

Supporting information

Neonicotinoid Pesticide Residues in Bottled Water: A Worldwide Assessment of Distribution and Human Exposure Risks

Yuanchen Chen^{1,2,3}, Ruirui Meng^{1,3}, Gege Liu¹, Wenfei Yu¹, Hangbiao Jin^{1,2,3} *

¹ Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology for Industrial Pollution Control of Zhejiang Province, College of Environment, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310014, P. R. China.

² College of Energy and Carbon Neutrality Science and Education Integration, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310014, P. R. China.

³ Innovation Research Center of Advanced Environmental Technology, Eco-Industrial Innovation Institute ZJUT, Quzhou, Zhejiang, 324400, P. R. China.

* Corresponding author:

Hangbiao Jin, hangbiao@zjut.edu.cn

Table of content	
Table S1	Global bottled water sampling location and basic information.
TableS2	HPLC analysis parameters.
TableS3	Calibration curves and R^2 , average method detection limit (MDL, ng/L), limit of quantification (LOQ, ng/L) and recovery (%) for different neonicotinoid pesticides.
TableS4	Concentrations of detected NEOs in bottled drinking water (ng/L).
TableS5	Neonicotinoid insecticides normalized to imidacloprid based on reference doses (RfD) to generate relative potency factors (RPF).
TableS6	Bottled drinking water intake rates (L/kg bw/d) used to estimate human exposure.
TableS7	Intake rates used in USEPA to estimate human exposure (all age groups).
TableS8	Previous studies have examined neonicotinoid concentrations (ng/L) in surface waters (including rivers, lakes, and other surface runoff) on all continents around the world. A. Europe; B. South America; c. Oceania; D. North America; E. Asia.
TableS9	Pesticide (insecticide) usage in different countries (tons).
TableS10	Pearson's correlation between pesticide (insecticide) use and neonicotinoid residues in bottled water by country.
TableS11	Mean concentrations (ng/L) of NEOs in drinking water other than bottled water measured in other reports.
TableS12	Mean dietary NEOs concentrations (ng/g) measured in other reports.

20 **Table S1 Global bottled water sampling location and basic information.**

Brand	Importing country	Water source	Sample Type	Production date	Precipitation
S.Pellegrino	Italy	San Pellegrino, Province of Bergamo, Italy	Natural water	202302	2.8in/71.12mm
Acqua Panna	Italy	Puna Springs, Tuscany, Italy	Natural water	202211	5.6in/142.24mm
Perrier	French	Vergeza town in southern France	Natural water	202201	3.1in/78.74mm
Oravida	New Zealand	Otakree, Bay of Plenty, New Zealand	Natural water	202206	6in/152.4mm
Ferrarelle	Italy	Italian Ricardo	Natural water	202107	1in/25.4mm
Onepure	New Zealand	Hawke's Bay, New Zealand	Natural water	202210	3.1in/78.74mm
Fiji Water	FIJI	fiji islands	Natural water	202207	3.1in/78.74mm
VOSS	Norway	Norwegian Voss	Natural water	202206	3in/76.2mm
Tynant	U.K	Wales, United Kingdom	Natural water	202201	0in
Sant Aniol	Spain	Fineste, Province of Girona, Spain	Natural water	202209	0in
Dolomia	Italy	Friuli, Dolomites, Italy	Natural water	202111	3in/76.2mm
Antipodes	New Zealand	Whakatane, Bay of Plenty, New Zealand	Natural water	202211	3in/76.2mm
Evian	French	Evian town in the French Alps	Natural water	202303	3in/76.2mm
Yice	Canada	Ontario, Canada	Natural water	202307	181.97mm
Fannybay	Canada	Rocky mountains Vancouver, Canada	Natural water	202305	118.7mm
Andes	Chile	Andes, Araucania region, Chile	Natural water	202307	122.1mm0mm
Nongfu Spring	China	Chun'an, Hangzhou, Zhejiang	Natural water	202306	280.6mm
Tenwow	China	Changxing , Huzhou, Zhejiang	Natural water	202303	78.3mm
Baisui Mountain	China	Yuyao, Ningbo, Zhejiang	Natural water	202305	150.8mm
Wahaha	China	Hangzhou, Zhejiang	Purified water	202306	167.9mm
Cestbon	China	Jiading District, Shanghai	Purified water	202212	27.4mm
Ice Dew	China	Hangzhou, Zhejiang	Purified water	202304	34.4mm
Chunyu	China	Hangzhou, Zhejiang	Purified water	202306	167.9mm

21 Note: Precipitation refers to the amount of precipitation for the month in which the brand of bottled water was produced in different countries.

22 Data source: <https://www.wunderground.com/>; https://weather.gc.ca/canada_e.html; <https://www.tianqi24.com/>.

23 A precipitation amount below 40mm is considered a non-rainfall day, and a precipitation amount above 40mm is considered a rainy day.

24 **Table S2. HPLC analysis parameters.**

Method parameters	
Injection volume	5 μ L
Column temperature	35 °C
Mobile phase A	0.01% acetic acid water
Mobile phase B	Methanol (%)
Flow	0.2 mL $\cdot$ min ⁻¹
Gradient	0-1min (95%A-5%B)
	2min (90%A-10%B)
	3-6min (10%A-90%B)
	6.1-9min (95%A-5%B)
Detector	Triple quadrupole mass spectrometer
Interface	Positive electrospray ionization
Temperature	550 °C
Scan type	MRM (multiple reaction monitoring mode)
Collision gas	Nitrogen

25

26 **Table S3. Calibration curves and R², average method detection limit (MDL, ng/L), limit of quantification (LOQ, ng/L) and recovery (%)**
 27 **for different neonicotinoid pesticides.**

Compounds	Calibration curve	R ²	MDL, ng/L	LOQ, ng/L	Recoveries (SD), %
ACE	y=0.958x-0.0016	0.9996	0.0171	0.0570	96.6 (11)
IMI	y=0.0658x-0.0003	0.9977	0.0251	0.0837	86.1 (7.2)
CLO	y=0.0539x-0.0002	0.9993	0.0031	0.0103	109.6 (3.7)
THI	y=0.8637x-0.0003	0.999	0.0027	0.0090	78.1 (3.1)
IMID	y=0.0948x-0.0004	0.9982	0.0197	0.0657	118.7 (5.5)
THIA	y=0.0766x-0.0001	0.9994	0.0109	0.0363	96 (6.0)
DIN	y=0.1215x+0.0018	0.9992	0.3603	1.2010	102.3 (11.6)
NIT	y=0.0021x+0.0001	0.9994	0.2333	0.7777	94.1 (9.1)
FLO	y=0.0002x-0.000004	0.9979	0.0450	0.1500	85.8 (5.9)

28 Note: Recovery was calculated based on matrix samples at four concentration levels (0, 5, 50, and 100 ng). The nine neonicotinoids are acetamiprid (ACE), imidacloprid
 29 (IMI), clothianidin (CLO), thiacloprid (THI), imidacloprid (IMID), thiamethoxam (THIA), dinotefuran (DIN), nitenpyram (NIT) and sulfafluoride (FLO).

