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## Experimental section

Materials.

Compound 1 was purchased from Kaimuke Technology., compound 2, 4 and 7 were purchased from SunaTech, compound 8 was purchased from Zhiyan Technology Toluene was fresh distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}$ (99.999\%), $\mathrm{CsI}_{2}$ ( $99.99 \%$ ), FAI ( $99.5 \%$ ), MABr (99.5\%) were purchased from Xi'an Polymer Light Technology Corp. DMF (99.9\%), DMSO (99.9\%), Ethyl acetate (99.9\%) and chlorobenzene (99.9\%) were purchased from J\&K Chemistry. Other solvents and reagents were commercially available and no further purification, unless otherwise specified. 1,3-Bis(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-5,7-bis(2-ethylhexyl)benzo[1,2-c:4,5-c']dithiophene-4,8-dione (BDD) was synthesized according to literature. Synthesis of Compound 3, 4,7-dibromo-2-(2-octyldodecyl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole

## Synthesis

Synthesis of, 4,7-dibromo-2-(2-octyldodecyl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (3)

Compound 1 ( $500.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(274.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added into anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ) solution, under nitrogen, compound $2(717.9 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.99 mmol ) were added dropwise into the solution. The mixture was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After cooling to room temperature, ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) was added into the mixture, followed by washing with water $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried by anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After evaporating the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gal, employing petroleum ether: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as the eluent to afford the final product ( $535.4 \mathrm{mg}, 53.2 \%$ ) as a light yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400

MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 143.66,129.47,110.00,61.19,39.04,31.93,31.87,31.14,29.78,29.62,29.60,29.48$, 29.43, 29.35, 29.26, 26.02, 22.71, 22.68, 14.15, 14.14.

Synthesis of 2-(2-octyldodecyl)-4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (5)
Compound $\mathbf{3}(535.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(44.4 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \% \mathrm{~mol})$ were were added to a 50 mL flask. Followed by a nitrogen flushing for 10 min , compound $\mathbf{4}$ (734.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were injected to the mixture and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. A saturated solution of $\mathrm{KF}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the reaction and stirring for another 6 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by washing with saturated $\mathrm{NaCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic phase was dried by anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After evaporating the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gal employing petroleum ether : $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as the eluent to afford the final product $(433.0 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a light yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.11$ (d, J = $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.63 (s, 2H), $7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 142.00,140.04,128.07,126.94,125.50,123.58,122.64,39.11,31.93$, 31.90, 31.49, 29.91, 29.67, 29.65, 29.59, 29.36, 29.33, 26.30, 22.70, 22.68, 14.13.

Synthesis of 4,7-bis(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2-(2-octyldodecyl)-2Hbenzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (6)

Compound $5(433.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ and NBS $(281.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added into a 50 mL flask, then charged with nitrogen for three times. $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was injected to the mixture. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After removing the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography, employing petroleum ether: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as the eluent to afford the final product $(503.8 \mathrm{mg}, 90.7 \%)$ as a light yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.50 (s, 1H), $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=39.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 141.63,141.30,130.85,126.86,122.98,122.09,113.20,60.04,39.13$, 31.94, 31.90, 31.47, 29.91, 29.68, 29.66, 29.64, 29.59, 29.37, 29.33, 26.27, 22.71, 22.69, 14.14.

Synthesis of PBCz-BTz
Compound 7 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Compound $6(50.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in fresh distilled toluene $(6 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \% \mathrm{~mol})$ were added into the solution. After being flushed by nitrogen for 10 min , the reaction was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was precipitated into methanol to afford the crude polymer. The crude polymer was purified by Soxhlet extraction via methanol, acetone, hexane and collected by chloroform. Finally, the polymer was precipitate into methanol and dry under vacuum to afford the polymer PBCz-BTz (62.0mg 81.3\%). $M_{\mathrm{n}}=15.9 \mathrm{kDa}, \mathrm{PDI}=1.95$, The decomposition temperatures $\left(T_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=447^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Synthesis of PBCz-FBTz

