1 Supporting Information

2 Porphyrin-anthracene Covalent Organic Framework for

3 Sustainable Photosterilization

- 4 Jing-Xuan Guo,^e Xue-Mei Gao,^{cd} Tian-Yue Gu,^c Hao-Ze Li,^c Li-Jian Chen,^{abc} Xu Zhao,^{*abc}
- 5 and Xiu-Ping Yan^{*abcd}
- a. State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Resources, Jiangnan University, Wuxi
- 7 **214122, China.**
- 8 b. International Joint Laboratory on Food Safety, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122,
- 9 China.
- 10 c. Institute of Analytical Food Safety, School of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan
- 11 University, Wuxi 214122, China.
- 12 d. Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Biological Colloids, Ministry of Education, School of
- 13 Chemical and Material Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, 214122, China.
- 14 e. Dongjiu campus, Jiangnan University Yixing Graduate School
- 15 * Corresponding author
- 16 E-mail address: <u>zhaoxu2017@jiangnan.edu.cn</u> (X. Zhao); <u>xpyan@jiangnan.edu.cn</u> (X.-
- 17 P. Yan)
- 18

19 Supplementary Methods

Chemicals and materials. 5,10,15,20-tetra-(4-aminophenyl) porphyrin (TAPP) and 20 21 9,10-bis(4-formylphenyl) anthracene (DPA) were purchased from Jilin Chinese 22 Academy of Sciences-Yanshen Technology Co., Ltd. (Jilin, China). Mesitylene, *n*-butanol (n-BuOH), acetonitrile (ACN), Ethanol (EtOH), acetic acid, N,N-dimethylformamide 23 24 (DMF) and tetrahydrofuran (THF), were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Dioxane, o-dichlorobenzene (o-DCB) and 9,10-25 Anthracenediyl-bis (methylene) dimalonic acid (ABDA) were purchased from Macklin 26 27 Biochemical Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 1,3-Diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) and 2,7dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) was purchased from Aladdin 28 (Shanghai, China). Ultrapure water was purchased from Hangzhou Wahaha Group Co., 29 30 Ltd (Hangzhou, China). Luria-Bertani (LB) Broth Powder (FMB Grade), LB Agar Powder (FMB Grade) and phosphate buffer saline solution premixed powder were purchased 31 from Shanghai Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). Escherichia coli (*E. coli*, ATCC 25922) 32 33 and Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus, ATCC 25923) were thawed from the frozen 34 bacteria in our laboratory. Other reagents were at least of analytical grade and used without further purification. 35

Instrumentation and Characterization. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were
 recorded on a D2 PHASER X-ray diffractometer (Bruker, German). Fourier transform
 infrared (FT-IR) spectra were carried out on a Nicolet IS50 spectrometer (Thermo,
 America) by using KBr pellet. The UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a UV 3600 PLUS (Shimadzu, Japan). Bacteria imaging experiments were obtained with a

FV3000 confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) (Olympus, Japan). Scanning 41 electron microscopy images (SEM) were carried out on a SU8100 SEM (Hitachi, Japan). 42 43 Synthesis of Por-DPA. A 35 mL Schlenk tube was charged with TAPP (67.5 mg, 0.10 mmol), DPA (77.3 mg, 0.20 mmol), and a mesitylene/n-butanol solution (9/1, V/V, 2 44 mL), and the homogeneous suspension was obtained by sonicated for 10 min. 45 Afterwards, aqueous acetic acid (6 mol L^{-1} , 0.2 mL) was added and sonicated for 5 min. 46 Then, the tube was degassed through three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and sealed with a 47 screw cap, and the resultant mixture was kept at 120 °C for 3 d. The yielded product 48 49 was collected by centrifugation, washed several times with THF and DMF. Then, the collected powder was dried under vacuum at 45 °C to obtain Por-DPA COF. 50

Photothermal Properties of Por-DPA. To evaluate the photothermal property of Por-51 DPA, 1 mL of Por-DPA in different concentrations (0 µg mL⁻¹, 100 µg mL⁻¹, 200 µg mL⁻¹ 52 and 400 μ g mL⁻¹) was exposed under the white light (100 mW cm⁻²) for 30 min. The 53 suspension of TAPP (200 µg mL⁻¹) under the same condition was chosen as the control 54 55 group. The temperature changes and thermal images of the solution in real time were all recorded by a FLIR-50 thermal imaging camera. Meanwhile, the same method was 56 used to determine the temperature changes and thermograms of 200 µg mL⁻¹ of Por-57 DPA under different power densities of irradiation (50 mW cm⁻², 100 mW cm⁻² and 150 58 mW cm⁻²). 59