30 **TableS4. Concentrations of detected NEOs in bottled drinking water (ng/L).**

sample type and size	☐ MDL	ACE 0.0171	IMI 0.0251	IMID 0.0197	THI 0.0027	THIA 0.0109	DIN 0.3603	NIT 0.2333	CLO 0.0031	FLO 0.0450	SUM	IMleq
Asia China (n=19)												
natural water (6)	DF(%)	100%	0%	100%	33.3%	0%	66.7%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
	25th	11.03	<MDL	3.63	<MDL	<MDL	0.26	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	21.22	27.25
	Median	13.00	<MDL	9.57	<MDL	<MDL	17.77	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	43.80	77.66
	Mean	15.86	<MDL	9.75	0.55	<MDL	18.83	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	44.90	83.74
	SD	7.30	<MDL	6.44	0.86	<MDL	18.79	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	25.00	64.69
	75th	20.58	<MDL	16.46	1.55	<MDL	36.67	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	72.20	141.19
purified water (13)	DF(%)	100%	0%	100%	15.4%	0%	30.8%	69.2%	0%	0%	100%	
	25th	5.33	<MDL	5.22	<MDL	<MDL	0.26	0.17	<MDL	<MDL	34.62	35.96
	Median	9.46	<MDL	8.58	<MDL	<MDL	0.26	29.48	<MDL	<MDL	50.99	75.98
	Mean	14.36	<MDL	11.03	0.18	<MDL	10.22	35.16	<MDL	<MDL	33.01	88.83
	SD	20.27	<MDL	6.88	0.44	<MDL	16.96	33.59	<MDL	<MDL	21.96	64.10
	75th	14.81	<MDL	17.82	<MDL	<MDL	22.17	65.75	<MDL	<MDL	109.71	122.86
Europe (n=48)												
natural water (48)	DF(%)	100%	4.2%	45.8%	0%	29.2%	2.1%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
	25th	5.08	<MDL	0.01	<MDL	0.01	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	5.75	4.29
	Median	5.51	<MDL	0.01	<MDL	0.01	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	10.43	11.76
	Mean	6.81	0.41	6.87	<MDL	1.69	0.33	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	15.84	29.17
	SD	3.47	1.92	9.27	<MDL	2.75	0.50	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	11.56	31.41
	75th	7.37	<MDL	11.83	<MDL	4.23	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	24.41	59.17
Oceania (n=18)												
natural water (18)	DF(%)	94.4%	16.7%	77.8%	0%	61.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
	25th	4.59	0.02	6.65	<MDL	0.01	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	17.48	15.99
	Median	5.21	0.02	10.49	<MDL	4.42	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	21.89	61.96
	Mean	5.88	1.78	10.67	<MDL	3.34	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	21.66	48.89

	SD	3.13	4.10	8.80	<MDL	2.85	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	7.21	27.41
	75th	6.54	0.02	12.79	<MDL	6.05	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	28.12	72.12
South America (n=6)												
natural water (6)	DF(%)	100%	83.3%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
	25th	3.27	7.46	<MDL	2.50	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	14.14	46.90
	Median	3.38	10.09	<MDL	2.59	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	16.45	49.09
	Mean	3.62	14.99	<MDL	2.61	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	21.21	55.04
	SD	0.65	17.36	<MDL	0.13	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	15.68	17.20
	75th	3.87	20.11	<MDL	2.69	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	26.62	59.96
North America (n=6)												
natural water (6)	DF(%)	100%	0%	0%	100%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
	25th	3.09	<MDL	<MDL	2.48	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	6.63	38.11
	Median	3.37	<MDL	<MDL	2.70	1.83	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	8.28	59.38
	Mean	3.38	<MDL	<MDL	2.78	2.60	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	8.77	67.06
	SD	0.32	<MDL	<MDL	0.36	3.21	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	3.07	32.64
	75th	3.74	<MDL	<MDL	3.07	5.01	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	<MDL	11.91	96.53

31 n:number of samples

32 DF: detection frequency.

33 SD:standard deviation.

34 <MDL : under the method detection limits.

35 **Table S5. Neonicotinoid insecticides normalized to imidacloprid based on reference doses (RfD) to generate relative potency factors (RPF).**

Compound	abbreviation	RfD (mg/kg bw/d)	RPFs
Acetamiprid	ACE	0.071	0.803
Clothianidin	CLO	0.0098	5.816
Imidacloprid	IMI	0.057	1
Thiacloprid	THI	0.004	14.250
Thiamethoxam	THIA	0.006	9.500
Dinotefuran	DIN	0.02	2.850
Imidaclothiz	IMID	/	1.000*
Nitenpyram	NIT	/	1.000*
Flonicamid	FLO	/	1.000*

36 USEPA has developed RfDs for some NEOs based on no-observed adverse effect levels (NOAELs) (https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/cleared_reviews).

37 RPFs: Relative potency factors calculated based on the RfD of each NEO and normalized by the RfD of IMI (Chang et al., 2018); $RPFs = RfD_{IMI} / RfD_s$; There are no

38 RfDs of IMID, NIT and FLO in existing studies, so use the same RfD as IMI.

39 **Table S6. Bottled drinking water intake rates (L/kg bw/d) used to estimate human exposure.**

Age groups	USEPA		China	
	Generation	DWIR (L/kg bw/d)	Generation	DWIR (L/kg bw/d)
Infants	< 1 year	0.089	< 1 year	0.0412
Toddlers	1-3 years	0.031	1-3 years	0.0576
Children	4-11 years	0.029	4-11 years	0.0386
Teenagers	11-21 years	0.016	11-18years	0.0242
Adults	≥ 21 years	0.02	≥18years	0.0299

40

41 **Table S7. Intake rates used in USEPA to estimate human exposure (all age groups).**

Intake rates (IR)	EPA	China(>18year)
Bottled water (L/kg bw/d)	0.0078	0.0186
Drinking water (L/kg bw/d)	0.0128	0.0186
Food (g/kg bw/d)	0.0288	0.0174

42 Data source: Median DWIR values were used. Asian samples were based on the "Chinese Population Exposure Parameter Manual" (Duan 2013); for other continents,
 43 based on based on "Consumer-Only Estimates of Direct and Indirect Water Ingestion (EPA/600/R-09/052F). Dietary exposure data for the Chinese population are
 44 average values.

45

46 **Table S8.**Previous studies have examined neonicotinoid concentrations (ng/L) in surface waters (including rivers, lakes, and other surface
47 **runoff) on all continents around the world. Contains country, year, sampling date. ND: Not detected or less than the detection limit**
48 **"/": not analyzed or obtained.**

49 **A. Europe**

Location	THIA	DIN	IMI	THI	CLO	ACE	NIT	IMID	FLO	Sampling date	Reference
U.K.	12.2	/	119.2	ND	/	224.3	/	/	/	2019	¹
Spain	ND	/	19.0	4.3	ND	ND	/	/	/	2017-2018	²
Spain	/	/	32.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	/	/	/	/	³
Spain	/	/	130.0	0.4	/	420.0	/	/	/	2017	⁴
Spain	1.46	/	20.43	1.57	3.89	2	/	/	/	2015-2016	⁵
	ND	/	18.11	2.33	3.92	8.74	/	/	/		
	ND	/	38.1	1.27	4.04	3.66	/	/	/		
Spain and Portugal	0.3	/	2.8	0.3	0.2	0.5	/	/	/	2020	⁶
Portugal	78.44	/	106.47	/	ND	/	/	/	/	2016-2017	⁷
	104.1	/	259.75	/	30.7	/	/	/	/		
	86.3	/	96.2	/	ND	/	/	/	/		
	83.31	/	283	/	2.7	/	/	/	/		
Belgium	/	/	1.7	1.5	0.57	/	/	/	/	2017	⁸
	/	2.07	1.1	0.4	0.6	/	/	/	/		
	/	11	9	65	1.7	/	/	/	/		
	/	1.4	1.28	1.35	0.329	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	0.3	0.34	0.17	/	/	/	/		
	/	54	10	3.9	3.1	/	/	/	/		

