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## Experimental section

## General Information:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance III 400 and 500 MHz NMR spectrometer using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as the solvent and tetramethyl-silane as an internal standard at room temperature. Mass analyses were recorded by auto flex MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer. Flash EA 1112 spectrometer was used to perform the elemental analyses. Thermogravimetric analysis (TG-DTA) was performed by Bruker TG-DTA 2400SA with a heating rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ from $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $600{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. Cyclic voltammetry measurements were carried out on a CHI630E electrochemical workstation using a solution of $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate $\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}\right)$ in dichloromethane as the supporting electrolyte at a $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ scan rate under nitrogen atmosphere. A conventional three-electrode configuration was used, consisting of a platinum spar ( 0.8 mm ) working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode and a KCl -saturated $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode.

## Reagents and materials:

Experimental solvents and reagents are purchased from commercial suppliers (Bidepharm, Energy Chemistry) and used without further purification, except as otherwise mentioned. All reactions were carried out in nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk line technique to avoid oxidation of the reactants in oxygen. The reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) until the reaction material was completely consumed. And the products were purified by column chromatography using Merck silica gel powder (100-200 mesh or 200-300 mesh).

## Computational method:

The calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 program using density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) method with B3LYP hybrid functional. All structures were optimized using DFT ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state) or TDDFT ( $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ states) method using $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set in gas phase. The calculations of electron density difference between ground state and excited state, and contribution of atoms to frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs) were performed by Multiwfn software (version 3.7). Natural transition orbitals (NTOs) and energy levels of singlet and triplet excited states at their optimized $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ geometries were conducted by TD-DFT calculation at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. The SOC matrix elements were calculated by TD-DFT at the B3LYP/def-TZVP level using ORCA software package (version 4.1).

## Photophysical measurements:

Shimadzu UV-3600I Plus spectrophotometer were applied to record ultravioletvisible (UV-Vis) absorption. The UV-Vis absorption spectra of ligands and $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes were measured in dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ solution $\left(1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ or in the
film prepared by spin-coating. The photoluminescence spectra were recorded on Edinburgh Instruments FLS1000 Spectrometer, and phosphorescence decay lifetimes were also measured on FLS1000 Spectrometer with time-corrected single-photoncounting (TCSPC) measurement after removing the oxygen in the solution by vacuum technique (three freeze-pump-thaw cycles). The photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQYs) of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes were measured in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions, by an integrating sphere coupled with FLS1000 under ambient condition.

## Electrochemical measurements:

CHI630e electrochemical work station was placed in a vacuum oven to measure the electrochemical property, which consist of a platinum disk as working electrode, a platinum wire as auxiliary electrode, a porous glass wick $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Ag}+$ as the pseudo reference electrode and ferrocene/ferrocenium as the internal standard. All measurements were performed using $0.1 \mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed at a sweep rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) was conducted with an increment potential of 0.004 V and a pulse amplitude, width, and period of $50 \mathrm{mV}, 0.05$, and 0.5 s , respectively. The HOMO energy level ( $E_{\text {Номо }}$ ) was determined using the relation $E_{\text {Номо }}=-\left(E_{\text {ox }}-0.5+4.8\right) \mathrm{eV}$, where $E_{\text {ox }}$ is the anodic peak potentials of the first oxidation peak of the DPV. The LUMO energy level ( $E_{\mathrm{LUMO}}$ ) was inferred by subtracting the optical energy gap $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ from the $E_{\text {Номо }}$ determined from the electrochemical measurements.