The synthetic and purification procedure was the same as $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{BTz}$ using Compound $\mathbf{7}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Compound $\mathbf{8}(70.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford PBCz-FBTz ( $106.0 \mathrm{mg} 84.6 \%$ ). $M_{\mathrm{n}}=14.3 \mathrm{kDa}, \mathrm{PDI}=2.00$, The decomposition temperatures $\left(T_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=445^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Fabrication of perovskite solar cells.
The PVSCs with a structure of $\mathrm{ITO} / \mathrm{SnO}_{2} /$ perovskite $/ \mathrm{HTM} / \mathrm{MoO}_{3} / \mathrm{Ag}$ were studied. Indium tin oxide (ITO) conduction glass was pre-cleaned in detergent, deionized water (DI) water, acetone, and isopropanol for 30 min for each step, then oxygen plasma treated for 15 min . Firstly, the $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ solution diluted to $2.67 \%$ ( 1 ml stock solution add 6.5 ml DI water). Then $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ solution was spin-coated at 3000 rpm for 30 s , then the films were annealed at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in air. The perovskite solution was prepared by dissolving $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}(1.1 \mathrm{~m}), \mathrm{FAI}(0.9 \mathrm{~m}), \operatorname{CsI}(0.1 \mathrm{~m})$, and $\mathrm{MABr}(0.08 \mathrm{~m})$ in a anhydrous solvent DMF: $\mathrm{DMSO}=4: 1$ (volume ratio). The perovskite precursor solution was spincoated at 1000 rpm for 10 s , followed by 5000 rpm for 25 s . During the spin coating, $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ chlorobenzene (CB) was dropped on the spinning substrate at 5 s before the procedure end. Then the films were annealed at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min in the glove box. The resulting perovskite active layers present the thickness around 450 nm , which was confirmed by a step meter. Afterwards, polymeric HTMs were deposited on perovskite active layer by spin-coating $45 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CB}$ solution at 5000 rpm for 60 s and the thickness of the polymeric HTL around 50 nm . Finally, the devices were transferred to a vacuum chamber and finished by evaporation of an 8 nm MoO 3 and an 80 nm Ag electrode through a shadow mask, which defines the active area of the devices of 0.04
$\mathrm{cm}^{2}$. The devices were encapsulated in glass by UV-curable resin in the glove box, then measurements and storage were taken in air.

## Instruments and characterization.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVIII-400 Spectrometer. All the intermediates were tested in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as standard. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Mettler-Toledo 851e/822e analysis system under nitrogen gas flowing rate of $50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ with the heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Gel permeation chromatograph (GPC) with chloroform $\left(40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ as eluent against polystyrene standard. Ultravioletvisible (UV-vis) spectra were obtained from a SHIMADZU UV-2600 spectrophotometer. Water contact angle (WCA) was measured by DSA 25 contact angle instrument. Steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra and time-resolved (TR) PL decay curves were obtained using an FLS920 fluorescence spectrometer equipped with a xenon arc lamp (Xe900), a microsecond flash lamp ( $\mu \mathrm{F} 900$ ), and a nanosecond hydrogen flash lamp (nF920). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments were performed with a Zahner IM6e electrochemical workstation. All CV measurements were carried out at room temperature with a conventional three-electrode configuration employing a glassy carbon electrode as the working electrode, a silver chloride $(\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl})$ electrode as the reference electrode, and a Pt wire as the counter electrode. Thin films were deposited from chlorobenzene solution onto the working electrodes. Tetrabutylammonium phosphorus hexafluoride $\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}\right)$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was used as the supporting electrolyte, and the scan rate was $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) energy levels were calculated from the onset oxidation potential using the equation $E_{\text {НОмО }}=-\left(4.80+E_{\text {onset }}-E_{\mathrm{FOC}}\right)$. Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels are estimated from the optical band gap and HOMO
levels. AFM images were obtained using a Bruker MultiMode8 microscope in air mode and ScanAsyst software. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was measured using an X'pert3 and Empyrean in reflection mode. Current-voltage ( $J-V$ ) curves were measured under 100 $\mathrm{mW} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-2}$ irradiation by using the solar simulator (Newport Orial solar 3A) and recorded with a Keithley 2400 source meter. External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra of the solar cells were obtained by using Enlitech QE-R011 (Enlitech Co. Ltd., Taiwan) measurement system. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured by a CHI 660 electrochemical workstation (CH Instruments Inc) in the frequency range from $10^{-1}$ to $10^{5} \mathrm{~Hz}$ with a signal amplitude of 700 mV . The tests were calibrated with the standard ferrocene/ferrocenium $\left(\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$redox system with the assumption that the energy level of $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$is 4.8 eV under vacuum. The steady-state PCE and current density was measured by monitoring photocurrent with a bias voltage at the maximum power point. solar simulator (Newport Orial solar 3A) was used as the light source.


