Cu-Doped CdZnS Nanocrystals: Leap Forward in Selective

Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction to Methane

Ji-Wei Li^a, Ze Luo^{a,b}, Tian-Qing Zhou^a, Hai-Bo Huang^{a,b,*}, Xi-Lin She^{a,*} and Hua

Tang^a

^a School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Qingdao University, Qingdao, 266071, P.R.

China

^b School of Material Science and Engineering, Qingdao University, Qingdao, 266071, P.R. China

*Corresponding authors. *E-mail:* <u>hbhuang16@163.com</u> (H. B. Huang); <u>xlshe@126.com</u> (X. L. She).

1. Experimental

1.1 Materials

Cadmium acetate (Cd (Ac)₂·2H₂O), zinc acetate (Zn (Ac)₂·2H₂O), copper acetate (Cu (Ac)₂·H₂O), and sodium sulfide (Na₂S·9H₂O) were of analytical reagent grade and used as received. Reaction and stock solutions were prepared by using deionized ultrapure water.

1.2 Preparation of CZS-x (x = 0/1/2/3/5/10) nanocrystals

The CZS–x (x=0/1/2/3/5/10) materials were synthesized via a simple hydrothermal method using deionized water as the solvent. In a typical synthesis for CZS–2, 2.5 mmol Cd (Ac)₂·2H₂O, 2.4 mmol Zn (Ac)₂·2H₂O, 0.1 mmol Cu (Ac)₂·H₂O and 5.0 mmol Na₂S·9H₂O were mixed in 20 mL distilled water under magnetic stirring for 1 h. The resultant brown mixture was then transferred into a 50 mL Teflon–lined autoclave and maintained at 160 °C for 12 h in oven. Finally, the precipitates were obtained by centrifugation and washed three times with deionized water and ethanol, respectively, dried at 70 °C for 6 h and named as CZS–2. For the synthesis of CZS–x, various ratio of Cu (Ac)₂·H₂O were used in the above described procedure.

2. Evaluation of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity

In a typical process, 5.0 mg of powder photocatalyst dispersed in 1.0 mL of deionized water was immobilized onto a ϕ 34 mm quartz filter membrane, and then the water solvent was evaporated with the irradiation of an infrared lamp. The photocatalyst–loaded membrane was moved into a 250 mL photoreactor with 3.0 mL of aqueous solution and suspended on the top of the reactor. Prior to illumination, the reactor was vacuumed and subsequently back-filled with ultra–pure CO₂ (99.99%) for about 1 h to reach the adsorption/desorption equilibrium of CO₂ at the surface of photocatalyst. The pressure of the reactor was maintained at 60 kPa and temperature was kept at 4 °C using circulating water. The visible–light irradiation was provided by a light–intensity– controlled xenon lamp (PLS–SXE300D, Beijing, PerfectLight) equipped with a UV– cut fliter ($\lambda \ge 420$ nm). The lamp was about 7.5 cm away from the catalyst membrane and the irradiance intensity was measured by a light power meter (PL–MW2000, Beijing, PerfectLight). Photocatalytic reactions were performed for 10 h at 4 °C, and

the gaseous products were analyzed online every hour by using an Agilent GC7820 gas chromatograph equipped with two tandem chromatographic columns (Porapak Q and 5A Molecular sieve), an FID detector and a TCD detector. During the durability test, the reaction system was evacuated every 5 h and refilled with ultra–pure CO_2 and water.



Fig. S1 XPS survey spectra of CZS-2.



Fig. S2 (a) SEM image, (b-g) elemental mapping and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectrometer (EDX) of CZS-2.



Fig. S3 (a) TEM, (b) HR-TEM image of CZS-2.



Fig. S4 H_2 evolution performance of CZS and CZS-2.



Fig. S5 The XRD pattern of CZS-2 after 15h reaction.



Fig. S6 CO₂ photoreduction performance under different condition of CZS-2.



Catalyst	Light source	Experimental condition	Production rate (µmol h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹)	Reference
Bi/AgBiS ₂ /P25	300 W (Xe)	CO ₂ and H ₂ O	4.31	1
$Br/g-C_3N_4$	300 W (Xe)	CO ₂ and H ₂ O	16.68	2
WO ₃ /In ₂ O ₃	300 W (Xe) ($\lambda \ge 420 \text{ nm}$)	CO ₂ and H ₂ O	0.45	3
FeTPP/TiO ₂	300 W (Xe) ($\lambda \ge 420 \text{ nm}$)	CO_2 and H_2O vapor	20.48	4
Bi/Cs2AgBiBr6	AM 1.5 G; 300 W (Xe)	CO ₂ and CH ₃ OH	1.49	5
SnS/SrTiO	300 W (Xe)	CO_2 and H_2O vapor	12.5	6
PCN-250-Fe ₃	300 W (Xe) ($\lambda \ge 420 \text{ nm}$)	CO ₂ and H ₂ O	4.08	7
CZS-2	300 W (Xe) $\lambda \ge 420 \text{ nm}$	CO ₂ and H ₂ O vapor	23.23	This work

 $\label{eq:table_stable} \textbf{Table. S1} Comparison of CO_2 photoreduction capacity over various photocatalysts under different conditions.$

Author contributions

Prof. H.T. and H.B.H. designed the project and wrote the manuscript; J.W.L. carried out most of the synthesis and characterization experiments in this work; Z.L. assisted the synthesis of materials; T.Q.Z. conducted the electron microscope studies.

- Q. Chen, Y. Ma, B. Qi, T. Zhang, L. Wang, J. Shi and X. Lan, *Appl. Surf. Sci*, 2021, 555, 149648.
- P. Yan, F. Ji, W. Zhang, Z. Mo, J. Qian, L. Zhu and L. Xu, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2023, 634, 1005-1013.
- Y. He, Z. Yang, J. Yu, D. Xu, C. Liu, Y. Pan, W. Macyk and F. Xu, *J. Mater*, 2023, 11, 14860-14869.
- 4. Y. Wu, L. Yan, Y. Yu and C. Jing, *Catal. Sci. Technol*, 2021, **11**, 6103-6111.
- M. S. Sena, J. Cui, Y. Baghdadi, E. Rattner, M. Daboczi, A. L. Lopes-Moriyama,
 A. G. dos Santos and S. Eslava, ACS Appl. Energy Mater, 2023, 6, 10193-10204.
- W. He, X. Wu, Y. Li, J. Xiong, Z. Tang, Y. Wei, Z. Zhao, X. Zhang and J. Liu, *Chin. Chem. Lett*, 2020, **31**, 2774-2778.
- J.-R. An, Y. Wang, W.-W. Dong, X.-J. Gao, O.-Y. Yang, Y.-L. Liu, J. Zhao and D.-S. Li, ACS Appl. Energy Mater, 2022, 5, 2384-2390.