# Discovery of Potent and Selective Activity-Based Probes (ABPs) for the Deubiquitinating Enzyme USP30 
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## 1. Supplementary scheme and figures

a)

b)


Scheme S1. Synthetic route to the parent inhibitors described in this work. a) synthetic route to compound $\mathbf{1 , 1}$ b) synthetic route to compound 3. ${ }^{2}$
a) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of compounds in Fig. 1

b) Kinetic analysis of IMP-2587 and IMP-2586


Figure S1. Fluorescent Polarisation assay for biochemical evaluation and kinetic analysis; (a)IC ${ }_{50}$ determination of compounds in Fig. 1; (b) $k_{\text {obs }} / I$, and $k_{\text {inact }} / K_{\text {I }}$ determination of IMP-2587, and IMP-2586 against USP30 using Ub-Lys(TAMRA)-Gly.


Figure S2. Uncropped blots for HA-Ub-VME competition experiments in Fig. 2. Fig. 2b displayed the bands in red box in Fig. S2-1. Fig. 3c displayed the bands in red box in Fig. S2-2.
1)


2) | Incubation time | 1h |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { IMP-2586 } \\ \text { (nM) }\end{array}$ | $\circ$ | $m$ | $\circ$ | $\circ$ | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |



Figure S3. Uncropped blots for affinity pull-down experiments in Fig. 3. TL -Total Lysate; PD -Pull-down; SN-supernatant. Fig. 3c displayed the bands in red box in Fig. S3-1. Fig. 3e displayed the bands in red box in Fig. S3-2.
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2)
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| USP30 | - |  |  | WT |  |  | C77S |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 <br> (300nM) | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| IMP-2587 <br> (30nM) | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + |


$\alpha-H A$

TL
5)

| USP30 | - |  |  | WT |  |  | C77S |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 <br> (300nM) | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| IMP-2587 <br> (30nM) | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + |

$\alpha$-vinculin
$100 \mathrm{kDa} \longrightarrow$


Figure S4. Overlayed uncropped blots (marker and blots) for HA-tagged WT or CS USP30 overexpressed experiments in Fig. 4b. TL -Total Lysate; PD -Pull-down. Fig. 4b displayed the bands in red box.
1)

| USP30 | - |  |  | WT |  |  |  | C77S |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 <br> (300nM) | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + |  |
| IMP-2586 <br> (30nM) | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + |  |

$\alpha$-USP30

3)

| USP30 | - |  |  | WT |  |  | C77S |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 <br> (300nM) | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| IMP-2586 <br> $(30 \mathrm{nM})$ | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + |

$\alpha-H A$
$75 \mathrm{kDa} \longrightarrow$
$50 \mathrm{kDa} \rightarrow \square$

PD

4)

| USP30 |  |  |  | WT |  |  | C77S |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 <br> $(300 \mathrm{MM})$ | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| IMP-2586 <br> (30nM) $)$ | - | + | + | + | - | + | + | - | + |

$\alpha-\mathrm{HA}$
$75 \mathrm{kDa} \longrightarrow$

5)

| USP30 | - |  |  | WT |  |  | C77S |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 <br> (300nM) | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| IMP-2586 <br> (30nM) | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + |

$\alpha$-vinculin
$100 \mathrm{kDa} \longrightarrow$


Figure S5. Overlayed uncropped blots (marker and blots) for HA-tagged WT or CS USP30 overexpressed experiments in Fig. 4c. TL - Total Lysate; PD -Pull-down. Fig. 4c displayed the bands in red box.

| 1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incubation } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | 10 min |  |  |  |  |  | Incubation time | 10 min |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$-DESI1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{IMPP}-2587 \\ & (\mathrm{nM}) \end{aligned}$ | - | m | $\bigcirc$ | \% | - | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ¢ }}$ | IMP-2586 (nM) | - | m | $\bigcirc$ | \% | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | - |

37kDa
25 kDa
20kDa


15 kDa
10kDa
2)


| 3) | Time | 10 min |  |  |  |  |  | Time | 10 min |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$-vinculin | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { IMP- } \\ \text { 2587 } \\ \text { (nMM) } \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | m | 9 | ¢ | $\bigcirc$ | \% | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline 12886 \\ (2586 \\ (n M) \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | m | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | O- | \% |



Figure S6. Overlayed uncropped blots (marker and blots) for in-cell DESI1 engagement experiments in Fig. 5e and 5f. TL -Total Lysate; PD -Pull-down. Fig. 5e and $5 f$ displayed the bands in red box.


Figure S7. Overlayed uncropped blots (marker and blots) for in-cell DESI2 engagement experiments in Fig.5g and Fig 5h. TL -Total Lysate; PD -Pull-down. Fig. 5g and 5h displayed the bands in red box.

## 2. Supplementary tables

Table S1. Compound validation using FP assay against USP30 \& UCHL1

| origin | Compound ID | Structure | IC $_{50}$ against USP30 ( nM ) | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ against UCHL1 <br> ( nM ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mission ABP | 2(IMP-2587) |  | 12.6 | Not active |
| Forma ABP | 4(IMP-2586) |  | 16.3 | Not active |
| Forma inhibitor | 3 |  | 4.8 | - |
| UCHL1 <br> $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ generation probe | MT16-205 ${ }^{3}$ |  | Not active | - |
| UCHL1 <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ generation inhibitor | MT16-001 ${ }^{3}$ |  | Not active | - |

Table S2. List of enriched proteins by LC-MS/MS analysis of ABP IMP-2587 labelling (Fig.5a)

