## **Electronic supplementary information**

# An Ultra-High Output Self-Managed Power System Based on a Multilayer Magnetic Suspension Hybrid Nanogenerator for Harvesting Water Wave Energy

Ying Lou,<sup>‡a,b</sup> Mengfan Li,<sup>‡a,c</sup> Aifang Yu,<sup>\*a,b,c</sup> Zhong Lin Wang,<sup>\*a,c,d</sup> and Junyi

Zhai\*<sup>a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory of Micro-Nano Energy and Sensor, Center for High-Entropy Energy and Systems, Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101400, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Center on Nanoenergy Research, Institute of Science and Technology for Carbon Peak & Neutrality; Key Laboratory of Blue Energy and Systems Integration (Guangxi University), Education Department of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region; School of Physical Science & Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China.

<sup>c</sup> School of Nanoscience and Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>d</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA30332, USA

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

E-mail addresses: yuaifang@binn.cas.cn (A. Yu), <u>zlwang@gatech.edu(Z.L.Wang)</u>, jyzhai@binn.cas.cn (J. Zhai).

This file includes:

**Supplementary Figures:** 

Supplementary Figure 1 The photograph of the MS-HNG.

Supplementary Figure 2 Detailed view of the magnets embedded in each substrate layer.

Supplementary Figure 3 Working principle of the proposed MS-TENG.

Supplementary Figure 4 Working principle of the proposed MS-EMG.

**Supplementary Figure 5** Effect of the number of generating units on short-circuit current and transferred charge of the MS-TENG.

**Supplementary Figure 6** Effect of the counterweight mass on short-circuit current and transferred charge of the MS-TENG.

**Supplementary Figure 7** Durability result for the current of the (a) MS-TENG and (b) MS-EMG at various cycles.

**Supplementary Figure 8** The average power density of the MS-TENG and MS-EMG at different frequencies.

**Supplementary Figure 9** Comparison of the impedance between the MS-TENG and other excellent TENGs.

Supplementary Figure 10 The photograph of the PCB board.

Supplementary Figure 11 Working process of the ESPS.

**Supplementary Figure 12** Verification of MOSFET turn-on voltage varies according to LED signals.

**Supplementary Figure 13** Circuit connections with exploration of resistance and capacitance parameters.

**Supplementary Figure 14** Effect of voltage divider resistor  $(R_D)$  on the output voltage of the MS-EMG at 2.1Hz and 1.6 Hz, respectively.

**Supplementary Figure 15** Effect of filter capacitor ( $C_F$ ) on the output voltage of the MS-EMG at 2.1Hz and 1.6 Hz, respectively.

**Supplementary Figure 16** Comparison of alternating current and direct current of ESPS.

Supplementary Figure 17 The current and peak power density with respect to the

load resistance for the ESPS.

Supplementary Figure 18 The photograph of wave-making apparatus.

#### **Supplementary Table:**

**Supplementary Table 1.** Comparison of device shape, mechanism, excitation condition, power and energy management for different types of triboelectric-electromagnetic hybrid nanogenerators.

**Supplementary Table 2.** Comparison of electrical output of multi-layer TENGs under motor and water waves.

#### **Supplementary Movies:**

Supplementary Movie 1. The bulb array is illuminated by the ESPS.

**Supplementary Movie 2.** A water quality tester is powered by the ESPS to transmit wireless signal to a mobile phone.



Figure S1. The photograph of the MS-HNG.



Figure S2. Detailed view of the magnets embedded in each substrate layer.



Figure S3. Working principle of the proposed MS-TENG.



Figure S4. Working principle of the proposed MS-EMG.



**Figure S5.** Effect of the number of generating units on (a) short-circuit current and (b) transferred charge of the MS-TENG.



**Figure S6.** Effect of the counterweight mass on (a) short-circuit current and (b) transferred charge of the MS-TENG.



Figure S7. Durability result for the current of the (a) MS-TENG and (b) MS-EMG at various cycles.



Figure S8. The average power density of the (a) MS-TENG and (b) MS-EMG at different frequencies.