60 **Photodynamic Properties of Por-DPA.** To validate the photodynamic property of Por-61 DPA, ABDA as a ROS indicator was chosen to monitor the generation of ${}^{1}O_{2}$.^[1] Briefly, 62 0.1 mL of 5 × 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹ ABDA in DMF was blended with 9.9 mL Por-DPA in ultrapure

water (the final concentration of Por-DPA was 0 µg mL⁻¹, 100 µg mL⁻¹, 200 µg mL⁻¹ and 63 400 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively). Then, the above solutions were exposed to white light (100 64 mW·cm⁻²) irradiation, and 1 mL of the irradiated solution was taken out and 65 centrifuged at different times (0 min, 3 min, 6 min, 9 min, 12 min, 15 min, 18 min, 21 66 min, 24 min and 30 min, respectively). After that, the absorbance spectra of each 67 solution were recorded on a UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer. TAPP (100 µg mL⁻¹) under 68 100 mW cm⁻² irradiation was used as a control group. Meanwhile, the photodynamic 69 properties of Por-DPA under different power of irradiation (50 mW cm⁻², 100 mW cm⁻ 70 71 2 and 150 mW cm⁻², respectively) were also measured by the same method. ABDA under 150 mW cm⁻² irradiation was used as a blank group. It is worth noting that all 72 operations in these experiments should be performed in the dark. 73

74 The sustainable ¹O₂ generation of Por-DPA without irradiation was further investigated with DPBF as a probe.^[2, 3] Briefly, 600 μ L of 1 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ DPBF in ACN 75 was blended with 400 μ L Por-DPA in H₂O (the final concentration of Por-DPA was 200 76 77 μ g mL⁻¹). Then, the above solutions were exposed to 808 nm laser (0.6 W·cm⁻²) 78 irradiation for 3 minutes, followed by stored in the absence of lightness. After being stored in the dark for different periods of time (0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 24 min, respectively), 79 80 1 mL of the solution was taken out and centrifuged. Then, the absorbance spectra of 81 each solution were recorded on a UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer. All procedures after pre-excitation are carried out in the dark to avoid light interference. 82

Bacterial Cultures and Sterilization Experiment. The bactericidal properties of Por DPA were evaluated using two typical bacteria, Gram-positive S. aureus and Gram-

negative *E. coli*, as model bacteria. In brief, the frozen primitive bacteria were
resuscitated and cultured in 5 mL Luria Bertani broth medium under 12 h shaking (200
rpm) at 37°C, and further experiments were carried out after culture to exponential
growth stage.

100 μ L of *E. coli* or *S. aureus* in PBS (colony concentration of 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹ in final 89 mixed solution) were mixed respectively with 900 µL of Por-DPA solution in centrifuge 90 tubes to a final concentrations of 200 and 400 µg mL⁻¹ and incubated at 37°C for 30 91 min. Then, the above two model bacteria were divided into the following groups: (1) 92 93 bacteria in PBS without irradiation (blank group), (2) bacteria in PBS under irradiation for 30 min, (3) the bacteria treated with Por-DPA in PBS, (4) the bacteria treated with 94 pre-irradiated Por-DPA in PBS, (5) the incubated bacteria with Por-DPA in PBS under 95 96 irradiation for 10 min, 20 min, 30 min, respectively. Irradiation was performed with white light (100 mW cm⁻²). 100 μ L of above bacterial suspension was extracted from 97 each group and gradually diluted 10⁴ times. Then, 100 μL of each diluted bacteria 98 99 solution was spread evenly onto Luria Bertani broth agar plates and cultured at 37°C 100 for 24 h. Finally, the colonies number was counted.

101 **Detection of intracellular** ${}^{1}O_{2}$ **in Bacteria.** To further identify the photodynamic 102 sterilization mechanism of Por-DPA, DCFH-DA was used as the indicator.^[4] Briefly, 1 mL 103 of *E. coli* solutions (10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹) was cultured with Por-DPA (200 µg mL⁻¹) and DCFH-104 DA (10 µmol L⁻¹) for 30 min. Afterward, irradiation with or without white light (100 105 mW cm⁻², 10 min, 20 min, 30 min, respectively) was performed. Then, the bacterial 106 suspension was centrifuged (5000 rpm, 10 min) and resuspended in 20 µL PBS. Finally, 10 μL of resuspended bacterial solution was dropped onto the glass slide and then
 corresponding CLSM images was acquired under excitation at 488 nm.

