Supporting Information for:

# Room-temperature synthesis of bimetallic ZnCu-MOF-74 as adsorbent for tetracycline removal from aqueous solution

Catalina V. Flores,<sup>a,b,+</sup> Andy Machín-Garriga,<sup>a,+</sup> Juan L. Obeso,<sup>a,b,+</sup> J. Gabriel Flores,<sup>d,e</sup>

Ilich A. Ibarra,<sup>b,f</sup> Nora S. Portillo-Vélez,<sup>c\*</sup> Carolina Leyva<sup>a\*</sup> and Ricardo A. Peralta<sup>c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Instituto Politécnico Nacional, CICATA U. Legaria, Laboratorio Nacional de Ciencia,

Tecnología y Gestión Integrada del Agua (LNAgua), Legaria 694, Irrigación, 11500, Miguel Hidalgo, CDMX, México.

<sup>b</sup>Laboratorio de Fisicoquímica y Reactividad de Superficies (LaFReS), Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior s/n, CU, Coyoacán, 04510, Ciudad de México, México.

<sup>c</sup>Departamento de Química, División de Ciencias Básicas e Ingeniería. Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana (UAM-I), 09340, México.

<sup>d</sup>Departamento de Ingeniería de Procesos e Hidráulica División de Ciencias Básicas e Ingeniería Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa Ciudad de México, 09340, México.

<sup>e</sup>Área de Química Aplicada, Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Azcapotzalco, 02200, Ciudad de México, México.

<sup>f</sup>On Sabbatical as "Catedra Dr. Douglas Hugh Everett" at Departamento de Química, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, Avenida San Rafael Atlixco 186,

Leyes de Reforma 1ra Sección, Iztapalapa, Ciudad de México 09310, México. +These authors contributed equally to this manuscript Table of contents

S1. Experimental details	
S2. Results and Discussions	
S3. References	S16

#### **S1. Experimental details**

#### **Analytical instruments**

Powder X-Ray Diffraction Patterns (PXRD) were recorded on a Rigaku Diffractometer, Ultima IV, with Cu-K $\alpha$ 1 radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å) using a nickel filter. The patterns were recorded in the range  $2-50^{\circ} 2\theta$  with a step scan of  $0.02^{\circ}$  and a scan rate of  $0.10^{\circ} \text{ min}^{-1}$ . Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectra were obtained in the range of 4000-500 cm<sup>-1</sup> on a Shimadzu IRTracer-100 spectrometer using KBr pellets. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a TA Instruments Q500HR analyzer under an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere using the high-resolution mode (dynamic rate TGA) at a 2 °C/min scan rate from room temperature to 640 °C. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured by a volumetric method using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 gas sorption analyzer. The sample mass employed was 65.0 mg. Free space correction measurements were performed using ultra-high purity He gas (UHP grade 5, 99.999% pure). Nitrogen isotherms were measured using UHP-grade Nitrogen. All nitrogen analyses were performed using a liquid nitrogen bath at 77 K. Oil-free vacuum pumps were used to prevent contamination of sample or feed gases. The zeta potentials were measured using NanoPlus HD sizer equipment (Micrometrics, USA). Zeta potential values for the final composites were measured in a 2-9 pH range. A minimum of 3 measurements per sample was done at room temperature. The variation of pH was carried out using 0.01 M NaOH and HNO<sub>3</sub> solutions. X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were carried out with a Thermo Scientific K-alpha X-ray photoelectron spectrometer working at 72 W and equipped with a hemispherical analyzer and a monochromatic. Survey scans were recorded using 400 µm spot size and fixed pass energy of 200 eV, whereas high-resolution scans were collected at 20 eV of pass energy. Spectra have been charged and corrected to the mainline of the carbon 1s spectrum (adventitious carbon) set to 284.8 eV. Spectra were analyzed using CasaXPS software (version 2.3.14). Spectral backgrounds were subtracted using the Shirley method. Curve fitting procedures and elemental quantifications were performed with the CasaXPS program (version 2.3.14). The morphology was analyzed employing a variable pressure scanning electron microscope (SEM), brand FEI Co., and Quanta model FEG 250 with an EDS detector Bruker model XFlash 6160. The copper and nickel concentration was

measured using an Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer Optima 8000 (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer, United States).

### **Tetracycline (TC) Adsorption Experiments.**

### Effect of dosage

The dosage effect was investigated for 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 mg of ZnCu-MOF-74 with 30 mL of TC solutions (30 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) for 10 h.

### Influence of pH on the adsorption

Experiments were carried out in the pH range of 4-10 with 30 mL of TC (30 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) solutions using 15 mg of ZnCu-MOF-74 at a specific pH value for 10 h. The pH values were adjusted using 0.1 mol  $L^{-1}$  HNO<sub>3</sub> and NaOH. The pH measurements were conducted using a ThermoScientific pH meter.

