# Supporting Information for

# Solvation and Oxidation Effects on the Crystal Structure and Morphology of Tetraoxolene-Based Materials

Ashlyn A. Kamin,<sup>†</sup> EJ Brannan,<sup>†</sup> Kathleen M. Snook, Sebastian Krajewski, Paige M. Gannon, Werner Kaminsky, Dianne J. Xiao<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work <sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: <u>djxiao@uw.edu</u>

## **Table of Contents**

| 1. | General Materials and Methods:    | 2  |
|----|-----------------------------------|----|
| 2. | Synthetic Procedures:             | 3  |
| 3. | Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction: | 10 |
| 4. | Powder X-ray Diffraction          | 16 |
| 5. | Supplementary Figures             | 18 |
| 6. | References                        | 32 |
|    |                                   |    |

## 1. General Materials and Methods:

Reactions were performed in air unless otherwise specified. Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial vendors (Millipore Sigma, TCI America, Alfa Aesar, Fisher Scientific, Oakwood Chemical, Combi-Blocks) and used without further purification.

High-resolution combustion analysis was conducted by Atlantic Microlabs Inc. (Norcross, GA, USA).

Attenuated total reflectance infrared (ATR-IR) spectra were collected on a Perkin Elmer Frontier FT-IR/FIR instrument equipped with a diamond ATR accessory.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were collected on a ThermoFisher Scientific ApreoS with LoVac scanning electron microscope with an operating voltage of 2 kV. All samples were prepared by dropcasting from DMF or DMA suspensions onto silicon wafers and coated with Pt at a thickness of 4 nm. Particle sizes distributions were determined by measuring 100 random particles across at least five different SEM images.

## 2. Synthetic Procedures:

The tetraoxolene ligands, H<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq and H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq, were synthesized as previously reported.<sup>1</sup>



Synthesis of *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> for SCXRD: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (1.25 mg, 0.004 mmol) in DMA (0.4 mL) was added to a 1 mL culture tube. A solution of Mn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.80 mg, 0.003 mmol, 0.75 eq.) in MeOH (0.4 mL) was layered above the solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq. The culture tube was placed inside a 20 mL scintillation vial, capped, and allowed to sit at room temperature for 10 days to give small, dark purple crystal rods suitable for X-ray diffraction.

**Synthesis of** *trans*-**Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> in air:** A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (94.1 mg, 0.319 mmol) in DMA (30 mL) was divided evenly amongst three 20 mL scintillation vials. A solution of Mn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (81.4 mg, 0.324 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMA (30 mL) was added, dividing similarly between the vials. The vials were sealed and heated at 80 °C for two days to give small, dark purple crystals. The resulting crystals were combined and washed with DMA ( $3 \times 20$  mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 50% yield (84.4 mg, 0.162 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (MnC<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>)(DMA)<sub>0.15</sub>: C, 59.89; H, 5.52; N, 5.68. Found: C, 59.84; H, 5.42; N, 5.64.

Alternative synthesis of *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> from Mn<sup>III</sup>: In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (20.0 mg, 0.068 mmol) in DMA (4 mL) was added to a 20 mL scintillation vial. A solution of Mn(acac)<sub>3</sub> (24.2 mg, 0.069 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMA (4 mL) was added. The vial was sealed and heated at 80 °C for nine days to give a purple powder. The resulting powder was washed with DMA (3  $\times$  10 mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 49% yield (17.4 mg, 0.033 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (MnC<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>0.5</sub>(DMA)<sub>0.1</sub>: C, 59.03; H, 5.61; N, 5.48. Found: C, 59.05; H, 5.56; N, 5.55.



Synthesis of *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> for SCXRD: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (1.25 mg, 0.004 mmol) in DMF (0.4 mL) was added to a 1 mL culture tube. A solution of Mn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.80 mg, 0.003 mmol, 0.75 eq.) in MeOH (0.4 mL) was layered above the solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq. The culture tube was placed inside a 20 mL scintillation vial, capped, and allowed to sit at room temperature for 10 days to give small, dark purple crystal rods.

**Synthesis of** *cis*-**Mn**(**Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq**)(**DMF**)<sub>2</sub>: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (88.8 mg, 0.302 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was divided evenly amongst three 20 mL scintillation vials. A solution of  $Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  (78.0 mg, 0.311 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (30 mL) was added, dividing similarly between the vials. The vials were sealed and heated at 80 °C for two days to give small, dark purple crystals. The resulting crystals were combined and washed with DMF (3 × 10 mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 73% yield (108 mg, 0.220 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (MnC<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>): C, 58.66; H, 4.92; N, 5.70. Found: C, 58.77; H, 5.04; N, 5.84.