Morphological Observation of Bacteria. After incubation with PBS or Por-DPA 109 dispersion (final concentrations of 200 μ g mL⁻¹) at 37°C in the dark for 30 min, the E. 110 *coli* suspension (10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹) was irradiated by the white LED light (100 mW cm⁻²) for 111 112 0 min, 10 min, 20 min, 30 min or not, respectively. Then, the above bacterial solutions 113 were centrifuged (4000 rpm, 10 min) and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 16 h. The resulting bacteria were further washed twice with PBS, and dehydrated through 114 115 treating with 30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 95% and 100% (v/v) gradient ethanol for 10 min. Finally, the resulting samples were freeze-dried and the morphologies of E. coli were 116 observed on SEM. 117

118 Murine Infection Model. The animal experiment has passed the examination and approval of the Ethics Committee of Jiangnan University (Wuxi, China) 119 (JN.No20220430b0180606[147]). The sustainable photosterilization of the Por-DPA 120 121 COF on the wound healing process was evaluated using a mouse full-thickness 122 cutaneous wound model infected with E. coli. First, female BALB/c mice (5-6 weeks, purchased from the Changzhou Cavens Experimental Animal Co. Ltd.) were 123 124 anesthetized, and then their backs were created a round skin wound (d \approx 7 mm). 125 Subsequently, the back wound of the mice was added with 20uL of *E. coli* solution dropwise to establish an infection mode. According to different treatments, they were 126 127 divided into five groups randomly, and each group had five parallel samples for contrast. The five groups were given the following treatments: no treatment, Por-DPA 128

(Por-DPA-treated without irradiation, irradiation (only irradiated with 30-min white light, 100 mW cm⁻²), Por-DPA + pre-irradiation (treated with Por-DPA pre-irradiated for 30-min with white light, 100 mW cm⁻²), Por-DPA + irradiation (coated with Por-DPA followed by 30-min irradiation treatment, 100 mW cm⁻²). The dosage and concentration of Por-DPA in the above experimental groups were 50 μL and 400 μg mL⁻¹, respectively. Then, the wound allowed to heal naturally for 12 days, and the changes of body weight and wound area during the healing process were recorded.

The mice were sacrificed on the 12th day for evaluate the performance in biomedical science of the Por-DPA, and the wound skin tissues were collected for Masson and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and immunohistochemistry analysis (including tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and interleukin-6 (IL-6)).

140 Supplementary References.

- 141 **1**. X. Zhao, M.-Y. Yin, T.-Y. Gu, X.-M. Gao, L.-J. Chen, Z.-Y. Wang and X.-P. Yan, *ACS*
- 142 Appl. Nano Mater., 2023, 6, 12402-12412.
- 143 2. J. Zou, J. Zhu, Z. Yang, L. Li, W. Fan, L. He, W. Tang, L. Deng, J. Mu, Y. Ma, Y. Cheng,
- 144 W. Huang, X. Dong and X. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2020, **59**, 8833-8838.
- 145 **3**. D. Yan, E. Lin, F. Jin, S. Qiao, Y. Yang, Z. Wang, F. Xiong, Y. Chen, P. Cheng and Z.
- 146 Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 27434-27441.
- J. H. Sun, E. T. Feng, Y. T. Shao, F. Y. Lv, Y. N. Wu, J. R. Tian, H. Sun and F. L. Song,
 Chembiochem, 2022, **23**, e202200421.
- 149

151 Supplementary Figures



Fig. S1. (a) Effect of reaction solvent on the PXRD pattern of Por-DPA COF at 120 °C for 3 days. (b) Effect of the ratio of mesitylene to *n*-butanol (v/v) on the PXRD pattern of Por-DPA COF under the reaction at 120 °C for 3 days. (c) Effect of reaction temperature on the PXRD pattern of Por-DPA COF under 3 days of reaction with mesitylene and *n*butanol (1/1, V/V) as solvent. (d) Effect of reaction time on the PXRD pattern of Por-DPA COF at 120 °C with mesitylene and *n*-butanol (1/1, V/V) as solvent.



Fig. S2. SEM image of Por- DPA COF.



164 Fig. S3. PXRD patterns (a) and IR patterns (b) of Por-FPA COF after treatment with

165 various solvents at 25 °C.



