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## Materials and Synthesis

Compound a was synthesized according to the literature, ${ }^{[1]}$ and the synthesis procedure of FC8-0F, FC8-1F, FC8-2F and FC8-2Cl can be concluded in Scheme 1.
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Scheme 1. The synthesis routes of FC8-0F, FC8-1F, FC8-2F and FC8-2Cl.

## Synthetic route of FC8-0F

Compound a ( $100.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-(3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1ylidene)malononitrile ( $78.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 10 mL of chloroform, and then 0.25 mL of pyridine was added into the mixture. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction solution was diluted with 20 mL PE and the solution was directly purified by silica gel column using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{PE}(1: 2$ to $1: 1)$ as eluent. The product FC8-0F was obtained as dark green solid ( $40.21 \mathrm{mg}, 31.84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta=8.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.74 (p, J = $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.30 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (s, 2H), 6.87 (t, J = 8.7 Hz , 2H), 6.38 (dd, J = 9.1, 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.12 (p, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), $2.97-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$ (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), $1.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.57(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 148.97$, 148.43, $147.36,146.60,141.51,140.06,139.23,137.14,136.77,136.67,135.27,134.57$, $133.17,131.56,125.33,123.85,122.86,121.80,115.66,115.36,114.75,114.65$, $77.22,50.94,34.25,31.79,30.76,29.41,29.33,27.08,25.99,25.22,24.15,22.93$ , 22.62, 14.11 .

## Synthetic route of FC8-1F

Compound a ( $140.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-(5-fluoro-3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1ylidene)malononitrile ( $120.4 .9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 10 mL of chloroform, and then 0.2 mL of pyridine was added into the mixture. The reaction was stirred at
room temperature for 0.5 h . The reaction solution was diluted with 20 mL PE and the solution was directly purified by silica gel column using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{PE}(1: 2$ to $1: 1)$ as eluent. The product FC8-1F was obtained as dark green solid ( $53.5 \mathrm{mg}, 29.73 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.68$ (d, J = $17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.87 (dd, J = 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 1H), $7.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 147.35,142.99$ , $121.83,115.69,114.69,77.22,50.95,34.25,31.79,30.77,29.40,29.33,27.07$, $25.99,25.22,24.15,22.92,22.62,14.11$.

## Synthetic route of FC8-2F

Compound a ( $315.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-(5,6-difluoro-3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ylidene)malononitrile ( $293.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 15 mL of chloroform, and then 0.2 mL of pyridine was added into the mixture. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The reaction solution was diluted with 20 mL PE and the solution was directly purified by silica gel column using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{PE}(1: 2$ to 1:1) as eluent. The product FC8-2F was obtained as dark green solid ( $316.8 \mathrm{mg}, 74.81 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63$ (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), $7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37$ (dd, J = 9.2, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.61$ (m, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 149.28,149.10,147.34,141.88,139.52$ , $137.49,136.83,132.98,131.86,130.43,121.87,115.71,115.41,114.83,114.72$ , $77.21,50.96,34.24,31.78,30.78,29.39,29.32,27.07,26.01,25.21,24.14$, $22.92,22.61,14.10$.

## Synthetic route of FC8-2Cl

Compound a ( $100.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-(5,6-dichloro-3-oxo-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -inden-1-ylidene)malononitrile ( $106.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 10 mL of chloroform, and then 0.2 mL of pyridine was added into the mixture. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h . The reaction solution was diluted with 20 mL PE
and the solution was directly purified by silica gel column using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{PE}(1: 2$ to 1:1) as eluent. The product FC8-2Cl was obtained as light green solid ( $30.2 \mathrm{mg}, 21.47 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.75$ (s, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.57 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.12 (p, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 8 H ), $1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.48(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 149.15,147.35,142.97,142.07,139.93,139.66,139.61,138.68$, $137.65,137.38,135.86,133.24,132.02,130.39,126.99,125.26,121.89,115.72$, $115.42,114.88,114.78,114.04,70.31,51.00,34.23,31.78,30.80,29.39,29.31$, $27.08,26.05,25.21,24.13,22.93,22.60,14.09$.