50

51 **B. South America**

Location	THIA	DIN	IMI	THI	CLO	ACE	NIT	IMID	FLO	Sampling date	Reference
Brazil	/	/	4530	/	/	/	/	/	/	2001-2002	9
	/	/	810	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	660	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	270	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	1340	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	1280	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	920	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	1885	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	1353	/	/	/	/	/	/		
Brazil	/	/	70	/	/	/	/	/	/	2014-2015	10
Brazil	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/	2014	11
	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	50	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	50	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	60	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	70	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	183	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	151	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	873	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	627	/	/	/	/	/	/		

	/	/	429	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	635	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	1437	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	468	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	714	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	921	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	802	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	619	/	/	/	/	/	/		
Argentina	/	/	54	/	/	/	/	/	/	2014-2015	¹²
Argentina	/	/	9.78	/	/	/	/	/	/	2021	¹³
Argentina	/	/	43	/	/	/	/	/	/	2014-2017	¹⁴

53 **C. Oceania**

Location	THIA	DIN	IMI	THI	CLO	ACE	NIT	IMID	FLO	Sampling date	Reference
Sydney	100	/	200	150	60	80	/	/	/	2013	15
Australia	/	/	20	/	/	/	/	/	/	2009-2017	16
	/	/	40	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	71	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	81	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	15	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	0.64	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	0.26	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	34	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	120	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	49	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	200	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	0.77	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	0.25	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	0.32	/	/	/	/	/	/		
	/	/	51	/	/	/	/	/	/		

54

55 **D.North America**

Area	THIA	DIN	IMI	THI	CLO	ACE	NIT	IMID	FLO	Sample date	Reference
America	4.9	ND	10.4	ND	23.7	ND	/	/	/	2015-2016	17
	126.2	ND	159.8	ND	278.2	ND	/	/	/		
	11.7	ND	24.9	ND	65.7	ND	/	/	/		
	40.9	ND	32.2	ND	48.4	ND	/	/	/		
	5.3	ND	12.2	ND	25	ND	/	/	/		
	122.8	ND	212.6	ND	332.7	ND	/	/	/		
	7	ND	16.9	ND	41	ND	/	/	/		
	/	ND	NS	ND	NS	ND	/	/	/		
	5.5	ND	10.1	ND	23.5	ND	/	/	/		
	11.8	ND	21.5	ND	38.6	ND	/	/	/		
	4.7	ND	13.6	ND	27.8	ND	/	/	/		
	41.4	ND	40.2	ND	76.4	ND	/	/	/		
America	10.60	/	13.10	/	8.60	/	/	/	/	2017	18
America	2.00	/	20.00	/	10.00	/	/	/	/	2016-2017	19
America	ND	/	1.20	/	15.30	/	/	/	/	2017	20
America	ND	/	44.85	ND	97.03	2.05	/	/	/	2014.2	21
America	40.6	43.28	33.92	/	19.02	ND	/	/	/	2012-2014	22
	/	3	12.05	/	/	12.34	/	/	/		
	18.4	/	52	/	14.71	/	/	/	/		
America	135.70	212.82	48.17	/	19.80	/	/	/	/	2016-2017	23
Canada	6	ND	4	1	6	ND	ND	/	/	2016-2017	24

	4	ND	2	1	11	ND	ND	/	/		
	3	ND	0.8	ND	7	ND	ND	/	/		
	5	ND	0.8	ND	2	ND	ND	/	/		
	5	ND	38	ND	15	0.6	ND	/	/		
	61	ND	10	ND	88	2.5	ND	/	/		
	11	ND	6	ND	22	ND	ND	/	/		
	6	ND	1.2	ND	15	ND	ND	/	/		
Canada	32.2	/	ND	ND	ND	ND	/	/	/	2015	25
	52.7	/	ND	ND	33.2	ND	/	/	/		
	79.2	/	ND	ND	57.1	ND	/	/	/		
	283.5	/	ND	ND	86.9	ND	/	/	/		
	38.9	/	ND	ND	ND	ND	/	/	/		
	42.9	/	ND	ND	138.1	ND	/	/	/		
	ND	/	2.7	ND	7.2	ND	/	/	/		
	ND	/	4.4	ND	6.8	ND	/	/	/		
	10.8	/	3.7	ND	28.7	ND	/	/	/		
	23.4	/	8.6	ND	48.2	ND	/	/	/		
	ND	/	4.3	ND	5.9	ND	/	/	/		
	18.2	/	13.5	2.7	77.1	ND	/	/	/		
Canada	40.3	/	15.9	/	142	1.1	/	/	/	2012-2013	26
	40.6	/	7.1	/	59.7	0.6	/	/	/		
Canada	51.00	/	58.00	/	36.00	/	/	/	53.00	2012-2019	27
Canada	/	/	10.33	2.23	7.77	8.70	/	/	5.10	2016	28
	/	/	54.33	3.27	82.27	27.67	/	/	31.67		
	3.45	/	4.00	2.20	12.93	30.13	/	/	/		
			1064.3						732.0		
	623.47	/	0	4.33	389.83	27.23	/	/	3		
	10.60	/	6.30	2.27	24.27	81.30	/	/	/		

	2.57	/	4.80	/	13.30	2.67	/	/	1.60		
Canada	18.1	/	/	/	20.1	/	/	/	/	2014	29
	27	/	/	/	31.2	/	/	/	/		
Canada	60	/	10		77	106	/	/	/	2013-2015	30
	31	/	23	□	20	16	/	/	/		
	5	/	ND	/	16	/	/	/	/		
	2	/	1	/	11	/	/	/	/		
	80	/	0	/	18	/	/	/	/		
Canada	5	/	0	/	8	/	/	/	/	2015	31
	1	/	0	/	13	/	/	/	/		
	1	/	ND	/	3	/	/	/	/		
	4	/	2	/	6	/	/	/	/		
	5	/	1	/	4	/	/	/	/		
Canada	/	3.80	/	/	3.60	/	/	/	/	2017	32
	1090	/	/	/	40	/	/	/	/		
	31	/	/	/	20	/	/	/	/		
	30	/	/	/	10	/	/	/	/		
Canada	20	/	/	/	10	/	/	/	/	2014-2015	33
	60	/	/	/	50	/	/	/	/		
	7	/	/	/	5	/	/	/	/		
	4	/	/	/	10	/	/	/	/		
	3	/	/	/	3	/	/	/	/		
	172	/	11.8	0.52	31.6	0.21	/	/	/		
	5.79	/	113	2.71	13.8	20.8	/	/	/		
Canada	17.8	/	30.7	0.72	13.3	14.3	/	/	/	2016	34
	4.69	/	2.53	/	4.19	ND	/	/	/		
	21.2	/	21.7	/	7.78	2.7	/	/	/		
	7.11	/	4.32	0.89	2.65	0.34	/	/	/		

20.1	/	5.25	/	7.28	ND	/	/	/
137	/	856	2.19	41.8	59.3	/	/	/
4.28	/	1.82	/	22.8	ND	/	/	/
23.5	/	4.08	/	11.1	ND	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	ND	ND	/	/	/
12.5	/	1390	2.81	5.17	21.6	/	/	/
58.6	/	5.49	/	28.7	0.26	/	/	/
24.9	/	4.56	/	17.5	ND	/	/	/
15.3	/	19.4	46.2	22.3	9.58	/	/	/

