## Supporting Information

## Polymerization-Induced Clusteroluminescence of Poly(cyclic carbonate)s

Bin Liu, ${ }^{* a, b}$ Genghong Huang, ${ }^{a}$ Hu-liang Lu, ${ }^{c}$ Kang Chen, ${ }^{c}$ Zishan Yan, ${ }^{c}$ Ya-Ling Wang, ${ }^{a}$ Bo Chu, ${ }^{b}$ Fu-de Ren, ${ }^{e}$ Yongzhen Yang, ${ }^{\text {c,d }}$ and Xing-Hong Zhang**

${ }^{\text {a }}$ School of Energy and Power Engineering, North University of China, Taiyuan 030051, P. R. China. E-mail: liubin@nuc.edu.cn ${ }^{\text {b }}$ MOE Key Laboratory of Macromolecular Synthesis and Functionalization, Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China. E-mail: xhzhang@zju.edu.cn
cMOE Key Laboratory of Interface Science and Engineering in Advanced Materials, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, P. R. China.
dShanxi-Zheda Institute of Advanced Materials and Chemical Engineering, Taiyuan 030032, P. R. China.
eSchool of Chemical Engineering and Technology, North University of China, Taiyuan 030051, P. R. China.

## Experimental section

Materials: vinylene carbonate (VC, 99\%, J\&K) and vinylethylene carbonate (VEC, 99\%, J\&K) were purified by vacuum distillation. 2, 2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 99\%, J\&K) was obtained by recrystallization. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), dioxane, propylene carbonate (PC) and ethylene carbonate (EC) were spectrally pure and purchased from Aladdin. Deionized water was used in all the experiments.

Characterization: photoluminescence (PL) spectra were collected from an FS5 spectrometer with a 150 W xenon arc excitation source. The fluorescence decay curve was recorded on an Instruments FLS980 transient spectrometer. Absolute quantum yields $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ were obtained by integrating sphere with an excitation wavelength ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ ) of 360 nm . Proton ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ) and Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy spectra ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) were performed on a Bruker Advance DMX 400 MHz instrument. The number-averaged molecular weight $\left(M_{n}\right)$ and molecular weight distribution ( $\Xi=M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) were determined using a Waters 2414 refractive index (RI) detection system equipped with a Waters 1525 isocratic HPLC pump. The gel permeation chromatography (GPC) columns were eluted with dimethylformamide with 1.0
$\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample concentration was $0.4 \mathrm{wt} \%$, and the injection volume was $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Calibration was performed using monodisperse polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) standards. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) tests were conducted on a TA Instruments TA-Q200 instrument (New Castle, DE) at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, and the data from the second heating curve was collected. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a PerkinElmer Pyris TMS 1 thermogravimetric analyzer from room temperature to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The morphologies of fibers, microspheres and sponges were studied by a Zeiss LEO 1530 (Gemini, Germany) scanning electron microscope (SEM). The diameter of the microspheres was measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) at a scattering angle $\theta=90^{\circ}$ as a function of temperature using a 90 Plus Particle Size Analyzer. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy was performed on a Bruker TENSOR 27 spectrometer.

Synthesis of poly(vinylene carbonate) (PVC): PVC with high $M_{n}$ was prepared by bulk polymerization of VC. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{VC}(3 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and AIBN ( $29.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were transferred into a 20 mL three-necked flask and reacted at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.4 min under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Then DMF was added into the three-necked flask to dissolve the crude product. The resulting PVC was purified by three precipitations in excess methanol followed by vacuum drying at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to constant weight. The $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $Đ$ of PVC are 1436.7 KDa and 2.0, respectively.

PVC with relatively low $M_{n}$ was prepared by solution polymerization of VC. VC ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 39.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AIBN $(64.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dioxane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were transferred into a 20 mL three-necked flask and reacted at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The crude PVC dioxane solution was precipitated in excess methanol and washed in methanol for three times. Finally, the pure white PVC powder was vacuum-dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to constant weight. The $M_{n}$ and $Đ$ of PVC are 8.3 KDa and 1.7, respectively. The $M_{n}$ of PVC can be controlled by adjusting the ratio of VC and AIBN. When the mole ratio of VC and AIBN is 220:1, 40:1 and 30:1, the corresponding $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\emptyset$ of PVC are $18.8 \mathrm{KDa} / 1.8,3.3 \mathrm{KDa} / 1.6$ and $2.5 \mathrm{KDa} / 1.3$, respectively.