## Measurements and Instruments

Current density/voltage ( $J-V$ ) measurements were performed in the AM 1.5 G spectrum using an Enlitech SS-F5-3A solar simulator. External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra were measured using the Enlitech QE-R3011 quantum efficiency measurement system. Photo-carrier extraction by linearly increasing voltage (Photo-CELIV) measurement was conducted using the Fluxim AG Paios all-in-one characterization platform. Sensitive EQE (s-EQE) spectra were recorded using the Enlitech PECT-600 integrated system. Electroluminescence quantum efficiency ( $\mathrm{EQE}_{\mathrm{EL}}$ ) spectra were measured using an Enlitech ELCT-3010. The effective voltage is obtained by subtracting the built-in voltage ( $V_{\mathrm{bi}}$ ) from the applied voltage ( $V_{\text {app }}$ ), $\mathrm{V}=V_{\text {app }}-V_{\mathrm{bi}}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker Fourier 300, Avance 400 and Avance III 400 HD spectrometers. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDITOF) mass spectra were acquired on a Bruker Reflex II-TOF spectrometer. Absorption spectra were measured with a Hitachi UH5300 spectrophotometer. Electrochemical cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were performed using a standard three-electrode system in 0.1 M Bu4NPF6 in MeCN solution, while scanning at $0.1 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ under an argon atmosphere at room temperature using a CH Instruments CHI650D electrochemical workstation rate. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 software. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) images were acquired using a Bruker Multimode 8 AFM microscope. Space charge limited current (SCLC) hole and electron mobility equipped with

ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDB-TF:Acceptor/Au device structure for hole mobility, and ITO/ZnO/PBDB-TF:Acceptor/Al for electron mobility for the Mott-Gurney equation.

## The fabrication of OSCs

OSCs fabricated with a conventional structure of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDB-TF:NFEAs/PFN$\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Al}$. The ITO-coated glass was washed with deionized water/detergent, deionized water, acetone and ethanol in sequence for 15 minutes. Later, the ITO-coated glass was treated under the ultraviolet-ozone condition for 20 min . After that, PEDOT:PSS (Heraeus Clevios ${ }^{\text {TM }} \mathrm{AI}$ 4083) was spin coated onto the glass at 3000 r.p.m for 40 s and processed by thermal annealing at $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . To make PBDB-TF dissolved fully, the blends of PBDB-TF: NFEAs (1:1, $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) was dissolved in chloroform (CF) at a total concentration of $16 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ and stirred for 2 hours at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Next, adding $0.5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) 1,8$ - diiodooctane (DIO) as additive into the host solution CF prior to the spin-coating treatment. Afterwards, the blend solution was spin-coated and thermal annealed at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Subsequently, $\mathrm{PFN}-\mathrm{Br}$ was spin-coated on the active layer at 3000 r.p.m. Finally, the OSC was finished by evaporating 100 -nm- thick Al under high vacuum (about $2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~Pa}$ ).

## Supporting Figures



PBDB-TF
Fig. S1. Chemical structure of the donor PBDB-TF.


Fig. S2. DFT calculation for simplified models of NFREAs at B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) level.


Fig. S3. Normalized UV-Vis absorption spectrum of FC8-0F, FC8-1F, FC8-2F and FC8-2Cl in CF.


Fig. S4. a) electron mobilities and b) hole mobilities of PBDB-TF:NFREAs blend films.


Fig. S5. Normalized EQE and EL spectra for a) PBDB-TF:FC8-0F, b) PBDB-TF:FC81F, c) PBDB-TF:FC8-2F and d) PBDB-TF:FC8-2Cl.


Fig. S6. EQE $_{\text {EL }}$ spectrum of PBDB-TF:FC8-0F, PBDB-TF:FC8-1F, PBDB-TF:FC8-2F and PBDB-TF:FC8-2Cl based devices.


Fig. S7. a-h) AFM height and phase images for PBDB-TF:FC8-0F-, PBDB-TF:FC8-1F-, PBDB-TF:FC8-2F- and PBDB-TF:FC8-2Cl- blend films.


Fig. S8. The contact angles of PBDB-TF, FC8-0F, FC8-1F, FC8-2F and FC8-2Cl on water and glycerol.


Fig. S9. a-d) 2D GIWAXS patterns for FC8-0F, FC8-1F, FC8-2F and FC8-2Cl pristine films.
e) Extracted 1D GIWAXS profiles of the four pristine films.


Fig S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{FC} 8-0 \mathrm{~F}$.


Fig S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{FC} 8-1 \mathrm{~F}$.


Fig S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{FC} 8-2 \mathrm{~F}$.


