Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry B. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Electronic Supplementary Information

Preparation of Janus fluorescent probe based on an asymmetrical silica and its application in glucose and alphafetoprotein detection

Wei Wan ^{a, b}, Xiangling Ren ^{b, c, *}, Junrui Tan ^b, Longfei Tan ^{b, c}, Changhui Fu ^{b, c},

Qiong Wu^{b, c}, Zengzhen Chen^b, Jun Ren^b, Zhongbing Huang^{a, *},

Xianwei Meng^{b, c, *}

^a College of Biomedical Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China.

^b Laboratory of Controllable Preparation and Application of Nanomaterials, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

^c CAS Key Laboratory of Cryogenics, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

* Corresponding author:

Tel.: +86-28-85413003, E-mail: zbhuang@scu.edu.cn (Z. Huang)

+86-010-82543521, E-mail: mengxw@mail.ipc.ac.cn (X. Meng)

E-mail: renxiangling@mail.ipc.ac.cn (X. Ren)



Fig. S1. TEM images of SiO₂-NH₂/wax. Inset: the side view of SiO₂-NH₂/wax.



Fig. S2. The dark field hyperspectral image of GAdS-S.



Fig. S3. The line plot of absorption spectra of free GOx.



Fig. S4. Fluorescence stability of GAdS-S within 3 month.



Fig. S5. The anti-interference performance of GAdS-S.

System	Method	Linear range (µM)	Limit of	
			detection	Reference
			(µM)	
Papain/OPD/H ₂ O ₂	Fluorometry	10-1000	4.6	1
CuO@rGO	Colorimetry	1000-10000	7	2
SiNPs/OPD/HRP/GOx	Fluorometry	20-1250	6.8	3
Ni ₅ P ₄	Electrochemical	2-5300	0.7	4
Pd ₈₅ Cu ₉ PtTNC ₈	Electrochemical	100-1000	1.29	5
GAdS-S	Fluorometry	7.5-100	0.5	This work

Table. S1 Comparison of different methods for glucose detection.

System	Method	Linear range (ng/mL)	Limit of	
			detection	Reference
			(ng/mL)	
Wax-printed paper-				
based lateral flow	ELISA	0.1-100	1	6
device				
TH/RGO/Au NPs	electrochemical	1000-10000	50	7
Multicolor QDs	Fluorometry	0-150	3	8
based ICTS				
AuNFs	Chemiluminescence	1–250	1.751	9
GAdS-S	Fluorometry	7.5-100	0.5	This work

Table. S2 Comparison of different methods for AFP detection.

References

- W. Hou, W. Zhao, S. Yi, W. Gao, J. Zhang, J. Zhao and X. Liu, *Enzyme Microb. Technol*, 2023, 164, 110192-110197.
- 2. M. Srivastava, S. K. Srivastava, R. P. Ojha and R. Prakash, *Microchem. J*, 2022, **182**, 107850-107860.
- C. Yang, N. Gao, Y. Liu, H. Zhao, J. Jing and X. Zhang, New J. Chem, 2021, 45, 19515-19520.
- 4. X. Xiao, Y. Wang, H. Cheng, Y. Cui, Y. Xu, T. Yang, D. Zhang and X. Xu, *Mater. Chem. Phys*, 2020, **240**, 122202-12208.
- 5. S. Fu, C. Zhu, J. Song, M. Engelhard, H. Xia, D. Du and Y. Lin, ACS Appl Mater Interfaces, 2016, 8, 22196-22200.
- 6. P. Preechakasedkit, W. Siangproh, N. Khongchareonporn, N. Ngamrojanavanich and O. Chailapakul, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2018, **102**, 27-32.
- G. Li, S. Li, Z. Wang, Y. Xue, C. Dong, J. Zeng, Y. Huang, J. Liang and Z. Zhou, *Anal Biochem*, 2018, 547, 37-44.
- 8. C. Wang, F. Hou and Y. Ma, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2015, 68, 156-162.
- 9. Q. Hu, J. Yang, Z. Zheng, Y. Ding, Y. Chen and W. Gao, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2019, **143**, 111627-111634.