### Influence of contact time

Contact time was studied using 90 mL of TC solution (30 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) with 45 mg of ZnCu-MOF-74, taking 1 mL of sample each time. The samples were analyzed at the following times 0, 5, 7, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, and 600 min.

### Influence of initial concentration

The initial concentration experiments were conducted at room temperature for 10 h using ZnCu-MOF-74 with different TC concentrations (30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 200 and 300 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) with 15 mg of ZnCu-MOF-74 in 30 mL.

### Reusability

The reusability of ZnCu-MOF-74 was tested for four adsorption-desorption cycles using methanol as desorbing agent for 10 h, respectively.

### **Effect of temperature**

For evaluating the effect of temperature, 30 mL of TC solution (30 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) with 15 mg of ZnCu-MOF-74 was varied on three points (25, 40 and 60 °C) with a TC initial concentration (30 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) The Vant Hoff equation (Eq. (1)) was used to estimate the thermodynamic parameters. The change in free energy ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ), change in enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ),

and change in entropy  $(\Delta S^{\circ})$  were calculated using Eq. 1 and 2. Where  $K_c$  (Eq. (3))is the equilibrium constant, R (8.314 Jmol<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>) is the gas constant, and T (K) is the adsorption temperature.

$$Ln(K_c) = \frac{\Delta S^{\circ}}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^{\circ}}{RT} \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = \Delta H^{\circ} - T \Delta S^{\circ} \qquad (2)$$
$$K_{c} = \frac{Q_{e}}{C_{e}} \qquad (3)$$

### Kinetic adsorption experiments

Table S1. Kinetics models for the TC adsorption				
Kinetic model	Non-linear equation	Parameter		
PFO model	$q_t = q_e \left(1 - e^{-k_{p1}t}\right)$	$q_e$ : adsorption capacitiesat equilibrium (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); $q_t$ : adsorption capacitiesat time t (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); $k_{p1}$ : pseudo-first-orderrate constant for thekinetic model (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )min).		
PSO model	$q_t = \frac{k_{p2}q_e^2 t}{1 + k_{p2}q_e t}$ $h = k_{p2} \times q_e^2$	$q_e$ : adsorption capacitiesat equilibrium (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); $q_t$ : adsorption capacitiesat time t (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); $k_{p2}$ : pseudo-second-orderrateconstantofadsorption (mg g <sup>-1</sup> min); $h$ : initial adsorption rate(mg g <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> ).		
Elovich model	$q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left( 1 + \alpha \beta t \right)$	$q_t$ : adsorption capacitiesat time $t$ (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); $\alpha$ : adsorption equilibriumconstant (mg g <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> ); $\beta$ : equilibrium constantdesorption (g mg <sup>-1</sup> ).		
IPD model	$q_t = K_{ip}t^{0.5} + C_i$	$q_i$ : adsorption capacities at time $t$ (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); $K_{ip}$ : rate parameter of stage $i$ (mg g <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1/2</sup> ); $C_i$ :		

intercept of stage <i>i</i> that
gives an idea about of the
thickness of boundary
layer (mg $g^{-1}$ ).

### Adsorption isotherms experiments

Table S2. Adsorption isotherm equations and parameters					
Isotherm	Non-linear equation	Parameter			
Langmuir	$Q_e = \frac{Q_m K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e}$	$Q_m$ is maximum adsorption capacity (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); <i>Qe</i> : amount of adsorbate in the			
	$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_o}$	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); $K_L$ is adsorption intensity or Langmuir coefficient (L mg <sup>-1</sup> ); $R_L$ is			
	$\Delta G(kJ/mol) = - RTlnKo$	separation factor; $\Delta G$ free Gibbs energy (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ).			
	$Ko = K_L * MM * 10^3$	MM: Molar mass (g mol <sup>-1</sup> )			
Freundlich	$Q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n}$	$K_F$ is the constant indicative of the relative adsorption capacity (L g <sup>-1</sup> ) and <i>n</i> is indicative of the intensity			
Temkin	$Q_e = \frac{RT}{bt} * ln^{\text{min}}(At * Ce)$	<i>At</i> : Temkin isotherm equilibrium binding constant (L $g^{-1}$ ); <i>bt</i> : Temkin			
	$B = \frac{RT}{bt}$	isotherm constant; <i>R</i> : universal gas constant $(8.314J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$ ; <i>T</i> :			
		Temperature at 298 K; <i>B</i> : Constant related to heat of sorption (J mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )			

### S2. Results and Discussions

### Synthesis of ZnCu-MOF-74



Figure S1. a) Detailed SEM-microghaps and b) elemental map distribution of ZnCu-MOF-74.



Figure S2. a) Detailed SEM-microghaps and b) elemental map distribution of ZnCu-MOF-74 after TC adsorption.