Fig S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{FC} 8-2 \mathrm{Cl}$.


Fig. S14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{FC} 8-0 \mathrm{~F}$.


Fig. S15. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{FC} 8-1 \mathrm{~F}$.


Fig. S16. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of FC8-2F.


Fig. S17. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{FC} 8-2 \mathrm{Cl}$.


Fig. S18. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of FC8-0F.


Fig. S19. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of FC8-1F.


Fig. S20. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of FC8-2F.


Fig. S21. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of FC8-2Cl.

Table S1. The devices optimization based on ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDB-TF:FC8$2 \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{PFN}-\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Al}$ by different solvents.

| Solvent | $V_{\mathrm{OC}}$ <br> $[\mathrm{V}]$ | $J_{\mathrm{SC}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right]$ | FF <br> $[\%]$ | PCE <br> $[\%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF | 0.753 | 23.47 | 71.32 | 12.61 |
| CB | 0.714 | 17.96 | 70.22 | 9.01 |
| Tol | 0.715 | 18.19 | 71.98 | 9.36 |
| $o-\mathrm{XY}$ | 0.708 | 20.64 | 71.09 | 10.40 |

Table S2. The devices optimization based on ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDB-TF:FC8-
$2 \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{PFN}-\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Al}$ by D/A ratios.

| D/A ratio | $V_{\mathrm{OC}}$ <br> $[\mathrm{V}]$ | $J_{\mathrm{SC}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right]$ | FF <br> $[\%]$ | PCE <br> $[\%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1: 0.8$ | 0.751 | 23.28 | 71.64 | 12.52 |
| $1: 1$ | 0.754 | 23.00 | 73.04 | 12.67 |
| $1: 1.2$ | 0.749 | 23.98 | 69.32 | 12.46 |
| $1: 1.5$ | 0.752 | 23.31 | 69.44 | 12.18 |

Table S3. The devices optimization based on ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDB-TF:FC8-
$2 \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{PFN}-\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Al}$ by different additives.

| Additive | $V_{\mathrm{OC}}$ <br> $[\mathrm{V}]$ | $J_{\mathrm{SC}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right]$ | FF <br> $[\%]$ | PCE <br> $[\%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIO | 0.756 | 22.92 | 73.26 | 12.70 |
| CN | 0.741 | 23.31 | 70.69 | 12.20 |
| DPE | 0.771 | 23.73 | 69.31 | 12.67 |

Table S4. The devices optimization based on ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDB-TF:FC8$2 \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{PFN}-\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Al}$ by different additive contents.

| Additive <br> Content | $V_{\mathrm{OC}}$ <br> $[\mathrm{V}]$ | $J_{\mathrm{SC}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right]$ | FF <br> $[\%]$ | PCE <br> $[\%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.2 \%$ | 0.764 | 24.26 | 68.75 | 12.74 |
| $0.5 \%$ | 0.750 | 23.99 | 72.84 | 13.11 |
| $1 \%$ | 0.748 | 21.81 | 72.18 | 11.78 |

Table S5. The devices optimization based on ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDB-TF:FC8$2 \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{PFN}-\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Al}$ by different annealing conditions.

| Annealing <br> condition | $V_{\mathrm{OC}}$ <br> $[\mathrm{V}]$ | $J_{\mathrm{SC}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right]$ | FF <br> $[\%]$ | PCE <br> $[\%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| none | 0.767 | 22.65 | 66.53 | 11.55 |
| $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10$ min | 0.768 | 22.77 | 67.62 | 11.83 |
| $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \min$ | 0.756 | 24.03 | 73.05 | 13.28 |
| $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \min$ | 0.760 | 22.67 | 69.70 | 12.00 |

Table S6. The contact angles of PBDB-TF, FC8-0F, FC8-1F, FC8-2F and FC8-2Cl on water and glycerol.

| Material | $\theta_{\text {water }}$ | $\theta_{\text {glycerol }}$ | $\gamma\left(\mathrm{mN} \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)$ | $\chi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FC8-0F | 93.6 | 81.6 | 22.52 | 0.19 |
| FC8-1F | 92.7 | 78.2 | 26.42 | 0.69 |
| FC8-2F | 91.4 | 75.1 | 29.95 | 1.35 |
| FC8-2Cl | 93.6 | 76.9 | 29.51 | 1.26 |
| PBDB-TF | 102.2 | 89.8 | 18.58 | - |
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