Preparation of PVC electrospun fibers: a series of PVC/DMF solutions with different concentration ( $25 \mathrm{wt} \%$, $27 \mathrm{wt} \%, 29 \mathrm{wt} \%, 31 \mathrm{wt} \%, 33 \mathrm{wt} \%, 35 \mathrm{wt} \%)$ were prepared. Then, the solution was loaded into a syringe
capped with a metal needle (diameter: 0.6 mm ). The feed rate of $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ was controlled by a syringe pump. Continuous electrospun fibers were deposited on tin foil after applying a positive DC voltage of 19.9 kV to the syringe needle. The tip-to-collector distance was about 25 cm . After electrospinning, the fibers were vacuum-dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to constant weight.

Preparation of PVC electrospray microspheres: PVC/DMF solutions with a concentration of $15 \mathrm{wt} \%$ was formulated and loaded into a syringe capped with a metal needle (diameter: 0.6 mm ). The feed rate of 0.8 $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{h}{ }^{-1}$ was controlled by a syringe pump. The metal needle was connected to a positive DC voltage of 19.9 kV and positioned about 10 cm above the water surface.

Preparation of PVC sponge: a high concentration PVC/DMF solution was prepared by dissolving pure PVC was in DMF, and precipitated dropwise in excess methanol. Owing to the large $M_{n}$, cotton-like white flocculent PVC was precipitated. After being fully stirred and filtered in a Buchner funnel, dispersed in water and dried in vacuum, PVC sponge was prepared.

Synthesis of poly(vinylethylene carbonate) (PVEC): VEC ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 21.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AIBN (12.1 mg, 0.074 mmol ) were transferred into a 10 mL three-necked flask and reacted at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. ${ }^{2}$ The resulting PVEC was purified by precipitations three times in excess methanol followed by vacuum drying at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to constant weight. The $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $Đ$ of PVEC are 8.5 KDa and 2.0 , respectively.

Computational details: all geometric optimizations were performed using the TD-DFT method at the B3LYP/6-31(d) level using the Gaussian 09 procedure. The distances of oxygen atoms in the polymers were determined using Gaussian view 6.0.

## Results and discussion



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PVC.


Figure S2. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of PVC.


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PVEC.
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Figure S4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of PVEC.


Figure S5. GPC curve of PVEC.


Figure S6. DSC curves of $\operatorname{PVEC}\left(M_{n}=8.5 \mathrm{KDa}, ~ Ð=2.0\right)$ and $\operatorname{PVC}\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}=1436.7 \mathrm{KDa}, ~ Ð=2.0\right)$.


Figure S7. TGA curves of $\operatorname{PVEC}\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}=8.5 \mathrm{KDa}, ~ Ð=2.0\right)$ and $\operatorname{PVC}\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}=1436.7 \mathrm{KDa}, ~ Ð=2.0\right)$.


Figure S8. Photographs of EC, VC and VEC taken in daylight (left) and under 365 nm UV irradiation (right).


Figure S9. PL spectra of pure EC at different $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$.


Figure S10. UV-vis absorption spectra of EC in DMSO (5.0 M).


Figure S11. PL spectra of pure DMSO at different $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$.


Figure S12. UV-vis absorption spectra of PVEC/DMSO solutions with different concentrations.


Figure S13. (A-E) PL spectra of PVEC/DMSO solution with different concentrations at different $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$. (F) Plots of PL emission peak and quantum yield versus concentration for PVEC/DMSO solutions ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=360 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: photographs of PVEC/DMSO solutions with different concentrations in daylight and under 365 nm UV light.





Figure S14. GPC curves in full range of PVC.
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Figure S15. Theoretical calculations based on single polymer chains of PVEC with 10, 15, 20 constitutional units at (TD-DFT) B3LYP/6-31g(d) level. HOMO: highest occupied molecular orbital, LUMO: lowest unoccupied molecular orbital.


Figure S16. The digital photos of PVC fibers (A), microspheres (B) and sponges (C).


Figure S17. SEM photographs of PVC fibers electrospun from PVC/DMF solutions with different concentrations.


Figure S18. SEM photographs of PVC fibers electrospun from a $31 w t \%$ PVC/DMF solution.


Figure S19. Schematic diagram of electrospray.


Figure S20. The hydrodynamic diameter of PVC microspheres in water measured by DLS at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (average diameter: $0.994 \mu \mathrm{~m})$.

