## Supporting Information for

## Theoretical Insights into the Two-Dimensional Gallium Oxide Monolayer for Adsorption and Gas Sensing of C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>7</sub>N Decomposition Products

Rong Han,<sup>†a</sup> Zhaofu Zhang,<sup>†b</sup> Wei Liu,<sup>c</sup> Fengxiang Ma,<sup>c</sup> Hailing Guo,<sup>a</sup> Zhuo Jiang,<sup>a</sup> Xuhao Wan,<sup>a</sup>

Anyang Wang,<sup>a</sup> Chao Yuan,<sup>b</sup> Wenjun Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Yu Zheng<sup>\*a</sup> and Yuzheng Guo<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Electrical Engineering and Automation, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China

<sup>b</sup> The Institute of Technological Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China

° China State Grid Anhui Electric Power Research Institute, Hefei, 230601, Cdhina

*†* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: zywhuee@whu.edu.cn (Yu Zheng), yguo@whu.edu.cn (Yuzheng Guo).

**This PDF file includes:** Figure S1 to S21 Table S1



**Fig. S1** Variations of temperature and energy *versus* the MD simulation time at (a) 300 K and (b) 500 K for pristine 2D  $Ga_2O_3$ . Atomic structures of 2D  $Ga_2O_3$  after 10 ps AIMD simulation at (c) 300 K and (d) 500 K and (e-f) further geometry relaxation.



**Fig. S2** Optimized atomic structures of (a)  $CF_4$ , (b)  $C_2F_6$ , (c)  $C_3F_8$ , (d)  $C_2F_4$ , (e)  $C_3F_6$ , (f)  $C_2N_2$ , (g)  $C_2F_3N$ , (h)  $C_3F_5N$ , (i)  $C_4F_7N$ , (j)  $COF_2$ .

.



**Fig. S3** Band structures of (a) pristine  $Ga_2O_3$ , and  $Ga_2O_3$  adsorbed by (b)  $CF_4$ , (c)  $C_2F_6$ , (d)  $C_3F_8$ , (e)  $C_2F_4$ , (f)  $C_3F_6$ , (g)  $C_2N_2$ , (h)  $C_2F_3N$ , (i)  $C_3F_5N$ , (j)  $C_4F_7N$ , and (k)  $COF_2$ . The black dashed lines represent the  $E_F$ .



**Fig. S4** Work function of (a) pristine  $Ga_2O_3$ , and  $Ga_2O_3$  adsorbed by (b)  $CF_4$ , (c)  $C_2F_4$ , (d)  $C_2F_6$ , (e)  $C_2F_3N$ , (f)  $C_3F_6$ .



Fig. S5 Work function of  $Ga_2O_3$  adsorbed by (a)  $C_3F_8$ , (b)  $C_3F_5N$ , (c)  $COF_2$ , (d)  $C_2N_2$  and (e)  $C_4F_7N$ .



**Fig. S6** Variations of temperature and energy *versus* the AIMD simulation time at (a) 300 K and (b) 500 K for  $C_2F_4@Ga_2O_3$ . Atomic structures of  $C_2F_4@Ga_2O_3$  after 10 ps AIMD simulation at (c) 300 K and (d) 500 K and (e-f) further geometry relaxation.



**Fig. S7** Variations of temperature and energy *versus* the AIMD simulation time at (a) 300 K and (b) 500 K for  $C_3F_6@Ga_2O_3$ . Atomic structures of  $C_3F_6@Ga_2O_3$  after 10 ps AIMD simulation at (c) 300 K and (d) 500 K and (e-f) further geometry relaxation.



Fig. S8 The highest occupied orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied orbital (LUMO) of (a)  $C_2F_4$ , (b)  $C_3F_6$ , (c)  $C_4F_8$ , (d)  $C_5F_{10}$ .



**Fig. S9** Orbital-resolved band structures of  $C_x F_{2x} @Ga_2O_3$ . The black dashed lines represent the  $E_F$ .



**Fig. S10** Atomic structures of (a)  $C_4F_8$  and (b)  $C_5F_{10}$ . PDOS of (c)  $C_4F_8@Ga_2O_3$  and (d)  $C_5F_{10}@Ga_2O_3$  contributed by C and N atoms. The black dashed lines represent the  $E_F$ .



Fig. S11 Schematic illustration of applying compressive and tensile biaxial strain to pristine  $Ga_2O_3$  and  $gas@Ga_2O_3$ .



**Fig. S12** Band structures of pristine  $Ga_2O_3$  under biaxial strain. The black dashed lines represent the  $E_F$ .



Fig. S13 Strain-induced work function of pristine  $Ga_2O_3$  and  $C_3F_6@Ga_2O_3$ .



Fig. S14 Work function of pristine Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> under biaxial strain.



**Fig. S15** PDOS of pristine  $C_3F_6@Ga_2O_3$  under biaxial strain. The black dashed lines represent the  $E_F$ .



**Fig. S16** Band structures of  $C_3F_6@Ga_2O_3$  under biaxial strain. The black dashed lines represent the  $E_F$ .



**Fig. S17** Local atomic configuration of the  $C_3F_6@Ga_2O_3$  with lattice strain from -6% to +6%. The atoms are color-coded by the displacement in *z* direction with the reference of the unstrained  $C_3F_6@Ga_2O_3$  systems. The -6% and +6% cases are the same in Fig. 6 in the main paper.



Fig. S18 Work function of  $C_3F_6(a)Ga_2O_3$  under biaxial strain.



Fig. S19 Adsorption energy versus the applied biaxial strain for  $C_2F_4@Ga_2O_3$ .



Fig. S20 Work function of (a)  $O_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$ , (c)  $H_2O$  and (d)  $N_2$  adsorbed  $Ga_2O_3$ .



**Fig. S21** Band structures of (a)  $O_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$ , (c)  $H_2O$  and (d)  $N_2$  adsorbed  $Ga_2O_3$ . The black dashed lines represent the  $E_F$ .

System	$E_{\rm ad}({\rm eV})$	$H(\text{\AA})$	$\Delta Q(e)$	$\tau(s)$	$\Phi\left(\mathrm{eV}\right)$
O <sub>2</sub>	-1.25	2.66	0.0157	9.83×10 <sup>8</sup>	7.54
$CO_2$	-0.15	2.95	-0.0008	3.30×10 <sup>-10</sup>	8.60
$H_2O$	-0.32	1.82	0.0112	2.37×10-7	8.64
N <sub>2</sub>	-0.16	2.79	0.0096	4.86×10 <sup>-10</sup>	8.60

**Table S1** Calculated adsorption energy  $(E_{ad})$ , adsorption height (H), charge transfer ( $\Delta Q$ ), recovery time  $(\tau)$ , and work function  $(\Phi)$  of all adsorption systems.