| Gene names | Protein names | -Log P value | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABHD6 | Monoacylglycerol lipase ABHD6 | 3.03 | 2.06 |
| ALDH1A1 | Retinal dehydrogenase 1 | 3.25 | 2.95 |
| ALDH1A2 | Retinal dehydrogenase 2 | 4.68 | 3.97 |
| ALDH1B1 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase X , mitochondrial | 4.29 | 3.53 |
| ALDH2 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | 4.68 | 3.49 |
| ALDH3A2 | Fatty aldehyde dehydrogenase | 4.29 | 2.95 |
| ALDH9A1 | 4-trimethylaminobutyraldehyde dehydrogenase | 2.86 | 1.70 |
| C21orf33 |  | 1.77 | 0.92 |
| CTSZ | Cathepsin Z | 4.39 | 2.29 |
| DESI1 | Desumoylating isopeptidase 1 | 4.70 | 3.61 |
| DESI2 | Desumoylating isopeptidase 2 | 3.14 | 2.02 |
| FAAH | Fatty-acid amide hydrolase 1 | 3.88 | 3.97 |
| FAAH2 | Fatty-acid amide hydrolase 2 | 2.99 | 4.10 |
| GET4 | Golgi to ER traffic protein 4 homolog | 1.59 | 0.76 |
| H2AFV; ${ }^{\text {P }}$ 2AFZ | Histone H2A;Histone H2A.V;Histone H2A.Z | 1.35 | 0.65 |
| HMGCS1 | Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA synthase, cytoplasmic | 1.54 | 0.75 |
| ISOC1 | Isochorismatase domain-containing protein 1 | 5.45 | 4.06 |
| ISOC2 | Isochorismatase domain-containing protein 2, mitochondrial | 3.70 | 3.87 |
| MRPS2 | 285 ribosomal protein S2, mitochondrial | 3.22 | 2.58 |
| NIT1 | Nitrilase homolog 1 | 3.67 | 2.01 |
| NIT2 | Omega-amidase NIT2 | 1.25 | 0.61 |
| NPM3 | Nucleoplasmin-3 | 1.39 | 0.89 |
| PARK7 | Protein deglycase DJ-1 | 2.75 | 1.32 |
| RPS8 | 40S ribosomal protein S8 | 2.81 | -0.44 |
| RTN1 | Reticulon;Reticulon-1 | 3.75 | 1.77 |
| RTN3 | Reticulon-3 | 1.94 | 1.07 |
| UCHL1 | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase;Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase isozyme L1 | 2.41 | 0.76 |


| USP30 | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 30;Ubiquitin <br> carboxyl-terminal hydrolase | 3.22 | 2.05 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Gene names | Protein names | -Log P value | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABHD6 | Monoacylglycerol lipase ABHD6 | 2.87 | -1.95 |
| ACTG1 | Actin, cytoplasmic 2;Actin, cytoplasmic 2, Nterminally processed | 1.72 | -0.57 |
| ALDH1A1 | Retinal dehydrogenase 1 | 3.22 | -2.59 |
| ALDH1A2 | Retinal dehydrogenase 2 | 4.60 | -3.93 |
| ALDH1B1 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase X , mitochondrial | 4.01 | -3.81 |
| ALDH2 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | 4.33 | -3.07 |
| ALDH3A2 | Fatty aldehyde dehydrogenase | 3.19 | -1.88 |
| ALDH9A1 | 4-trimethylaminobutyraldehyde dehydrogenase | 2.77 | -1.60 |
| CTSZ | Cathepsin Z | 4.70 | -2.01 |
| DCD | Dermcidin;Survival-promoting peptide;DCD-1 | 2.78 | -0.34 |
| DESI1 | Desumoylating isopeptidase 1 | 4.57 | -3.45 |
| DESI2 | Desumoylating isopeptidase 2 | 2.78 | -1.75 |
| FAAH | Fatty-acid amide hydrolase 1 | 3.99 | -3.46 |
| FAAH2 | Fatty-acid amide hydrolase 2 | 3.54 | -3.82 |
| IMPDH2 | Inosine-5-monophosphate dehydrogenase 2 | 1.35 | -0.70 |
| ISOC1 | Isochorismatase domain-containing protein 1 | 4.51 | -3.50 |
| ISOC2 | Isochorismatase domain-containing protein 2, mitochondrial | 3.82 | -3.53 |
| MRPS2 | 285 ribosomal protein S2, mitochondrial | 3.80 | -2.58 |
| NIT1 | Nitrilase homolog 1 | 3.61 | -1.86 |
| RPL10 | $60 S$ ribosomal protein L10 | 1.43 | -0.52 |
| RPL34 | 60 r ribosomal protein L34 | 1.21 | -0.67 |
| RTN1 | Reticulon;Reticulon-1 | 2.71 | -0.69 |
| RTN3 | Reticulon-3 | 1.58 | -0.64 |
| USP30 | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 30;Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase | 2.83 | -1.82 |

Table S4. List of enriched proteins by LC-MS/MS analysis of ABP IMP-2586 labelling (Fig.5c)

| Gene names | Protein names | -Log P value | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALDH1A2 | Retinal dehydrogenase 2 | 5.51 | 3.54 |
| ALDH1B1 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase X , mitochondrial | 4.32 | 2.25 |
| ALDH2 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | 4.03 | 2.13 |
| ALYREF | THO complex subunit 4 | 2.45 | -0.42 |
| ASAH1 | Acid ceramidase;Acid ceramidase subunit alpha;Acid ceramidase subunit beta | 1.95 | 1.08 |
| AURKAIP1 | Aurora kinase A-interacting protein | 1.31 | 0.81 |
| C21orf33 |  | 5.03 | 3.93 |
| CAV1 | Caveolin | 1.02 | -2.47 |
| CAV1 | Caveolin-1 | 1.46 | -1.61 |
| CFAP20 | Cilia- and flagella-associated protein 20 | 1.66 | -0.41 |
| CNP | 2,3-cyclic-nucleotide 3-phosphodiesterase | 1.24 | -0.68 |
| DESI1 | Desumoylating isopeptidase 1 | 3.75 | 3.04 |
| DESI2 | Desumoylating isopeptidase 2 | 4.83 | 3.40 |
| HSDL1 | Inactive hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-like protein 1 | 1.07 | 1.22 |
| ISOC1 | Isochorismatase domain-containing protein 1 | 3.02 | 1.71 |
| ISOC2 | Isochorismatase domain-containing protein 2, mitochondrial | 4.07 | 3.62 |
| MALT1 | Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma translocation protein 1 | 1.36 | 0.75 |
| MDC1 | Mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1 | 1.75 | 0.38 |
| PARK7 | Protein deglycase DJ-1 | 2.89 | 1.30 |
| PGAP1 | GPI inositol-deacylase | 5.45 | 2.66 |
| PPAT | Amidophosphoribosyltransferase | 3.10 | 0.83 |
| RER1 | Protein RER1 | 1.18 | -1.34 |
| RPL23A | 60S ribosomal protein L23a | 1.54 | -0.57 |
| RPL27 | 605 ribosomal protein L27 | 1.33 | -0.76 |
| RTN1 | Reticulon;Reticulon-1 | 2.75 | 1.53 |
| RTN3 | Reticulon-3 | 2.76 | 0.91 |


| SFT2D3 | Vesicle transport protein SFT2C | 1.25 | -1.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMARCA4 | Transcription activator BRG1 | 1.65 | 0.52 |
| SRP68 | Signal recognition particle subunit SRP68 | 1.84 | 0.45 |
| TMEM109 | Transmembrane protein 109 | 0.99 | -1.56 |
| TMEM263 | Transmembrane protein 263 | 1.69 | -0.44 |
| USP30 | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 30;Ubiquitin | 3.04 | 4.80 |