Figure S9. Comparison of the impedance between the MS-TENG and other excellent TENGs.<sup>1-5</sup>



Figure S10. The photograph of the PCB board.



Figure S11. Working process of the ESPS.



Figure S12. Verification of MOSFET turn-on voltage varies according to LED signals.



Figure S13. Circuit connections with exploration of resistance and capacitance parameters.



Figure S14. Effect of voltage divider resistor  $(R_D)$  on the output voltage of the MS-EMG at (a) 2.1Hz and (b) 1.6 Hz, respectively.



Figure S15. Effect of filter capacitor ( $C_F$ ) on the output voltage of the MS-EMG at (a) 2.1Hz and (b) 1.6 Hz, respectively.



Figure S16. Comparison of alternating current and direct current of ESPS.



Figure S17. The current and peak power density with respect to the load resistance for the ESPS.



Figure S18. The photograph of wave-making apparatus.

| Device Shane                   | Hybrid | Mechanism                | Excitation          | Power / Power           | Power      | Energy     | Ref             |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| Derree Shape                   | Module |                          | Laciation           | Density                 | Ratio      | Management |                 |
| Bidirectional                  | TENG   | Freestanding             |                     | 115 mW                  |            | No         | 6               |
| Rotating Turbine               | EMG    | Transverse cutting       | l Hz                | 350 mW                  | 1:10       | No         |                 |
| Box                            | TENG   | Rolling                  | 1.0.11              | 0.08 mW                 | 1 196      | NO         | 7               |
|                                | EMG    | Transverse cutting       | 1.8 HZ              | 14.9 mW                 | 1:180      | No         |                 |
| Paper-based zigzag             | TENG   | Contact-separation       | 1 Ша                | 22.5 mW                 | 16.1       | No         | 8               |
| multilayer                     | EMG    | Transverse cutting       | 1 HZ                | 1.39 mW                 | 10.1       | No         |                 |
| Topological                    | TENG   | Contact-separation       | 1 Ша                | 0.5 mW                  | 1 . 8      | NO         | 9               |
| Structure                      | EMG    | Longitudinal cutting     | 1 HZ                | 4 mW                    | 1.0        | NO         |                 |
| Cylinder                       | TENG   | Freestanding             | 0.2 Hz              | 13.77 W/m <sup>3</sup>  | 1.11       | NO         | 10              |
|                                | EMG    | Transverse cutting       | 0.2 HZ              | 148.24 W/m <sup>3</sup> | 1.11       | NO         |                 |
| Clip-like structure            | TENG   | Contact-separation       | 26 117              | 16 mW                   | 100.1      | Yes        | 11              |
|                                | EMG    | Longitudinal cutting     | 2.0 112             | 0.16 mW                 | 100.1      | Yes        |                 |
| Magnetic-Levitation            | TENG   | Contact-separation       | 8 m s <sup>-2</sup> | 12.17 mW                | 26:1       | Yes        | 12              |
|                                | EMG    | Longitudinal cutting     | 0 11 3              | 0.47 mW                 | 20.1       | NO         |                 |
| Chaotic                        | TENG   | Freestanding             | 2 5 Hz              | 15.21 mW                | 1.82       | NO         | 13              |
| pendulum                       | EMG    | Transverse cutting       | 2.5 112             | 1.23 mW                 | 1.02       | NO         |                 |
| Flexible pendulum              | TENG   | Contact-separation       | 2 2 Hz              | 470 mW                  | 1.1113     | NO         | 14              |
| structure                      | EMG    | Transverse cutting       | 2.2 112             | 523 mW                  | 1.1115     | NO         |                 |
| Double-sided fluff             | TENG   | Freestanding             | 1 4 Hz              | 2.02 W/m <sup>3</sup>   | 1.8        | NO         | 15<br>This work |
|                                | EMG    | Transverse cutting       | 1.4 112             | 16.96 W/m <sup>3</sup>  | 1.0        | NO         |                 |
| Multilayer magnetic suspension | TENG   | Contact-separation       | 2 6 Hz              | 631 W/m <sup>3</sup>    | Integrated | VFS        |                 |
|                                | EMG    | EMG Longitudinal cutting | 2.0 112             | 031 w/m                 | austam     | 1 113      |                 |
|                                |        |                          |                     |                         | system     |            |                 |