Alternative synthesis of *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> from Mn<sup>III</sup>: In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (20.0 mg, 0.068 mmol) in DMF (4 mL) was added to a 20 mL scintillation vial. A solution of Mn(acac)<sub>3</sub> (24.0 mg, 0.068 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (4 mL) was added. The vial was sealed and heated at 80 °C for nine days to give a purple powder. The resulting powder was washed with DMF (3 × 10 mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 53% yield (17.5 mg, 0.036 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (MnC<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>0.3</sub>: C, 58.02; H, 4.99; N, 5.64. Found: C, 57.85; H, 5.02; N, 5.88.



**Synthesis of** *trans*-**Zn**(**Ph2dhbq**)(**DMA**)<sub>2</sub>: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (89.9 mg, 0.305 mmol) in DMA (30 mL) was divided evenly amongst three 20 mL scintillation vials. A solution of ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (86.7 mg, 0.311 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMA (30 mL) was added, dividing similarly between the vials. The vials were sealed and heated at 80 °C for two days to give a light purple powder. The resulting powder was combined and washed with DMA ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *trans*-Zn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 61% yield (98.8 mg, 0.186 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (ZnC<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>1.05</sub>: C, 56.90; H, 5.53; N, 5.10. Found: C, 56.75; H, 5.12; N, 5.04.



**Synthesis of** *cis*-**Zn**(**Ph**<sub>2</sub>**dhbq**)(**DMF**)<sub>2</sub>: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (86.7 mg, 0.295 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was divided evenly amongst three 20 mL scintillation vials. A solution of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (40.8 mg, 0.299 mmol, 1 eq.) in MeOH (30 mL) was added, dividing similarly between the vials. The vials were sealed and heated at 80 °C for two days to give dark purple crystals. The resulting crystals were combined and washed with DMF ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *cis*-Zn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 81% yield (119.8 mg, 0.239 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (ZnC<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>): C, 57.44; H, 4.82; N, 5.58. Found: C, 57.38; H, 4.78; N, 5.67.



**Synthesis of** *trans*-Mg(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (92.4 mg, 0.314 mmol) in DMA (30 mL) was divided evenly amongst three 20 mL scintillation vials. A solution of MgSO<sub>4</sub> (37.3 mg, 0.310 mmol, 1 eq.) in H<sub>2</sub>O (30 mL) was added, dividing similarly between the vials. The vials were sealed and heated at 80 °C for two days to give a light purple powder. The resulting powder was combined and washed with DMA ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *trans*-Mg(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 72% yield (109.2 mg, 0.223 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (MgC<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>0.04</sub>: C, 63.65; H, 5.79; N, 5.71. Found: C, 63.66; H, 5.75; N, 5.69.



**Synthesis of** *cis*-**Mg**(**Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq**)(**DMF**)<sub>2</sub>: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (89.5 mg, 0.304 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was divided evenly amongst three 20 mL scintillation vials. A solution of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (29.6 mg, 0.311 mmol, 1 eq.) in MeOH (30 mL) was added, dividing similarly between the vials. The vials were sealed and heated at 80 °C for two days to give small, dark purple crystals. The resulting crystals were combined and washed with DMF ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *cis*-Mg(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 73% yield (102.3 mg, 0.222 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (MgC<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>0.35</sub>: C, 61.72; H, 5.33; N, 6.00. Found: C, 61.70; H, 5.23; N, 6.08.



Synthesis of *trans*-Co(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (91.1 mg, 0.310 mmol) in DMA (27 mL) was divided evenly amongst three 20 mL culture tubes. A solution of CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (73.3 mg, 0.308 mmol, 1 eq.) in MeOH (27 mL) was carefully layered above the ligand solution, diving similarly between the tubes. The tubes were capped and left undisturbed at room temperature for 14 days to give black crystals. The crystals were combined and washed with DMA ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *trans*-Co(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 62% yield (99.0 mg, 0.189 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (CoC<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>0.05</sub>(C4H<sub>9</sub>NO)<sub>0.05</sub>: C, 59.52; H, 5.44; N, 5.43. Found: C, 59.48; H, 5.42; N, 5.39.



**Synthesis of** *cis*-Co(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>: A solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (90.1 mg, 0.306 mmol) in DMF (27 mL) was divided evenly amongst three 20 mL culture tubes. A solution of CoBr<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (73.4 mg, 0.310 mmol, 1 eq.) in MeOH (27 mL) was carefully layered above the ligand solution, diving similarly between the tubes. The tubes were capped and left undisturbed at room temperature for 14 days to give a magenta powder. The powders were combined and washed with DMF (3 × 10 mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain *cis*-Co(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> was obtained in 77% yield (118.6 mg, 0.239 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (CoC<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>0.3</sub>: C, 57.56; H, 4.95; N, 5.59. Found: C, 57.46; H, 4.86; N, 5.54.



Synthesis of Nd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>) for SCXRD: In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (2.5 mg, 0.0085 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) was added to a 4 mL scintillation vial. A solution of Nd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (3.8 mg, 0.0085 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in DMF (1 mL) was layered above the solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq. The vial was sealed and heated at 120 °C for 3 days to give small, dark purple block-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction.