Table S5. List of enriched proteins by LC-MS/MS analysis of compound 3 out-competition with IMP-2586 labelling (Fig.5d)

| Gene names | Protein names | -Log P value | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALDH1A2 | Retinal dehydrogenase 2 | 4.26 | -2.44 |
| ALDH1B1 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase X , mitochondrial | 4.65 | -1.78 |
| ALDH2 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | 3.90 | -1.64 |
| C21orf33 |  | 5.97 | -3.65 |
| CLPTM1 | Cleft lip and palate transmembrane protein 1 | 1.72 | -1.18 |
| DESI1 | Desumoylating isopeptidase 1 | 4.73 | -2.81 |
| DESI2 | Desumoylating isopeptidase 2 | 4.91 | -3.13 |
| ISOC1 | Isochorismatase domain-containing protein 1 | 3.39 | -1.80 |
| ISOC2 | Isochorismatase domain-containing protein 2, mitochondrial | 4.08 | -3.08 |
| LUC7L3 | Luc7-like protein 3 | 1.64 | -0.51 |
| NUB1 | NEDD8 ultimate buster 1 | 2.04 | -0.38 |
| PGAP1 | GPI inositol-deacylase | 5.14 | -2.38 |
| PPAT | Amidophosphoribosyltransferase | 1.96 | -0.44 |
| RTN3 | Reticulon-3 | 2.02 | -0.42 |
| SRP68 | Signal recognition particle subunit SRP68 | 1.80 | -0.40 |
| USP30 | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 30;Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase | 3.16 | -4.18 |

## 3. General synthetic methods

All chemicals and solvents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich UK or VWR international Ltd and used without further purification. All anhydrous conditions were performed in oven-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere. Dried solvents were dispensed using Pure SolvTM solvent drying towers (Innovative technology Inc.). For purifications, HPLC-grade solvents ( $\geq 99 \%$ purity) were used as purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. Ltd. or Fisher Scientific UK.

Analytical techniques Thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was performed on Merck silica gel 60 F254 aluminium plates for monitoring reaction progresses. Spots were visualized under UV lamp (254 nm ) and/or stained with potassium permanganate for UV-inactive compounds, or 2,4dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNP) for carbonyl compounds. Flash column chromatography was manually performed on Merck silica gel $60 \AA$ Å, eluting with solvents as stated, under positive air pressure. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz Bruker AV NMR spectrometer at $298 \mathrm{~K}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 101 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ ) in chloroform-d ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, Acros Organics) as internal reference ( $\delta \mathrm{H}=7.26$ ppm and $\delta C=77.16 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in part per million ( ppm ) relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as reference where $\delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\delta C(T M S)=0.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ and their assignments are shown as multiplicity ( $s$, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; $t$, triplet; $q$, quartet; $m$, multiplet; br., broad), coupling constant, and number of protons. The coupling constants (J values), quoted in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$ and recorded to the nearest 0.1 Hz , are calculated by MestReNova© NMR software.

1) Chemical synthesis of the probes


3-(3-Bromophenyl)isoxazol-5-amine(8): To a solution of 3-(4-bromophenyl)-3-oxopropanenitrile (CAS Number 70591-86-5; $2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} . \mathrm{HCl}(0.742 \mathrm{~g}, 10.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 23 ml ) was added $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.712 \mathrm{~g}, 17.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ portion wise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The resulting reaction mixture was cooled to rt and poured into water ( 125 ml ) then extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $32 \%$, EtOAc in hexane) yielding 860 mg 3 -(4-bromophenyl)isoxazol-5-amine with $41 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO) $\delta 7.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ (ddd, $J=7.7,1.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.63 (ddd, $J=8.0,2.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 171.8,161.7,132.7$, 131.5, 129.1, 125.7, 122.5, 75.6.

tert-Butyl (R)-3-(2-((3-(3-bromophenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (9): To a solution of 3-(3-bromophenyl)isoxazol-5-amine ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (R)-2-(1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)acetic acid (CAS Number: 204688-60-8; $480 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ was added $\mathrm{POCl} 3(0.6 \mathrm{ml}, 6.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30
min at rt . The resulting reaction mixture was poured into water ( 150 ml ) and extracted with EtOAc (3 $\times 25 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $36 \%, \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) yielding tert-butyl (R)-3-(2-((3-(3-bromophenyl)isoxazol-5 yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate ( 407 mg ) with $43 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 11.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.88 (dt, $J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,2.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{q}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 169.3,161.9,153.9,149.6$, $133.4,131.7,131.5,129.4,126.0,122.8,86.6,78.7,51.2,45.3,39.0,35.4,30.5,28.7$.


## tert-Butyl(R)-3-(2-oxo-2-((3-(3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)isoxazol-5-

yl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (10): To a solution of compound 9 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.334 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.) in degassed, dry DMF ( 0.3 mL ) was added dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine) palladium ( 7 mg , $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.03$ equiv.), copper iodide ( $1.33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.007 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02$ equiv.), triethylamine ( $233 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $1.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.) and ethynyl(trimethyl)silane ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h then allowed cool to room temperature and quenched by addition of water ( 4 mL ). The aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by automated flash chromatography (Biotage SNAP KP-Sil 100 g cartridge, $5-40 \%$ EtOAc in $n$-Hexane) to obtain compound $10(67 \mathrm{mg})$ with $45 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 10.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=28.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ (td, J = 7.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, J = $18.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.63 (ddd, J = 26.2, 10.8, $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.52-3.28$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09$ (dd, $J=10.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.83-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13$ (ddd, $J=25.8,13.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65$ (dt, $J=19.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }^{2}$ ) $\delta 168.6,163.1,161.5,155.3$, $133.4,130.5,129.2,128.9,126.7,124.0,104.2,95.3,87.2,79.9,51.3,45.6,44.9,39.4,35.2,34.8,31.5$, 30.6, 28.6.


tert-Butyl(R)-3-(2-((3-(3-ethynylphenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate
(11): To a solution of Compound 10 ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH : THF ( $1: 1,3 \mathrm{ml}$ ) were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.286 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The resulting reaction solvent was evaporated and compound 11 was purified by isolera ( $52 \%$, reverse phase $\mathrm{C} 18,12 \mathrm{~g}, 5-95 \%$ acetonitrile) to obtain the desired compound ( 17 mg ) with a yield of $30 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO})$ $\delta 11.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,2.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{q}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 169.2$, $162.8,161.9,153.9,149.9,133.4,131.7,131.6,129.4,126.0,122.8,86.6,51.2,45.3,35.4,30.5,28.7$.

(R)-N-(3-(3-ethynylphenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)-2-(pyrrolidin-3-yl)acetamide (12): A suspension of compound 11 ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv.) in degassed, dry DCM ( 2.0 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TMSI ( $25.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was slowly supplemented to the reaction mixture using a micro syringe. The solution was then stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The mixture was then added to a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $10 \% 2 \mathrm{M}$ methanolic ammonia in DCM) to yield compound 12 and proceed for the following reaction without further purification.

