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## 1.Materials and methods

All the reagents and solvents used for the syntheses and measurements were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured with a Switzerland Bruker DR $\times 600$.

UV-vis absorption spectra were measured using Lambda Bio 40. Mass spectral data were obtained using a Finnigan 4021C gas chromatography (GC)-mass
spectrometry (MS) instrument. The Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded using HORIBA FluoroMax-4 spectrophotometer.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves were undertaken using a Netzsch TG 209 F 3 under a dry nitrogen atmosphere heating from room temperature up to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed on a DSC Q2000 at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ from 40 to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then cooling down to room temperature rapidly, and heating up to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ again; the melting temperature $\left(T_{m}\right)$ was obtained from the first heating scan and the glass transition temperature $\left(T_{g}\right)$ was determined from the second heating scan.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out in a solution of tetrabutylammonium perchlorate $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ in acetonitrile at a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ at room temperature using a conventional three electrode cell, which consisted of the working electrode platinum plate, the counter electrode platinum wire, and the reference electrode calomel electrode.

Powder X-ray diffraction were recorded using Single-crystal X-ray data were collected using a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer with a graphite-monochromated Mo $K_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ A , Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA).

## DFT calculation

Theoretical calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 package. Geometry optimization was performed by density functional theory (DFT) in B3LYP/631G(d) basis sets. The natural transition orbitals (NTOs) are calculated by TD-B3LYP/631G(d) method.

## OLED fabrication and characterization

The OLEDs with area of $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ were fabricated by vacuum deposition onto the indium tin oxide (ITO) glass substrates. The substrates were cleaned, in the following order, with deionized water, acetone, and ethanol. The electroluminescence (EL) spectra and CIE coordinates were measured by a PR-655 spectrophotometer. The current density-voltage-luminance (J-V-L) characteristics of the OLEDs were recorded using Keithley 2400 Source Meter and ST-900M Spot Brightness Meter.

## OLED-UVPD fabrication and characterization

All the devices were deposited on pre-cleaned indium tin oxide (ITO) glass substrates, which were consecutively cleaned by detergent, deionized water, acetone and isopropyl alcohol for 15 minutes at each ultrasonic step. Then they were treated in UV/ozone for 15 minutes to diminish the work function. After that, under a pressure of $2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~Pa}$, the organic functional layer was vacuum deposited at a rate of $0.5-1 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{s}$. At a vacuum degree of $3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~Pa}$, the $\mathrm{Mg}: A g$ metal cathode was deposited at a rate of about $10 \AA \AA / \mathrm{s}$ without breaking the vacuum to form a device area of $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. During the deposition process, the thickness and deposition rate of the material are monitored by an oscillating quartz crystal monitor.

## Photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY)

Photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) for thin film was measured using an integrating sphere apparatus. PLQY of different solutions were determined by using 0.1 M quinine sulfate as a reference (PLQY=54.6\%) and were calculated by using the following formula:
$Q_{x}=Q_{r}\left(\frac{A_{r}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)}{A_{x}\left(\lambda_{x}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{I\left(\lambda_{r}\right)}{I\left(\lambda_{x}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{n_{x}^{2}}{n_{r}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{x}}{D_{r}}\right)$
Where Q is the PLQY, A is the value of absorbance, I is the intensity of excitation source, n is the refractive index of solvent, D is the area of emission spectra, $\lambda$ is the corresponding wavelength. The subscript r stands for the reference while X stands for test subject. The excitation wavelength is 398 nm . Radiative transition rate ( $k_{r}$ ) and non-radiative transition rate ( $k_{n r}$ ) were calculated according to the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{r} & =\frac{\Phi}{\tau} \\
k_{n r} & =\frac{1-\Phi}{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $\Phi$ : PLQY, $\tau$ : fluorescent lifetime).

## Lippert-Mataga solvatochromic model

The influence of solvent polarity on the photophysical properties of DP and SP were analyzed by the Lippert-Mataga equation, which can describe the interactions between the solvent and the dipole moment of solute.
$h c\left(v_{a}-v_{f}\right)=h c\left(v_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}-v_{f}^{0}\right)-\frac{2\left(\mu_{e}-\mu_{g}\right)^{2}}{a^{3}} f(\varepsilon, \mathrm{n})$
where $f$ is the orientational polarizability of the solvent; $\mu \mathrm{e}$ is the excited-state dipole moment; $\mu \mathrm{g}$ is the groundstate dipole moment; $a$ is the solvent cavity (Onsager) radius, derived from the Avogadro number ( N ), molecular weight ( M ), and density ( d $=1.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ); $\varepsilon$ and n are the solvent dielectric and the solvent refractive index, respectively; and $f(\varepsilon, \mathrm{n})$ and a can be calculated, respectively, as the following:
$f(\varepsilon, n)=\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2 \varepsilon+1}-\frac{n^{2}-1}{2 n^{2}+1}$
$a=\left(\frac{3 M}{4 N \pi d}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$

## Space charge limited current (SCLC)

$$
=\frac{9 \mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} V^{2}}{8 d^{3}}
$$

$$
J
$$

where $d$ is the thickness ofthe sample, $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{r}$ are the vacuum dielectric permittivity and the dielectric constant of the material respectively, $V$ is the electric field strength, $J$ is the current density and $\mu_{0}$ is the carrier mobility.