 Table S1. Comparison of device shape, mechanism, excitation condition, power and energy

 management for different types of triboelectric-electromagnetic hybrid nanogenerators.

|            | Motor Condition |           |                        | W         | Ref       |                        |           |
|------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|
| Device     | Motor           | Matching  | Peak Power             | Water     | Water     | Peak Power             |           |
| structure  | Excitation      | Impedance | /Power                 | Frequency | Amplitude | /Power                 |           |
|            |                 |           | Density                |           |           | Density                |           |
| Cube       | 2 Hz            | 500 MΩ    | 52.87 W/m <sup>3</sup> | 0.8 Hz    | 6 cm      | 80.29 W/m <sup>3</sup> | 16        |
| Open-book  | 1 Hz            | 13.8 MΩ   | 9.675 W/m <sup>3</sup> | 0.588 Hz  | /         | 7.45 W/m <sup>3</sup>  | 17        |
| Pendulum   | 1Hz             | 200ΜΩ     | 15.15 W/m <sup>3</sup> | 0.3 Hz    | /         | 14.71 W/m <sup>3</sup> | 18        |
| Disk       | 1Hz             | 1ΜΩ       | 29.4mW                 | 0.58Hz    | /         | 45.0mW                 | 19        |
| swing      | 1 Hz            | /         | 12.1 mW                | 1 Hz      | 10 cm     | 4.1 mW                 | 20        |
| pendulum   | 20°             | 1 MΩ      | 39.2 mW                | /         | /         | 3.1 mW                 | 21        |
| Spherical  | 2.5 Hz          | /         | 5.5 mW                 | 1.0 Hz    | 9 cm      | 2.5 mW                 | 22        |
| swing      | 2 Hz            | /         | 53.5 mW                | 0.8 Hz    | 7.0 cm    | 27.6 mW                | 23        |
| Anaconda   | 20°             | 5 ΜΩ      | 347 W/m <sup>3</sup>   | /         | /         | 80.61 W/m <sup>3</sup> | 24        |
| Pendulum   | 20°             | 5 ΜΩ      | 200 W/m <sup>3</sup>   | /         | /         | 34.7 W/m <sup>3</sup>  | 25        |
| Magnetic   | 2.6 Hz          | 1 kΩ      | 631 W/m <sup>3</sup>   | 1 Hz      | 10 cm     | 120 W/m <sup>3</sup>   | This work |
| suspension |                 |           | (261.3 mW)             |           |           | (49.7mW)               |           |

Table S2. Comparison of electrical output of multi-layer TENGs under motor and water waves.

### Reference

- Y. Sun, F. Zheng, X. Wei, Y. Shi, R. Li, B. Wang, L. Wang, Z. Wu and Z. L. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 15187-15194.
- C. C. Shan, W. C. He, H. Y. Wu, S. K. Fu, K. X. Li, A. P. Liu, Y. Du, J. Wang, Q. J. Mu, B. Y. Liu, Y. Xi and C. G. Hu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, 33, 2305768.
- 3. Y. J. Luo, P. F. Chen, L. N. Y. Cao, Z. J. Xu, Y. Wu, G. F. He, T. Jiang and Z. L. Wang, *A Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2205710.
- H. Y. J. Jung, B. Friedman, W. Hwang, A. Copping, R. Branch and Z. D. Deng, *Nano Energy*, 2023, 114, 108633.
- 5. X. M. Zhang, Q. X. Yang, D. H. Ren, H. K. Yang, X. C. Li, Q. Y. Li, H. Y. Liu, C. G. Hu, X. M. He and Y. Xi, *Nano Energy*, 2023, **114**, 108614.
- 6. T. Y. Li, X. Wang, K. K. Wang, Y. X. Liu, C. X. Li, F. H. Zhao, Y. M. Yao and T. H. Cheng, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2024, 14, 2400313.

