Supporting Information

Two-ElectronOxidizedPolyphenolChemistry-InspiredSuperhydrophilicDrug-carryingCoatings for the Construction ofMultifunctionalNasolacrimalDuctStents

Wenzhe Xiao,^{abc} Binjian Wang,^{abc} Xuemei Wang,^{bc} Guanghong Zhang,^{bc} Yihao Zhao,^{bc} Zezhen Zhang,^{bc} Linhua Li*,^d Chao Qu*^{bc}

- a. Eye School of Chengdu University of TCM, Chengdu, 610075, China.
- b. Department of Ophthalmology, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, 611731, China.
- c. Sichuan Key Laboratory for Disease Gene Study, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, 611731, China.
- Kidney Research Laboratory, Department of Nephrology, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China.

E-mail: linhuali@scu.edu.cn; lucyjeffersonqu@hotmail.com



Fig. S1. Solution changes during coating preparation.



Fig. S2. Static WCA of samples after exposure to UV for different times.



Fig. S3. (a) Fluorescence staining (Scale Bar 200 µm). (b) and cell viability of L929

cells co-cultured with coating release solution at different time points.



Fig. S4. Bacterial smear photograph of E. coli and S. epidermidis on the fresh sample (Scale Bar 1 cm).



Fig. S5. In vivo SD rats implantation experiments for 15 days. H&E, CD68 antibody, α -SMA antibody staining for 15 days after subcutaneous implantation without (a) and with (b) LPS stimulation (Scale Bar 250/100 µm). (c) Thickness of the fibrous capsules of different samples. Immunofluorescence intensity of CD68 (d) and α -SMA (e) of the capsules of different samples.

In Fig. S5a and 5b, H.E., CD68 and α -SMA staining were used respectively to show the thickness of fibrosac and the degree of inflammatory cell infiltration 15 days after subcutaneous embedding in each group. Compared with silica gel group and PDA (O2) group, the thickness of fibrous sac and the degree of inflammatory cell aggregation and infiltration in PDA and PDA/RAP group were significantly reduced. Even when the stimulation was simulated with LPS, the trend in each group was consistent with that without LPS, indicating that the PDA/RAP coating has excellent anti-inflammatory properties (Fig. S5c). By CD68 and α -SMA immunofluorescence staining and semi-quantitative data analysis (Fig. S5d-e), it was found that the degree of inflammatory infiltration and fibrosis of silica gel and PDA (O2) was significantly stronger than that of PDA and PDA/RAP, which further proved that PDA/RAP had good anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrosac proliferation biological properties.

Elements samples	C(%)	N(%)	O(%)
PDA(O ₂)	63.81	6.4	29.79
PDA	72.02	8.78	19.2
PDA/RAP	70.54	9.28	20.18

Table1: Elemental composite ratio of PDA(O₂), PDA, PDA/RAP coatings.