# A study on controlling structural topologies in coordination

# networks: solvent-directed synthesis and distinct variations

## in optical properties

Zi-Xin You,<sup>a, b†</sup> Yao Xiao,<sup>a†</sup> Qing-Lin Guan,<sup>a</sup> Ting Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Yong-Heng Xing<sup>a\*</sup> and Feng-Ying Bai <sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian City, 116029, P.R. China.

<sup>b</sup> College of Chemical Engineering, Shenyang University of Chemical Technology, Shenyang, 110142, P.R. China.

*†* The authors contribute equally to this work.

Content Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI Synthesis of Ln-CMNDI Synthesis of Ln-CMNDI-F X-ray Crystallographic Determination Table S1 Crystallographic information of Ln-CMNDI Table S2 Crystallographic information of Ln-CMNDI-F Table S3 Systre input file and output file of lcf net Table S4 Systre input file and output file of lcn topological net Table S5 Structural comparison of Ln-CMNDI and Ln-CMNDI-F Table S6 Average R.G.B. values of time-dependent photochromic photos Table S7  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions in Eu-CMNDI and Tb-CMNDI-F **Table S8** Lone pair- $\pi$  interactions in Eu-CMNDI and Tb-CMNDI-F Table S9 Comparison of quenching effect constants of Ln-CNs on nitrophenols and literature level Table S10 Comparison of limit of detection of Ln-CNs towards nitrophenols and literature level Scheme S1 Schematic diagram of Ln-CMNDI synthesis process Scheme S2 Schematic diagram of Ln-CMNDI-F synthesis process Figure. S1 Structure of Gd-CMNDI Figure. S2 Structure of Ho-CMNDI Figure. S3 Structure of Dy-CMNDI-F Figure. S4 ATR-IR spectra of H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI, Ln-CMNDI and Ln-CMNDI-F Figure. S5 UV-vis spectra of Ln-CMNDI and Ln-CMNDI Figure. S6 TG curves in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere of Ln-CMNDI Figure. S7 TG curves in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere of Ln-CMNDI-F Figure. S8 The solid state fluorescence spectra of Ln-CMNDI Figure. S9 The solid state fluorescence spectra of Ln-CMNDI-F Figure S10 R.G.B. value change of Eu-CMNDI and Eu-CMNDI-F under ultraviolet irradiation Figure. S11 The PXRD patterns of Eu-CMNDI and Eu-CMNDI-F Figure. S12 The fluorescence intensity of Eu-CMNDI and Eu-CMNDI-F in different solvents Figure. S13 Quenching recognition constant plots Figure. S14 The cycle experiment Figure. S15 PXRD patterns of Eu-CMNDI and Eu-CMNDI-F before and after sensing nitrophenols Figure. S16 Overlap of absorption spectra of Eu-CMNDI, Eu-CMNDI-F, and nitrophenols

**Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI:** The synthesis of ligands N,N'-bis(carboxymethyl)-1,4,5,8-naphthalimide (H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI) referred to the method that reported in the previous literature. <sup>1</sup>

**Synthesis of Ho-CMNDI:** The synthesis method of Ho-CMNDI is similar to that of Eu-CMNDI, and the green block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 63% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{20}H_{17}N_2O_{13}Ho$ : C, 36.49; H, 2.60; N, 4.26; Found (%): C, 36.54; H, 2.53; N, 4.28. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3323 (m), 3080 (m), 1703 (s), 1660 (s), 1578 (s), 1454 (s), 1389 (s), 1351 (s), 1243 (s), 1183 (m), 1009 (m), 919 (vw), 811 (vw), 773 (s), 722 (w).

**Synthesis of Gd-CMNDI:** The synthesis method of Gd-CMNDI is similar to that of Eu-CMNDI, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 65% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{20}H_{17}N_2O_{13}Gd$  (%): C, 36.92; H, 2.63; N, 4.31; Found (%): C, 36.86; H, 2.61; N, 4.35. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3218 (m), 3079 (m), 1703 (s), 1664 (s), 1573 (s), 1435 (s), 1395 (s), 1355 (s), 1245 (s), 1185 (m), 1010 (m), 917 (vw), 809 (vw), 774 (s), 724 (w).