168 **Fig. S4**. The IR thermal images of different solutions including H₂O, TAPP (200 μg mL⁻¹)

- and different concentrations of Por-DPA under irradiation (100 mW cm⁻²) (The unit of
- 170 time is min).
- 171



- 172
- 173 Fig. S5. The IR thermal images of different solutions including H₂O under irradiation
- 174 (150 mW cm⁻²) and Por- DPA (200 μg mL⁻¹) under different irradiations.
- 175



Fig. S6. Time-dependent absorption spectra changes of ABDA at 377 nm with different

- 179 concentrations of Por-DPA (a, 0 μg mL⁻¹; b, 100 μg mL⁻¹; c, 200 μg mL⁻¹; d, TAPP 100 μg
- 180 mL⁻¹) under irradiation (100 mW cm⁻²).





Fig. S7. (a) Time-dependent absorption spectra changes of ABDA at 377 nm under
 irradiation (150 mW cm⁻²). (b and c) Time-dependent absorption spectra changes of
 ABDA at 377 nm with Por-DPA (200 μg mL⁻¹) under different irradiations (50 mW cm⁻²
 and 150 mW cm⁻², respectively).



Fig. S8. Time-dependent absorption spectra change of DPBF (6×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹) at 410

189 nm with per-irradiated Por-DPA (200 μ g mL⁻¹).



- I, Por-DPA-II and Por-DPA-III represented irradiation for 10, 20 and 30 min, respectively.



- **Fig. S10**. Flat colony photographs of *S. aureus* colonies after different treatments. Por-
- 197 DPA-III represented irradiation for 30 min.





Fig. S11. In vitro bacterial viability of *S. aureus.* incubated with Por-DPA at (a) 200 μg

201 mL⁻¹ and (b) 400 μg mL⁻¹, respectively, under different treatments.



Fig. S12. Cell viability of 3T3 cells incubated with various concentrations of Por-DPA.

205 Data were presented as the mean \pm SD (n = 3).

206



208 Fig. S13. Hemolysis rate of red blood cells incubated with different concentrations of

209 Por-DPA. Data were presented as the mean \pm SD (n = 3).



- **Figure S14**. H&E staining of main organs of mice after various treatments for **12** days.
- 213 (Scale bar, 200 μm).

215 Supplementary Table

216 **Table S1** Fractional main atomic coordinates for the unit cell of TAPP-DPA COF after

TAPP-DPA COF: Space group symmetry P4/m

217 Pawley refinement

a = b = 33.7047 Å, c = 10.5931 Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$				
Atom	Х	у	Z	
C1	1.22707	-0.50147	0.34284	
C2	1.20778	-0.4655	0.36838	
C3	1.16756	-0.46501	0.40065	
C4	1.14562	-0.50054	0.41424	
C5	1.16486	-0.53601	0.37659	
C6	1.20495	-0.53643	0.34313	
C7	1.10205	-0.50055	0.45115	
C8	1.08288	-0.53473	0.47605	
C9	1.09909	-0.56859	0.54405	
C10	1.07075	-0.5954	0.54622	
C11	1.03709	-0.57995	0.47321	
N12	1.04304	-0.54343	0.43917	
N13	1.26832	-0.50336	0.30934	
C14	1.02253	-0.79502	0.34171	
C15	1.01771	-0.8368	0.30292	
C16	0.98896	-0.84817	0.21392	
C17	0.98485	-0.888	0.1796	
C18	1.00937	-0.91718	0.23309	
C19	1.03714	-0.90585	0.3251	
C20	1.04187	-0.86596	0.35756	
C21	1.00418	-0.95969	0.1975	
C22	0.96447	-0.97606	0.19555	
C23	1.03614	-0.98356	0.1453	
C24	0.89794	-0.0228	0.24436	
C25	0.92481	-0.03362	0.15003	
C26	1.09113	-0.00601	0.33189	
C27	1.05546	-0.02638	0.31562	
H28	1.22326	-0.43752	0.35946	
H29	1.15417	-0.43623	0.40958	
H30	1.14906	-0.56375	0.36879	
H31	1.21886	-0.56421	0.31711	
H32	1.12728	-0.57066	0.59252	

H33	1.07217	-0.62311	0.59763
H34	1.04714	-0.78739	0.40279
H35	0.96967	-0.82635	0.17074
H36	0.96234	-0.89606	0.11173
H37	1.05468	-0.92784	0.37398
H38	1.06377	-0.85801	0.42803
H39	0.86983	-0.03806	0.25316
H40	0.9175	-0.05779	0.08707
H41	1.10988	-0.01199	0.41224
H42	1.04716	-0.04854	0.38492