**Synthesis of Nd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>):** In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (68.2 mg, 0.232 mmol) in DMF (6 mL) was divided equally between two 20 mL scintillation vial. A solution of Nd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (103 mg, 0.234 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (6 mL) was divided similarly between the two vials. The vials were sealed and heated at 120 °C for two weeks to give small dark purple crystals. The crystals were combined, washed with DMF ( $3 \times 20$  mL), and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain Nd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>) was obtained in 36% yield (59.1 mg, 0.0826 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (NdC<sub>27</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>0.2</sub>: C, 45.08; H, 4.40; N, 7.79. Found: C, 45.03; H, 4.31; N, 7.76.



Synthesis of Sm(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>): In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (19.9 mg, 0.068 mmol) in DMF (4 mL) was added to a 20 mL scintillation vial. A solution of Sm(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (30.0 mg, 0.067 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (4 mL) was added. The vial was sealed and heated at 120 °C for seven days to give small dark crystals. The resulting crystals were washed with DMF at 80 °C (3 × 10 mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain Sm(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>) was obtained in 13% yield (6.2 mg, 0.0086 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (SmC<sub>27</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>0.5</sub>(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NO)<sub>0.1</sub>: C, 44.41; H, 4.46; N, 7.78. Found: C, 44.43; H, 4.38; N, 7.86.



Synthesis of Gd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>): In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (20.0 mg, 0.068 mmol) in DMF (4 mL) was added to a 20 mL scintillation vial. A solution of Gd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (30.7 mg, 0.067 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (4 mL) was added. The vial was sealed and heated at 120 °C for seven days to give small dark crystals. The resulting crystals were washed with DMF at 80 °C (3 × 10 mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The chain Gd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>) was obtained in 16% yield (7.7 mg, 0.0094 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (GdC<sub>27</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>): C, 44.49; H, 4.29; N, 7.69. Found: C, 48.27; H, 4.15; N, 5.69.



Synthesis of Nd<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)<sub>3</sub>(DMF)<sub>6</sub> for SCXRD: In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (5.0 mg, 0.017 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) was added to a 4 mL scintillation vial. A solution of NdCl<sub>3</sub>· 6H<sub>2</sub>O (4.2 mg, 0.017 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (1 mL) was layered above the solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq. The vial was removed from the glovebox, opened to air, then sealed and heated at 120 °C to give small, dark purple block-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction.

**Synthesis of Nd**<sub>2</sub>(**Ph**<sub>2</sub>**dhbq**)<sub>3</sub>(**DMF**)<sub>6</sub>: In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq (20.0 mg, 0.068 mmol) in DMF (4 mL) was added to a 20 mL scintillation vial followed by a solution of NdCl<sub>3</sub>· 6H<sub>2</sub>O (17.0 mg, 0.067 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (4 mL). The vial was removed from the box, opened to air, then sealed and heated at 120 °C for five days to give small purple crystals. The crystals were washed with DMF at 80 °C ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and dried under flowing nitrogen. The 2D network Nd<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)<sub>3</sub>(DMF)<sub>6</sub> was obtained in 7% yield (4.7 mg, 0.0025 mmol). Anal. Calc. for (Nd<sub>2</sub>C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>12</sub>[C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NO]<sub>5.1</sub>[H<sub>2</sub>O]<sub>0.9</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>1.4</sub>: C, 52.90; H, 4.50; N, 4.54. Found: C, 52.72; H, 4.26; N, 4.77.

*Note*: best fit for combustion analysis data suggests that a small amount of coordinated DMF (less than one molecule per formula unit) may be replaced by H<sub>2</sub>O in this structure.

## 3. Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction:

Standard single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) data were collected on a Bruker APEX II single crystal X-ray diffractometer equipped with a Mo-radiation source and a Miracol X-ray optical collimator. Synchrotron SCXRD data were collected on Beamline 12.2.1 at the Advanced Light Source (ALS), Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (Berkeley, CA, USA).

The data was integrated and scaled using SAINT, SADABS within the APEX2 software package by Bruker.<sup>2</sup> Solution by direct methods using SHELXT produced complete heavy atom phasing models consistent with the proposed structures.<sup>3,4</sup> The structures were completed by difference Fourier synthesis with SHELXL.<sup>5,6</sup> Scattering factors are from Waasmair and Kirfel.<sup>7</sup> Hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with C–H distances in the range 0.95-1.00 Å. Isotropic thermal parameters U<sub>eq</sub> were fixed such that they were 1.2U<sub>eq</sub> of their parent atom U<sub>eq</sub> for CH's and 1.5U<sub>eq</sub> of their parent atom U<sub>eq</sub> for methyl groups. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares.