- C. C. Hao, J. He, C. Zhai, W. Jia, L. L. Song, J. D. Cho, X. J. Chou and C. Y. Xue, Nano Energy, 2019, 58, 147-157.
- H. M. Yang, M. M. Deng, Q. Tang, W. C. He, C. G. Hu, Y. Xi, R. C. Liu and Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2019, 9, 1901149.
- J. Y. Wang, L. Pan, H. Y. Guo, B. B. Zhang, R. R. Zhang, Z. Y. Wu, C. S. Wu, L. J. Yang, R. J. Liao and Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2019, 9, 1802892.
- C. Q. Zhu, M. W. Wu, C. Liu, C. Xiang, R. J. Xu, H. Y. Yang, Z. Y. Wang, Z. Y. Wang, P. Xu, F. Z. Xing, H. Wang and M. Y. Xu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2023, 13, 2301665.
- Y. Lou, M. F. Li, J. X. Hu, Y. L. Zhao, W. Q. Cui, Y. L. Wang, A. F. Yu and J. Y. Zhai, *Nano Energy*, 2024, **121**, 109240.
- 12. M. F. Li, Y. Lou, J. X. Hu, W. Q. Cui, L. Chen, A. F. Yu and J. Y. Zhai, Small, 2024, 2402009.
- X. Chen, L. X. Gao, J. F. Chen, S. Lu, H. Zhou, T. T. Wang, A. B. Wang, Z. F. Zhang, S. F. Guo, X. J. Mu, Z. L. Wang and Y. Yang, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **69**, 104440.
- W. B. Xie, L. X. Gao, L. K. Wu, X. Chen, F. Y. Wang, D. Q. Tong, J. Zhang, J. Y. Lan, X. B. He, X. J. Mu and Y. Yang, *Research*, 2021, **2021**, 5963293.
- 15. C. C. Han, Z. Cao, Z. H. Yuan, Z. W. Zhang, X. Q. Huo, L. A. Zhang, Z. Y. Wu and Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2205011.
- Y. Duan, H. Xu, S. Liu, P. Chen, X. Wang, L. Xu, T. Jiang and Z. L. Wang, *Nano Res.*, 2023, 16, 11646-11652.
- W. Zhong, L. Xu, X. D. Yang, W. Tang, J. J. Shao, B. D. Chen and Z. L. Wang, Nanoscale, 2019, 11, 7199-7208.
- 18. W. Zhong, L. Xu, H. M. Wang, D. Li and Z. L. Wang, Nano Energy, 2019, 66, 104108.
- Y. Bai, L. Xu, C. He, L. P. Zhu, X. D. Yang, T. Jiang, J. H. Nie, W. Zhong and Z. L. Wang, Nano Energy, 2019, 66, 104117.
- X. Liang, Z. Liu, Y. Feng, J. Han, L. Li, J. An, P. Chen, T. Jiang and Z. L. Wang, Nano Energy, 2021, 83, 105836.
- C. Zhang, W. Yuan, B. Zhang, O. Yang, Y. Liu, L. He, J. Wang and Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2111775.
- 22. S. Liu, X. Liang, P. Chen, H. Long, T. Jiang and Z. L. Wang, Small Methods, 2023, 7, 202201392.
- 23. X. Y. Wang, C. Y. Ye, P. F. Chen, H. Pang, C. H. Wei, Y. X. Duan, T. Jiang and Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2024, **34**, 2311196.
- 24. W. Yuan, B. F. Zhang, C. G. Zhang, O. Yang, Y. B. Liu, L. X. He, L. L. Zhou, Z. H. Zhao, J. Wang and Z. L. Wang, One Earth, 2022, 5, 1055-1063.
- 25. C. G. Zhang, L. L. Zhou, P. Cheng, D. Liu, C. L. Zhang, X. Y. Li, S. X. Li, J. Wang and Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**, 2003616.