(R)-2-(1-Cyanopyrrolidin-3-yI)-N-(3-(3-ethynylphenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)acetamide (2, IMP-2587): To a stirred solution of compound 12 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of BrCN in DCM ( $3 \mathrm{M}, 27 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added dropwisely. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 h . The resulting mixture was added to water ( 5 mL ), supplemented with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(35 \mathrm{mg})$ and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by automated flash column chromatography ( $57 \%$, SNAP KP-Sil $10 \mathrm{~g}, 1$ to $6 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, $36 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ to yield compound $2(12 \mathrm{mg})$ with a yield of $44 \%$ for two steps. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{q}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.6,163.0,161.1,133.7,130.4,129.2,129.1,127.0,123.0,118.0$, 87.3, 82.7, 78.3, 55.3, 49.7, 38.8, 35.4, 31.2. HR-MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}=319.1190$, found 319.1201.


1-(2-(4-Bromophenoxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one (13): 4-Bromophenol (17.34 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $17.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) were added to a solution of 2-fluoroacetophenone ( 15.763 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMA ( 20 mL ) and the resulting mixture was slowly heated to $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 4 h and cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The desired product was obtained after drying over MgSO 4 , filtration and concentration in vacuo for 4.2 g with $92 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84$ (dd, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), $7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 198.6, 155.9, 155.7, 133.8, 133.1, 130.7, 124.1, 120.4, 119.6, 116.4, 31.5.


13
14
Ethyl 4-(2-(4-bromophenoxy)phenyl)-2,4-dioxobutanoate (14): 8.57 mmol of compound 13 and $1.283 \mathrm{ml}(9.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ of diethyl oxalate were dissolved in 4 ml of anhydrous THF. This solution was added dropwise to the stirred suspension of 310 mg ( 12.88 mmol ) of sodium hydride in 7 ml of anhydrous THF. Heating and evolution of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ occurred, and sodium salt of diketoester began to precipitate. When addition was completed, heating and stirring of reaction mixture with reflux condenser were continued for 10-30 min, until evolution of gas in bubble counter disappeared. Then THF was evaporated under reduced pressure. 20 ml of ice water, 10 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 0.8 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ were added to the residue of sodium salt of diketoester. Mixture was shacked until dissolution of solid and transferred to separation funnel. Organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain compound $14(2.8 \mathrm{~g})$ in $82 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 14.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 189.6,169.2,161.9,155.6,155.6$, 134.5, 133.0, 130.8, 127.5, 124.3, 120.3, 119.9, 116.5, 102.8, 62.4, 14.0.


Ethyl 3-(2-(4-bromophenoxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylate (15): Hydrazine dihydrochloride $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}\right)(967 \mathrm{mg}, 9.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in ethanol was added to compound 14 ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and refluxed for 4 hours. After the completion of the reaction, ethanol was evaporated under high vacuum conditions. $15-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ water was mixed to the above reaction residue, followed by extraction with $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ethyl acetate. The four fractions of organic layer were mixed with each other and dried on anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The ethyl acetate, organic solvent was evaporated by rotavapor under reduced pressure to give crude compound. A silica gel column chromatography technique with $7: 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ hexane and ethyl acetate solvent system were applied to the above crude to afford compound 15 (1.8 g, $72 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 13.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.27 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 156.5,152.8,133.3,130.6,129.1,125.2,120.6$, 115.5, 108.5, 60.9, 55.4, 14.7.


3-(2-(4-Bromophenoxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylic acid (16): 5 mL of 2 M NaOH was mixed with a solution of compound 15 ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(6 \mathrm{ml})$ and the reaction mass was stirred for 12 $h$ at room temperature. After evaporation of most of the methanol, the aqueous phase was adjusted to pH 7 and extracted with $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ethyl acetate. The four fractions of organic layer were layers mixed with each other and dried on anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The ethyl acetate organic solvent was evaporated by rotavapor under reduced pressure to give crude compound. Silica gel column chromatography technique with $7: 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ hexane and ethyl acetate solvent system were applied to afford compound 16 ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 8.09-7.95$ (m, 1H), $7.59-7.47$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 156.8,152.5,133.3,130.2,129.0,125.3,121.2,120.1,115.1,108.3$.

tert-Butyl(R)-3-(3-(2-(4-bromophenoxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamido)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (17): Compound 16 ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 1.242 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (R)-3-aminopyrrolidine-1carboxylate ( $252 \mathrm{ul}, 1.476 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( $576 \mathrm{mg}, 1.521 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 5 mL ), following by DIPEA ( $450 \mathrm{ul}, 2.574 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) addition. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for overnight. Water was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was extracted with ethylacetate 3 times. The ethyl acetate organic solvent was dried on anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated by rotavapor under reduced pressure to give crude compound. Silica gel column chromatography was applied to afford 459 mg compound 17 with a yield of $70 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, MeOD) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-$ $6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{p}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) $\delta 155.8,154.9,153.2,132.6$, 130.0, 128.6, 124.4, 120.3, 119.4, 115.8, 105.3, 79.7, 50.8, 50.4, 49.3, 48.7, 44.2, 43.7, 37.7, 30.8, 30.0, 27.6.

tert-Butyl(R)-3-(3-(2-(4-azidophenoxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamido)pyrrolidine-1-
carboxylate (19): Compound 17 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(24.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium ascorbate (1.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.001 \mathrm{mmol})$, Cul ( $3.62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethyldiamine ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 3)$ in a two-necked round-bottom flask equipped with a stirring bar and a reflux condenser. After the reaction mixture was degassed, and then introduced under an argon atmosphere, the reaction mixture was stirred under reflux and the progress of the reaction was followed by TLC. When the starting material was completely consumed, or when the progress of the reaction had stopped, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to r.t., and the crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography, giving the desired compound $19(49 \mathrm{mg})$ with a yield of $50 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-6.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~h}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ס 162.1, 154.7, 154.2, 152.5, 146.5, 141.7, 136.4, 123.0, 128.6, 124.1, 121.1, 120.5, 119.3, 118.2, 104.7, 79.9, 51.7, 51.0, 49.2, 48.5, 44.3, 43.8, 31.9, 31.0, 29.7, 28.6, 28.5.

(R)-3-(2-(4-azidophenoxy)phenyl)- N -(pyrrolidin-3-yl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide(21): To a solution of compound 19 ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.177 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in DCM ( 1.5 mL ) was added TFA ( 0.4 mL ) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 2 mL ) and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a crude oil that was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The recovered solid was dried under high vacuum to yield compound 21 as an off-white solid which was used without further purification.