**SCXRD of** *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>: A purple needle measuring  $0.13 \times 0.04 \times 0.04$  mm<sup>3</sup> was mounted on a loop with oil. Data was collected at 100 K. Crystal-to-detector distance was 40 mm and exposure time was 60 seconds per frame for all sets. The scan width was  $0.5^{\circ}$ . Data collection was 100% complete to  $25^{\circ}$  in  $\theta$ . A total of 9415 merged reflections were collected covering the indices:  $-10 \le h \le 10, -17 \le k \le 14, -15 \le 1 \le 15$ . 3018 reflections were symmetry independent with R<sub>int</sub> = 0.0936. Indexing and unit cell refinement indicated a primitive monoclinic lattice. The space group was found to be P  $2_1/c$  (No. 14).

The displacement parameters of the disordered DMF were stabilized via EADP (C10/C10B) and ISOR (C10, C11/C11B). The geometries of the two disordered DMF were linked with a 'SAME' command.

**SCXRD of** *cis*-**Mn**(**Ph**<sub>2</sub>**dhbq**)(**DMF**)<sub>2</sub>: Data were collected using a synchrotron X-ray source. A purple needle measuring  $0.12 \times 0.02 \times 0.02$  mm<sup>3</sup> was mounted on a loop with oil. Data was collected at 100 K. Data collection was 100% complete to 25° in  $\theta$ . A total of 31856 merged reflections were collected covering the indices:  $-12 \le h \le 12$ ,  $-12 \le k \le 12$ ,  $-23 \le 1 \le 23$ . 4271 reflections were symmetry independent with R<sub>int</sub> = 0.0716. Indexing and unit cell refinement indicated a primitive trigonal lattice. The space group was found to be P 3<sub>1</sub> (No. 144).

The racemate (chiral space group P3(1)) required a TWIN card ( $1\ 0\ 0\ -1\ -1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1$ ) and BASF refinement (BASF = 0.497(4)). Phenyl groups were optimized via AFIX 66 ... AFIX 0 loops.

SCXRD of Nd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>): A purple prism measuring  $0.14 \times 0.07 \times 0.03$  mm<sup>3</sup> was mounted on a loop with oil. Data was collected at 100 K. Crystal-to-detector distance was 40 mm and exposure time was 15 seconds per frame for all sets. The scan width was 0.5°. Data collection was 100% complete to 25° in  $\theta$ . A total of 11769 reflections were collected covering the indices,

 $-16 \le h \le 16$ ,  $-18 \le k \le 18$ ,  $-19 \le l \le 19$ . 11769 reflections were symmetry independent and the R<sub>int</sub> = 0.0496. Indexing and unit cell refinement indicated a triclinic lattice. The space group was found to be P  $\overline{1}$  (No. 2).

The displacement parameters of a few atoms (N4 O8 O9 N2 C24) were linked along bonds via a DELU card and those of C24/C24 in addition stabilized with an ISOR command and the disordered C24/C24 was set to share equal displacement via 'EADP'.

**SCXRD of Nd**<sub>2</sub>(**Ph**<sub>2</sub>**dhbq**)<sub>3</sub>(**DMF**)<sub>6</sub>: Data were collected using a synchrotron X-ray source. A purple plate measuring  $0.07 \times 0.05 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}^3$  was mounted on a loop with oil. Data was collected at 100 K. Crystal-to-detector distance was 47.1 mm and exposure time was 1 second per frame for all sets. The scan width was  $0.5^\circ$ . Data collection was 91.4% complete to  $25^\circ$  in  $\theta$ . A total of 57327 reflections were collected covering the indices,  $-12 \le h \le 12$ ,  $-12 \le k \le 12$ ,  $-22 \le l \le 22$ . 16406 reflections were symmetry independent and the R<sub>int</sub> = 0.0836. Indexing and unit cell refinement indicated a triclinic lattice. The space group was found to be P  $\overline{1}$  (No. 2).

Two disordered DMF solvent molecules required planarization ('FLAT' commands) and linking of displacement parameters ('SIMU' card) due to partial overlap of the atoms. In addition, the geometries of all DMF solvents are linked to a better defined 'template' DMF, (O13 ... C57). The water hydrogen atoms were 'attached' to the oxygen with DFIX restraints, and 5 isolated carbons and two oxygen atoms needed 'ISOR' stabilization.