## Device fabrication:

The optimal structure of the (BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$-doped devices is indium tin oxide (ITO)/ poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)/poly(styrene sulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS) ( 35 nm )/ tris-(4-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)amine (TCTA) (20 nm)/ 1,3-di(9H-carbazol-9yl)benzene (mCP):(BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(14 \mathrm{wt} \%-18 \mathrm{wt} \%)(35 \mathrm{~nm}) / 3,3 \mathrm{~h}$-[5'-[3-(pyridine-3yl)phenyl] [1,1': $3^{\prime}$ ', 1 "-terphenyl]-3,3"-diyl]bispyridine (TmPyPB) (50 nm)/ LiF (0.5 $\mathrm{nm}) / \mathrm{Al}(120 \mathrm{~nm})$. And the optimal device structure of the $(\mathrm{BOPy})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$-doped devices is ITO/PEDOT: PSS (35 nm)/ TCTA: 4,4'-di(9H-carbazol-9-yl)-1,1'-biphenyl (CBP) (1:1):(BOPy) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(14 \mathrm{wt} \%-18 \mathrm{wt} \%)(35 \mathrm{~nm}) /$ bis[2-(diphenylphosphino)phenyl] ether oxide (DPEPO) ( 9 nm ) / TmPyPB ( 50 nm )/ LiF $(0.5 \mathrm{~nm}) / \mathrm{Al}(120 \mathrm{~nm})$. Among them, ITO served as the anode, PEDOT:PSS acted as buffer layer and hole injection layer of anode, DPEPO and TmPyPB were employed as the hole barrier layer and electron transport layer, respectively, while LiF and Al were used as electron injection layer and cathode, respectively. PEDOT:PSS were spin coated on precleaned ITO glass substrates and annealed at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min (thickness: 35 nm ). Subsequently, the blend of mCP (or TCTA:CBP) and dopants in chlorobenzene solution was spin-coated directly on top of the PEDOT: PSS (thickness: 40 nm or 50 nm ) as the light emitting layer. Finally, the TmPyPB films (thickness of 50 nm ) were then spin-coated on the
active layer. Finally, LiF/Al electrodes were thermally evaporated through a shadow mask. The active area of the OLEDs was $4 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. In order to avoid degradation and emission quenching caused by oxygen and moisture, all OLEDs were encapsulated in a glove box prior to the device characterization. The EL spectra and current density $(J)$ voltage ( $V$ )-luminance ( $L$ ) curves were obtained using a PHOTO RESEARCH Spectra Scan PR 745 photometer and a KEITHLEY 2400 Source Meter constant current source at room temperature. The EQE values were done by calculation.

## Supplementary Methods

## Synthesis:

## Compound 1

2, 5 -dibromo-1, 3-difluorobenzene ( $10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 36.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenol ( $6.92 \mathrm{~g}, 73.56$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate ( $20.33 \mathrm{~g}, 147.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and n-methylpyrrolidone ( 50 mL ) were mixed and reacted at $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was mixed with water and the white solid was formed by adjusting $\mathrm{pH}=3 \sim 4$. After extraction and filtration, the solvent was removed in a vacuum at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give compound 1 as white solid ( $12.36 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.6,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.42,155.80,130.11,124.54,121.15,119.19,116.90,105.87$.

## Compound 2

A solution of n -butyllithium in hexane ( $6.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}, 15.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly to a solution of compound $1(6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 14.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in o-xylene $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and hexane was distilled off at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a continuous flow of nitrogen. After cooled down to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, boron tribromide ( $4.29 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{ml}, 17.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for $2 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine ( $3.69 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{~mL}$, 28.56 mmol ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 1 h . After stirring at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with water and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed off by a vacuum distillation and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: PE: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=4: 1$ ) to give compound 2 as white solid ( $2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 160.29,157.64,134.56,133.92,128.42,123.22,118.54$, 112.18.

## BO-Bpin

Dry toluene ( 30 mL ) was added to a 100 mL single-neck flask charged with compound $2(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.73 \mathrm{mmol})$, pinacol diboroate ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 6.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium acetate
$(2.81 \mathrm{~g}, 28.65 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After cooled down to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with water and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed off by a rotatory evaporation and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 2$ ) to give BO-Bpin as white solid ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.76-7.65$ (m, 4H), $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.87,151.66,151.17,140.37,139.47,131.08,127.87,117.31$, 114.97, 113.81, 55.52.

