Homochiral *vs.* heterochiral preference in chiral self-recognition of cyclic diols

Jennifer Dupont,^{a)} Beppo Hartwig, ^{b)} Katia Le Barbu-Debus,^{a)} Valéria Lepère,^{a)} Regis Guillot,^{c)} Martin A. Suhm, ^{b)} Anne Zehnacker^{a)}*

- a) Institut des Sciences Moléculaires d'Orsay (ISMO), CNRS, rue André Rivière, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91405 Orsay, France
- b) Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Tammannstr.6, 37077 Göttingen, Germany.
- c) Institut de Chimie Moléculaire et des Matériaux d'Orsay (ICMMO), 17 Av. des Sciences Université Paris-Saclay, F-91405 Orsay, France
 *e-mail: anne.zehnacker-rentien@universite-paris-saclay.fr

Supplementary Information

Table S1: Relative electronic energy ΔE_{el} , zero-point-corrected energy ΔE_0 , Gibbs energy at room-temperature with ($\Delta G_{QRRHO}(300 \text{ K})$) and without ($\Delta G(300 \text{ K})$) Quasi Rigid Rotor Harmonic Oscillator approximation, and deformation energy E_{def} (see text), calculated at the B3LYP-D3(BJ,abc)/ma-def2-TZVP level. Dimer energies are given relative to the most stable homochiral Insertion (M_1+M_1) conformer. All values are given in kJ mol⁻¹.

Monomer	$\Delta E_{\rm el}$	ΔE_0	<i>∆G</i> (300 К)	ΔG_{QRRHO} (300 K)	E _{def}
M ₁	0	0	0	0	-
M ₁ '	8.4	7.2	6.7	6.7	-
M ₂	11.7	10.2	9.6	9.7	-
Homochiral dimers	$\Delta E_{\rm el}$	ΔE_0	<i>∆G</i> (300 К)	ΔG_{QRRHO} (300 K)	Edef
Insertion (M ₁ +M ₁)	0	0	0	0	8.7
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_1(M_1+M_1)$	13.7	10.8	2.0	6.9	2.8
Addition $O_2H_2> O_2(M_1+M_1')$	7.4	5.9	5.1	5.1	13.1
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_2(M_1+M_1)$	9.0	7.6	4.1	5.7	2.9
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_2(M_1+M_2)$	10.8	8.6	7.6	7.6	18.6
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_2(M_1+M_2)a$	12.3	10.0	8.0	8.8	19.3
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_2(M_1+M_1)a$	11.3	9.4	5.7	7.3	7.8
Heterochiral dimers	$\Delta E_{\rm el}$	ΔE_0	<i>∆G</i> (300 К)	$\Delta G_{ ext{QRRHO}}$ (300 K)	E _{def}
Insertion (M ₁ +M ₁)	2.8	1.6	2.4	1.8	10.4
Addition $O_2H_2 -> O_1(M_1+M_1)$	12.3	9.6	-1.3	4.6	3.3
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_2(M_1+M_1)a$	11.7	9.7	0.8	5.4	3.0
Double insertion (M ₁ +M ₁)	5.1	4.7	6.2	5.3	20.5
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_2(M_1+M_1)b$	9.9	8.6	3.7	6.1	2.5
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_2(M_1+M_2)$	7.5	6.2	5.9	5.8	20.5
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O_2(M_1+M_1)c$	9.1	7.9	4.7	6.2	3.9
Addition $O_2H_2 \rightarrow O2(M_1+M_1)d$	11.3	9.2	5.7	7.2	7.7
Addition $O_2H_2> O_2(M_1+M_1')$	12.6	10.2	8.3	9.0	11.7

Table S2. ZPE-corrected ΔE_0 and Gibbs ΔG relative energies calculated at the B3LYP-D3BJ/6-31G++(d,p) level, in kJ mol⁻¹. Relevant geometrical parameters describing the most stable conformers of the PCD monomers. The distances are given in Å and the angles in °.

Conformer	ΔG	ΔEo	$0_{1}\widehat{H_{1}}0_{2}$ $d(0_{2}-H_{1})$	$\widehat{0_{2}\mathbf{H}_{2}0_{1}}$ $d(0_{1}-\mathbf{H}_{2})$	$\tau \left(C_2 C_1 O_1 H_1\right)$	$\tau (C_6C_1O_1H_1)$	τ (C1C2O2H2)	τ (C3C2O2H2)	τ (H ₃ C ₂ O ₂ H ₂)
M 1	0.0	0.0	115° 2,22 Å	-	-	157°	-	171°	-
M '1	7.1	7.8	113° 2,18 Å	-	-	164°	168°	-	-
M 2	10.0	10.7	-	109° 2,28 Å	180°	-	-	-	166°

Table S3 - Crystallographic data and structure refinement details.