**Synthesis of Tb-CMNDI:** The synthesis method of Tb-CMNDI is similar to that of Eu-CMNDI, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 61% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{20}H_{17}N_2O_{13}Tb$  (%): C, 36.83; H, 2.63; N, 4.29; Found (%): C, 36.84; H, 2.58; N, 4.35. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3234 (m), 3079 (m), 1703 (s), 1665 (s), 1575 (s), 1436 (s), 1397 (s), 1355 (s), 1245 (s), 1185 (m), 1010 (m), 917 (vw), 809 (vw), 776 (s), 723 (w).

**Synthesis of Yb-CMNDI:** The synthesis method of Yb-CMNDI is similar to that of Eu-CMNDI, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 55% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{20}H_{17}N_2O_{13}Yb$  (%): C, 36.05; H, 2.57; N, 4.20; Found (%): C, 36.08; H, 2.51; N, 4.16. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3262 (m), 3078 (m), 1703 (s), 1661 (s), 1577 (s), 1439 (s), 1394 (s), 1353 (s), 1243 (s), 1185 (m), 1009 (m), 918 (vw), 810 (vw), 773 (s), 723 (w).

**Synthesis of La-CMNDI:** The synthesis method of La-CMNDI is similar to that of Eu-CMNDI, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 55% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{20}H_{17}N_2O_{13}La$  (%): C, 37.99; H, 2.71; N, 4.43; Found (%): C, 38.00; H, 2.76; N, 4.38. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3359 (m), 3085 (m), 1704 (s), 1660 (s), 1566 (s), 1430 (s), 1393 (s), 1357 (s), 1246 (s), 1187 (m), 1012 (m), 918 (vw), 809 (vw), 777 (s), 725 (w).

**Synthesis of Dy-CMNDI-F:** The synthesis method of La-CMNDI is similar to that of Tb-CMNDI-F, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 60% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{22}H_{16}N_3O_{11}Dy$ : C, 39.98; H, 2.44; N, 6.36; Found (%): C, 39.94; H, 2.48; N, 6.36. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3366 (m), 3084 (m), 1711 (s), 1656 (s), 1580 (s), 1437 (s), 1387 (m), 1352 (s), 1241 (s), 1182 (s), 1010 (s), 919 (vw), 809 (vw), 775 (s), 726 (w).

**Synthesis of Eu-CMNDI-F:** The synthesis method of Eu-CMNDI-F is similar to that of Tb-CMNDI-F, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 57% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{22}H_{16}N_3O_{11}Eu: C$ , 40.63; H, 2.48; N, 6.46; Found (%): C, 40.65; H, 2.53; N, 6.50. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3365 (m), 3083 (m), 1710 (s), 1656 (s), 1579 (s), 1434 (s), 1387 (m), 1352 (s), 1241 (s), 1182 (s), 1010 (s), 919 (vw), 809 (vw), 774 (s), 725 (w).

**Synthesis of Yb-CMNDI-F:** The synthesis method of Yb-CMNDI-F is similar to that of Tb-CMNDI-F, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 58% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{22}H_{16}N_3O_{11}$ Yb: C, 39.35; H, 2.40; N, 6.26; Found (%): C, 39.37; H, 2.35; N, 6.30. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3365 (m), 3084 (m), 1710 (s), 1658 (s), 1581 (s), 1440 (s), 1387 (m), 1353 (s), 1241 (s), 1182 (s), 1010 (s), 919 (vw), 809 (vw), 776 (s), 726 (w).