## BOiqnH

BO-Bpin ( $760 \mathrm{mg}, 2.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-bromoisoquinoline ( $513 \mathrm{mg}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $567 \mathrm{mg}, 4.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to tetrahydrofuran/water $(8 / 1(V / V), 27 \mathrm{~mL})$ solvent. The mixture was stirred and refluxed at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . After cooled down to room temperature, the solvent was removed off by vacuum rotary evaporation, and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EA}=1: 1$ ) to give BOiqnH as white solid ( 405 mg , $50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.79-8.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.72,157.29,136.98,134.64,133.79,127.69,127.50,127.14,122.96,118.62$, 114.03, 110.28, 24.94.

## BOPyH

BO-Bpin ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-bromo-3-trifluoromethyl pyridine ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate ( $299 \mathrm{mg}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to tetrahydrofuran/water ( $8 / 1(V / V), 27 \mathrm{~mL})$ solvent. The mixture was stirred and refluxed at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . After cooled down to room temperature, the solvent was removed off by vacuum rotary evaporation, and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EA}=1: 1$ ) to give $\mathbf{B O P y H}$ as white solid ( $523 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.91$ (d, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.73 (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.62,155.88,150.93,144.30$, 133.57, 132.76, 121.91, 121.36, 117.57, 108.11, 76.19, 1.03.

## (BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathbf{P t}$

Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, BOiqnH ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(dimethylsulphone) platinum dichloride $\left(\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)(185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium carbonate ( 280 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were successively added into tetrahydrofuran $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution. After stirring at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , the reaction mixture was slowly turned to dark red. And then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and tetrahydrofuran was removed
off under reduced pressure. The concentrated solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ water and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was distilled off and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EA}=1: 1$ ) to give $(\mathrm{BOiqn})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ as red solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.37 (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63$ (ddd, $J=25.9,19.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.42$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.75(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H), 7.66 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.59-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MALDITOF MS (m/z): $988.21353[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$; calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pt}$ : 987.20394.

## (BOPy) ${ }_{2} \mathbf{P t}$

Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, $\mathbf{B O P y H}(484 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{DMSO}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(224 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53$ mmol ) and sodium carbonate ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 3.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were successively added into tetrahydrofuran $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution. After stirring at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , the reaction mixture was slowly turned to dark red. And then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and tetrahydrofuran was removed off under reduced pressure. The concentrated solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /water and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was distilled off and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromato -graphy ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 2$ ) to give $(\mathrm{BOPy})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ as red solid ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74$ (t, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.56 (dd, $J=22.9,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.45$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $7 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H})$. MALDI-TOF $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $1024.15529 \quad[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ;$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pt}: 1023.14741$.

## (piq) ${ }_{2} \mathbf{P t}$

Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, 1-phenylisoquinoline ( $306 \mathrm{mg}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium carbonate ( $452 \mathrm{mg}, 4.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were successively added into tetrahydrofuran $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution. After stirring at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , the reaction mixture was slowly turned to dark. And then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and tetrahydrofuran was removed off under reduced pressure. The concentrated solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ water and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was distilled off and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EA}=1: 1$ ) to give (piq) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ as brown solid ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.50$, 131.94, 130.58, 129.28, 128.29, 128.17, 128.00, 126.05, 125.93, 121.97, 44.09, 41.96, -1.03.


Figure S1 UV-Vis absorption and PL spectra of ligand BOPyH and BOiqnH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution $\left(1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .


Figure S2 UV-Vis absorption and PL spectra of complex (piq) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution $\left(1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .
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Figure S3 UV-Vis and PL spectra of BOiqnH $\left(\lambda_{\text {ex }}=380 \mathrm{~nm}\right)(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and (BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\lambda_{\text {ex }}\right.$ $=520 \mathrm{~nm})(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$ at RT in different solvents under same measurement conditions.