57 E. Asia

Location	THIA	DIN	IMI	THI	CLO	ACE	NIT	IMID	FLO	Sampling date	Reference
Yangtze River	4.3	/	6.1	0.0	0.4	2.7	0.5	/	/	2015	35
	28.6	11.3	35.6	□	7.52	15	15.9	17.7	ND		
	2.97	9.69	4.18	0.57	8.01	4.74	9.35	4.71	ND		
	ND	14.3	25.3	0.752	ND	7.69	28.7	23	ND		
	12.5	23.7	22.4	0.223	6.62	8.55	42.9	15.7	ND		
	2.35	6.88	11.41	ND	ND	4.93	8.89	22.6	ND		
	8.75	84.6	9.38	0.66	ND	7.54	113	11.3	ND		
	9.63	704	15	3.85	46.3	18.5	241	23.7	ND		
	18.6	20.2	27.4	3.04	ND	6.73	53	15.1	ND		
	16.3	ND	15.3	ND	1.57	22.9	16.5	6.11	ND		
	87.7	921	46.7	3.12	17.4	15.4	800	83.4	ND		
Yangtze River	5.1	4.5	8.88	ND	ND	4.8	ND	9.25	ND	2016	36
	22.6	274	36.3	1.8	14.4	9.77	292	32.56	ND		
	157	33.5	49.9	ND	9.05	18.2	544	85	ND		
	16.3	28.7	586	0.113	9.63	1.31	319	22.7	ND		
	2.33	41.5	31.2	1.79	0.473	6.22	39.2	21	ND		
	6.61	77.5	18.2	2.09	31.4	6.2	285	29.9	ND		
	0.06	ND	1.11	ND	ND	8.28	2.76	37.9	ND		
	42.31	719	22.5	3.72	22.2	8.12	603	44.1	ND		
	43.8	1380	26.6	7.83	24.7	14.5	881	76.9	ND		
	20.8	112	24.6	2.82	38.6	ND	172	40.1	ND		
	4.16	3.92	0.292	ND	13.5	ND	363	19.9	ND		
	20.5	1730	71.4	3.28	81.3	7.02	1250	72.2	ND		

	16.2	12.2	0.401	ND	8.18	ND	27.8	20.6	ND		
	43.4	1020	30.9	3.07	8.5	5.67	673	81.9	ND		
Yellow River	67.4	16.7	39.2	0.5	9.8	25.5	ND	6.9	/	2022	37
Tai hu Lake	8.2	1.4	38	ND	0.7	15	/	/	/	2019	38
	43	2.2	32	0.3	2.2	10	/	/	/		
	46	19	69	0.3	13	30	/	/	/		
	5.6	2.9	27	0.6	ND	16	/	/	/		
Tai hu Lake	12	/	70.2	/	/	8.33	/	/	/	2018	39
	2.89	/	48.3	/	/	9.07	/	/	/		
	0.4	/	45.6	/	/	4.69	/	/	/		
	1.24	/	7.24	/	/	0.87	/	/	/		
Pan yang Lake	7.2	40.2	13.4	0.9	2.6	2.5	/	/	/	2019	40
Pearl River	39	/	51.2	15.7	28.9	32.1	/	/	19.6	2021	41
	24.7	/	40.3	6.89	24.3	20.6	/	/	6.57		
	19.1	/	21.4	4.79	9.3	13.9	/	/	9.47		
	30.9	/	45.5	10.3	11.1	23.5	/	/	7.48		
	22.3	/	55.5	9.77	16.9	22.3	/	/	14.4		
	22.8	/	46.4	8.02	4.48	14.9	/	/	1.27		
	10.2	/	ND	3.27	ND	11	/	/	ND		
Pearl River	50.2	/	78.3	1.2	25.3	36.0	/	/	/	2017	42
Pearl River	10.9	/	81.1	ND	25.6	51.2	/	/	/	2016	43
	12.8	/	63.8	ND	19.7	62.2	/	/	/		
Pearl River	/	/	24	/	11	14	/	/	/	2018	44
	/	/	22	/	15	6.7	/	/	/		
	/	/	20	/	17	5.3	/	/	/		
	/	/	49	/	18	6.6	/	/	/		

	/	/	17	/	17	4.2	/	/	/		
	/	/	18	/	15	5.2	/	/	/		
	/	/	14	/	14	5.1	/	/	/		
	/	/	26	/	15	9.1	/	/	/		
Songhua River	30.7	2.9	22.4	/	3.4	1.9	/	0.0	/	2019	45
	14.6	799	10.2	ND	3.4	8.76	18.8	/	/		
	16.6	802	9.37	ND	12.1	9.92	16.4	/	/		
	11.2	606	5	ND	4.73	2.18	3.46	/	/		
	8.1	159	7.91	4.23	6.21	6.21	6.23	/	/		
	8.38	168	6.38	3.8	6.12	5.56	ND	/	/		
	0.83	5.18	3.61	ND	1.05	0.83	ND	/	/		
	2.72	53	3.48	1.1	2.14	2.23	ND	/	/		
	ND	ND	1.78	ND	0.26	0.59	ND	/	/		
	3.68	45.8	4.93	1.34	2.51	2.71	ND	/	/		
	2.6	48.9	5.61	0.84	1.86	1.92	ND	/	/		
China	2.81	39	3.81	1.12	2.22	2.08	ND	/	/	2019	46
	2.47	42	3.57	0.94	1.89	1.87	ND	/	/		
	2.65	14.2	7.57	0.19	2.6	1.84	ND	/	/		
	2.22	17.8	8.62	0.11	2.82	1.72	ND	/	/		
	15.3	137	12.8	ND	6.53	3.12	2.74	/	/		
	14.6	193	9.81	ND	5.97	3.4	1.69	/	/		
	28.5	185	15.9	ND	5.85	5.33	ND	/	/		
	14.1	78.9	11.7	3.65	4.07	4.07	3.2	/	/		
	14.2	75.9	9.83	3.67	3.82	3.91	ND	/	/		
	13.2	77.4	9.18	2.9	4.15	4.27	ND	/	/		
	ND	3.15	3.94	ND	ND	1.4	ND	/	/		

	2.73	11.5	3.95	0.28	0.84	2	ND	/	/		
	ND	3.59	47.4	ND	ND	1.44	ND	/	/		
	2.84	11.8	9.54	0.38	1.13	2.07	ND	/	/		
	3.13	11.7	7.13	0.32	0.85	1.53	ND	/	/		
	2.86	10.2	7.47	0.29	1	1.58	ND	/	/		
	4.02	15.7	6.2	0.36	1.04	1.42	ND	/	/		
	3.18	13.5	6.28	0.38	0.43	1.51	ND	/	/		
	4.07	6.14	13.7	ND	2.16	2.07	ND	/	/		
	3.79	7.2	13.3	0.06	2.26	1.95	ND	/	/		
	3.7	6.15	12.9	0.06	1.79	1.8	ND	/	/		
China	9.1	/	78.3	ND	/	7.5	/	/	/	/	47
	ND	/	9.3	ND	/	ND	/	/	/		
	7.6	/	87.5	ND	/	10	/	/	/		
	11.3	/	49.8	ND	/	6.7	/	/	/		
China	1.79	2.54	1.25	ND	ND	ND	152.54	15.35	ND	2016	48
	7.12	392.56	1.33	0.42	1.2	33.57	7.52	34.27	ND		
	6.76	10.99	1.53	ND	71.05	2.78	5.05	27.54	ND		
	1.67	16.42	8.04	0.19	ND	25.49	11.5	39.08	ND		
	43.43	1022.2	30.9	3.07	1.55	5.67	672.9	81.92	1.06		
	8.99	26.26	73.28	1.67	ND	48.76	42.32	47.35	ND		
	1.81	27.44	7.41	0.1	5.04	3.14	30.21	21.07	ND		
	12.18	1.14	14.72	ND	3.63	3.95	ND	15.89	ND		
	1.34	4	3.11	ND	ND	0.27	6.93	21.14	ND		
	9.33	10.44	31.52	0.11	5.9	9.43	11.21	21.27	ND		
	6.98	ND	33.16	0.13	5.98	30.39	6.52	16.75	ND		
	2.78	4.66	7.39	0.84	2.6	2.16	6.74	15.78	ND		
	13.7	24.08	10.04	1.77	13.58	7.73	92.48	32.44	ND		