(R)-3-(2-(4-azidophenoxy)phenyl)-N-(1-cyanopyrrolidin-3-yl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide (4, IMP2586): A solution of crude compound 21 and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.570 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was prepared in dry THF ( 2 mL ) and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of BrCN in DCM ( $3 \mathrm{M}, 75 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 h . The resulting mixture was added to water ( 5 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by automated flash chromatography to obtain the final compound $4(22 \mathrm{mg})$ with a yield of $30 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $10.1,4.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.30 (dddd, $J=13.3,8.2,7.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.04 (ddt, $J=12.9,7.1,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.9,154.3,152.2,136.8,130.1,128.6,124.1,121.4,120.7,118.8,118.0$, 116.9, 104.1, 55.5, 49.1, 48.8, 31.7. HR-MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=415.1631$, found 415.1633.

## 2) Chemical synthesis of the parent inhibitors



3-(4-chlorophenyl)isoxazol-5-amine (8a): To a solution of 3-(3-chlorophenyl)-3-oxopropanenitrile (1.0 $\mathrm{g}, 5.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(0.464 \mathrm{~g}, 6.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.446 \mathrm{~g}, 11.14$ mmol ) portion wise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The resulting reaction mixture was cooled to rt and poured into water ( 40 ml ) then extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $32 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) yielding 3-(4-chlorophenyl)isoxazol-5-amine (444 mg) with a yield of $41 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 169.1,162.8,134.7,131.5,130.1,129.8,126.9,124.7,78.1$.


## tert-butyl (R)-3-(2-((3-(3-chlorophenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate(9a):

To a solution of 3-(3-chlorophenyl)isoxazol-5-amine ( $0.425 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (R)-2-(1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)acetic acid ( $0.501 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( 15 mL ) was added $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ $(0.611 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at rt . The resulting reaction mixture was poured into water $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $36 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) yielding compound 9 a $(380 \mathrm{mg})$ with $43 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 11.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{q}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{q}, J=9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 169.2,162.8,162.0,154.0,134.3,131.5,131.3,130.6,126.6,125.7,86.6$, $78.7,51.2,45.5,40.4,40.2,40.0,39.8,39.6,39.3,35.4,34.6,31.3,30.5,28.7$.

(R)-N-(3-(3-chlorophenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)-2-(pyrrolidin-3-yl)acetamide(12a): To a solution of 9a (330 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in DCM ( 4 mL ) was added TFA ( 0.6 mL ) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 10 mL ) and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a crude oil that was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The recovered solid was dried under high vacuum to yield compound 12a as an off-white solid which was used without further purification.

(R)-2-(1-cyanopyrrolidin-3-yl)-N-(3-(3-ethynylphenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)acetamide(1):

A solution of crude 12a and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(337 \mathrm{mg}, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was prepared in dry $\mathrm{THF}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of BrCN in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{M}, 325 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 h . The resulting mixture was added to water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by automated flash chromatography yielding compound 1 ( 234 mg ) with $90 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.49-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{hept}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.4,162.7,160.9,135.0,130.6,130.4,130.3,126.9,125.0$, 118.0, 87.3, 77.4, 77.1, 76.7, 55.3, 49.7, 38.9, 35.3, 31.2.


1-(2-Phenoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one(13a): The phenol ( $17.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $17.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) were added to a solution of 2 -fluoroacetophenone ( $15.763 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMA ( 20 mL ) and the resulting mixture was slowly heated to $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at that temperature for 4 h and cooling to room temperature the reaction mixture was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (100 $\mathrm{mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. After drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtration and concentration in vacuo, the product 13a was obtained for 2.1 g with a yield of $57 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.88(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.0,156.5,156.5,133.7$, 130.6, 130.1, 123.9, 123.5, 119.4, 31.7, 31.7, 31.6, 31.6.


Ethyl 2,4-dioxo-4-(2-phenoxyphenyl)butanoate(14a): 3.77 mmol of compound 13a and 0.564 ml ( 4.15 mmol ) of diethyl oxalate were dissolved in 3 ml of anhydrous THF. This solution was added dropwise to the stirred suspension of 136 mg ( 5.65 mmol ) of sodium hydride in 4 ml of anhydrous THF. Heating and evolution of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ occurred, and sodium salt of diketoester began to precipitate. When addition was completed, heating and stirring of reaction mixture with reflux condenser were continued for 10-30 min, until evolution of gas in bubble counter disappeared. Then THF was evaporated under reduced pressure. 20 ml of ice water, 10 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 0.8 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ were added to the residue of sodium salt of diketoester. Mixture was shacked until dissolution of solid and transferred to separation funnel. Organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain compound 14a ( 848 mg ) in $72 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 15.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (ddd, J=8.5, 7.4, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (td, J = 7.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), $7.06-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31$ (qd, J = 7.1, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.32 (td, J = 7.1, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 190.1,168.9,162.2,156.6,156.2,134.3,130.7$, 130.1, 127.3, 124.1, 123.7, 119.4, 119.0, 103.1, 62.4, 14.0.


Ethyl 3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylate(15a): Hydrazine dihydrochloride $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}\right)$ ( $967 \mathrm{mg}, 9.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in ethanol was added to compound $14 \mathrm{a}(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and refluxed
for 4 hours. After the completion of the reaction, ethanol was evaporated under high vacuum conditions. $15-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ water was mixed to the above reaction residue, followed by extraction with $4 \times 10$ mL of ethyl acetate. The four fractions of organic layer were mixed with each other and dried on anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The ethyl acetate, organic solvent was evaporated by rotavapor under reduced pressure to give crude compound. A silica gel column chromatography technique with $7: 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ hexane and ethyl acetate solvent system were applied to the above crude to afford compound $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ $-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.46-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.1,155.1,154.2$, $130.2,129.8,128.5,124.5,123.9,119.5,118.7,106.7,61.1,14.3$.


16a
3-(2-Phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylic acid(16a): LiOH ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was mixed with a solution of compound 15 a ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.616 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 ml ) and the reaction mass was stirred for over 6-7 hour time period at reflux. Add water and HCl to make $\mathrm{pH} 4-5$ and precipitate was filtered off and washed with water to get 147 mg compound 16 a with $85 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta$ $13.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 153.2,130.6,128.8,124.8,123.7,120.6$, 118.3.

tert-Butyl (R)-3-(3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamido)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate(18): Compound 16a (170mg, 0.616 mmol ), tert-butyl (R)-3-aminopyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (125 ul, 0.73 mmol ), HATU ( $286 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 2.5 mL ), following by DIPEA ( $215 \mathrm{ul}, 1.232$ mmol ) addition. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for overnight. Water was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was extracted with ethylacetate 3 times. The ethyl acetate organic solvent was dried on anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated by rotavapor under reduced pressure to give crude compound. Silica gel column chromatography was applied to afford 249 mg compound 18 with a yield of $90 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (dd, J=7.7, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.2,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{td}, J=7.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~h}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dq}, J$ $=14.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}{ }^{1}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.9,155.5,154.6,154.2,130.2,129.8,128.5,124.7,123.9,119.7,118.4$, 104.2, 79.6, 51.7, 51.0, 49.1, 48.4, 44.2, 43.8, 38.6, 32.0, 31.1, 28.5.