Figure S4 UV-Vis and PL spectra of BOPyH $\left(\lambda_{\text {ex }}=380 \mathrm{~nm}\right)(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and $(\mathrm{BOPy})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}\right.$ $=510 \mathrm{~nm})(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$ at RT in different solvents under same measurement conditions


Figure S5 Transient PL decay spectra of (BOiqn) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$, $(\mathrm{BOPy})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ and $(\mathrm{piq})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at 298 K (concentration: $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$


Figure S6 The frontier molecular orbital distributions, energy levels and gaps of BOiqnH and BOPyH obtained by DFT calculation (The H atom is omitted for clarity)


Figure S7 TGA curves of (BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ and $(\mathrm{BOPy})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with a rate of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure $\mathbf{S 8} \mathrm{CV}$ curves of $(\mathrm{BOiqn})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ and $(\mathrm{BOPy})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution.
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Figure S9 (a) Chemical structures of the relevant materials, current efficiencyluminance characteristics and power efficiency-luminance characteristics of doped devices based om (BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ (b)(c) and (BOPy) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ (d)(e).


Figure S10. PL spectra of the (BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ (a) and ( BOPy$)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ (b) doped films at different dopant concentrations.

Table S1 Contribution of atoms to HOMOs and LUMOs for (BOiqn) ${ }_{2}$ Pt by orbital composition analysis using Hirshfeld method performed on Multiwfn software package (version 3.7).

| Atom | Contribution (\%) |  | Atom | Contribution (\%) |  | Atom | Contribution (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HOMO | LUMO |  | HOMO | LUMO |  | HOMO | LUMO |
| 1(Pt) | 20.35\% | 2.72\% | 32(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 63(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 2(C) | 0.08\% | 0.50\% | 33(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 64(H) | 0.04\% | 0.04\% |
| 3(C) | 1.29\% | 0.09\% | 34(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 65(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 4(C) | 0.06\% | 0.49\% | 35(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 66(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 5(C) | 0.87\% | 0.23\% | 36(C) | 0.08\% | 0.50\% | 67(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 6(C) | 0.40\% | 0.20\% | 37(C) | 1.29\% | 0.09\% | 68(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 7(C) | 1.06\% | 0.02\% | 38(C) | 0.06\% | 0.49\% | 69(H) | 0.01\% | 0.05\% |
| 8(O) | 3.43\% | 0.36\% | 39(C) | 0.87\% | 0.23\% | 70(H) | 0.01\% | 0.05\% |
| 9(C) | 4.53\% | 0.79\% | 40(C) | 0.40\% | 0.20\% | 71(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 10(C) | 4.85\% | 1.88\% | 41(C) | 1.06\% | 0.02\% | 72(C) | 0.04\% | 3.93\% |
| 11(C) | 2.24\% | 1.49\% | 42(0) | 3.43\% | 0.36\% | 73(C) | 0.15\% | 0.20\% |
| 12(C) | 7.73\% | 2.23\% | 43(C) | 4.53\% | 0.79\% | 74(C) | 0.10\% | 1.75\% |
| 13(C) | 4.53\% | 0.41\% | 44(C) | 4.85\% | 1.88\% | 75(C) | 0.03\% | 2.47\% |
| 14(C) | 0.14\% | 1.52\% | 45(C) | 2.24\% | 1.49\% | 76(C) | 0.06\% | 3.68\% |
| 15(B) | 0.05\% | 2.23\% | 46(C) | 7.73\% | 2.23\% | 77(C) | 0.07\% | 0.95\% |
| 16(C) | 0.03\% | 0.39\% | 47(C) | 4.53\% | 0.41\% | 78(H) | 0.03\% | 0.02\% |
| 17(C) | 1.24\% | 0.11\% | 48(C) | 0.14\% | 1.52\% | 79(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 18(C) | 0.12\% | 0.43\% | 49(B) | 0.05\% | 2.23\% | 80(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 19(C) | 1.17\% | 0.02\% | 50(C) | 0.03\% | 0.39\% | 81(H) | 0.00\% | 0.01\% |
| 20(C) | 0.30\% | 0.20\% | 51(C) | 1.24\% | 0.11\% | 82(C) | 0.25\% | 5.17\% |
| 21(C) | 0.91\% | 0.23\% | 52(C) | 0.12\% | 0.42\% | 83(C) | 0.15\% | 0.20\% |
| 22(0) | 3.31\% | 0.29\% | 53(C) | 1.17\% | 0.02\% | 84(C) | 0.04\% | 3.93\% |
| 23(C) | 0.13\% | 10.70\% | 54(C) | 0.30\% | 0.20\% | 85(C) | 0.07\% | 0.95\% |
| 24(C) | 0.25\% | 5.17\% | 55(C) | 0.91\% | 0.23\% | 86(C) | 0.06\% | 3.68\% |
| 25(C) | 0.16\% | 0.18\% | 56(0) | 3.31\% | 0.29\% | 87(C) | 0.03\% | 2.47\% |
| 26(N) | 0.42\% | 5.38\% | 57(C) | 0.13\% | 10.70\% | 88(C) | 0.10\% | 1.75\% |
| 27(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 58(C) | 0.16\% | 0.18\% | 89(H) | 0.03\% | 0.02\% |
| 28(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 59(N) | 0.42\% | 5.38\% | 90(H) | 0.00\% | 0.01\% |
| 29(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 60(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 91(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 30(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 61(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 92(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 31(H) | 0.04\% | 0.04\% | 62(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 93(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