## Detection rate (D*)

detection rate ( $D^{*}$ ) is widely used to characterize the sensitivity of photodetectors. If we assume that the shooting noise produced by dark current is the main contribution of noise, then the photodetector $D^{*}$ can be calculated as in:

$$
D^{*}=\frac{R}{\left(2 q J_{\text {dark }}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}=\frac{\left(J_{\text {light }}-J_{\text {dark }}\right) / P}{\left(2 q J_{\text {dark }}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

where $R$ is the responsivity, and $P$ is power efficiency of the ultraviolet source. $J_{\text {dark }}$ and $J_{\text {light }}$ are dark and photocurrent densities, respectively. The overall trend in UV detection performance is mainly due to the characteristics of $J_{\text {dark }}$ and $J_{\text {light }}$.

## 2.Synthetic of compounds

## $\mathrm{CrAn}-\mathrm{Br}$

4-Carbazol-9-ylbenzeneboronic acid (3.47 mmol, 0.52 g$)$, 9,10dibromoanthracene ( $2.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.88 \mathrm{~g}$ ), tetrakis (triphenylphosphine) palladium(0) $\left(\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right)(0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{mg}), 2 \mathrm{M}$ aqueous potassium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were dissolved in 20 mL of toluene and 20 mL of ethanol in a mixed solution. The reaction was slowly heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 3 h. The temperature of the reaction system was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ethanol and toluene were removed by spin evaporation and extracted by adding a mixture of water ( 50 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried by adding anhydrous magnesium sulphate and spun dry, and the crude product The crude product was purified by column chromatography using $\operatorname{PE} / \mathrm{DCM}(4: 1, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ as the eluent to give $\mathrm{CrAn}-\mathrm{Br}$ as a pale-yellow solid in $65 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## PPIAn1N

The synthesis of PPIAn1N was similar to that of $\mathrm{CrAn}-\mathrm{Br}$. The synthetic route is
shown in Scheme 1, with PE/DCM $(1: 1, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ as the eluent. The resulting product was recrystallized from DCM to give a white solid PPIAn1N in $55 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO-d6) $\delta 9.02-8.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=37.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.04-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.73,138.70,137.83,136.55,135.58,134.24$, 132.66, 132.52, 130.38, 130.34, 129.56, 129.23, 128.94, 128.85, 128.71, 128.36, 128.32, 128.29, 128.21, 128.15, 127.29, 127.20, 127.11, 126.31, 126.24, 126.06, 125.82, 125.56, 125.30, 125.25, 124.98, 124.66, 124.56, 124.18, 123.93, 123.13, 122.13, 121.79, 119.90. MALDI-TOF (m/z): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 672.83$; Found: 672.257 [M+].

## PPIAn2N

The synthesis process of PPIAn2N was similar to that of $\mathrm{CrAn}-\mathrm{Br}$. The synthetic route is shown in Scheme 1, with $\operatorname{PE} / \mathrm{DCM}(1: 1, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ as the eluent and the resulting product recrystallized from DCM to give a white solid PPIAn2N in $60 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.99-8.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ - $7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85$ (ddd, J = 8.4, 4.8, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.76$ (t, J = $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-$ $7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=13.8,6.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 10.2, 7.2, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.72,138.73,137.83,136.54,136.13,135.45,135.38,132.37,131.74$, 130.32, 129.24, 129.18, 128.97, 128.95, 128.83, 128.69, 128.48, 128.21, 127.31, 127.06, 126.95, 126.87, 126.32, 126.23, 126.04, 125.80, 125.42, 125.30, 125.22, 124.66, 124.11, 123.93, 123.13, 122.13, 122.07, 121.79, 119.89. MALDI-TOF (m/z): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 672.83$; Found: $672.266[\mathrm{M}+]$.