Table S1. Summary of elemental analysis of PVC and PVEC.

| Sample | C (\%) | $\mathrm{H}(\%)$ | O (calculated, \%) | Molecular |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PVC-2.5KDa | 37.48 | 24.97 | 37.55 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| PVC-3.3KDa | 37.50 | 25.05 | 37.45 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| PVC-8.3KDa | 37.54 | 25.01 | 37.45 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| PVC-18.8KDa | 37.49 | 24.94 | 37.57 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| PVC-1436.7KDa | 37.44 | 25.08 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |  |
| PVEC-8.5KDa | 35.73 | 42.84 | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |  |

Table S2. The calculated radiative $\left(k_{r}\right)$ and non-radiative $\left(k_{n r}\right)$ decay rates of PVC and PVEC in the solid state and DMSO solution, respectively.

|  | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}$ | $\tau(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| solid PVC | $4.5 \%$ | 4.3 | $1.05 \times 10^{7}$ | $2.22 \times 10^{8}$ |
| PVC in DMSO | $9.9 \%$ | 3.6 | $2.75 \times 10^{7}$ | $2.50 \times 10^{8}$ |
| solid PVEC | $2.8 \%$ | 5.4 | $5.18 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.80 \times 10^{8}$ |
| PVEC in DMSO | $11.7 \%$ | 4.3 | $2.72 \times 10^{7}$ | $2.05 \times 10^{8}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}=\overline{\Phi_{\mathrm{F}} / \tau,{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{knr}=\left(1-\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\right) / \tau \text {, where } \Phi_{\mathrm{F}} \text { is the fluorescence quantum yield, and } \tau \text { is the fluorescence lifetime, }}$ respectively.

Table S3. Conformational parameters of optimized model of PVC-10.


| $\mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ | distance (Å) | $\mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ | distance ( A ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}_{7} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{12}$ | 3.655 | $\mathrm{O}_{47} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{52}$ | 3.601 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{15} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{20}$ | 3.662 | $\mathrm{O}_{55} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{60}$ | 2.989 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{23} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{28}$ | 3.662 | $\mathrm{O}_{63} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{68}$ | 2.889 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{31} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{36}$ | 3.663 | $\mathrm{O}_{71} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{76}$ | 2.795 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{39} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{44}$ | 3.647 |  |  |

Table S4. Conformational parameters of optimized model of PVC-15.


Table S5. Conformational parameters of optimized model of PVC-20.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0... 0 | distance (Å) | 0... 0 | distance |
| $\mathrm{O}_{126} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{127}$ | 3.001 | $\mathrm{O}_{146} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{147}$ | 2.867 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{128} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{129}$ | 2.859 | $\mathrm{O}_{148} \ldots . \mathrm{O}_{149}$ | 2.832 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{132} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{133}$ | 2.928 | $\mathrm{O}_{152} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{153}$ | 2.866 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{134} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{135}$ | 2.961 | $\mathrm{O}_{154} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{155}$ | 3.086 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{136} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{137}$ | 2.850 | $\mathrm{O}_{156} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{157}$ | 2.829 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{138} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{139}$ | 3.037 | $\mathrm{O}_{158} . . . \mathrm{O}_{159}$ | 2.940 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{144} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{145}$ | 2.820 | $\mathrm{O}_{160} \ldots . \mathrm{O}_{161}$ | 2.835 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{126 \ldots} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{142}$ | 3.033 | $\mathrm{O}_{88} . . . \mathrm{O}_{156}$ | 2.950 |

Table S6. Conformational parameters of optimized model of PVEC-10.


| $\mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ | distance ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ | distance ( A$)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}_{15} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{27}$ | 5.043 | $\mathrm{O}_{80} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{97}$ | 4.824 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{24} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{41}$ | 4.896 | $\mathrm{O}_{94} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{111}$ | 4.903 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{38} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{55}$ | 4.889 | $\mathrm{O}_{108} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{125}$ | 4.921 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{52} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{69}$ | 4.817 | $\mathrm{O}_{122} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{143}$ | 5.106 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{66} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{83}$ | 4.873 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table S7. Conformational parameters of optimized model of PVEC-15.


Table S8. Conformational parameters of optimized model of PVEC-20.


| $\mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ | distance ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ | distance ( A ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}_{249} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{250}$ | 4.580 | $\mathrm{O}_{257} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{262}$ | 3.188 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{247} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{251}$ | 4.843 | $\mathrm{O}_{271} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{274}$ | 4.874 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{250} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{254}$ | 4.801 | $\mathrm{O}_{275} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{278}$ | 4.835 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{257} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{263}$ | 3.353 | $\mathrm{O}_{237} \ldots \mathrm{O}_{281}$ | 4.296 |
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