Table S2 Contribution of atoms to HOMOs and LUMOs for (BOPy) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ by orbital composition analysis using Hirshfeld method performed on Multiwfn software package (version 3.7).

| Atom | Contribution (\%) |  | Atom | Contribution (\%) |  | Atom | Contribution (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HOMO | LUMO |  | HOMO | LUMO |  | HOMO | LUMO |
| 1(Pt) | 17.52\% | 2.97\% | 30(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 59(C) | 0.15\% | 10.28\% |
| 2(C) | 0.08\% | 0.72\% | $31(\mathrm{H})$ | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 60(C) | 0.16\% | 0.54\% |
| 3(C) | 1.37\% | 0.11\% | 32(H) | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 61(C) | 0.19\% | 5.36\% |
| 4(C) | 0.08\% | 0.73\% | 33(H) | 0.03\% | 0.00\% | 62(C) | 0.13\% | 1.12\% |
| 5(C) | 0.90\% | 0.33\% | 34(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 63(N) | 0.34\% | 6.29\% |
| 6(C) | 0.45\% | 0.25\% | 35(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 64(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 7(C) | 1.22\% | 0.03\% | 36(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 65(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 8(O) | $\mathbf{3 . 8 2 \%}$ | 0.51\% | $37(\mathrm{H})$ | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 66(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 9(C) | 4.51\% | 1.15\% | 38(C) | 0.08\% | 0.72\% | 67(H) | 0.00\% | 0.01\% |
| 10(C) | 5.53\% | 2.16\% | 39(C) | 1.37\% | 0.11\% | 68(H) | 0.03\% | 0.00\% |
| 11(C) | 1.76\% | 2.10\% | 40(C) | 0.08\% | 0.72\% | 69(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 12(C) | 7.75\% | 2.59\% | 41(C) | 0.91\% | 0.33\% | 70(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 13(C) | 4.61\% | 0.48\% | 42(C) | 0.45\% | 0.25\% | 71(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 14(C) | 0.13\% | 1.72\% | 43(C) | 1.22\% | 0.03\% | 72(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 15(B) | 0.05\% | 2.99\% | 44(0) | 3.82\% | 0.51\% | 73(H) | 0.01\% | 0.06\% |
| 16(C) | 0.04\% | 0.52\% | 45(C) | 4.51\% | 1.14\% | 74(H) | 0.01\% | 0.06\% |
| 17(C) | 1.48\% | 0.16\% | 46(C) | 5.53\% | 2.16\% | 75(C) | 0.10\% | 6.55\% |
| 18(C) | 0.16\% | 0.57\% | 47(C) | 1.76\% | 2.10\% | 76(H) | 0.00\% | 0.01\% |
| 19(C) | 1.23\% | 0.02\% | 48(C) | 7.75\% | 2.59\% | 77(H) | 0.01\% | 0.00\% |
| 20(C) | 0.41\% | 0.26\% | 49(C) | 4.61\% | 0.48\% | 78(H) | 0.00\% | 0.01\% |
| 21(C) | 1.06\% | 0.31\% | 50(C) | 0.13\% | 1.72\% | 79(H) | 0.01\% | 0.00\% |
| 22(0) | 3.45\% | 0.36\% | 51(B) | 0.05\% | 2.98\% | 80(C) | 0.02\% | 0.15\% |
| 23(C) | 0.15\% | 10.29\% | 52(C) | 0.04\% | 0.52\% | 81(C) | 0.02\% | 0.15\% |
| 24(C) | 0.16\% | 0.55\% | 53(C) | 1.48\% | 0.16\% | 82(F) | 0.01\% | 0.02\% |
| 25(C) | 0.10\% | 6.55\% | 54(C) | 0.16\% | 0.57\% | 83(F) | 0.01\% | 0.05\% |
| 26(C) | 0.19\% | 5.36\% | 55(C) | 1.23\% | 0.02\% | 84(F) | 0.01\% | 0.01\% |
| 27(C) | 0.13\% | 1.12\% | 56(C) | 0.41\% | 0.26\% | 85(F) | 0.01\% | 0.02\% |
| 28(N ) | 0.34\% | 6.29\% | 57(C) | 1.06\% | 0.31\% | 86(F) | 0.01\% | 0.01\% |
| 29(H) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 58(0) | 3.45\% | 0.35\% | 87(F) | 0.01\% | 0.05\% |