	27.31	166.93	31.72	1.08	93.86	0.77	400.31	68.23	ND		
	13.83	39.75	22.64	0.62	35.98	ND	173.18	41.04	ND		
	95.85	73.77	354.86	3.84	2.76	118.49	55.44	47.45	ND		
	1.17	12.22	0.86	0.08	ND	ND	6.18	22.86	ND		
	8.93	10.72	9.34	0.84	5.12	11.46	18.06	23.94	ND		
	3.21	ND	38.98	ND	2.44	12.61	110.03	32.65	ND		
	1.58	2.47	65.11	ND	5.81	26.36	2	24.68	ND		
	22.63	274.24	36.27	1.37	14.43	9.77	291.51	32.57	ND		
	15.04	7.48	84.52	ND	16.08	43.11	95.62	17.87	ND		
	6.71	11.4	33.35	ND	2.64	23.28	12.64	16.11	ND		
	6.82	ND	8.91	0.62	6.1	3.84	ND	15.4	ND		
	7.7	25.99	14.55	0.49	ND	4.61	136.31	21.57	ND		
	2.65	2.54	15.91	0.34	ND	4.56	8.15	16.58	ND		
	16.2	7.02	72.86	3.24	6.9	73.42	18.71	15.34	ND		
	6.52	10.7	17.7	0.02	1.31	2.27	13.03	15.68	ND		
	10.29	6.15	12.82	0.67	2.46	15.25	ND	17.3	ND		
	17.64	84.2	31.7	0.63	2.93	5.35	120.16	35.04	ND		
	14.72	6.45	24.54	0.43	ND	1.35	5.22	15.23	ND		
	28.89	44.35	77.69	1.31	9.61	4.13	41.75	38.61	ND		
China	30.4	/	24.9	0.67	18.1	24.7	/	/	/	2017	49
	29.4	/	33.9	0.9	13.9	18.4	/	/	/		
	35.2	/	32.5	0.4	23.3	9.42	/	/	/		
	79.5	/	36.5	0.59	49.7	12.3	/	/	/		
China	32.1	12.1	6.6	0.3	9.9	2.9	ND	0.3	/	2022	50
China	10.0	/	9.9	/	1.3	/	0.0	ND	/	2019	51
China	2.93	2.1	3.12	/	0.54	0.35	1.62	1.22	0.98	2021	52
	14.8	3.36	4.62	/	0.91	0.5	2.32	1.46	0.91		

	29.06	7.01	8.58	/	2.13	0.93	3.99	2.71	2.26		
	7.97	1.27	6.07	/	0.9	0.28	0.82	0.4	1.14		
	2.93	1.06	1.32	/	0.91	0.37	1.07	0.56	3.65		
Qinhai	1.0	0.6	8.1	0.1	0.6	1.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	2021	⁵³
Wuhan	11.3	0.7	18.8	0.1	2.2	7.4	1.3	/	/	2018	⁵⁴
Guangzhou	43.8	/	29.1	0.15	22.4	25	/	/	/	2017	⁵⁵
	25.5	/	14.8	0.011	12.8	21.3	/	/	/		
	58.3	/	41.4	0.6	30.1	27.1	/	/	/		
Guangzhou	3.63	1.24	6.46	0.21	2.65	39.88	1.71	/	/	2020-2021	⁵⁶
	0.77	0.39	8.48	ND	0.14	100.42	ND	/	/		
Zhejiang	4.8	2.4	11.9	ND	7.6	17.6	1.6	/	/	2017-2018	⁵⁷
Zhejiang	18.8	32.3	262.1	/	/	9.16	108.4	/	/	2021-2022	⁵⁸
	31.07	59	17.15	/	/	6.3	105.6	/	/		
	23.42	310.1	24.91	/	/	7.36	97.8	/	/		
	22.68	98	19.58	/	/	7.29	93.3	/	/		
	28.17	50.8	26.76	/	/	9.87	78	/	/		
	30.04	300.7	22.84	/	/	17.03	78.6	/	/		
	28.2	210.5	30.3	/	/	14.26	92.6	/	/		
	23.92	37.3	34.43	/	/	20.13	104.8	/	/		
Shandong	558.78	/	635.7	/	703.73	/	/	/	/	2020-2021	⁵⁹
	719.72	/	1041.21	/	832.1	/	/	/	/		
	599.61	/	787.71	/	685.05	/	/	/	/		
	333.83	/	330.47	/	221.56	/	/	/	/		
Beijing	3.46	272.75	92.45	0.80	2.94	18.11	1.20	45.12	1.54	2020-2021	⁶⁰
	5.37	349.97	132.11	0.16	5.70	6.30	1.99	9.86	13.44		
Hainan	200.0	90.0	1360.0	20.0	130.0	410.0	3.0	40.0	/	2021-2022	⁶¹

59 **Table S9. Pesticide (insecticide) usage in different countries (tons).**

Domain Code	Area	Element	Item	Year	Unit	Value	Flag Description
RP	China, mainland	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	66800.76	Official figure
RP	China, mainland	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	63035.9	Official figure
RP	Italy	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	10400	Official figure
RP	Italy	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	9174	Official figure
RP	France	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	6372.12	Official figure
RP	France	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	6843.72	Official figure
RP	Norway	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	17	Official figure
RP	Norway	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	16	Official figure
RP	Spain	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	8165.12	Estimated value
RP	Spain	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	9882.32	Estimated value
RP	U.K	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	316.75	Official figure
RP	U.K	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	325.58	Official figure
RP	Fiji	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	320.89	Imputed value
RP	Fiji	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	383.86	Imputed value
RP	New Zealand	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	303	Imputed value
RP	New Zealand	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	303	Imputed value
RP	Canada	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	3143	Official figure
RP	Canada	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	3143	Imputed value
RP	Chile	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2020	t	2064.33	Estimated value
RP	Chile	Agricultural Use	Insecticides	2021	t	2311.71	Estimated value

60 Data source: Food and Pesticides Organization of the United Nations (FAO, <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/RP>).

61 **TableS10. Pearson's correlation between pesticide (insecticide) use and neonicotinoid residues in bottled water by country.**

□		ACE	IMID	THIA	NEOs	Insecticides
ACE	Cor. Coef.	1				
	Sig (two-tailed)					
IMID	Cor. Coef.	-0.486	1			
	Sig (two-tailed)	0.269				
THIA	Cor. Coef.	0.174	-0.650	1		
	Sig (two-tailed)	0.710	0.162			
NEOs	Cor. Coef.	.794**	-0.451	0.088	1	
	Sig (two-tailed)	0.006	0.310	0.850		
Insecticides	Cor. Coef.	.923**	-0.577	0.077	.688*	1
	Sig (two-tailed)	0.000	0.175	0.870	0.028	□

62 ** Correlations are significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed). * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

63

64 **Table S11. Mean concentrations (ng/L) of NEOs in drinking water other than bottled water measured in other reports.**

