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## Experimental section

Chemical Materials. Cesium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 99.99 \%\right)$, lead bromide $\left(\mathrm{PbBr}_{2}\right.$, 99\%), 1-octadecene (ODE, 90\%), oleic acid (OA, 90\%), oleylamine (OLA, 80-90\%), antimony bromide hydrate $\left(\mathrm{SbBr}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 99 \%\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.9\%) were purchased from Aladdin. Toluene (99.5\%) was purchased from Nanjing Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. All materials and solvents were directly used without further purification.

Synthesis of Cs-OA. $0.8140 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OA}$ and 10 mL ODE were mixed into a 100 mL three-neck flask and dried under vacuum at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Then the mixture was heated to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ until the $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ powders were completely dissolved to form a transparent solution. The solution was cooled down to room temperature in an ice-water bath and preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before use.

Synthesis of $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ NCs. $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ NCs were synthesized by the following method. Cs-OA ( 0.04 mmol$), \mathrm{PbBr}_{2}(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{OA}(0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and OLA $(0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 2 mL of DMF to prepare precursor solution. Then, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the precursor solution was injected into 2 mL of toluene under vigorous stirring at room temperature. After the $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ crude solution was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 3 min , we discarded the bottom sediment, the supernatant was used for further characterization.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{C s P b B r}_{3}$ NPLs. $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ NPLs were synthesized by the following method. Cs-OA ( 0.04 mmol ), $\mathrm{PbBr}_{2}(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{OA}(0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and OLA ( 0.40 mmol ) were dissolved in 2 mL of DMF to prepare precursor solution. $0.1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{SbBr}_{3}$ was dissolved in 10 ml of toluene, which was diluted to different concentrations to tune $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios. NPLs were prepared by injecting $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMF precursor solution into different concentrations of $\mathrm{SbBr}_{3}$ toluene solutions at room temperature with vigorous stirring. The centrifugation purification procedure was the same as that for $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ NCs.

Characterization. The chemical compositions were determined using a PerkinElmer NexION 2000 inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS). X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were collected using a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray powder diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.154 \mathrm{~nm})$. The morphology and size of NPLs
were confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Hitachi, HT7700) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) (Talos, F200X). The ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra were recorded using a PerkinElmer Lambda 35S instrument in transmission mode. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded using a RF6000 spectrofluorometer with an excitation wavelength of 400 nm . The PL lifetimes were measured using an FLS920 fluorescence spectrometer with a pulse laser at 375 nm . The photoluminescence fluorescence quantum yield (PLQY), which is defined as the ratio of emitted photons to absorbed ones, was determined using a FLS920 fluorescence spectrometer equipped with an integrating sphere.


Fig. S1. XRD patterns of $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{9}$ samples with different $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios.


Fig. S2. Particle size distribution of $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3} \mathrm{NCs}(\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=0.211)$.
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Fig. S3. Zoom-in TEM image of NPLs with different $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios: (a) $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=0.838$, (b) $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=1.123$, and (c) $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=1.539$.


Fig. S4. Thickness (a-c), space distance (d-f), and edge (g-i) distributions for NPLs with different $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios: (a), (d), (g) $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=0.838$; (b), (e), (h) $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=1.123$; and (c), (f), (i) $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=1.539$.


Fig. S5. UV-vis absorption spectrum for $\mathrm{Cs}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{9}$.


Fig. S6. (a) The long-term stabilities of deep blue NPLs: (left image $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=0.838$ and right image $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=1.123$ ). (b) The images of samples under UV light at 0 day and 55 days storage.


Fig. S7. The image of samples under sunlight at 55 days storage. (left image $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=$ 0.838 and right image $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=1.123$ ).


Fig. S8. (a) The thermal stabilities of deep blue NPLs at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : (left image $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=$ 0.838 and right image $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=1.123$ ). (b) The images of samples under UV light at 0 $\min$ and 90 mins aging.


Fig. S9. (a) The UV resistance of deep blue NPLs under 365 nm (24 W) UV lamps: (left image $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=0.838$ and right image $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}=1.123$ ). (b) The images of samples under UV light at 0 and 8 h aging.

Table S1. ICP-MS identification the actual $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios.

| Samples <br> (feed $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}$ ratio) | $\mathbf{S b}(\mathbf{p p b})$ | $\mathbf{P b}(\mathbf{p p b})$ | Actual Sb/Pb ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}(0.5: 1)$ | 2.345 | 18.871 | $0.211: 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1.0: 1)$ | 4.644 | 9.438 | $0.838: 1$ |
| $\mathrm{D}(1.5: 1)$ | 8.177 | 12.408 | $1.123: 1$ |
| $\mathrm{E}(2.0: 1)$ | 11.292 | 12.492 | $1.539: 1$ |

Table S2. PL lifetimes of different $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios.

| Samples | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{0 . 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{0 . 8 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{1 . 1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{1 . 5 3 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau_{1}(\mathrm{~ns})$ | 3.96 | 4.94 | 5.50 | 4.01 | 1.24 |
| $\tau_{2}(\mathrm{~ns})$ | 15.30 | 10.69 | 12.14 | 12.31 | 5.86 |
| A 1 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.11 |
| A 2 | 0.48 | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.89 |
| $\tau_{\text {avg }}(\mathrm{ns})$ | 9.40 | 8.79 | 10.41 | 11.14 | 5.35 |

Table S3. PL lifetimes of different $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{Pb}$ ratios. (average lifetime, $\tau_{\text {avg }}$; nonradiative composite lifetime, $\tau_{\mathrm{n} \mathrm{r}}$; radiative decay rate, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$; radiative composite lifetime, $\tau_{\mathrm{r}}$; nonradiative decay rate, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{nr}}$; and PLQY)

| Samples | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{0 . 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{0 . 8 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{1 . 1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{S b} / \mathbf{P b}=\mathbf{1 . 5 3 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau_{\text {avg }}(\mathrm{ns})$ | 9.40 | 8.79 | 10.41 | 11.14 | 5.35 |
| PLQY (\%) | 66 | 47 | 53 | 33 | 0.60 |
| $\tau_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{ns})$ | 14.24 | 18.70 | 19.64 | 33.75 | 891.67 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~ns}^{-1}\right)$ | 7.02 | 5.34 | 5.09 | 2.96 | 0.11 |
| $\tau_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathrm{ns})$ | 27.64 | 16.58 | 22.14 | 16.62 | 5.38 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~ns}^{-1}\right)$ | 3.61 | 6.03 | 4.51 | 6.01 | 18.59 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{nr}}$ | 1.94 | 0.88 | 1.12 | 0.49 | 0.01 |

Radiative recombination lifetime, $\tau_{\mathrm{r}}=\tau_{\text {avg }} /$ PLQY;
Non-radiative recombination lifetime, $\tau_{\mathrm{nr}}=\tau_{\text {avg }} /(1-\mathrm{PLQY})$;
Radiative decay rate constant, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}=1 / \tau_{\mathrm{r}}$;
Non-radiative decay rate constant, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{nr}}=1 / \tau_{\mathrm{nr}}$.