Table S3. TD-DFT results for complex based on their optimized $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ geometries


[^0]Table S4. Excited state properties of (BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ obtained from TD-DFT calculations carried out at the ground tripletstate $\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}\right)$ geometry.

| Complex | State ( $E, \lambda$ ) | Dominant excitations | Oscillator strength | Character |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}(2.00 \mathrm{eV}, 621 \mathrm{~nm})$ | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO (69.6) | 0.0868 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}(2.33 \mathrm{eV}, 531 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (12.5) } \\ & \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (68.5) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0093 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{3}(2.42 \mathrm{eV}, 510 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOMO-4 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (11.7) } \\ & \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (68.1) } \\ & \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (12.5) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0003 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{4}(2.76 \mathrm{eV}, 447 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (11.9) } \\ & \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0028 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{5}(2.86 \mathrm{eV}, 432 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOMO-8 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (11.8) } \\ & \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (68.1) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.2072 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}(1.77 \mathrm{eV}, 699 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (14.6) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (67.9) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{2}(1.96 \mathrm{eV}, 631 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (41.7) } \\ & \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 } \end{aligned}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{3}(2.23 \mathrm{eV}, 554 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-5 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (16.1) } \\ \text { HOMO-4 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (13.4) } \\ \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (23.1) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (54.4) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (14.4) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{4}(2.27 \mathrm{eV}, 545 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-5 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (12.9) } \\ \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (31.3) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (26.2) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (31.9) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (38.5) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{5}(2.53 \mathrm{eV}, 488 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-4 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (10.5) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (2.3) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (76.1) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+3 (5.1) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (31.9) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+2 (38.5) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |

Table S5. Excited state properties of $(\mathrm{BOPy})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ obtained from TD-DFT calculations carried out at the ground state $\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}\right)$ geometry.