 Table S1. Crystallographic data for trans-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>.

| Empirical formula                        | C26 H28 Mn N2 O6                             |                             |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Formula weight                           | 519.44                                       |                             |  |  |
| Temperature                              | 100(2) K                                     |                             |  |  |
| Wavelength                               | 0.71073 Å                                    |                             |  |  |
| Crystal system                           | Monoclinic                                   |                             |  |  |
| Space group                              | P 21/c                                       |                             |  |  |
| Unit cell dimensions                     | a = 8.0608(9)  Å                             | $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$       |  |  |
|  | b = 13.3977(14) Å                            | $\beta = 91.241(6)^{\circ}$ |  |  |
|  | c = 11.7569(14) Å                            | $\gamma=90^\circ$           |  |  |
| Volume                                   | 1269.4(2) Å <sup>3</sup>                     |                             |  |  |
| Z  | 2  |                             |  |  |
| Density (calculated)                     | 1.359 Mg/m <sup>3</sup>                      |                             |  |  |
| Absorption coefficient                   | $0.563 \text{ mm}^{-1}$                      |                             |  |  |
| F(000)                                   | 542  |                             |  |  |
| Crystal size                             | $0.130 \times 0.040 \times 0.040 \text{ mm}$ | n <sup>3</sup>              |  |  |
| Theta range for data collection          | 2.305 to 27.853°                             |                             |  |  |
| Index ranges                             | $-10 \leq h \leq 10, -17 \leq k \leq 14$     | $4, -15 \le l \le 15$       |  |  |
| Reflections collected                    | 9415   |                             |  |  |
| Independent reflections                  | 3018 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0936]             |                             |  |  |
| Completeness to theta = $25.000^{\circ}$ | 100.0%                                       |                             |  |  |
| Refinement method                        | Full-matrix least-squares on F <sup>2</sup>  |                             |  |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters           | 3018 / 26 / 215                              |                             |  |  |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>        | 0.976  |                             |  |  |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]            | R1 = 0.0479, wR2 = 0.0963                    |                             |  |  |
| R indices (all data)                     | R1 = 0.1057, wR2 = 0.1153                    |                             |  |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole              | 0.306 and –0.456 e Å $^{-3}$                 |                             |  |  |

 Table S2. Crystallographic data for *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>.

| Empirical formula                        | C24 H24 Mn N2 O6                            |                       |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| Formula weight                           | 491.39                                      |                       |  |  |
| Temperature                              | 100(2) K                                    |                       |  |  |
| Wavelength                               | 0.7288 Å                                    |                       |  |  |
| Crystal system                           | Trigonal                                    |                       |  |  |
| Space group                              | P 31  |                       |  |  |
| Unit cell dimensions                     | a = 10.1118(12)  Å                          | $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ |  |  |
|  | b = 10.1118(12) Å                           | $\beta = 90^{\circ}$  |  |  |
|  | c = 18.832(3) Å                             | $\gamma = 120^\circ$  |  |  |
| Volume                                   | 1667.6(5) Å <sup>3</sup>                    |                       |  |  |
| Z  | 3   |                       |  |  |
| Density (calculated)                     | 1.468 Mg/m <sup>3</sup>                     |                       |  |  |
| Absorption coefficient                   | $0.677 \text{ mm}^{-1}$                     |                       |  |  |
| F(000)                                   | 765   |                       |  |  |
| Crystal size                             | $0.120\times0.020\times0.020\ mm^3$         |                       |  |  |
| Theta range for data collection          | 2.218 to 26.831°                            |                       |  |  |
| Index ranges                             | $-12 \le h \le 12, -12 \le k \le 12$        | $2, -23 \le 1 \le 23$ |  |  |
| Reflections collected                    | 31856                                       |                       |  |  |
| Independent reflections                  | $4271 \ [R_{int} = 0.0716]$                 |                       |  |  |
| Completeness to theta = $25.930^{\circ}$ | 100.0%                                      |                       |  |  |
| Absorption correction                    | Semi-empirical from equivalents             |                       |  |  |
| Max. and min. transmission               | 0.9453 and 0.8080                           |                       |  |  |
| Refinement method                        | Full-matrix least-squares on F <sup>2</sup> |                       |  |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters           | 4271 / 1 / 279                              |                       |  |  |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>        | 1.073                                       |                       |  |  |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]            | R1 = 0.0374, wR2 = 0.0982                   |                       |  |  |
| R indices (all data)                     | R1 = 0.0403, wR2 = 0.1001                   |                       |  |  |
| Absolute structure parameter             | 0.497(4)                                    |                       |  |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole              | 0.341 and $-0.297 \text{ e } \text{A}^{-3}$ |                       |  |  |

 Table S3: Crystallographic data for Nd(Ph2dhbq)(DMF)3(NO3).