Synthesis of La-CMNDI-F: The synthesis method of La-CMNDI-F is similar to that of Tb-

CMNDI-F, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 60% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{22}H_{16}N_3O_{11}La$ : C, 41.46; H, 2.53; N, 6.59; Found (%): C, 41.41; H, 2.51; N, 6.55. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3365 (m), 3086 (m), 1707 (s), 1660 (s), 1579 (s), 1425 (s), 1383 (s), 1351 (s), 1242 (s), 1182 (m), 1011 (m), 918 (vw), 809 (vw), 775 (s), 725 (w).

**Synthesis of Pr-CMNDI-F:** The synthesis method of Pr-CMNDI-F is similar to that of Tb-CMNDI-F, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 54% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{22}H_{16}N_3O_{11}Pr$ : C, 41.33; H, 2.52; N, 6.57; Found (%): C, 41.34; H, 2.57; N, 6.50. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3365 (m), 3083 (m), 1707 (s), 1656 (s), 1579 (s), 1428 (s), 1386 (s), 1353 (s), 1241 (s), 1182 (m), 1011 (m), 918 (vw), 809 (vw), 774 (s), 726 (m).

**Synthesis of Sm-CMNDI-F:** The synthesis method of Sm-CMNDI-F is similar to that of Tb-CMNDI-F, and the yellow block crystal is finally obtained. Yield: 51% (calculated based on H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $C_{22}H_{16}N_3O_{11}Sm: C$ , 40.73; H, 2.49; N, 6.48; Found (%): C, 40.65; H, 2.51; N, 6.50. ATR-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3366 (m), 3084 (m), 1711 (s), 1654 (s), 1580 (s), 1433 (s), 1387 (s), 1352 (s), 1241 (s), 1182 (m), 1010 (m), 919 (vw), 809 (vw), 775 (s), 725 (m).

#### X-ray Crystallographic Determination

The Bruker AXS TENSOR-27 FT-IR spectrometer was applied to record Infrared spectra in the range of 4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis absorption spectra of solid sample were received from a JASCO V-570 UV/VIS/NIR spectrophotometer with the range of 200-800 nm, and Lambda 35 spectrometer was applied to record the UV-vis absorption spectra of suspension samples in 200-800 nm. Thermogravimetric data was obtained from a PerkinElmer Diamond TG/DTA under nitrogen protection from room temperature to 1100 °C with the heating rate of 10 °C ·min<sup>-1</sup>. X-ray powder diffraction (PXRD) patterns were performed on an Advance D8 equipped with Cu-Ka radiation in the range of  $5^{\circ} < 2\theta < 60^{\circ}$ , with a step size of  $0.02^{\circ}$  (2 $\theta$ ) and a count time of 2 s per step. The HORIBA Fluoromax-4-TCSPC spectrofluorometer which is provided with Pulsed LED sources (200-1000 nm) with 3.2-inch Integrating Sphere was used to measure the fluorescence behavior of the coordination complexes at room temperature. The size and morphology of the material surface was investigated by Scanning Electron Microscope & X-ray Analyzer (SEM, SU8010) and Atomic Force Microscope (AFM, Asylum Research Cypher ES). The crystallographic diffraction data of Ln-CMNDI and Ln-CMNDI-F were measured on Bruker AXS SMART APEX II CCD diffractometer graphite monochromatized Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at 293 K and were displayed in Table S1 and Table S2. The space group was determined by Olex2 platform and, all atoms were anisotropic ally refined by direct method (XL) and least-squares refinement (XS) in the final cycle. For the series of Ln-CMNDI, three crystallographic diffraction data were obtained. There are several level alerts in the checkCIF files. Therefore, we provided reasonable explanations for these alerts. For Ln-CMNDI (Ln=Eu, Gd, Ho), PLAT417 ALERT 2 B could be explained as there is no accurately modeled water hydrogen position in the structure and therefore the interatomic H-H distances calculated are likely incorrect.<sup>2</sup> PLAT420 ALERT 2 B could be explained as O1W-H1WB and O1W-H1WA are part of a water molecule that is likely disordered/on a partially occupied site.<sup>3</sup> For Gd-CMNDI, regrettably, there is an A alert and we tried to adjust the appropriate conditions but still failed to obtain a better quality crystal so we provided reasonable explanations for the alert A here. THETM01 ALERT 3 A could be explained as it is related to the crystal quality The crystal was poorly diffracting at high angle. For Ln-CMNDI-F, (Ln=Tb, Dy), PLAT430 ALERT 2 B could be explained as atoms form a hydrogen bonded network of water molecules in the pore of the framework

