Reasonable construction of a bimetallic organic framework MIL-88B

(Fe, Ni) nanoenzyme based on deep learning assisted doxycycline

hydrochloride and methyloxytetracycline hydrochloride

Mengmeng Sun^{a#}, Kaixin Pu^{a#}, Xingrong Hao^a, Tao Liu^b, Zhiwei Lu^a, Gehong Su^a, Chun Wu^a, Yanying Wang^a, Shuang Cai ^{c*}, Xiaoqing Zhao^{a*}, Hanbing Rao^{a*}

a College of Science, Sichuan Agricultural University, Xin Kang Road, Yucheng

District, Ya'an 625014, P.R. China

b College of Information Engineering, Sichuan Agricultural University, Xin Kang

Road, Yucheng District, Ya'an 625014, P.R. China

c Department of Chemical Engineering and Food Science, Hubei University of Arts and Science, 296 Longzhong Road, Xiangyang 441053, P. R. China

The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinions, Mengmeng Sun and Kaixin Pu

should be regarded as joint First Authors

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Hanbing Rao

E-mail: rhb@sicau.edu.cn

Experimental Section

Chemicals and Materials

Nickel nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O), terephthalic acid (C₈H₆O₄, TA), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), pbenzoquinone (PBQ), sodium azide (NaN₃) and 30% H₂O₂ were purchased from McLean Biochemical Technology Co. Ltd.(http://macklin.cn.qianyan.biz/);3,3', 5,5' -Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) and 2,2 '- diazobis-3-ethylbenzothiazolin-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) were purchased from Shanghai Ruien Biotechnology Co. Ltd.(http://ruien.company.lookchem.cn/); O-phenylenediamine (OPD), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), thiourea (TH), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and N, Ndimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Chengdu Cologne Chemical Co. Ltd(http://www.cdkelong.com/); Beef extract and peptone purchased from Beijing Aoboxing Biotechnology Co. Ltd.(http://bjabxing.foodmate.net/); Agar from Aladdin(https://www.aladdin-e.com/); All experimental water was deionized (18.25 M $\Omega \cdot cm$); The reagents used in the experiment are all analytical pure and have not been further processed.

Characterizations

The crystal structure, morphological structure, and elemental composition were analyzed using X-ray diffraction (Dandong, China, DX-2700), transmission electron microscopy (JSM4800F, JOEL, Japan), scanning electron microscopy (JOEL-2100 F, Japan), TEM mapping, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB-250Xi, China), respectively. The acidity and alkalinity of the solution were measured by the FE-28 pH meter (Met Toledo International Trade (Shanghai) Co. Ltd.). Record the Fourier transform infrared spectrum using Bruker VERTEX 70. The absorbance measurement is carried out on the Spectrum 210 Plus spectrophotometer.

DFT calculation details

Spin-polarized first-principle calculation was performed in the framework of density functional theory as implemented in the VASP program¹. The generalized gradient approximation of Perdew-Burke-Enzerh was employed for the electronic exchange and correlation. The plane wave pseudopotential with a kinetic cutoff energy of 450 eV within the projector augmented wave (PAW) method was used^{2, 3}. The self-consistent the total energy convergence criteria were less than 10^{-4} eV and the geometry optimization was terminated when the forces on all atoms were smaller than 0.02 eV Å⁻¹. K-point was generated by the Monkhorst-Pack grid method with $2 \times 2 \times 1^{4, 5}$ for geometry optimization. The free energy was calculated using the Eq. 1:

$$G = E + ZPE + TS[1]$$

where G, Eads, ZPE, and TS are the free energy, total energy from DFT calculations, zero-point energy, and entropic contributions, respectively.





Figure S2. (A) Ultraviolet visible absorption spectrum: (a)TMB,(b)H₂O₂,(c)TMB+H₂O₂. (B)
Ultraviolet visible absorption spectrum: (a)MIL-88B (Fe)+TMB+H₂O₂(10 mM), (b)MIL-88B
(Ni) +TMB+H₂O₂, (c)MIL-88B (Fe, Ni)+TMB+H₂O₂. (C) Ultraviolet visible absorption
spectrum: (a)MIL-88B (Fe)+ABTS+H₂O₂, (b)MIL-88B (Ni)+ABTS+H₂O₂, (c)MIL-88B (Fe, Ni)+ABTS+H₂O₂. (D) Ultraviolet visible absorption spectrum: (a)MIL-88B (Ni)+POD+H₂O₂,
(b)MIL-88B (Fe)+POD+H₂O₂,(c)MIL-88B (Fe, Ni)+POD+H₂O₂.