(R)-3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)-N-(pyrrolidin-3-yl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide(20): To a solution of compound 18 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.223 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in DCM ( 1.5 mL ) was added TFA ( 0.17 mL ) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 2 mL ) and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a crude oil that was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The recovered solid was dried under high vacuum to yield compound $\mathbf{2 0}$ as an off-white solid which was used without further purification.

(R)-N-(1-cyanopyrrolidin-3-yl)-3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide(3): A solution of crude compound 20 and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.669 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was prepared in dry THF ( 2 mL ) and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of BrCN in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{M}, 89 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 h . The resulting mixture was added to water ( 5 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by automated flash chromatography to obtain 75 mg the final compound 3 with a yield of $90 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (dd, $J=10.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64-3.47$ (m, 2H), 3.38 (dd, $J=10.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.31-2.20$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.09-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.0,155.3,154.3,146.2,142.2,130.2$, 123.0, 128.5, 124.8, 123.9, 119.9, 118.3, 117.0, 104.2, 55.4, 49.1, 48.8, 31.6.

## 3) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra

## 3-(3-bromophenyl)isoxazol-5-amine(8):


tert-butyl (R)-3-(2-((3-(3-bromophenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (9):

tert-butyl (R)-3-(2-oxo-2-((3-(3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)isoxazol-5-
yl )amino)ethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate(10)

tert-butyl(R)-3-(2-((3-(3-ethynylphenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (11):


(R)-2-(1-cyanopyrrolidin-3-yI)-N-(3-(3-ethynylphenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)acetamide (2, IMP-2587)



## 1-(2-(4-bromophenoxy)phenyl)ethan-1-one (13):




Ethyl 4-(2-(4-bromophenoxy)phenyl)-2,4-dioxobutanoate (14):



Ethyl 3-(2-(4-bromophenoxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylate (15):


## 3-(2-(4-Bromophenoxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylic acid (16):



tert-Butyl(R)-3-(3-(2-(4-bromophenoxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamido)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (17):


tert-Butyl(R)-3-(3-(2-(4-azidophenoxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamido)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (19):

(R)-3-(2-(4-azidophenoxy)phenyl)-N-(1-cyanopyrrolidin-3-yl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide (4):




## 3-(4-chlorophenyl)isoxazol-5-amine (8a):



tert-butyl (R)-3-(2-((3-(3-chlorophenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate(9a):


(R)-2-(1-cyanopyrrolidin-3-yl)-N-(3-(3-ethynylphenyl)isoxazol-5-yl)acetamide(1):



## 1-(2-phenoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one(13a):


(
ethyl 2,4-dioxo-4-(2-phenoxyphenyl)butanoate(14a):


Ethyl 3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylate(15a):



## 3-(2-Phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylic acid(16a):


tert-Butyl (R)-3-(3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamido)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate(18):

(R)-N-(1-cyanopyrrolidin-3-yl)-3-(2-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide(3):



## 4. Biochemical and biological methods and proteomics

## 1) Biochemical USP30 fluorescence polarisation (FP) assay using Ub-Lys(TAMRA)-Gly

a. USP30 biochemical $I C_{50}$ assay

Reactions were performed in triplicate in black 384 well plates (Greiner \#784076) in a final reaction volume of $21 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Compound dilutions were prepared at $21 \times$ final concentration ( 2000 nM for a final concentration of 100 nM ) in 50\% DMSO/water. USP30 was diluted in reaction buffer A ( 40 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.005 \%$ Tween $20,0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA, 5 mM betamercaptoethanol) and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diluted 10.5 nM USP30 was added to the compound (final concentration 5 nM ). Enzyme and compound were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 10 ul 200 nM (final concentration 100 nM ) of TAMRA labeled peptide linked to ubiquitin via an iso-peptide bond (Ub-Lys(TAMRA)-Gly, UbiQ-012). Reactions were read immediately after substrate addition using a Pherastar Plus (BMG Labtech; $\lambda_{\mathrm{Ex}} 531 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{Em}} 579 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by plotting percentage USP30 activity against compound concentration and fitting a four-parameter doseresponse curve (Graphpad Prism 5.03).

## b. USP30 biochemical kinetic assay

Reactions were performed in triplicate in black 384 well plates (small volume, Greiner 784076) in a final reaction volume of 20 ul. USP30 DUB catalytic domain (USP30; Catalog \#E-582 residues 57-517) was diluted in reaction buffer ( 40 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.005 \%$ Tween $20,0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA, 5 mM betamercaptoethanol) to the equivalent of $0,2,4,20,40$ and 200 nM .10 ul of diluted USP30 were taken in each well. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 10 ul of 40 nM of TAMRA labelled peptide linked to ubiquitin via an iso-peptide bond as fluorescence polarisation substrate. Reactions were incubated at room temperature and read every 2 min for 120 min . Readings were performed on a Pherastar Plus (BMG Labtech). I Excitation 540 nm; I Emission 590 nm.

## c. Kinetic analysis

Inhibition kinetics for compound ABP 2 and ABP 4 were determined by preparing compound dilution plates at $21 \times$ final concentration in $50 \%$ DMSO in water. $50 \%$ DMSO ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) or diluted compound ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to the reaction plate. Substrate Ub-TAMRA ( $200 \mathrm{nM}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) (final concentration: 100 nM ) was prepared in buffer A described in section 3.1.a and added to the reaction plate. Reactions were initiated by the addition of USP30 ( $21 \mathrm{nM}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) (final concentration 10 nM ), and fluorescence polarisation read immediately for 1 h in every 2 min . Analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism. Kinetic progress curves were fitted to equation $y=\left(v i / k_{o b s}\right)\left(1-\exp \left(-k_{\text {obs }} * x\right)\right)$ to determine the $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}$ value (for first 30 min data). The $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}$ value was then plotted against the inhibitor concentration to determine $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}} / \mathrm{l}$.