CNs	Tb-CMNDI-F	Dy	-CMNDI-F	
Formula	$C_{22}H_{16}N_3O_{11}Tb$	C <sub>22</sub>	H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>11</sub> Dy	
M (g·mol <sup>-1</sup> )	657.30	660.88		
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Λ	Ionoclinic	
Space group	$P2_l/c$		$P2_l/c$	
a (Å)	9.1044(6)	2	9.0936(7)	
b (Å)	28.345(2)		28.333(2)	
c (Å)	8.3863(6)	:	8.3529(6)	
α (°)	90		90	
β (°)	94.7790(10)	9.	4.8850(10)	
γ (°)	90		90	
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	2156.6(3)	:	2144.3(3)	
Ζ	4		4	
$D_c(g \cdot cm^{-3})$	2.024		2.047	
F(000)	1288		1292	
M(Mo Ka) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	3.353		3.559	
θ (°)	2.245-31.228	2.	248-31.199	
Reflections collected	14641		14704	
Independent reflections( $l > 2\sigma(l)$ )	6108(4540)	5	866(4213)	
Parameters	336		336	
$\Delta(\rho)(e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	1.314&-1.695	1.4	463&-1.258	
Goodness of fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.060		1.026	
R <sup>a</sup>	0.0325(0.0556) <sup>b</sup>	0.0.	354(0.0624) <sup>b</sup>	
$wR_2^a$	0.0639(0.0720) <sup>b</sup>	0.0	626(0.0706) <sup>b</sup>	
* $aR = \sum  F_O - F_C  / \sum  F_O, wR_2 = $	$\{\sum [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \sum [w(F_0^2)^2] \}$	<sup>1/2</sup> ; [F <sub>O</sub> >4 $\sigma$ (F <sub>O</sub> )]. <sup>b</sup> Ba	ased on all data.	
Table S2 Cr	systallographic information	on of Ln-CMNDI*		
CNs	Eu-CMNDI	Ho-CMNDI	Gd-CMNDI	
Formula	$C_{20}H_{17}N_2O_{13}Eu$	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>13</sub> Ho	$C_{20}H_{17}N_2O_{13}Gd$	
M (g·mol⁻¹)	645.31	658.28	650.60	

Triclinic

 $P\overline{1}$ 

9.2284(12)

9.5731(12)

Triclinic

 $P\overline{1}$ 

9.2901(15)

9.4885(15)

Triclinic

 $P\overline{1}$ 

9.2409(9)

9.5443(9)

Crystal system

Space group a (Å)

b (Å)

Table S1 Crystallographic information of Ln-CMNDI-F\*

c (Å)	14.0350(18)	13.896(2)	14.0003(13)
α (°)	74.687(2)	74.085(2)	74.574(2)
β (°)	77.477(2)	77.350(3)	77.472(2)
γ (°)	66.129(2)	65.475(3)	66.097(2)
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1085.1(2)	1063.9(3)	1079.85(18)
Z	2	2	2
$D_c(g \cdot cm^{-3})$	1.975	2.055	2.001
F(000)	636	644	638
M(Mo Ka) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	2.968	3.798	3.149
θ (°)	1.516-28.986	1.535-30.570	1.521-28.282
Reflections collected	7140	7445	6941
Independent reflections( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ )	5207(4398)	5516(4500)	5024(3767)
Parameters	328	331	331
$\Delta(\rho)(e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	1.208&-0.834	0.971&-1.110	0.808&-0.906
Goodness of fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.051	1.011	0.989
R <sup>a</sup>	0.0330(0.0428) <sup>b</sup>	0.0358(0.0511) <sup>b</sup>	0.0465(0.0741) <sup>b</sup>
$\mathrm{wR}_{2}^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.0755(0.0798) <sup>b</sup>	0.0681(0.0733) <sup>b</sup>	0.0830(0.0930) <sup>b</sup>