| Empirical formula                        | C27 H31 N4 Nd O10                            |                              |  |  |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| Formula weight                           | 715.80                                       |                              |  |  |
| Temperature                              | 100(2) K                                     |                              |  |  |
| Wavelength                               | 0.71073 Å                                    |                              |  |  |
| Crystal system                           | Triclinic                                    |                              |  |  |
| Space group                              | P -1   |                              |  |  |
| Unit cell dimensions                     | a = 10.4589(17) Å                            | $\alpha = 93.854(8)^{\circ}$ |  |  |
|  | b = 12.0484(19) Å                            | $\beta = 113.574(8)^{\circ}$ |  |  |
|  | c = 12.740(2)  Å                             | $\gamma=91.387(8)^\circ$     |  |  |
| Volume                                   | 1465.8(4) Å <sup>3</sup>                     |                              |  |  |
| Z  | 2  |                              |  |  |
| Density (calculated)                     | 1.622 Mg/m <sup>3</sup>                      |                              |  |  |
| Absorption coefficient                   | $1.833 \text{ mm}^{-1}$                      |                              |  |  |
| F(000)                                   | 722  |                              |  |  |
| Crystal size                             | $0.140 \times 0.070 \times 0.030 \text{ mm}$ | n <sup>3</sup>               |  |  |
| Theta range for data collection          | 1.697 to 33.805°                             |                              |  |  |
| Index ranges                             | $-16 \le h \le 16, -18 \le k \le 18$         | $8, -19 \le 1 \le 19$        |  |  |
| Reflections collected                    | 84305  |                              |  |  |
| Independent reflections                  | 11769 [ $R_{int} = 0.0496$ ]                 |                              |  |  |
| Completeness to theta = $25.242^{\circ}$ | 100.0%                                       |                              |  |  |
| Refinement method                        | Full-matrix least-squares on F <sup>2</sup>  |                              |  |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters           | 11769 / 11 / 390                             |                              |  |  |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>        | 1.046  |                              |  |  |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]            | R1 = 0.0190, $wR2 = 0.0448$                  |                              |  |  |
| R indices (all data)                     | R1 = 0.0213, wR2 = 0.0457                    |                              |  |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole              | 1.207 and $-0.789$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>         |                              |  |  |

 Table S4:
 Crystallographic data for Nd2(Ph2dhbq)3(DMF)6.

| Empirical formula                        | C84 H102 N10 Nd2 O23                         |                             |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Formula weight                           | 1908.23                                      |                             |  |  |
| Temperature                              | 100(2) K                                     |                             |  |  |
| Wavelength                               | 0.7288 Å                                     |                             |  |  |
| Crystal system                           | Triclinic                                    |                             |  |  |
| Space group                              | P -1   |                             |  |  |
| Unit cell dimensions                     | a = 12.033(6) Å                              | $\alpha = 81.48(2)^{\circ}$ |  |  |
|  | b = 14.668(7) Å                              | $\beta = 88.19(2)^{\circ}$  |  |  |
|  | c = 27.099(12) Å                             | $\gamma = 69.49(2)^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| Volume                                   | 4429(4) Å <sup>3</sup>                       |                             |  |  |
| Z  | 2  |                             |  |  |
| Density (calculated)                     | 1.431 Mg/m <sup>3</sup>                      |                             |  |  |
| Absorption coefficient                   | $1.311 \text{ mm}^{-1}$                      |                             |  |  |
| F(000)                                   | 1960   |                             |  |  |
| Crystal size                             | $0.070 \times 0.050 \times 0.020 \text{ mm}$ | n <sup>3</sup>              |  |  |
| Theta range for data collection          | 0.779 to 27.097°                             |                             |  |  |
| Index ranges                             | $-12 \leq h \leq 12, -12 \leq k \leq 12$     | $2, -22 \le l \le 22$       |  |  |
| Reflections collected                    | 57327  |                             |  |  |
| Independent reflections                  | $16406 [R_{int} = 0.0836]$                   |                             |  |  |
| Completeness to theta = $25.930^{\circ}$ | 91.4%  |                             |  |  |
| Refinement method                        | Full-matrix least-squares on F <sup>2</sup>  |                             |  |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters           | 16406 / 835 / 1171                           |                             |  |  |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>        | 0.977  |                             |  |  |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]            | R1 = 0.0903, $wR2 = 0.2262$                  |                             |  |  |
| R indices (all data)                     | R1 = 0.1301, $wR2 = 0.2547$                  |                             |  |  |
| Extinction coefficient                   | 0.0014(3)                                    |                             |  |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole              | 2.188 and –2.623 e Å <sup>-3</sup>           |                             |  |  |

#### 4. Powder X-ray Diffraction

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected on a Bruker D2 PHASER benchtop diffractometer equipped with a LINXEYE XE-T detector. Samples were ground with a spatula and analyzed as dry powders on a monocrystalline Si substrate.

Data analysis was performed in the TOPAS software package by Bruker. Indexing and Pawley refinement of all structures were successfully performed using the space groups determined from the SCXRD structures of the corresponding isostructural Mn or Nd chains. Refinement was performed using Pseudo-Voigt functions for all data.