Compound	cis-PCD			
CCDC	2277624			
Empirical Formula	$C_{12} H_{16} O_2$			
M _r	192.25			
Crystal size, mm ³	0.260 x 0.030 x 0.015			
Crystal system	Monoclinic			
Space group	C 2			
a, Å	19.7972(5)			
b, Å	5.6454(2)			
c, Å	19.9238(5)			
α, °	90			
β, °	116.7000(10)			
γ, °	90			
Cell volume, Å ³	1989.31(10)			
Z ; Z'	8;2			
Т, К	100(1)			
Radiation type ; wavelength Å	CuKa; 1.54178			
F ₀₀₀	832			
μ, mm ⁻¹	0.683			
range, °	2.482 - 66.669			
Reflection collected	14 782			
Reflections unique	3 416			
R _{int}	0.0369			
GOF	1.040			
Refl. obs. (/>2(/))	3 233			
Parameters ; restraints	262 ; 1			
wR ₂ (all data)	0.0669			
R value (/>2(/))	0.0278			
Flack parameter ⁴	0.15(9)			
Largest diff. peak and hole (eÅ ⁻³)	0.174 ; -0.157			



Figure S1. The most stable calculated homochiral dimers of *cis*-PCD. ΔE_0 , ΔG and E_{DEF} are the relative ZPE-corrected, Gibbs and deformation energies in kJ mol⁻¹ (see text) calculated at the 6-311++G(d,p) level. O₁ and O₂ refer to the oxygen atom involved in the interaction (see text). The numbers 1, 1', 2, refer to the structure of the monomer contained in the dimer. The hydrogen bonds are shown by dotted lines (intermolecular OH...O in black, OH... π in blue, intramolecular OH...O in green). The large deformation energy of Addition O₂H₂ \rightarrow O₂ (M₁+M₂) is mainly due to the large deformation of M₂. The zero of the energy scale is set at the most stable homochiral complex.





Figure S2. (top) Simulated spectra for the most stable calculated homochiral dimers of *cis*-PCD shown in Figure S1, together with the experimental spectrum (top). The simulation of the assigned conformer is coloured in black. Grey simulations have not been experimentally assigned. The B3LYP-D3(BJ,abc)/ma-def2-TZVP harmonic frequencies were scaled by 0.963 and convoluted by a Gaussian line shape of 4 cm⁻¹ Full Width at Half Maxima (FWHM). (bottom) B3LYP-D3BJ/6-31G++(d,p) harmonic frequencies scaled by 0.952 and convoluted by a Gaussian line shape of 4 cm⁻¹ FWHM.



Figure S3. The most stable calculated heterochiral dimers of PCD together with their simulated spectra. $\Delta G \ a \ \Delta E_0$, $\Delta G \ and \ E_{DEF}$ are the relative ZPE-corrected, Gibbs and deformation energies in kJ mol⁻¹ (see text) calculated at the 6-311++G(d,p) level. O₁ and O₂ refer to the oxygen atom involved in the interaction. M₁, M'₁, M₂ refer to the structure of the monomer contained in the dimer. The hydrogen bonds are shown by dotted lines (intermolecular OH...O in black, OH... π in blue, intramolecular OH...O in green). The large deformation energy of Addition O₂H₂ \rightarrow O₂ (M₁+M₂) is mainly due to the large deformation of M₂. The zero of the energy scale is set at the most stable homochiral complex.





Figure S4. (top) Simulated spectra for the most stable calculated heterochiral dimers of *cis*-PCD shown in Figure S3, together with the experimental spectra (top). The red spectrum has been taken by setting the probe at 37511 cm⁻¹ and the blue spectrum by setting the probe at 37768 cm⁻¹. The simulations of the assigned conformers are coloured according to the experimental ones. Grey simulations have not been experimentally assigned. The B3LYP-D3(BJ,abc)/ma-def2-TZVP harmonic frequencies were scaled by 0.963 and convoluted by a Gaussian line shape of 4 cm⁻¹ Full Width at Half Maxima (FWHM). (bottom) B3LYP-D3BJ/6-31G++(d,p) harmonic frequencies scaled by 0.952 and convoluted by a Gaussian line shape of 4 cm⁻¹ FWHM.



Figure S5: Typical Hydrogen bond patterns likely to form in diol dimers.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Data.

X-ray diffraction data for compound *cis*-PCD were collected by using a VENTURE PHOTON100 CMOS Bruker diffractometer with Micro-focus IµS source Cu K α radiation. Crystals of compounds were selected under a polarising optical microscope and glued in paratone oil. The crystal was mounted on a CryoLoop (Hampton Research) with Paratone-N (Hampton Research) as cryoprotectant and then flash frozen in a nitrogen-gas stream at 100 K. The temperature of the *cis*-PCD crystal was maintained at the selected value by means of a 700 series Cryostream cooling device to within an accuracy of ±1K. Data reduction was accomplished using SAINT V7.53a. The substantial redundancy in data allowed a semiempirical absorption correction (SADABS V2.10) to be applied, based on multiple measurements of equivalent reflections. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97³ and refined against F^2 by full-matrix least-squares techniques using SHELXL-2018⁴ with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were located on a difference Fourier map and introduced into the calculations as a riding model with isotropic thermal parameters. All calculations were performed by using the Crystal Structure crystallographic software package WINGX.⁵

cis-PCD crystallizes in the space group *C*2 and its absolute configuration has been established by anomalous-dispersion effects in diffraction measurements on the crystal (using a large number of Friedel's pairs). The difference in the conformations of the two molecules is highlighted in Figure S6 and results in different hydrogen bond motifs. The crystal data collection and refinement parameters are given in Table S3.



Figure S6 - Overlay diagram of the two symmetry independent molecules in the crystal structure.

Supplementary References

- 1. J. Zheng, X. Xu and D. G. Truhlar, *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, 2011, **128**, 295-305.
- 2. F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2005, **7**, 3297-3305.
- 3. G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97, Program for Crystal Structure Solution University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 4. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallographica a-Foundation and Advances*, 2008, **64**, 112-122.
- 5. L. Farrugia, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 1999, **32**, 837-838.