

Supplementary Materials

Effect of non-intrinsic factors on pulse discharge and energy releasing performance of dielectric ceramics

Haochen Xie¹, Yongping Pu^{1,2,*}, Yangchao Shang¹, Lei Zhang², Bo Wang², Yuxing Hao²

¹School of Electronic Information and Artificial Intelligence, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, Shaanxi, China

²School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, China

* Corresponding author: Yongping Pu;

E-mail address: pypelectroceramic@sust.edu.cn

As show the Table S1, the test equipment type, samples size and resistance are different in different paper. And even, the mostly information is missing in several papers.

Table S1. Charge-discharge condition and $t_{0.9}$ of recently developed energy storage ceramics

Ref	Composition	Equipment type	Samples Size	Over-damped resistance(Ω)	P_{\max}	$W_{\text{dis-max}}$	$t_{0.9}$
1	(1-x)SrTiO ₃ - x(0.93Bi _{0.5} Na _{0.5} TiO ₃ - 0.07Ba _{0.94} Sm _{0.04} Zr _{0.02} Ti 0.98O ₃)	CPR1701-100, PloyK, USA	none	1000	none	1.1J/cm ³ (1 50kV/cm)	0.18 μ s
2	(1- x)(0.75Na _{0.5} Bi _{0.5} TiO ₃ - 0.25SrTiO ₃)- xAg(Nb _{0.85} Ta _{0.15})O ₃	Self-built	none	628.5	none	0.16J/cm ³ (10kV/cm)	340ns
3	(1- x)(0.65Bi _{0.5} Na _{0.5} TiO ₃ - 0.35Bi _{0.1} Sr _{0.85} TiO ₃)- x(K _{0.5} Na _{0.5} NbO ₃)	Self-built	none	208	none	1.21J/cm ³ (120MV/m)	1.01 μ s

4	$(1-x)(0.6\text{Bi}_{0.5}\text{Na}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3-0.4\text{Sr}_{0.7}\text{Bi}_{0.2}\text{TiO}_3)-x\text{AgNbO}_3$	Tongguo instruments technology, CFD-001	Thickness: 0.2mm Diameter: 2mm	300	none	$1.50\text{J}/\text{cm}^3(120\text{kV}/\text{cm})$	194ns
5	$0.9(\text{Sr}_{0.7}\text{Bi}_{0.2})\text{TiO}_3-0.1\text{Bi}(\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Me}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ (Me = Ti, Zr, and Hf)	CRP1701, PolyK	none	2000	none	$1.0\text{J}/\text{cm}^3(160\text{kV}/\text{cm})$	$1.25\mu\text{s}$
6	0.95SBKT–0.05NN	none	none	102	$54.1\text{MW}/\text{cm}^3$	$2.1\text{J}/\text{cm}^3(220\text{kV}/\text{cm})$	$0.33\mu\text{s}$
7	$0.98(\text{BNT-ST})-0.02\text{BaBi}_2\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_9$	Tongguo instruments technology, CFD-001	none	200	$30.57\text{MW}/\text{cm}^3$	$0.72\text{J}/\text{cm}^3(120\text{kV}/\text{cm})$	$0.3\mu\text{s}$
8	$0.9\text{BaTiO}_3-0.1(\text{Bi}_{0.9}\text{Na}_{0.1})(\text{In}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.2})\text{O}_3$	none	none	270	none	$0.46\text{J}/\text{cm}^3(100\text{kV}/\text{cm})$	$0.19\mu\text{s}$
This work	$0.55\text{Bi}_{0.5}\text{Na}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3-0.45\text{Ba}_{0.85}\text{Ca}_{0.15}\text{Ti}_{0.85}\text{Zr}_{0.15}\text{Sn}_{0.05}\text{O}_3$	Tongguo instruments technology, CFD-003	Thickness: 0.3mm Diameter: 4mm	100	$17.5\text{MW}/\text{cm}^3$	$0.23\text{J}/\text{cm}^3(100\text{kV}/\text{cm})$	143ns

References

1. A. Jan, H. Liu, H. Hao, Z. Yao, M. Emmanuel, W. Pan, A. Ullah, A. Manan, A. Ullah, M. Cao and A. S. Ahmad, *J. Alloys Compd.* **830** (2020).
2. T. Li, P. Chen, F. Li and C. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.* **406** (2021).
3. Z. Pan, D. Hu, Y. Zhang, J. Liu, B. Shen and J. Zhai, *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **7** (14), 4072-4078 (2019).
4. X. Qiao, D. Wu, F. Zhang, B. Chen, X. Ren, P. Liang, H. Du, X. Chao and Z. Yang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **7** (34), 10514-10520 (2019).
5. X. Kong, L. Yang, Z. Cheng and S. Zhang, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **103** (3), 1722-1731 (2019).
6. P. Zhao, Z. Fang, X. Zhang, J. Chen, Y. Shen, X. Zhang, Q. An, C. Yang, X. Gao, S. Zhang and B. Tang, *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* **13** (21), 24833-24855 (2021).
7. L. Zheng, P. Sun, P. Zheng, W. Bai, L. Li, F. Wen, J. Zhang, N. Wang and Y. Zhang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **9** (15), 5234-5243 (2021).
8. F. Li, M. Zhou, J. Zhai, B. Shen and H. Zeng, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **38** (14), 4646-4652 (2018).