Figure S3. Optimization of peroxidase activity conditions for MIL-88B (Fe, Ni): (A) Temperature.
(B) PH. (C) MIL-88B (Fe, Ni) addition amount. (D) And (E) the amount of TMB and H₂O₂ added.
(F) Reaction time.



Figure S4. Steady state kinetics of MIL-88B (Fe, Ni) using TMB (A) and H₂O₂ (B) as substrates.



Figure S5. (A) 50 μL MIL-88B (Fe, Ni) (1mg/mL) +100 μL TMB (5 mmol/L) +50 μL H₂O₂ (10 mmol/L) at 45°C: (a) blank, (b) ciprofloxacin, (c) furatone hydrochloride , (d) defoxamycin, (e) formeczin, (f) Atrazine, (g) furacillin, (h) carbendazim, (i) putrescine, (j) glyphosate, (k) histidine,
(l) glutamic acid, (m) tryptophan, (n) Pb²⁺, (o) Cr²⁺, (p) Cd²⁺, (q) Ag⁺, (r) doxycycline hydrochloride, (s) methycline hydrochloride enoxymycin. (B) Reproducibility of MIL-88B (Fe, Ni)+TMB+H₂O₂ system. (C) Stability of MIL-88B (Fe, Ni)+TMB+H₂O₂ system within 30 day.

element	Line Type	k factor	k factor type	absorption correction	Wt%	Wt% Sigma	At%
С	K linear system	1.864	theory	1.00	37.99	0.68	61.98
N	K linear system	2.425	theory	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	K linear system	1.444	theory	1.00	18.69	0.38	22.89
Cl	K linear system	1.194	theory	1.00	0.45	0.07	0.25
Fe	K linear system	2.314	theory	1.00	33.72	0.53	11.83
Ni	K linear system	2.747	theory	1.00	9.14	0.34	3.05
total :					100.00		100.00

Table S1. Distribution Chart Total Spectrum.

Table S2. Comparison of the steady-state kinetic parameters for the peroxidase-like activity MIL-

Materials	substrate	K _m (mmol/L)	references
MIL-88B (Fe, Ni)	TMB H ₂ O ₂	0.6950 0.0767	This work
HRP	TMB H ₂ O ₂	0.430 3.700	6
FeCDs	OPD H ₂ O ₂	19.45 0.28	7

88B (Fe, Ni) and other nanozymes.

C-dots/NiAl-LDH	TMB H ₂ O ₂	0.34 4.72	8
CePO ₄ -CeO ₂	TMB	0.263	9
Mo-CQDs	TMB H ₂ O ₂	0.38 0.05	10

 $\textbf{Table S3.} Analytical performance of MIL-88B (Fe, Ni)/TMB/H_2O_2 \ catalytic colorimetric sensor$

Sample	Added (µM)	Determined(µM)	RSD (n=3,%)	Recovery(n=3)
	0	10.63	1.82	-
MET	14	24.89	2.23	102.85
MEI	35	46.13	2.37	99.35
	42	53.88	4.32	97.28
	0	12.35	1.35	-
DOV	7	19.32	2.04	99.57
DOX	17.5	30.03	2.26	101.3

for the detection of MET and DOX in water and soil.

2.20

38.64

References

- 1. G. K. A and J. F. b, *Computational Materials Science*, 1996, 6, 15-50.
- 2. John, P., Perdew, Kieron, Burke, Matthias, Ernzerhof and Erratum, *Physical Review Letters*, 1996.
- 3. G. G. Kresse and J. J. Furthmller, *Physical review. B, Condensed matter*, 1996, **54**, 11169.
- 4. D., J. and Chadi, *Physical Review B*, 1977.
- 5. V. Wang, N. Xu, J. C. Liu, G. Tang and W. T. Geng, 2019.
- H. Tan, Q. Li, Z. Zhou, C. Ma and L. Wang, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2015, 856, 90-95.
- 7. Zhuo, Shujuan, Changqing, Zhang, Ping, Shen and Weili.
- 8. *Rsc Advances*, 2015, **5**, 95495-95503.
- 9. G. Vinothkumar, A. I. Lalitha and K. S. Babu, *Inorganic Chemistry: A Research Journal that Includes Bioinorganic, Catalytic, Organometallic, Solid-State, and Synthetic Chemistry and Reaction Dynamics*, 2019, 58.
- 10. J. Mater. Chem. B, 2019, 7, 7042-7051.