Tetracycline (TC) structure

Figure S3. The structure of TC. Atoms label: grey: carbon, white: hydrogen, red: oxygen, and blue: nitrogen.



Figure S4. The speciation structure of TC.

Table S3. Comparison of the Langmuir maximum adsorption capacity of ZnCu-MOF-74 with the MOF-based adsorbents,						
common and commercial materials reported.						
<b>MOF-Based Sorbent</b>	pH	Time (h)	qe	BET	Interaction	Ref
			mg g <sup>-1</sup>	$m^2 g^{-1}$		
MOF-5	6	0.75	233	2510	$\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions	1
MOF-525/GO	3	6	436	444	$\pi$ - $\pi$ stacking and hydrogen bonding, complexation	2
PCN-128Y			423		complexation	3
MIL-68(Al)/GO	8	12	228	1266	$\pi$ - $\pi$ stacking and hydrogen bonding, Al-N covalent bonding	4
UiO-66	7	0.66	145	1249	$-\pi$ $\pi$ stacking	
NU-1000	7	0.6	356	1487	$\pi$ - $\pi$ stacking	5
MOF-525	5	2	807	2224		
MOF-818	3		442	1408	$\pi$ - $\pi$ interaction	6
Ni/Co-MOF@CMC	6	0.08	624		chemisorption	7
MIL-101(Fe)		5	420	982	$\pi-\pi$ interaction, hydrogen	
MIL-88A(Fe)	8	10	379	231	bond, coordinate bonds, pore filling, electrostatic	8
MIL-53(Fe)		10	254	21	interactions	
Zr-MOF-20	10	9	196	337	$\pi$ - $\pi$ interaction, hydrogen bond, pore/size-selective adsorption	9
ZnCu-MOF-74	6	6	775	1142		This work

# Kinetic adsorption experiments

Table S4. Parameters of the kinetic models.				
Madal	Daramatar	Material		
WIOUEI		ZnCu-MOF-74		
	$q_{\rm e} (mg g^{-l})$	51.97		
PFO model	$K_1 (mg g^{-1} min^{-1})$	0.17		
	$R^2$	0.682		
PSO model	$q_{\rm e} (mg g^{-l})$	54.22		
	$K_2 (mg g^{-1} min^{-1})$	0.005		
	h	15.20		
	$R^2$	0.904		
	$\beta (mg g^{-1})$	0.216		
Elovich model	$\alpha (mg g^{-1} min^{-1})$	2295.61		
	$R^2$	0.957		
IPD model	$K_{\rm ip} \ (mg \ g^{-1} \ min^{-1})$	0.885		
	$C_{\rm i} (mg g^{-l})$	38.60		
	$R^2$	0.765		

# Adsorption isotherms

<b>Table S5.</b> Parameters of the isotherm's models.				
Model	Daramatar	Material		
		ZnCu-MOF-74		
Freundlich	$K_{\rm F} (L g^{-l})$	44.12		
	n	1.60		
	$\chi^2$	365.23		
	$R^2$	0.989		
Langmuir	$Q_{\rm m} (mg g^{-l})$	775.66		
	$K_{\rm L} (L mg^{-1})$	0.034		

	R <sub>L</sub>	0.49-0.08
	$\Delta G(kJ mol^{-1})$	-18.13
	$\chi^2$	331.53
	$R^2$	0.990
	$A_{\rm t}(Lg^{-l})$	0.433
	b <sub>t</sub>	16.78
Temkin	$B (J mol^{-1})$	0.147
	$\chi^2$	1164.0
	$R^2$	0.927

### Parameters of the thermodynamic experiments



Figure S5. a) Temperature variation effect [15 mg, 30 ml, 30 mg L-1, 6.5 pH, 1 h]; and b) thermodynamic fit.

Table S6. Parameters of the thermodynamic model						
		Function				
Material	T (K)	$\Delta S^{\circ}$	$\Delta H^{0}$	$\Delta G^{\circ}$	<b>D</b> <sup>2</sup>	
		(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Λ	
	298			-4.38		
ZnCu-MOF-74	313	0.144	38.45	-6.54	0.997	
	333			-9.42		

PXRD and FT-IR after ads/des cycles.



Figure S6. PXRD patterns of ZnCu-MOF-74 after the adsorption and cyclability process.



Figure S7. FTIR spectra of ZnCu-MOF-74 after the adsorption and cyclability process.



Figure S8. FTIR spectra in the liquid phase of the remaining water solution after the adsorption and cyclability process.