## 2) USP30 in-cell engagement analysis

a) Cell culture

HEK293T cells were culture in DMEM high glucose supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS. All cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were seeded on plates at least 16 h before experiment.
b) In-cell target engagement using HA-Ub-VME

6-well plate of HEK293T cells were incubated with media containing compounds IMP-2587 and IMP2586 at indicated concentrations for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were washed with PBS and lysed with RIPA buffer (Themor Scientific ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ cat:89900) and cOmplete ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Protein concentration was determined by DC Protein assay (Bio-RAD). Lysate ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ protein) were incubated with HA-Ahx-Ahx-Ub-VME ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) for 15 min at room temperature. $5 \times$ sample loading buffer ( 160 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8,5 \%(w / v)$ SDS, $0.025 \%(w / v)$ bromophenol blue, $25 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol) containing $4 \%(v / v)$ BME was added and samples heated for 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.
c) SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting analysis

The prepared protein samples were separated by precast SDS-PAGE gel ( $10 \%$, Bio-RAD, catalogue number:4561036) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Protran ${ }^{\circledR}$, GE Healthcare) by wet-tank transfer (Bio-RAD) in Tris-Glycine transfer buffer supplemented with 20\% (v/v) MeOH for 1 h at 100 V . Membranes were blocked in $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) slimmed milk in Tris-buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ) containing $0.01 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Tween-20 (TBST) for 1 h before incubation with the following primary antibody in the corresponding buffer overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :
UCHL1, ProteinTech, catalogue number: 14730-1-AP, 1:2000 in 5\% (w/v) slimmed milk in TBST USP30, Santa Cruz, catalogue number: sc-515235, 1:1000 in 5\% (w/v) slimmed milk in TBST HSP90, Santa Cruz, catalogue number: sc-69703, 1:1000 in 5\% (w/v) slimmed milk in TBST

The membrane was washed three times with 10 mL TBST for 5 min and incubated with the corresponding HRP-conjugated secondary antibody ( $\alpha$-mouse-HRP or $\alpha$-rabbit-HRP) in $5 \%(w / v$ ) slimmed milk in TBST for 1 h at room temperature. After three washes with 10 mL TBST ( 10 min each), the membrane was incubated with HRP substrate (Luminata Crescendo, Millipore) and the chemiluminescence signal captured with an ImageQuant ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ LAS 4000 imager. All the blots are imaged separately in the chemiluminescence channel for protein bands (HRP) and Cy5 channel for the prestained protein marker (Bio-RAD, Catalogue number: 1610394). The HRP channel images are processed using ImageJ software to adjust brightness and contrast ( $B \& C$ ) whilst ensuring that the balance between bands is preserved (figures in manuscript). The B\&C adjustment parameters for each blot were displayed in supplementary information figures. The processed blots were overlayed with corresponding marker images indicating the protein molecular weight resulting in the supplementary figures.
d) Live cell probe incubation, lysate click chemistry and precipitation

6-well HEK293T cells were incubated with media containing compounds ABP 2 and ABP 4 at indicated concentrations for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were washed with PBS and lysed with lysis buffer ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) Triton $\mathrm{X}-100,0.1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail ( $1 \times$, Roche) in PBS on ice for 10 min . The lysates were scraped and transferred to corresponding Lo-Bind Eppendorfs. Each lysate was sonicated for 1 minute, and the samples centrifuges at $5,000 \times g$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes to pellet insoluble cellular debris. The supernatant was collected, and protein concentration was determined using the DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad) as per manufacturer's instructions, and normalised to lowest concentrated sample (e.g. $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, using lysis buffer). The following "click mixture" was prepared separately, preparing $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for every $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lysate:
Capture reagent (AzRB/AzT for ABP 2, YnT/YnB for ABP 410 mM in DMSO, 1 vol; final concentration in reaction $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ )
$\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ ( 50 mM in water, 2 vol; final concentration in reaction 1 mM )
TCEP ( 50 mM in water, 2 vol; final concentration in reaction 1 mM )
TBTA ( 10 mM in DMSO, 1 vol ; final concentration in reaction $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ )

The click mixture was vortexed and incubated at room temperature for 2 min before $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the mixture was added to every $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lysate. The reaction mixtures were shaken at room temperature for 1 h before being quenched with EDTA ( 500 mM in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to a final concentration of 10 mM .

Proteins were precipitated by adding $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{vol}), \mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{vol})$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 0.5 vol), vortexing briefly then centrifuging at $17,000 \times g$ for 5 min . The top $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ layer was discarded and the middle layer of protein pellet and lower $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ layer retained. The suspension was washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and sonicated to break up the pellet. The proteins were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000-17000 $\times \mathrm{g}$ for $5-10 \mathrm{~min}$ or until a compact pellet was formed. The protein pellet was washed once more with MeOH $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The pellet was resuspended by sonicating and completely dissolving in $1 \%(w / v)$ SDS in PBS (to $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ protein) before being made up to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ protein with PBS.
e) In-gel fluorescence

The lysate samples (10-20 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) were mixed with $4 \times$ Laemmli sample loading buffer ( 250 mM Tris- HCl pH 6.8, $30 \%(v / v)$ glycerol, $10 \%(w / v)$ SDS, $0.05 \%(w / v)$ bromophenol blue) supplemented with $20 \%$ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \beta$-mercaptoethanol (BME) and boiled for 10 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting protein samples were separated according to the protocol in section 3.2.c. Gel were imaged on a Typhoon imager (GE Healthcare; $\lambda_{E x} 532 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{Em}} 575 \mathrm{~nm}$ for TAMRA. For protein loading quantification, gels were stained with Coomassie blue staining solution overnight, rinsed with water and imaged on an ImageQuant ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ LAS 4000 imager (GE Healthcare).

## f) Neutravidin biotin enrichment (Affinity pull-down)

Click chemistry and precipitation steps were carried out as described in section 3.2.d, on at least 400ug of protein per sample. The pellet was resuspended by sonicating and completely dissolving in $1 \%(w / v)$ SDS in HEPES 50 mM pH 8.0 , before being made up to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ protein with HEPES 50 mM pH 8.0 to
achieve a final concentration of $0.2 \%$ SDS. 20uL of each samples was collected as TL (Total lysate) samples. Pull down of biotinylated proteins was achieved by incubating samples with pre-equilibrated ( $3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ washes with $0.2 \%\left(w / v\right.$ ) SDS in HEPES 50 mM pH 8 buffer) Pierce ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ NeutrAvidin ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Agarose beads for 3 hours at room temperature. NeutrAvidin ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Agarose beads were used in ratio of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ bead resin per 10 ug of protein sample. The beads were subsequently washed by moderate shaking for 10 seconds then briefly pelleting by table-top centrifuge then vacuum aspirating the supernatant with fine-end pipette tips (to not disturb the agarose) after collecting $20 \mu$ L supernatant as SN (supernatant ) samples. After beads wash, the samples are eluted with $2 x$ Laemmli sample buffer containing $10 \%$ (v/v) $\beta$-mercaptoethanol at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min (PD samples, pull-down). The collected TL, SN and PD samples were analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting described in section 3.2.c. Primary antibody information were as following:
DESI2: Sigma, catalogue number: HPA049950, 1:1000 in $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ slimmed milk in TBST Vinculin: Abcam, catalogue number: ab91459, 1:1000 in $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ slimmed milk in TBST GAPDH, Abcam, catalogue number: AB9485, 1:2500 in 5\% (w/v) BSA in TBST