**Table S5**: Unit cell parameters determined from the Pawley refinement of the PXRD patterns of *trans*-M(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> (M = Zn, Mg, and Co) in the space group P2<sub>1</sub>/c (No. 14), relative to the SCXRD structure of *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>.

| chain    | <b>a</b> (Å) | <b>b</b> (Å) | <b>c</b> (Å) | α (°) | β (°)     | γ (°) | R <sub>Bragg</sub> |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------------|
| trans-Mn | 8.0608(9)    | 13.3977(14)  | 11.7569(14)  | 90    | 91.241(6) | 90    | -                  |
| trans-Zn | 7.8476(13)   | 13.509(2)    | 11.9729(19)  | 90    | 90.44(4)  | 90    | 1.9                |
| trans-Mg | 7.846(3)     | 13.510(5)    | 11.979(4)    | 90    | 90.29(6)  | 90    | 0.8                |
| trans-Co | 10.833(17)   | 13.434(11)   | 12.278(8)    | 90    | 80.94(6)  | 90    | 1.8                |

**Table S6**: Unit cell parameters determined from the Pawley refinement of the PXRD patterns of cis-M(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> (M = Zn, Mg, and Co) in the space group P3<sub>1</sub> (No. 144), relative to the SCXRD structure of cis-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>.

| chain  | <b>a</b> (Å) | <b>b</b> (Å) | <b>c</b> (Å) | a (°) | β (°) | γ (°) | RBragg |
|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| cis-Mn | 10.1118(12)  | 10.1118(12)  | 18.832(3)    | 90    | 90    | 120   | -      |
| cis-Zn | 10.2632(14)  | 10.2632(14)  | 19.016(4)    | 90    | 90    | 120   | 1.2    |
| cis-Mg | 10.3078(12)  | 10.3078(12)  | 18.983(3)    | 90    | 90    | 120   | 2.3    |
| cis-Co | 10.272(4)    | 10.272(4)    | 19.030(8)    | 90    | 90    | 120   | 0.2    |

**Table S7**: Unit cell parameters determined from the Pawley refinement of the PXRD patterns of  $M(Ph_2dhbq)(DMF)_3(NO_3)$  (M = Sm and Gd) in the space group P-1 (No. 2), relative to the SCXRD structure of Nd(Ph\_2dhbq)(DMF)\_3(NO\_3).

| chain | <b>a</b> (Å) | <b>b</b> (Å) | <b>c</b> (Å) | a (°)     | β (°)      | γ (°)     | <b>R</b> Bragg |
|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------------|
| Nd    | 10.4589(17)  | 12.0484(19)  | 12.740(2)    | 93.854(8) | 113.574(8) | 91.387(8) | -              |
| Sm    | 10.81(2)     | 12.35(2)     | 13.09(3)     | 85.29(10) | 115.50(15) | 89.34(14) | 3.8            |
| Gd    | 10.479(9)    | 12.049(9)    | 12.612(13)   | 94.17(6)  | 112.06(6)  | 91.74(6)  | 1.3            |

# 5. Supplementary Figures



**Figure S1.** Pawley refinement of the PXRD pattern of *trans*-Zn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>. Experimental data (black  $\times$ 's) are shown in comparison to the calculated fit (upper solid trace, purple) and difference plot (lower solid trace, pink).



**Figure S2.** Pawley refinement of the PXRD pattern of *cis*-Zn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>. Experimental data (black  $\times$ 's) are shown in comparison to the calculated fit (upper solid trace, purple) and difference plot (lower solid trace, pink).



**Figure S3.** Pawley refinement of the PXRD pattern of *trans*-Mg(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>. Experimental data (black  $\times$ 's) are shown in comparison to the calculated fit (upper solid trace, purple) and difference plot (lower solid trace, pink).



**Figure S4.** Pawley refinement of the PXRD pattern of *cis*-Mg(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>. Experimental data (black  $\times$ 's) are shown in comparison to the calculated fit (upper solid trace, purple) and difference plot (lower solid trace, pink).



**Figure S5.** Pawley refinement of the PXRD pattern of *trans*-Co(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>. Experimental data (black  $\times$ 's) are shown in comparison to the calculated fit (upper solid trace, purple) and difference plot (lower solid trace, pink).



**Figure S6.** Pawley refinement of the PXRD pattern of *cis*-Co(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>. Experimental data (black  $\times$ 's) are shown in comparison to the calculated fit (upper solid trace, purple) and difference plot (lower solid trace, pink).



**Figure S7.** Pawley refinement of the PXRD pattern of  $Sm(Ph_2dhbq)(DMF)_3(NO_3)$ . Experimental data (black ×'s) are shown in comparison to the calculated fit (upper solid trace, purple) and difference plot (lower solid trace, pink).



**Figure S8.** Pawley refinement of the PXRD pattern of  $Gd(Ph_2dhbq)(DMF)_3(NO_3)$ . Experimental data (black ×'s) are shown in comparison to the calculated fit (upper solid trace, purple) and difference plot (lower solid trace, pink).