### XPS

<b>Table S7.</b> XPS survey data (atomic percentage) of the different elements in ZnCu-MOF-74.					
	Elements (At. %)				
Samples	C 1s	O 1s	Zn 2p	Cu 2p	N 1s
ZnCu-MOF-74	61.6	30.2	4.0	2.9	-
ZnCu-MOF-74_TC	69.4	24.8	0.7	1.7	3.3

<b>Table S8.</b> The peak-fitting results of C 1s high-resolution signal of ZnCu-MOF-74.						
Samples	Assignment	$E_B (eV)$	FWHM (eV)	At. %		
ZnCu-MOF-74	C1s <sub>C=C</sub> aromatic	284.4	1.7	54.2		
	C1s <sub>C-OH</sub>	285.8	1.8	23.4		
	C1s <sub>O-C=O</sub>	288.4	1.9	17.6		
	C1s <sub><i>π</i>-<i>π</i>*</sub>	290.1	2.0	4.8		
ZnCu-MOF-	C1s <sub>C=C</sub> aromatic	284.3	1.7	60.4		

74_TC	C1s <sub>C-OH</sub>	285.9	1.8	25.4
	C1s <sub>O-C=O</sub>	288.0	1.9	11.4
	C1s <sub>л-л*</sub>	290.0	2.0	2.8

<b>Table S9.</b> The peak-fitting results of O 1s high-resolution signal of ZnCu-MOF-74.				
Samples	Assignment	$E_B (eV)$	FWHM (eV)	At. %
	<b>O</b> 1s <sub>Cu–O, C=O</sub>	531.1	1.5	33.5
ZnCu-MOF-74	O 1s <sub>C-OH</sub>	532.0	1.5	49.1
	<b>O</b> 1s <sub>H2O</sub>	533.4	1.6	77.4
ZnCu-MOF-	<b>O</b> 1s <sub>Cu–O, C=O</sub>	530.6	1.5	25.4
74 TC	O 1s <sub>C-OH</sub>	531.6	1.5	48.4
	<b>O 1s</b> <sub>H2O</sub>	533.0	1.6	26.2

<b>Table S10.</b> The peak-fitting results of Cu $2p_{3/2}$ high-resolution signal of ZnCu-MOF-					
74.					
Samples	Assignment	E <sub>B</sub> (eV)	FWHM (eV)	At. %	
ZnCu-MOF-74	Cu 2p <sub>3/2 Cu+</sub>	933.2	2.0	23.8	
	Cu 2p <sub>3/2 Cu2+</sub>	935.0	2.2	76.2	
	Satellite <sub>Cu2+</sub>	939.5	4	-	
	Satellite <sub>Cu2+</sub>	943.9	3.8	-	
	Cu 2p <sub>3/2 Cu+</sub>	932.6	2.0	21.9	
ZnCu-MOF- 74_TC	$Cu\ 2p_{3/2}\ {\rm Cu}2^+$	934.4	2.2	78.1	
	Satellite <sub>Cu2+</sub>	938.8	4.0	-	
	Satellite Cu2+	943.5	3.6	-	

<b>Table S11.</b> The peak-fitting results of Zn 2p high-resolution signal of ZnCu-MOF-74.				
Samples	Assignment	E <sub>B</sub> (eV)	FWHM (eV)	At. %
ZnCu-MOF-74	Zn 2p <sub>3/2</sub>	1022.2	2.2	67
	Zn 2p <sub>1/2</sub>	1044.8	2.3	33
ZnCu-MOF-	Zn 2p <sub>3/2</sub>	1021.7	2.2	65.1

	74_TC	Zn 2p <sub>1/2</sub>	1044.8	2.3	35.9
--	-------	----------------------	--------	-----	------

#### **S3. References**

- 1 S. M. Mirsoleimani-azizi, P. Setoodeh, S. Zeinali and M. R. Rahimpour, *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 2018, **6**, 6118–6130.
- 2B. Chen, Y. Li, M. Li, M. Cui, W. Xu, L. Li, Y. Sun, M. Wang, Y. Zhang and K. Chen, *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 2021, **328**, 111457.
- 3 Y. Zhou, Q. Yang, D. Zhang, N. Gan, Q. Li and J. Cuan, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2018, **262**, 137–143.
- 4L. Yu, W. Cao, S. Wu, C. Yang and J. Cheng, *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 2018, **164**, 289–296.
- 5J. Xia, Y. Gao and G. Yu, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 2021, **590**, 495–505.
- 6Z. Zhang, C. Ding, Y. Li, H. Ke and G. Cheng, SN Appl. Sci., 2020, 2, 669.
- 7 W. Yang, Y. Han, C. Li, L. Zhu, L. Shi, W. Tang, J. Wang, T. Yue and Z. Li, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2019, **375**, 122076.
- 8Z. Zhang, Y. Chen, Z. Wang, C. Hu, D. Ma, W. Chen and T. Ao, *Applied Surface Science*, 2021, **542**, 148662.
- 9J. Zhong, X. Yuan, J. Xiong, X. Wu and W. Lou, *Environmental Research*, 2023, 226, 115633.