Fig. S1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 3


Fig. S2 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound 3


Fig. S3 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 5


Fig. S4 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound 5


Fig. S5 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 6


Fig. S6 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound 6


Fig. S7 TGA curves of $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{BTz}$ and $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{FBTz}$
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Fig. S8 The molecular orbital spatial distribution of $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{BTz}$ and $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{FBTz}$


Fig. S9 SCLC curves of $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{BTz}$ and $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{FBTz}$


Fig. S10 $J-V$ curves of the PVSCs based on spiro-OMeTAD


Fig. S11. Distribution of a) $V_{\mathrm{OC}}$, b) $J_{\mathrm{SC}}$, c) FF, and d) PCE of PVSCs from 20 PBCz-BTz and PBCz-FBTz based PVSC devices.


Fig. S12 Water contact angle of pristine perovskite film, $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{BTz} /$ perovskite and PBCz-FBTz/perovskite

Table S1 synthetic cost for $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{FBTz}(1 \mathrm{~g})$

| Chemicals | Reagent <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Solvent <br> $(\mathrm{mL})$ | Price per unit <br> $\left(\not ¥ \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \not ¥ \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ | Cost <br> $(¥)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound 7 | 0.944 |  | 1300 | 1227.2 |
| Compound 8 | 0.668 |  | 900 | 601.2 |
| ${\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}}^{0.055}$ | 0.05 | 90 | 4.9 |  |
| Toluene |  | 50 | 26 | 1.3 |
| Methanol | 200 | 12 | 2.4 |  |
| Acetone | 200 | 28 | 5.6 |  |
| Hexane | 200 | 26 | 5.2 |  |
| Chloroform | 200 | 32 | 6.4 |  |
| Total |  |  | 1854.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $(\$ 259.2)$ |

Table S2 synthetic cost for spiro-OMeTAD (1 mL)

| Chemicals | Reagent <br> $(\mathrm{mg})$ | Solvent <br> $(\mathrm{mL})$ | Price per unit <br> $\left(\not ¥ \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \not \equiv \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ | Cost <br> $(¥)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spiro- | 72.3 |  | 600 | 43.38 |
| OMeTAD |  | 0.028 | 79 | 2.21 |
| t-BP | 9.1 |  | 32 | 0.29 |
| LiTFSI | 6 |  | 400 | 2.4 |
| KF209 |  |  | 1380 | 1.38 |
| Chlorobenzene |  |  |  | 49.7 |

Table S3 synthetic cost for $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{FBTz}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$

| Chemicals | Reagent <br> $(\mathrm{mg})$ | Solvent <br> $(\mathrm{mL})$ | Price per unit <br> $\left(\nexists \mathrm{g}^{-1} \not \equiv \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ | Cost <br> $(¥)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PBCz-FBTz}$ | 10 |  | 1854.2 | 18.5 |
| Chlorobenzene |  | 1 | 1380 | 1.4 |
| Total |  |  |  | 19.9 |
|  |  |  | $(\$ 2.78)$ |  |

Table S4 fitting parameters for TRPL of the perovskite films covered with different

HTM

| Sample | $\tau_{1}(\mathrm{~ns})$ | $\tau_{2}(\mathrm{~ns})$ | $A_{1}(\%)$ | $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathbf{2}}(\%)$ | $\tau_{\text {ave }}(\mathrm{ns})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perovskite | 1.81 | 131.54 | 13.07 | 86.96 | 131.27 |
| Perovskite/PBCz- <br> BTz | 1.41 | 116.25 | 48.60 | 51.04 | 114.94 |
| Perovskite $/ \mathrm{PBCz}$ <br> FBTz | 1.71 | 84.26 | 3.93 | 96.07 | 84.19 |

Table S5 fitted data from Nyquist plots of the PVSCs with different HTM

| HTM | $R_{\text {rec }}(\Omega)$ | $R_{\mathrm{s}}(\Omega)$ | CPE $(\mu \mathrm{F})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{BTz}$ | $8.57 \times 10^{5}$ | 108.3 | 2.10 |
| $\mathrm{PBCz}-\mathrm{FBTz}$ | $1.22 \times 10^{6}$ | 87.06 | 2.07 |