Location	Year	Water type	ACE	IMI	CLO	THI	THIA	DIN	NIT	IMID	□ Reference
Canada	2017	Tap water	n.a	0.43	6	n.a	10	n.a	n.a	n.a	24
Canada	2015	Drinking water	n.a	3.97	n.a	n.a	43.58	n.a	n.a	n.a	25
Iowa,USA	2018	Tap water	n.a	1.29	8.08	n.a	0.48	n.a	n.a	n.a	62
Iowa,USA	2016	Tap water	n.a	6.81	13.99	n.a	1.22	n.a	n.a	n.a	63
Zhejiang,China	2017	Tap water	5.8	4	0.6	n.d	n.d	1.8	2.5	n.a	57
Wuhan,China	2018	Tap water	5.19	12.30	2.02	0.05	7.92	2.47	2.10	n.a	54
China	2017-2019	Tap water	13.2	16.2	15.5	12.2	15.6	32.2	n.a	n.a	64
China	2019	Tap water	1.75	5.63	3.22	0.08	6.68	0.72	0.05	0.01	65
China	2019	groundwater	0.22	1.88	2.11	0.03	9.09	0.23	0.03	0.002	65
China	2018	Tap water + Well water + Direct drinking water + Bottled water	1.78	7.14	4.71	0.11	10.1	n.a	n.a	n.a	□ 66

65

66 **Table S12. Mean dietary NEOs concentrations (ng/g) measured in other reports.**

Location	Year	Food type	ACE	IMI	CLO	THI	THIA	DIN	NIT	IMID	□ Reference
Boston, USA	2012	fruits + vegetables	40.3	1.66	0.7	7.8	2.49	17.45	n.d	n.a	⁶⁷
USA	2015	fruits + vegetables	3.93	2.67	3.39	0.16	5.44	3.33	0.24	n.a	⁶⁸
China	2012	cereals	n.d	35.5	n.d	n.d	50.25	n.d	n.d	n.a	⁶⁹
hangzhou,China	2015	fruits + vegetables	19.87	29.33	3.05	1.21	9.77	11.54	3.79	n.a	⁶⁸
hangzhou,China	2017	vegetables	21.57	22.81	3.17	9.63	1.75	11.79	n.a	0.67	⁷⁰
hangzhou,China	2017	fruits	12.33	25.27	2	10.67	0.1	14.13	n.a	n.d	⁷⁰
China	2019	fruits + vegetables + eggs + milk + grains + meat	6.38	3.44	2.45	0.19	3.7	1.29	0.51	n.a	⁷¹
China	2009-2012		5.06	2.08	0.72	0.54	n.a	0.39	0.21	n.a	⁷²
China	2009-2012	cereals + meat + eggs+vegetables + fruits, etc.	3.423	1.586	0.1	0.426	0.003	0.007	0.015	0.005	⁷³
China	2015-2018		2.589	0.915	0.486	0.989	0.01	0.024	0.037	0.008	□ ⁷³

67

- 68 1. T. H. Miller, K. T. Ng, A. Lamphiere, T. C. Cameron, N. R. Bury and L. P. Barron,
69 Multicompartment and cross-species monitoring of contaminants of emerging concern in an
70 estuarine habitat, *Environ Pollut*, 2021, **270**, 116300.
- 71 2. M. V. Barbieri, L. S. Monllor-Alcaraz, C. Postigo and M. Lopez de Alda, Improved fully
72 automated method for the determination of medium to highly polar pesticides in surface and
73 groundwater and application in two distinct agriculture-impacted areas, *Sci Total Environ*, 2020,
74 **745**, 140650.
- 75 3. E. Llorens, A. Ginebreda, M. la Farre, S. Insa, J. D. Gonzalez-Trujillo, A. Munne, C. Sola, M.
76 Flo, M. Villagrasa, D. Barcelo and S. Sabater, Occurrence of regulated pollutants in populated
77 Mediterranean basins: Ecotoxicological risk and effects on biological quality, *Sci Total Environ*,
78 2020, **747**, 141224.
- 79 4. M. V. Barbieri, A. Peris, C. Postigo, A. Moya-Garces, L. S. Monllor-Alcaraz, M. Rambla-
80 Alegre, E. Eljarrat and M. Lopez de Alda, Evaluation of the occurrence and fate of pesticides in
81 a typical Mediterranean delta ecosystem (Ebro River Delta) and risk assessment for aquatic
82 organisms, *Environ Pollut*, 2021, **274**, 115813.
- 83 5. L. Gusmaroli, G. Buttiglieri and M. Petrovic, The EU watch list compounds in the Ebro delta
84 region: Assessment of sources, river transport, and seasonal variations, *Environ Pollut*, 2019,
85 **253**, 606-615.
- 86 6. A. Casillas, A. de la Torre, I. Navarro, P. Sanz and M. L. A. Martinez, Environmental risk
87 assessment of neonicotinoids in surface water, *Science of the Total Environment*, 2022, **809**,
88 151161.
- 89 7. J. C. G. Sousa, A. R. Ribeiro, M. O. Barbosa, C. Ribeiro, M. E. Tiritan, M. F. R. Pereira and A.
90 M. T. Silva, Monitoring of the 17 EU Watch List contaminants of emerging concern in the Ave
91 and the Sousa Rivers, *Sci Total Environ*, 2019, **649**, 1083-1095.
- 92 8. F. Vanryckeghem, S. Huysman, H. Van Langenhove, L. Vanhaecke and K. Demeestere, Multi-
93 residue quantification and screening of emerging organic micropollutants in the Belgian Part of
94 the North Sea by use of Speedisk extraction and Q-Orbitrap HRMS, *Mar Pollut Bull*, 2019, **142**,
95 350-360.
- 96 9. E. C. Bortoluzzi, D. S. Rheinheimer, C. S. Gonçalves, J. B. R. Pellegrini, A. M. Maroneze, M. H.
97 S. Kurz, N. M. Bacar and R. Zanella, Investigation of the occurrence of pesticide residues in
98 rural wells and surface water following application to tobacco, *Quimica Nova*, 2007.
- 99 10. C. Goncalves, A. T. Marins, A. M. B. do Amaral, M. E. M. Nunes, T. E. Muller, E. Severo, A.
100 Feijo, C. C. R. Rodrigues, R. Zanella, O. D. Prestes, B. Clasen and V. L. Loro, Ecological
101 impacts of pesticides on *Astyanax jacuhiensis* (Characiformes: Characidae) from the Uruguay
102 river, Brazil, *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*, 2020, **205**, 111314.
- 103 11. J. A. M. de Castro Lima, J. Labanowski, M. C. Bastos, R. Zanella, O. D. Prestes, J. P. R. de
104 Vargas, L. Mondamert, E. Granado, T. Tiecher, M. Zafar, A. Troian, T. Le Guet and D. R. Dos
105 Santos, "Modern agriculture" transfers many pesticides to watercourses: a case study of a
106 representative rural catchment of southern Brazil, *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*, 2020, **27**, 10581-
107 10598.
- 108 12. D. J. Perez, F. G. Iturburu, G. Calderon, L. A. E. Oyesqui, E. De Geronimo and V. C. Aparicio,
109 Ecological risk assessment of current-use pesticides and biocides in soils, sediments and surface
110 water of a mixed land-use basin of the Pampas region, Argentina, *Chemosphere*, 2021, **263**,
111 128061.
- 112 13. I. Navarro, A. de la Torre, P. Sanz, N. Abrantes, I. Campos, A. Alaoui, F. Christ, F. Alcon, J.
113 Contreras, M. Glavan, I. Paskovic, M. P. Paskovic, T. Norgaard, D. Mandrioli, D. Sgargi, J.
114 Hofman, V. Aparicio, I. Baldi, M. Bureau, A. Vested, P. Harkes, E. Huerta-Lwanga, H. Mol,
115 V. Geissen, V. Silva and M. A. Martinez, Assessing pesticide residues occurrence and risks in
116 water systems: A Pan-European and Argentina perspective, *Water Res*, 2024, **254**, 121419.
- 117 14. L. I. Mas, V. C. Aparicio, E. De Gerónimo and J. L. Costa, Pesticides in water sources used for
118 human consumption in the semiarid region of Argentina, *SN Applied Sciences*, 2020, **2**.
- 119 15. F. Sanchez-Bayo and R. V. Hyne, Detection and analysis of neonicotinoids in river waters--
120 development of a passive sampler for three commonly used insecticides, *Chemosphere*, 2014,
121 **99**, 143-151.
- 122 16. M. S. J. Warne, R. D. R. Turner, A. M. Davis, R. Smith and A. Huang, Temporal variation of
123 imidacloprid concentration and risk in waterways discharging to the Great Barrier Reef and
124 potential causes, *Sci Total Environ*, 2022, **823**, 153556.
- 125 17. M. L. Hladik, S. R. Corsi, D. W. Kolpin, A. K. Baldwin, B. R. Blackwell and J. E. Cavallin,
126 Year-round presence of neonicotinoid insecticides in tributaries to the Great Lakes, USA,
127 *Environ Pollut*, 2018, **235**, 1022-1029.