| Complex | State ( $E, \lambda$ ) | Dominant excitations | Oscillator strength | Character |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{1}(2.14 \mathrm{eV}, 578 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO (76.1) | 0.0916 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{2}(2.48 \mathrm{eV}, 498 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1 (69.0) | 0.0066 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{3}(2.57 \mathrm{eV}, 481 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO (96.6) | 0.0005 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{4}(2.69 \mathrm{eV}, 460 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+2 (69.6) | 0.0156 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{5}(2.86 \mathrm{eV}, 433 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+3 (69.7) | 0.0000 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{1}(1.87 \mathrm{eV}, 661 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1(17.5) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (67.4) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{2}(2.07 \mathrm{eV}, \\ 598 \mathrm{~nm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (39.9) } \\ & \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (56.1) } \end{aligned}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{3}(2.49 \mathrm{eV}, 496 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (11.2) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (54.8) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (39.5) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{4}(2.50 \mathrm{eV}, 494 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (14.4) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (38.7) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (13.1) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+2 (53.4) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{5}(2.71 \mathrm{eV}, 456 \\ \mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-7 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (18.3) } \\ \text { HOMO-6 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (18.3) } \\ \text { HOMO-4 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (38.5) } \\ \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (20.7) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (36.3) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |

Table S6. Excited state properties of (piq) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ obtained from TD-DFT calculations carried out at the ground state $\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}\right)$ geometry.

| Complex | State ( $E, \lambda$ ) | Dominant excitations | Oscillator strength | Character |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}{\hat{E}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}(2.14 \mathrm{eV}, 579 \mathrm{~nm})$ | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO (70.1) | 0.0560 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}(2.51 \mathrm{eV}, 493 \mathrm{~nm})$ | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1 (72.6) | 0.0003 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{3}(2.76 \mathrm{eV}, 449 \mathrm{~nm})$ | HOMO-5 $\rightarrow$ LUMO (10.1) <br> HOMO-4 $\rightarrow$ LUMO (11.0) <br> HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO (68.3) | 0.0415 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{4}(2.77 \mathrm{eV}, 446 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (31.6) } \\ & \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (62.3) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0007 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{5}(3.10 \mathrm{eV}, 398 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-4 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (34.3) } \\ \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (54.1) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (8.6) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0110 | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}(1.90 \mathrm{eV}, 650 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1(10.9) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (15.0) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (19.3) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (63.9) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{2}(2.11 \mathrm{eV}, 585 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (24.2) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (38.8) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (34.6) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (36.4) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{3}(2.16 \mathrm{eV}, 573 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (17.6) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (20.8) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (56.4) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (26.3) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{4}(2.38 \mathrm{eV}, 519 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (12.7) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (16.4) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (28.7) } \\ \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (58.5) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{5}(2.68 \mathrm{eV}, 461 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (48.0) } \\ \text { HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (47.4) } \\ \text { HOMO-1 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+1 (12.0) } \end{gathered}$ | triplet | ILCT/MLCT/LLCT |



Figure S11 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1}$


Figure S12 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1}$


Figure S13 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of compound 2


Figure S14 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of compound 2


Figure $\mathbf{S 1 5}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of BO-Bpin


Figure S16 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of BO-Bpin


Figure $\mathbf{S 1 7}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of free ligand BOiqnH


Figure S18 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of free ligand BOiqnH


Figure $\mathbf{S 1 9}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of free ligand BOPyH


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 0}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of free ligand BOPyH


Figure S21 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of (BOiqn) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $(\mathrm{BOPy}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$


Figure S23 MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of (BOiqn) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$


Figure S24 MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of $(\mathrm{BOPy})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 5}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of (piq) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 6}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $(\mathrm{piq})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$


[^0]:    ${ }^{a} \mathrm{H}$ and L denote molecular orbitals (MO) HOMO and LUMO, respectively. ${ }^{b} E_{\text {cal }}$ and $\lambda_{\text {cal }}$ represent calculated excitation energies and corresponding wavelengths, data in parentheses are the contributions of corresponding excitations.