Table S3 Systre input file and output file of lcf topological net

Systre input file for the Tb-CMNDI-F

edge 2 0.62530 0.99220 -0.24130

end

Systre output file for the Tb-CMNDI-F

Structure #1 - " Tb-CMNDI-F".

Input structure described as 3-periodic.

Given space group is P121/c1.

8 nodes and 20 edges in repeat unit as given.

Structure is not connected.

Processing components separately.

Processing component 1:

dimension = 2

Input structure described as 2-periodic.

Given space group is P1.

8 nodes and 20 edges in repeat unit as given.

Ideal repeat unit smaller than given (5 vs 20 edges).

Point group has 4 elements.

2 kinds of node.

Equivalences for non-unique nodes:

Coordination sequences:

Node V1: 4 12 18 24 30 36 42 48 54 60

Node V2: 6 12 18 24 30 36 42 48 54 60

TD10 = 330

Ideal space group is p2mm.

Ideal group or setting differs from given (p2mm vs P1).

Structure is new for this run.

Relaxed cell parameters:

a = 1.72587, b = 1.00534, gamma = 90.0000

Relaxed positions:

Node V1: 0.00000 0.00000 Node V2: 0.50000 0.50000 Edges:  $0.00000 \ 0.00000 \ <-> \ 0.50000 \ 0.50000$ 0.50000 0.50000 <-> 0.50000 -0.50000 Edge centers: 0.25000 0.25000 0.50000 0.00000 Edge statistics: minimum = 0.99867, maximum = 1.00534, average = 1.00000 Angle statistics: minimum = 59.77884, maximum = 180.00000, average = 111.42857 Shortest non-bonded distance = 1.00534Degrees of freedom: 2 Finished component 1. \_\_\_\_

Finished structure #1 - " Tb-CMNDI-F ".

Table S4 Systre input file and output file of lcn net

Systre input file for the Eu-CMNDI
crystal
name Eu-CMNDI
cell 9.2284 9.5731 14.0350 74.687 77.477 66.129
group P-1
atom 1 5 0.29920 0.40410 0.46960
edge 1 0.26720 -0.03060 0.98790
edge 1 0.26720 0.96940 -0.01210
edge 1 0.73280 0.03060 0.01210
edge 1 0.73280 1.03060 0.01210
edge 1 -0.26720 0.03060 1.01210
atom 2 7 0.73280 0.03060 0.01210
edge 2 0.26720 -0.03060 -0.01210
edge 2 1.26720 -0.03060 -0.01210
edge 2 0.70080 -0.40410 0.53040
edge 2 0.70080 0.59590 -0.46960
edge 2 0.29920 0.40410 0.46960
edge 2 0.29920 -0.59590 0.46960

edge 2 1.29920 0.40410 -0.53040

end

Systre output file for the Eu-CMNDI

Structure #1 - "Eu-CMNDI".

Input structure described as 3-periodic.

Given space group is P-1.

4 nodes and 12 edges in repeat unit as given.

Given repeat unit is accurate.

Point group has 4 elements.

2 kinds of node.

Coordination sequences:

Node 1: 5 20 43 76 119 168 231 300 379 468

Node 2: 7 20 45 76 119 170 231 300 381 468

TD10 = 1814

Ideal space group is C12/c1.

Ideal group or setting differs from given (C12/c1 vs P-1).

Structure is new for this run.