- 128 18. N. Williams and J. Sweetman, Distribution and Concentration of Neonicotinoid Insecticides on
129 Waterfowl Production Areas in West Central Minnesota, *Wetlands*, 2018, **39**, 311-319.
- 130 19. K. J. Kuechle, E. B. Webb, D. Mengel and A. R. Main, Factors Influencing Neonicotinoid
131 Insecticide Concentrations in Floodplain Wetland Sediments across Missouri, *Environ Sci
132 Technol*, 2019, **53**, 10591-10600.
- 133 20. D. A. Thompson, D. W. Kolpin, M. L. Hladik, K. K. Barnes, J. D. Vargo and R. W. Field,
134 Prevalence of neonicotinoids and sulfoxaflo in alluvial aquifers in a high corn and soybean
135 producing region of the Midwestern United States, *Science of The Total Environment*, 2021,
136 **782**.
- 137 21. A. M. Sadaria, S. D. Supowit and R. U. Halden, Mass Balance Assessment for Six
138 Neonicotinoid Insecticides During Conventional Wastewater and Wetland Treatment:
139 Nationwide Reconnaissance in United States Wastewater, *Environ Sci Technol*, 2016, **50**, 6199-
140 6206.
- 141 22. M. L. Hladik and D. W. Kolpin, First national-scale reconnaissance of neonicotinoid
142 insecticides in streams across the USA, *Environmental Chemistry*, 2016, **13**.
- 143 23. J. R. Masoner, D. W. Kolpin, I. M. Cozzarelli, L. B. Barber, D. S. Burden, W. T. Foreman, K. J.
144 Forshay, E. T. Furlong, J. F. Groves, M. L. Hladik, M. E. Hopton, J. B. Jaeschke, S. H. Keefe,
145 D. P. Krabbenhoft, R. Lowrance, K. M. Romanok, D. L. Rus, W. R. Selbig, B. H. Williams and
146 P. M. Bradley, Urban Stormwater: An Overlooked Pathway of Extensive Mixed Contaminants
147 to Surface and Groundwaters in the United States, *Environ Sci Technol*, 2019, **53**, 10070-10081.
- 148 24. J. M. Montiel-Leon, S. V. Duy, G. Munoz, M. Amyot and S. Sauve, Evaluation of on-line
149 concentration coupled to liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry for the
150 quantification of neonicotinoids and fipronil in surface water and tap water, *Anal Bioanal Chem*,
151 2018, **410**, 2765-2779.
- 152 25. T. Sultana, C. Murray, S. Kleywegt and C. D. Metcalfe, Neonicotinoid pesticides in drinking
153 water in agricultural regions of southern Ontario, Canada, *Chemosphere*, 2018, **202**, 506-513.
- 154 26. A. R. Main, N. L. Michel, J. V. Headley, K. M. Peru and C. A. Morrissey, Ecological and
155 landscape drivers of neonicotinoid insecticide detections and concentrations in Canada's Prairie
156 Wetlands, *Environ Sci Technol*, 2015, **49**, 8367-8376.
- 157 27. M. Raby, L. Lissemore, G. Kaltenecker, D. Beaton and R. S. Prosser, Characterizing the
158 exposure of streams in southern Ontario to agricultural pesticides, *Chemosphere*, 2022, **294**,
159 133769.
- 160 28. C. D. Metcalfe, P. Helm, G. Paterson, G. Kaltenecker, C. Murray, M. Nowierski and T. Sultana,
161 Pesticides related to land use in watersheds of the Great Lakes basin, *Sci Total Environ*, 2019,
162 **648**, 681-692.
- 163 29. A. R. Main, N. L. Michel, M. C. Cavallaro, J. V. Headley, K. M. Peru and C. A. Morrissey,
164 Snowmelt transport of neonicotinoid insecticides to Canadian Prairie wetlands, *Agriculture,
165 Ecosystems & Environment*, 2016, **215**, 76-84.
- 166 30. M. C. Cavallaro, A. R. Main, K. Liber, I. D. Phillips, J. V. Headley, K. M. Peru and C. A.
167 Morrissey, Neonicotinoids and other agricultural stressors collectively modify aquatic insect
168 communities, *Chemosphere*, 2019, **226**, 945-955.
- 169 31. A. R. Main, J. Fehr, K. Liber, J. V. Headley, K. M. Peru and C. A. Morrissey, Reduction of
170 neonicotinoid insecticide residues in Prairie wetlands by common wetland plants, *Sci Total
171 Environ*, 2017, **579**, 1193-1202.
- 172 32. J. M. Montiel-Leon, G. Munoz, S. Vo Duy, D. T. Do, M. A. Vaudreuil, K. Goeury, F.
173 Guillemette, M. Amyot and S. Sauve, Widespread occurrence and spatial distribution of
174 glyphosate, atrazine, and neonicotinoids pesticides in the St. Lawrence and tributary rivers,
175 *Environ Pollut*, 2019, **250**, 29-39.
- 176 33. F. Chretien, I. Giroux, G. Theriault, P. Gagnon and J. Corriveau, Surface runoff and subsurface
177 tile drain losses of neonicotinoids and companion herbicides at edge-of-field, *Environ Pollut*,
178 2017, **224**, 255-264.
- 179 34. J. Struger, J. Grabuski, S. Cagampan, E. Sverko, D. McGoldrick and C. H. Marvin, Factors
180 influencing the occurrence and distribution of neonicotinoid insecticides in surface waters of
181 southern Ontario, Canada, *Chemosphere*, 2017, **169**, 516-523.
- 182 35. G. Mahai, Y. Wan, W. Xia, S. Yang, Z. He and S. Xu, Neonicotinoid insecticides in surface
183 water from the central Yangtze River, China, *Chemosphere*, 2019, **229**, 452-460.
- 184 36. Y. Chen, L. Zang, G. Shen, M. Liu, W. Du, J. Fei, L. Yang, L. Chen, X. Wang, W. Liu and M.
185 Zhao, Resolution of the ongoing challenge of estimating nonpoint source neonicotinoid
186 pollution in the Yangtze River basin using a modified mass balance approach, *Environ Sci
187 Technol*, 2019, **53**, 2539-2548.