**Figure S9.** Experimental PXRD patterns of *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from (top to bottom) oxidized H<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> with heat under an inert atmosphere, reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> with heat under an inert atmosphere, and reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> with heat in air, compared to the calculated PXRD of *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S10.** Experimental PXRD patterns of *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from (top to bottom) oxidized H<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> with heat under an inert atmosphere, reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> with heat under an inert atmosphere, and reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> with heat in air, compared to the calculated PXRD of *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S11.** Preliminary experimental PXRD pattern of (top) Nd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>) synthesized from neodymium(III) nitrate with the oxidized H<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq under an inert atmosphere compared to (bottom) the calculated PXRD pattern Nd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>).



**Figure S12.** Preliminary experimental PXRD pattern of (top) Nd<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)<sub>3</sub>(DMF)<sub>6</sub> synthesized from neodymium(III) nitrate in air compared to (bottom) the calculated PXRD pattern of Nd<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)<sub>3</sub>(DMF)<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S13.** SEM images of (left) *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> and (right) *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the oxidized H<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> in DMA or DMF with heat under an inert atmosphere.



**Figure S14.** SEM images of (left) *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> and (right) *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> in layered MeOH/DMA or MeOH/DMF at room temperature in air.



**Figure S15.** SEM images of (left) *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> and (right) *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> in DMA or DMF with heat in air.



**Figure S16.** SEM images of (left) *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> and (right) *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>III</sup> in DMA or DMF with heat under an inert atmosphere.



**Figure S17.** Particle size distributions derived from the SEM images of *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> in layered MeOH/DMA at room temperature in air. The average particle length is  $80.3 \pm 70.2 \,\mu\text{m}$  and diameter is  $15.4 \pm 10.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ .



**Figure S18.** Particle size distributions derived from the SEM images of *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> in layered MeOH/DMF at room temperature in air. The average particle length is  $38.7 \pm 18.2 \,\mu$ m and diameter is  $4.53 \pm 2.89 \,\mu$ m.



**Figure S19.** Particle size distributions derived from the SEM images of *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> in DMA with heat in air. The average particle length is  $28.8 \pm 14.6 \,\mu\text{m}$  and diameter is  $5.66 \pm 2.61 \,\mu\text{m}$ .



**Figure S20.** Particle size distributions derived from the SEM images of *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>II</sup> in DMF with heat in air. The average particle length is  $22.9 \pm 7.6 \,\mu\text{m}$  and diameter is  $6.10 \pm 2.30 \,\mu\text{m}$ .



**Figure S21.** Particle size distributions derived from the SEM images of *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>III</sup> in DMA with heat under an inert atmosphere. The average particle thickness is  $4.66 \pm 0.87 \mu m$  and diameter is  $8.97 \pm 1.32 \mu m$ .



**Figure S22.** Particle size distributions derived from the SEM images of *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub> synthesized from the reduced H<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq with Mn<sup>III</sup> in DMF with heat under an inert atmosphere. The average particle thickness is  $15.2 \pm 5.9 \,\mu\text{m}$  and diameter is  $17.6 \pm 4.4 \,\mu\text{m}$ .



**Figure S23.** IR spectrum of (left) *trans*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> and (right) *cis*-Mn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S24.** IR spectrum of (left) *trans*-Zn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> and (right) *cis*-Zn(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S25.** IR spectrum of (left) *trans*-Mg(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> and (right) *cis*-Mg(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S26.** IR spectrum of (left) *trans*-Co(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMA)<sub>2</sub> and (right) *cis*-Co(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S27. IR spectrum of (left) Nd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>) and (right) Nd<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)<sub>3</sub>(DMF)<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S28.** IR spectrum of (left) Sm(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>) and (right) Gd(Ph<sub>2</sub>dhbq)(DMF)<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>).

## 6. References

- Kamin, A. A.; Moseley, I. P.; Oh, J.; Brannan, E. J.; Gannon, P. M.; Kaminsky, W.; Zadrozny, J. M.; Xiao, D. J. Geometry-Dependent Valence Tautomerism, Magnetism, and Electrical Conductivity in 1D Iron–Tetraoxolene Chains. *Chem. Sci.* 2023, *14* (15), 4083– 4090. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2SC06392A.
- (2) Bruker (2007). APEX2 (Version 2.1-4), SAINT (Version 7.34A), SADABS (Version 2007/4). BrukerAXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- (3) Sheldrick, G. M. A Short History of SHELX. *Acta Cryst A* **2008**, *64* (1), 112–122. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108767307043930.
- (4) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXT Integrated Space-Group and Crystal-Structure Determination. *Acta Cryst A* **2015**, *71* (1), 3–8. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053273314026370.
- (5) SHELDRICK, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. *University of Göttingen, Germany.* **1997**.
- (6) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal Structure Refinement with SHELXL. *Acta Cryst C* **2015**, *71* (1), 3–8. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053229614024218.
- (7) Waasmaier, D.; Kirfel, A. New Analytical Scattering-Factor Functions for Free Atoms and Ions. *Acta Cryst A* **1995**, *51* (3), 416–431. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108767394013292.