Group setting modified to A12/n1

Relaxed cell parameters:

a = 1.93283, b = 3.00966, c = 1.72982

alpha = 90.0000, beta = 116.3841, gamma = 90.0000

Cell volume: 9.01443

Relaxed positions:

Node 1: 0.25000 0.37552 0.25000

Node 2: 0.25000 0.04237 0.25000

Edges:

0.25000 0.04237 0.25000	<->	0.75000 0.12448 0.25000
$0.25000\ 0.04237\ 0.25000$	<->	0.25000 0.37552 0.25000
$0.25000\ 0.04237\ 0.25000$	<->	0.25000 -0.12448 -0.25000
$0.25000\ 0.04237\ 0.25000$	<->	0.75000 -0.04237 0.75000

Edge centers:

0.50000 0.08342 0.25000

0.25000 0.20895 0.25000

0.25000 -0.04105 0.00000

 $0.50000 \ \text{-} 0.00000 \ 0.50000$ 

Edge statistics: minimum = 0.99751, maximum = 1.00268, average = 1.00000 Angle statistics: minimum = 59.76288, maximum = 151.31260, average = 102.20621 Shortest non-bonded distance = 1.22496 Degrees of freedom: 6

Finished structure #1 - "Eu-CMNDI".

Table S5Structure	ral comparison of Ln-CMNDI a	and Ln-CMNDI-F
CNs	Eu-CMNDI	Tb-CMNDI-F
Coordination environment	ED	ТБ
Coordination number	8	9
Metal-O <sub>carb</sub> average bond length	2.423 Å	2.497 Å
Connection	~ Btr.	- FARE
Linking pattern	$\mu_4 - \eta_0^1 \eta_0^1 \eta_0^1 \eta_0^1$	$\mu_4 - \eta_0^1 \eta_0^2 \eta_0^1 \eta_0^2$
$\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions		
Contacts between ring centroids	3.61-3.64 Å	3.56 Å
Lone pair- $\pi$ interactions		
Contacts of oxygen to ring centroids	3.55-3.62 Å	3.48 Å
Topological type		
RCSR symbol	lcn	lcf

 $\{3^2.4^4.5^4\}\{3^4.4^6.5^6.6^5\}$   $\{3^2.4^2.5^2\}\{3^4.4^4.5^4.6^3\}$ 

Table S6	e S6 Average R.G.B. values of time-dependent photochromic photos			
CNs	Eu-CMNDI	Eu-CMNDI-F		
0 h	(175, 154, 122)	(136, 112, 78)		
0.5 h	(79, 69, 65)	(46, 26, 16)		
1 h	(63, 53, 52)	(38, 21, 14)		
1.5 h	(62, 52, 51)	(34, 18, 12)		
2 h	(59, 50, 50)	(31, 17, 13)		

£ +:.. 4. Tabla S6 . 1 . 1 . 1 .

**Table S7** $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions in Eu-CMNDI and Tb-CMNDI-F\*

CNs	Cg(I)	Cg(J) C	Cg-Cg (Å) <sup>[a</sup>	<sup>μ]</sup> α (°) <sup>[b]</sup>	Symmetry code(.	J)
	Cg1	Cg4	3.64	0.8(2)	1-x, 1-y, 1-z	
Eu-CMINDI	Cg2	Cg4	3.61	0.7(2)	-x, 1-y, 1-z	
Tb-CMNDI-F	Cg1	Cg3	3.56	1.41(17)	1-x, 1-y, 1-z	
*Eu-CMNDI: C	g1: N1→C5→C7→C	C10→C8→C6→	→; Cg2: N	$12 \rightarrow C17 \rightarrow C15 \rightarrow C$	$C13 \rightarrow C16 \rightarrow C18 \rightarrow;$	Cg4:
C8→C10→C13→	$C16 \rightarrow C14 \rightarrow C11 \rightarrow;$	Tb-CMNDI-F	: Cg1:	N1→C7→C9→C	$C12 \rightarrow C10 \rightarrow C8 \rightarrow;$	Cg3:
N2→C19→C17—	$\rightarrow$ C15 $\rightarrow$ C18 $\rightarrow$ C20 $\rightarrow$ ;	[a] Cg-Cg: Dist	tance betwee	en ring centroids o	of $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions; [	[b] a:
Dihedral angle bet	ween planes of $\pi$ - $\pi$ int	eractions.				

