Supplementary information

Rapid in situ forming PEG hydrogels for mucosal drug delivery

Taj Yeruva^{1*}, Robert Morris², Luke Zhao¹, Peter Kofinas², Gregg A. Duncan^{1*}

¹Fischell Department of Bioengineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA ²Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

*Correspondence to: Gregg Duncan, email: <u>gaduncan@umd.edu</u>; Taj Yeruva, email: <u>tyeruva@umd.edu</u>; Taj Yeruva, email:

4-arm PEG-SH	4-arm PEG-SH	Gelation time	
(%w/v)	(%w/v)		
		10kD PEG	20 kD PEG
0.5	0.5	~4 min	~1.5 min
0.5	1	~1.5 min	~50 s
1	0.5	~2 days	~5 min
1	1	~30 s	~30 s
1.5	1	~30 s	~25 s
1.5	1.5	~10 s	~15 s
2	1	>2 hr.	~20s

Table S1. Gelation and degradation times of rapid forming PEG gels.



Figure S1. Bulk rheological properties of rapid in situ forming PEG gels. (A), (B) Storage modulus (G') and (C), (D) Loss modulus (G") as a function of frequency at 10% strain (n=3).



Figure S2. Bulk rheological properties of control gels used for mucoadhesion. Storage modulus (G') and Loss modulus (G") as a function of frequency at 10% strain of (A) 2% w/v 4-arm PEG-DBCO 10kD crosslinked with 2%w/v 4-arm PEG-Azide 10kD (n=3), (B) 4% w/v chitosan (n=3). (C) Flow sweep (n=1) showing no instant crosslinking between mucin chains and PEG-Thiol or PEG-OPSS indicating mucoadhesion at 0 hr. is driven by polymer-mucin chain entanglement and hydrogen bonding.



Figure S3. Micro rheological properties of 10kD PEG gels at 0 hr. and 24 hr. after mixing PEG-SH and PEG-OPSS solutions. (A) Estimated pore size based on analysis of mean square displacement (MSD) at τ =1s. (B) Complex microviscosity (η *) at a frequency ω = 1 Hz calculated from measured MSD. * p <0.05, **p <0.01, ***p <0.001, ***p <0.0001 for Kruskal-Wallis test.



Figure S4. Mucoadhesive properties of 0.5% w/v 4-arm PEG-SH 10kD and 1% w/v 4-arm PEG-OPSS 10kD gels at 0 hr. and 24hr. after application to porcine intestinal tissue (n=3). * p < 0.05 for Welch's t test.



Figure S5. Release kinetics of model therapeutic cargo from different formulations of rapid forming PEG hydrogels. Cumulative release profile of (A) BSA from 10kD PEG gels (n=3), (B) IgG from 10kD PEG gels (n=3), and (C) 20nm nanoparticles (NP) from 20kD PEG gels (n=3) over 24 hours.

FITC labeled IgG was used for these release experiments. More than 100% release was observed likely due to fluorescence dequenching following IgG hydrolysis over time.[1]



Figure S6. Biocompatibility of rapid forming 5kD PEG gels. Viability of HEK-293T cells following treatment with (A) 4-arm PEG solutions (n=4) * p <0.05 ***p <0.001 for Kruskal-Wallis test. (B) PEG hydrogels (n=4) ****p <0.0001 for one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.



Figure S7. FTIR Spectrum of rapid forming PEG gels. (A) 10kD and (B) 20kD

References:

 C. Wischke, H.-H. Borchert, Fluorescein isothiocyanate labelled bovine serum albumin (FITC-BSA) as a model protein drug: opportunities and drawbacks, Pharmazie 61 (2006) 770–774.