## 3) Proteomics analysis

a) Live cell probe incubation

For each experiment, sterile 10 cm dishes ( 10 mL media working volume) were seeded with HEK293T cells and incubated at $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , when cells had achieved $90-100 \%$ confluency, plates were treated with either DMSO or inhibitors/probes for indicated timepoint. The cells were harvested according to the description in section 3.2.d.
b) Click chemistry, precipitation, Neutravidin biotin enrichment and on-bead digestion

The harvested cell lysates were carried out by click chemistry, precipitation and enrichment described in section 3.2.d and 3.2.f. The resulting pull-downed protein on beads were reduced and alkylated with 5 mM TCEP and 10 mM chloroacetamide in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES with moderate shaking for 10 min at rt . Proteins were digested on-bead by treatment with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ trypsin or trypsin/LysC mix(Promega, 20 ug dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES) with vigorous shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The trypsin reaction was quenched by adding 1x EDTA-free protease inhibitor ( 50 X stock, ). The beads were pelleted and the supernatant ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) transferred to a new epppendorf tube. An extra bead wash ( 50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$, HEPES 50 mM pH 8.0 ) was combined with previous supernatant ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total). At this stage, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sample was analysed using a Pierce ${ }^{T M}$ Quantitative Fluorometric Peptide Assay (Catalog number: 23290) as per the manufacturers instructions to determine accurate an peptide amount for TMT labelling.

## c) Isobaric TMT labelling and high pH reverse phase fractionation

Upon checking that the pH of each sample was around 8.0 approximately 10 ug of peptide sample was labelled with $1 / 10$ of an 0.8 mg vial of the appropriate TMT10plex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Isobaric Mass Tag Labelling Reagent (Thermo Scientific) dissolved in acetonitrile ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) with moderate shaking for 2 h at rt TMTlabelling was quenched by the addition of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ hydroxylamine and the samples from each TMT set were combined to form a "multi-plex" solution. Trifluoroacetic acid was added to achieve a $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution and these samples were evaporated to dryness. Samples were then fractionated using
the Pierce High pH Reversed-Phase Peptide Fractionation Kit (Catalog number: 84868) as per the manufacturers instructions. All fractions of each sample were collected in low-bind epppendorfs tubes, evaporated to dryness and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
d) LC-MS/MS analysis

Samples were rehydrated in $0.5 \%(v / v)$ formic acid, $2 \% ~(v / v)$ UPLC grade MeCN in Optima ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ LC/MS $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Fisher Scientific) and dissolved completely by vortexing and sonication. Samples were filtered through $3 x$ Durapore ${ }^{\circledR}$ membrane filters (Millipore) plugged into a p20 pipette tip by centrifuging the samples through the filters ( $4000 \times \mathrm{g}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) into a mass spectrometry vial. Samples were stored at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until ready for analysis.

Peptides were separated on an EASY-Spray ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Acclaim PepMap C18 column ( $50 \mathrm{~cm} \times 75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ inner diameter, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a 3-hour linear gradient separation of 0-100\% solvent B ( $80 \%$ MeCN supplemented with $0.1 \%$ formic acid): solvent A ( $2 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ supplemented with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid) at a flow rate of $250 \mathrm{~nL} / \mathrm{min}$. The liquid chromatography was coupled to a QExactive mass spectrometer via an easy-spray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) which operated in data-dependent mode with survey scans acquired at a resolution of 70,000 at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 200$. Scans were acquired from 350 to $1800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. Up to 10 of the most abundant isotope patterns with charge +2 or higher from the survey scan were selected with an isolation window of $1.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ and fragmented by HCD with normalized collision energy of 25 . The maximum ion injection times for the survey scan and the MS/MS scans (acquired with a resolution of 35,000 at $m / z 200$ ) were 20 and 120 ms , respectively. The ion target value for MS was set to 106 and for MS/MS to 105 , and the intensity threshold was set to $8.3 \times 10^{2}$.

## e) Database searching and proteomics data analysis

Peptide searches were performed in MaxQuant (version 1.6.10.43). Under group-specific parameters and type, reporter ion MS2 was selected, and the appropriate TMT10plex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ isobaric labels selected for both lysines and N-termini. The isotope errors contained in each TMT batch code was also entered. For all experiments, oxidation ( M ) and acetyl (protein $N$-term) were set as variable modifications, carbamidomethyl ( C ) was set as a fixed modification, trypsin/P was set as the digestion mode. Where multiple TMT sets were analysed, re-quantify and match between runs were selected, and latest UniProt FASTA files for the human proteome and contaminants databases were used.

Data analysis was performed in Perseus version 1.16.6.0. Reporter intensity corrected values were loaded into the matrix. Data was filtered by removing rows based on "reverse", and "potential contaminant" columns. Data were log2 transformed and filtered by row, retaining those that had 2 valid values in each triplicate condition. To account for variance in protein abundance across different sample, the median of each channel was subtracted from each protein. If appropriate, multiple TMT data sets were normalized by subtracting the mean of each row within each TMT "plex". The $\log 2$ fold enrichment for each probe was determined by subtracting the DMSO control value from each of the different probe treated conditions.

## 4) USP30 WT and catalytic CS overexpressed in HEK293T cells

a) Preparation of DNA constructs

USP30 WT (\#22578) were purchased from Addgene ${ }^{4}$. USP30 C77S was generated by PCR using Sitedirected Mutagenesis Analysis kit (NEB) and the following primers:

USP30 C77S forward: 5'-GGGAACACCTCCTTCATGAAC-3'
USP30 C77S reverse: 5'-TAAATTAACAAGGCCAGG-3'
b) Profiling labelling in USP30 overexpression cells

HEK293T cells were transfected with plasmid encoding for USP30 WT and USP30 C77S using Lipofectamineтм 2000 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) and Opti-MEMтм reduced serum medium ( $\mathrm{GibCO}^{\text {M }}$ ) according to the manufacturer's instruction with the following modifications. USP30 WT ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per well) and USP30 C77S ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per well) was mixed with lipofectamine ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per well) and added to cells in a 6-well plate. After 24 h transfection, medium was removed and replaced by fresh culture medium supplemented with DMSO or inhibitors with indicated concentration for 1 h. Then, probes with indicated concentration were added for another 1h treatment. After cell lysis, samples were CuAAC ligation and analyzed by western blotting described in Section 3.2.f. Primary antibody information were as following:
HA, Cell signalling, catalogue number: C29F4, 1:1000 in $5 \%(w / v)$ slimmed milk in TBST
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