spectra with different carbosulfan concentration (C); the relationship between carbosulfan concentration and absorbance (D).



**Figure S8.** UV-vis spectra with different trichlorfon concentration (A); the relationship between trichlorfon concentration and absorbance (B); UV-vis spectra with different phioxm concentration (C); the relationship between phioxm concentration and absorbance (D).



**Figure S9.** UV-vis spectra with different paraoxon concentration (A); the relationship between paraoxon concentration and absorbance (B); UV-vis spectra with different parathion concentration (C); the relationship between parathion concentration and absorbance (D).



**Figure S10.** UV-vis spectra with different methidathion concentration (A); the relationship between methidathion concentration and absorbance (B); UV-vis spectra with different thiamethoxam concentration (C); the relationship between thiamethoxam concentration and absorbance (D).



Figure S11. UV-vis spectra with different glyphosate concentration (A) and thiram concentration (B).



Figure 12. The proposed kit for AChE inhibitors screening.



**Figure S13.** The absorbance changes of the system based on the mixture of dursban and other pesticide: dichlorvos (A); parathion (B); paraoxon (C); carbofuran (D).



**Figure S14.** The absorbance change of carbosulfan mixed with different pesticides dichlorvos (A); trichlorfon (B); parathion (C); paraoxon (D).



**Figure S15.** The influence of various pesticides mixed with pralidoxime iodide on absorbance spectra: dursban (A), dichlorvos (B), and trichlorfon (C).

## Table S1. Contents of C, N, and O for NC900 from XPS characterization.

Sample	C (atomic%)	N (atomic%)	O (atomic%)
NC900	87.09	4.39	8.52

Table S2. Contents of different N species for NC900 from XPS characterization.

Sample	Pyridinic-N	Pyrrolic-N	Graphitic-N	Oxidized-N
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
NC900	36.0	11.5	39.8	12.7

Catalyst	Nanozyme (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>m</sub> (mM)	Vmax (10 <sup>-7</sup> M s <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref	
NC900	20	0.26	1.52	This work	
N-PCNSs-5	25	0.095	0.027	2010 0 1440	
N-PCNSs-3	25	0.084	0.040	<i>Nat. Commun.</i> 2018, 9, 1440.	
CSF-900	50	0.713	0.095	Small 2020, 16, 2004129	
SeNPs	/	8.3	0.507	J. Nanopart. Res. 2016, 18,.74.	
CS-SeNPs	/	0.852	0.238	Biochem. Engin. J. 2019, 152, 107384.	
Triazine-based CTF-1	/	0.48		Microchem. J. 2017, 135, 91.	
QAU-Z1	25	0.102	0.12	Small 2022, 18, 2104993	
Fe-N-C	5	1.81	0.60	Small 2019, 15, 1903108.	
Co-N-C	10	0.15	0.11	Anal Bioanal Chem 2022, 414, 1857	
SA Fe-N-C	10	0.114	0.65	Chem. Sci. 2022,13, 4566	
SA Fe nanozyme	5	0.13	0.225	Chem. Commun. 2019, 55 2285.	
N-CDs	25	0.094	0.065		
Fe-CDs	25	0.071	0.171	Nano Today 2022, 45, 101530	
Fe-N/C-CNTs	20	0.62	5.26	Chem. Commun. 2019, 55	
Fe-N/C	20	0.94	5.98	5271. Sens. Actuators B. 2020, 305 127511	

 Table S3. Comparison of the kinetic constants of oxidase-like carbon nanozymes.

Pesticide	M-M equation	K <sub>m</sub>	$A_{\mathrm{m}}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>
Dursban	$A = \frac{0.21575c}{0.39369 + c}$	0.39369	0.21575	0.958
Dichlorvos	$A = \frac{1.05092c}{0.13813 + c}$	0.13813	1.05092	0.924
Carbofuran	$A = \frac{0.36658c}{0.47978 + c}$	0.47978	0.36658	0.967
Carbosulfan	$A = \frac{0.10282c}{0.03932 + c}$	0.03932	0.10282	0.959
Tichlorfon	$A = \frac{0.45607c}{0.94644 + c}$	0.94644	0.45607	0.925
Phoxim	$A = \frac{0.38818c}{0.39833 + c}$	0.39833	0.38818	0.963
Paraoxon	$A = \frac{0.38712c}{0.58014 + c}$	0.58014	0.38712	0.970
Parathion	$A = \frac{0.11241c}{0.00428 + c}$	0.00428	0.11241	0.986
Methidathion	$A = \frac{0.11474c}{0.03491 + c}$	0.03491	0.11474	0.987
Thiamethoxam	$A = \frac{0.01195c}{0.24304 + c}$	0.24304	0.01195	0.986

Table S4. Summary of the relationship between inhibitor concentration and absorbance