## PPIAnp

The synthesis of PPIAnp was similar to that of $\mathrm{CrAn}-\mathrm{Br}$. The synthetic route is shown in Scheme 1, with PE/DCM $(1: 1, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ as the eluent. The resulting product was recrystallized from DCM to give a pale yellow solid PPIAnp in 47\% yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.99-8.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88$ - 7.82 (m, 2H), 7.79 (ddd, J = 13.8, 6.6, $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.73-7.64$ (m, 1OH), $7.63-7.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H), 7.09 - $7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $8149.72,138.73,137.93,137.82$, 136.54, 136.26, 135.22, 133.20, 130.31, 130.25, 129.23, 128.94, 128.80, 128.66, 128.31, 128.20, 127.39, 127.30, 126.48, 126.31, 125.99, 125.74, 125.30, 124.65, 124.08, 124.00, 123.93, 123.13, 122.12, 121.79, 119.89. MALDI-TOF (m/z): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 672.77$; Found: $622.262[\mathrm{M}+]$.

## PPIAnCz

The synthesis of PPIAnCz was similar to that of $\mathrm{CrAn}-\mathrm{Br}$. The synthetic route is shown in Scheme 1. PE/DCM (1:2, V/V) was used as the eluent and the resulting
product was recrystallized from DCM to give a pale yellow solid PPIAnCz in 40\% yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.91-8.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.77-8.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70-8.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,1.2,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.74$ (m, 6H), 7.72 (ddd, J = 13.8, 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.67-7.58 (m, 12H), 7.50-7.43 (m, 3H), $7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 149.05, 140.77, 140.44, 135.35, 135.12, 133.25, 133.01, 132.28, 130.37, 129.83, 129.27, 128.38, 127.93, 127.66, 126.32, 126.03, 125.79. MALDI-TOF (m/z): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$, 787.97; Found: 787.358 [M+].
3.Supplementary Figures


Fig.S1 Synthesis routes of PPIAn1N, PPIAn2N, PPIAnp and PPIAnCz


Fig．S2 TGA and DSC curves of PPIAn1N，PPIAn2N，PPIAnp and PPIAnCz


Fig．S3 NTOs of singlet and triplet excited states for PPIAn1N

|  | Hole | Partical |  | Hole | Partical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ |  | 象 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 0) | 里多 | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\operatorname{son}^{\frac{B}{2}}$ | 禺 |
| $S_{3}$ | 名 | 8 | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ |  | 象 |

Fig．S4 NTOs of singlet and triplet excited states for PPIAn2N


Fig.S5 NTOs of singlet and triplet excited states for PPIAnp

|  | Hole | Partical |  | Hole | Partical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 多 |  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | posiesyjut |  | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | OD |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 禺 |  | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ |  |  |

Fig.S6 NTOs of singlet and triplet excited states for PPIAnCz





Fig.S7 PL spectra of PPIAn1N (a), PPIAn2N (b), PPIAnph (c) and PPIAnCz
(d) in different solvents

Table.S1 Absorption and emission peaks of PPIAn1N, PPIAn2N, PPIAnp and PPIAnCz in different solvents $\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$

| Solution | $f(\varepsilon, n)$ | PPIAn1N |  | PPIAn2N |  | PPIAnP |  | PPIAnCz |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} v_{a}^{\mathrm{a})} \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{f}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{a}{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{f}^{b)} \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{a}{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{f}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{a}{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{f}{ }^{b} \\ & (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Hexane | 0.0012 | 395 | 424 | 395 | 430 | 394 | 426 | 394 | 431 |
| Toluene | 0.012 | 398 | 431 | 398 | 437 | 397 | 431 | 397 | 437 |
| Dioxane | 0.021 | 397 | 430 | 397 | 434 | 397 | 430 | 397 | 434 |
| Chloroform | 0.149 | 397 | 432 | 397 | 432 | 397 | 432 | 398 | 437 |
| Ethyl acetate | 0.2 | 396 | 428 | 396 | 432 | 396 | 428 | 395 | 432 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 0.21 | 397 | 431 | 397 | 435 | 396 | 434 | 397 | 436 |
| Dichloromethane | 0.217 | 397 | 433 | 397 | 437 | 397 | 438 | 398 | 438 |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide | 0.2632 | 399 | 440 | 399 | 442 | 398 | 436 | 399 | 442 |
| Dimethylformamide | 0.276 | 397 | 438 | 396 | 435 | 397 | 432 | 397 | 441 |
| Acetonitrile | 0.305 | 396 | 432 | 396 | 436 | 396 | 436 | 397 | 438 |

[^0]

Fig.S8 Linear correlation of orientation polarization of solvent media with the Stokes shift $\left(v_{a}-v_{f}\right)$ for PPIAn1N (a), PPIAn2N (b), PPIAnp (c) and PPIAnCz (d)


Fig.S9 decay curves of PPIAn1N (a), PPIAn2N (b), PPIAnp(c) and PPIAnCz(d) in different solvents