**Table S8** Lone pair-π interactions in Eu-CMNDI and Tb-CMNDI-F\*

CNs	Y-X	Cg(J) <sup>[a]</sup>	XCg (Å) <sup>[b]</sup>	Y-XCg (°) <sup>[c]</sup>	Symmetry cod	e(J)
	C6-	Cg3	3.62	97.8(3)	1-x, 1-y, 1-z	Z
Eu-CMNDI	C18-	Cg3	3.55	99.6(3)	-x, 1-y, 1-z	
Tb-CMNDI-F	C8-	Cg2	3.48	92.3(2)	1-x, 1-y, 1-z	Z
*Eu-CMNDI:	Cg3:	C7→C9-	→C12→C15→C13	→C10→;	Tb-CMNDI-F:	Cg2:

Cg2:  $C9 \rightarrow C11 \rightarrow C14 \rightarrow C17 \rightarrow C15 \rightarrow C12 \rightarrow; [a] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] Cg(J) = Center of gravity of ring J; [b] X..Cg = Distance of X to Cg; [c] X to Cg; [c$ Y-X..Cg = Y-X-Cg angle

Table S9	Com	parison	of c	uenching	effect	constants	of I	Ln-CNs	s on	nitro	phenols	and	literatur	e

		level*		
Material	$K_{SV(\text{PNP})}$ /M <sup>-1</sup>	$K_{SV(\text{DNP})}$ /M <sup>-1</sup>	$K_{SV(\text{TNP})}$ /M <sup>-1</sup>	Ref.
CeO <sub>2</sub> /ZnO	6.38×10 <sup>3</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	[144]
MOPs II	$1.69 \times 10^{4}$	n.a.	n.a.	[143]
Complex 4	4.9×10 <sup>3</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	[153]
Zn-ddn	n.a.	8.93×10 <sup>3</sup>	n.a.	[160]
HPU-12	n.a.	$3.07 \times 10^{4}$	n.a.	[161]
LZn	n.a.	$1.54 \times 10^{4}$	$1.62 \times 10^{4}$	[162]
LCd	n.a.	$1.39 \times 10^{4}$	1.56×10 <sup>4</sup>	[162]
Zn-MOF	n.a.	n.a.	$2.40 \times 10^{4}$	[163]

EuBr	n.a.	n.a.	$1.70 \times 10^{4}$	[164]
TbBr	n.a.	n.a.	$2.02 \times 10^{4}$	[164]
<b>Eu-CMNDI</b>	2.92×10 <sup>3</sup>	5.07×10 <sup>4</sup>	2.31×10 <sup>4</sup>	this work
Eu-CMNDI-F	3.25×10 <sup>3</sup>	<b>3.80</b> ×10 <sup>4</sup>	1.92×10 <sup>4</sup>	this work

Table S10 Comparison of limit of detection of Ln-CNs towards nitrophenols and literature level\*

Material	$LOD_{(PNP)}/\mu M$	$LOD_{(DNP)}/\mu M$	$LOD_{(TNP)}/\mu M$	Ref.
CeO <sub>2</sub> /ZnO	3.05	n.a.	n.a.	[144]
AuNPs@PDA	6.30	n.a.	n.a.	[145]
MOPs II	1.46	n.a.	n.a.	[143]
HPU-12	n.a.	8490	n.a.	[161]
LZn	n.a.	53.0	50.4	[162]
LCd	n.a.	46.6	41.5	[162]
JLU-MOF201-	n.a.	2.29	n.a.	[158]
Tb				
M-TMU-58	n.a.	n.a.	6	[159]
EuBr	n.a.	n.a.	10	[164]
TbBr	n.a.	n.a.	10	[164]
Eu-CMNDI	6.25	0.36	0.79	this work
<b>Eu-CMNDI-F</b>	8.75	0.75	1.48	this work