Table.S2 Lifetime measurement of PPIAn1N, PPIAn2N, PPIAnp and PPIAnCz in different solvents (ns)

| Compound | hexane | THF | DCM | DMSO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPIAn1N | 2.47 | 2.54 | 2.75 | 3.10 |
| PPIAn2N | 2.33 | 2.42 | 2.56 | 2.77 |
| PPIAnp | 2.61 | 2.73 | 2.92 | 3.13 |
| PPIAnCz | 2.38 | 2.50 | 2.61 | 2.99 |






Fig.S10 The energy levels and molecular structure OLED devices


Fig.S11 CIE coordinates of OLEDs based PPIAn1N, PPIAn2N, PPIAnp and PPIAnCz

Table.S3 Hole and electron mobility of PPIAn1N, PPIAn2N, PPIAnp and PPIAnCz

| Device | PPIAn1N | PPIAn2N | PPIAnp | PPIAnCz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{h /}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $4.70 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.46 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.79 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.00 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mu_{e /}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $2.18 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.70 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.13 \times 10^{-9}$ |



Fig.S12 Hole(a) and electron(b) single-carrier device current density-voltages curves for PPIAn1N, PPIAn2N, PPIAnp and PPIAnCz


Fig.S13 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of PPI-Br in DMSO


Fig.S14 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of PPI-B in DMSO


Fig. $\mathrm{S}^{15}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of $\mathrm{CzAn}-\mathrm{Br}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig.S16 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of PPIAn1N in DMSO


$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}31 & 130 & 129 & 128 & 127 & 126 & 125 & 124 & 123 & 122 & 121 & 120 \\ \mathrm{f} 1(\mathrm{ppm})\end{array}$
Fig.S17 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of PPIAn1N in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig.S18 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of PPIAn2N in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. $19{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of PPIAn2N in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig.S20 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of PPIAnp in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$



Fig.S21 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of PPIAnp in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig.S22 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of PPIAnCz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig.S23 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of PPIAnCz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

Table.S4 Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for PPIAn1N,PPIAn2N,PPIAnp and
PPIAnCz

| Identification code | PPIAn1N | PPIAn2N | PPIAnp | PPIAnCz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 672.78 | 672.78 | 622.73 | 787.91 |
| Temperature/K | 150.00(10) | 278(6) | 149.98(10) | 150.05(16) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | triclinic | orthorhombic |
| Space group | 12/a | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ | P-1 | Pbca |
| a / Å | 26.0359(9) | 15.0599(11) | 9.3045(2) | 7.72400(10) |
| b/ Å | 9.0846(4) | 9.2891(5) | 9.6364(2) | 31.3240(6) |
| c/ $\AA$ | 32.8163(13) | 26.6861(18) | 18.4321(4) | 34.0208(7) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 88.296(2) | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.886(4) | 103.037(7) | 84.490(2) | 90 |
| V/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 74.167(2) | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 7622.2(5) | 3637.0(4) | 1582.60(7) | 8231.2(3) |
| Z | 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.173 | 1.229 | 1.307 | 1.272 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.520 | 0.545 | 0.076 | 0.568 |
| F(0 O 0) | 2816.0 | 1408.0 | 652.0 | 3296.0 |
| Crystal size / mm ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \times 0.02 \times \\ 0.02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.06 \times 0.04 \times \\ 0.03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.17 \times 0.15 \times \\ 0.14 \end{gathered}$ | $0.07 \times 0.03 \times 0.02$ |
| $2 \theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 9.61 to 150.502 | 6.024 to 163.406 | 4.394 to 61.55 | 5.196 to 155.56 |
| Radiation | $\begin{gathered} C u K \alpha(\lambda= \\ 1.54184) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cu K } \alpha(\lambda= \\ 1.54184) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mo K } \alpha(\lambda= \\ 0.71073) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Cu} K \alpha(\lambda= \\ 1.54184) \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 27342 | 23584 | 24715 | 28783 |
| Goodness-of-fit on | 1.020 | 0.980 | 1.092 | 1.097 |

Final R

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
R_{1}=0.0527, & R_{1}=0.0815, \\
w R_{2}=0.1329 & w R_{2}=0.2086
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0426,
$$

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0529
$$

$$
\mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1170
$$

$$
w R_{2}=0.1155
$$

indexes[ $1 \geq 2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$

| Final $R$ indexes [all | $R_{1}=0.0840$, <br> $w R_{2}=0.1509$ | $R_{1}=0.1693$, <br> $w R_{2}=0.2673$ | $R_{1}=0.0508$, <br> $w R_{2}=0.1220$ | $R_{1}=0.0692$, <br> $w R_{2}=0.1235$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data] |  |  |  |  |
| Largest diff. | $0.23 /-0.23$ | $0.19 /-0.26$ | $0.35 /-0.25$ | $0.16 /-0.20$ |
| peak/hole / e Å-3 |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ absorption peak level, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ emission peak level.