Scheme S1 Schematic diagram of Ln-CMNDI synthesis process



Scheme S2 Schematic diagram of Ln-CMNDI-F synthesis process



**Figure S1** Structure of Dy-CMNDI-F: (a) Coordination environment of Co(II) (#1 -x+1, -y+2, -z; #2 -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; #3 x+1, y+1, z-1); (b) Spatial configuration of a Tb–O polyhedron; (c) Connection of CMNDI; (d) 2D network



**Figure S2** structure of Gd-CMNDI: (a) Coordination environment of Gd(III) (#1 -x+1, -y+2, -z; #2 -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; #3 x+1, y+1, z-1); (b) Spatial configuration of an Gd–O polyhedron; (c) Connection of CMNDI; (d) 2D network; (e) 3D supramolecular network



**Figure S3** structure of Ho-CMNDI: (a) Coordination environment of Ho(III) (#1 -x+1, -y+2, -z; #2 -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; #3 x+1, y+1, z-1); (b) Spatial configuration of an Ho–O polyhedron; (c) Connection of CMNDI; (d) 2D network; (e) 3D supramolecular network



Figure S4 ATR-IR spectra of H<sub>2</sub>CMNDI, Ln-CMNDI and Ln-CMNDI-F



Figure S5 UV-vis spectra of Ln-CMNDI and Ln-CMNDI-F



Figure S7 TG curves in N2 atmosphere of Ln-CMNDI-F



Figure S8 The solid state fluorescence spectra of Ln-CMNDI



Figure S9 The solid-state fluorescence spectra of Ln-CMNDI-F



Figure S10 R.G.B. value change of Eu-CMNDI and Eu-CMNDI-F under ultraviolet irradiation



Figure S11 The PXRD patterns of Eu-CMNDI (a) and Eu-CMNDI-F (b) which were simulated, experimental, and after UV irradiation



Figure S12 The fluorescence intensity of Eu-CMNDI and Eu-CMNDI-F in different solvents



Figure S13 Quenching recognition constant plots of (a) Eu-CMNDI and (b) Eu-CMNDI-F for recognition of mono- and poly-nitrophenols



Figure S14 The cycle experiment of (a) Eu-CMNDI and (b) Eu-CMNDI-F



Figure S15 PXRD patterns of Eu-CMNDI (a) and Eu-CMNDI-F (b) before and after sensing nitrophenols



Figure S16 Overlap of absorption spectra of Eu-CMNDI (a), Eu-CMNDI-F (b), and nitrophenols

### Reference

- H. Y. Au-Yeung, F. B. L. Cougnon, S. Otto, G. D. Pantoş and J. K. M. Sanders, Exploiting donor–acceptor interactions in aqueous dynamic combinatorial libraries: exploratory studies of simple systems, *Chem Sci*, 2010, 1, 567-574.
- 2. A. J. Dennington and M. T. Weller, Synthesis and structure of pseudo-three dimensional hybrid iodobismuthate semiconductors, *Dalton T*, 2016, **45**, 17974-17979.
- L. K. Saunders, H. Nowell, H. C. E. Spencer, L. E. Hatcher, H. J. Shepherd, L. H. Thomas, C. L. Jones, S. J. Teat, P. R. Raithby and C. C. Wilson, Tuning charge-assisted and weak hydrogen bonds in molecular complexes of the proton sponge DMAN by acid co-former substitution, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, 20, 3074-3083.
- 4. B. Laramée-Milette and G. S. Hanan, Going against the flow: Os(II)-to-Ru(II) energy transfer in rod-like polypyridyl chromophore, *Chem Commun*, 2017, **53**, 10496-10499.
- J. Binns, K. V. Kamenev, K. E. R. Marriott, G. J. McIntyre, S. A. Moggach, M. Murrie and S. Parsons, A non-topological mechanism for negative linear compressibility, *Chem Commun*, 2016, 52, 7486-7489.