- 188 37. M. Cao, J. Fan, C. Guo, M. Chen, J. Lv, W. Sun, B. Xi and J. Xu, Comprehensive investigation
189 and risk assessment of organic contaminants in Yellow River Estuary using suspect and
190 nontarget screening strategies, *Environ Int*, 2023, **173**, 107843.
- 191 38. T. Wang, M. Zhong, M. Lu, D. Xu, Y. Xue, J. Huang, L. Blaney and G. Yu, Occurrence,
192 spatiotemporal distribution, and risk assessment of current-use pesticides in surface water: A
193 case study near Taihu Lake, China, *Sci Total Environ*, 2021, **782**, 146826.
- 194 39. Y. Zhou, J. Wu, B. Wang, L. Duan, Y. Zhang, W. Zhao, F. Wang, Q. Sui, Z. Chen, D. Xu, Q. Li
195 and G. Yu, Occurrence, source and ecotoxicological risk assessment of pesticides in surface
196 water of Wujin District (northwest of Taihu Lake), China, *Environ Pollut*, 2020, **265**, 114953.
- 197 40. Y. Gong, J. Xiong, B. Tan, H. Li, X. Ma, H. Yi, L. Wang and J. You, Occurrence and water-
198 sediment exchange of systemic insecticides and their transformation products in an agriculture-
199 dominated basin, *J Hazard Mater*, 2023, **458**, 131851.
- 200 41. X. He, S. Song, Y. Huang, X. Huang, H. Huang, T. Zhang and H. Sun, Contamination of
201 neonicotinoid insecticides in source water and their fate during drinking water treatment in the
202 Dongguan section of the Pearl River, *Sci Total Environ*, 2023, **901**, 165935.
- 203 42. X. Yi, C. Zhang, H. Liu, R. Wu, D. Tian, J. Ruan, T. Zhang, M. Huang and G. Ying, Occurrence
204 and distribution of neonicotinoid insecticides in surface water and sediment of the Guangzhou
205 section of the Pearl River, South China, *Environ Pollut*, 2019, **251**, 892-900.
- 206 43. J. Xiong, Z. Wang, X. Ma, H. Li and J. You, Occurrence and risk of neonicotinoid insecticides in
207 surface water in a rapidly developing region: Application of polar organic chemical integrative
208 samplers, *Sci Total Environ*, 2019, **648**, 1305-1312.
- 209 44. X. P. Zhang, Y. Y. Zhang, L. Mai, L. Y. Liu, L. J. Bao and E. Y. Zeng, Selected antibiotics and
210 current-use pesticides in riverine runoff of an urbanized river system in association with
211 anthropogenic stresses, *Sci Total Environ*, 2020, **739**, 140004.
- 212 45. Z. Liu, S. Cui, L. Zhang, Z. Zhang, R. Hough, Q. Fu, Y. F. Li, L. An, M. Huang, K. Li, Y. Ke and
213 F. Zhang, Occurrence, variations, and risk assessment of neonicotinoid insecticides in Harbin
214 section of the Songhua River, northeast China, *Environ Sci Ecotechnol*, 2021, **8**, 100128.
- 215 46. J. Xiong, B. Tan, X. Ma, H. Li and J. You, Tracing neonicotinoid insecticides and their
216 transformation products from paddy field to receiving waters using polar organic chemical
217 integrative samplers, *J Hazard Mater*, 2021, **413**, 125421.
- 218 47. B. Tan, J. Xiong, H. Li and J. You, Simultaneous analysis of current-use pesticides and their
219 transformation products in water using mixture-sorbent solid phase extraction and high-
220 performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, *J Sep Sci*, 2020, **43**, 2409-
221 2418.
- 222 48. Y. Chen, L. Zang, M. Liu, C. Zhang, G. Shen, W. Du, Z. Sun, J. Fei, L. Yang, Y. Wang, X. Wang
223 and M. Zhao, Ecological risk assessment of the increasing use of the neonicotinoid insecticides
224 along the east coast of China, *Environ Int*, 2019, **127**, 550-557.
- 225 49. C. Zhang, D. Tian, X. Yi, T. Zhang, J. Ruan, R. Wu, C. Chen, M. Huang and G. Ying,
226 Occurrence, distribution and seasonal variation of five neonicotinoid insecticides in surface
227 water and sediment of the Pearl Rivers, South China, *Chemosphere*, 2019, **217**, 437-446.
- 228 50. X. Zhang, Y. Cao, J. Cao, Q. Li and Y. Yan, Occurrence, source, and risk assessment of
229 neonicotinoid insecticides in the Huai River, China, *Environ Pollut*, 2023, **333**, 122068.
- 230 51. Z. Liu, S. Cui, Q. Fu, F. Zhang, Z. Zhang, R. Hough, L. An, Y. F. Li and L. Zhang, Transport of
231 neonicotinoid insecticides in a wetland ecosystem: Has the cultivation of different crops become
232 the major sources?, *J Environ Manage*, 2023, **339**, 117838.
- 233 52. X. Li, Q. Zhao, A. Li, S. Jia, Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, W. Wang, Q. Zhou, Y. Pan and P. Shi,
234 Spatiotemporal distribution and fates of neonicotinoid insecticides during the urban water cycle
235 in the lower reaches of the Yangtze River, China, *Water Res*, 2022, **226**, 119232.
- 236 53. J. Yang, Y. Luo, M. Chen, H. Lu, H. Zhang, Y. Liu, C. Guo and J. Xu, Occurrence, spatial
237 distribution, and potential risks of organic micropollutants in urban surface waters from qinghai,
238 northwest China, *Chemosphere*, 2023, **318**, 137819.
- 239 54. Y. Wan, Y. Wang, W. Xia, Z. He and S. Xu, Neonicotinoids in raw, finished, and tap water from
240 Wuhan, Central China: Assessment of human exposure potential, *Sci Total Environ*, 2019, **675**,
241 513-519.
- 242 55. C. Zhang, X. Yi, C. Chen, D. Tian, H. Liu, L. Xie, X. Zhu, M. Huang and G. G. Ying,
243 Contamination of neonicotinoid insecticides in soil-water-sediment systems of the urban and
244 rural areas in a rapidly developing region: Guangzhou, South China, *Environ Int*, 2020, **139**,
245 105719.
- 246 56. Q. Jia, Y. Cai, X. Yuan, X. Zhang, B. Li and B. Li, Spatial distribution and ecological risks of
247 neonicotinoid insecticides for an urban tidal stream of Guangzhou City, South China,

- 248 *Ecological Indicators*, 2023, **146**.
- 249 57. C. Lu, Z. Lu, S. Lin, W. Dai and Q. Zhang, Neonicotinoid insecticides in the drinking water
250 system - Fate, transportation, and their contributions to the overall dietary risks, *Environ Pollut*,
251 2020, **258**, 113722.
- 252 58. Y. Chen, J. Ling, W. Yu, L. Zhang, R. Wu, D. Yang, J. Qu, H. Jin, Z. Tao, Y. Shen, R. Meng, J.
253 Yu, Q. Zheng, G. Shen, W. Du, H. Sun and M. Zhao, Identification of point and nonpoint
254 emission sources of neonicotinoid pollution in regional surface water, *Water Research*, 2024,
255 **248**, 120863.
- 256 59. J. Luo, C. Chen, C. Bu, W. Zhang, G. Chen and L. Ma, Neonicotinoids in Qilu Lake Basin of
257 China: Spatiotemporal Distribution and Ecological Risk Assessment, *Environmental*
258 *Engineering Science*, 2023, **40**, 61-70.
- 259 60. M. Chen, X. Jin, C. Guo, Y. Liu, H. Zhang, J. Wang, G. Dong, N. Liu, W. Guo, J. P. Giesy, F.
260 Wu and J. Xu, Micropollutants but high risks: Human multiple stressors increase risks of
261 freshwater ecosystems at the megacity-scale, *J Hazard Mater*, 2023, **460**, 132497.
- 262 61. H. Tan, C. Wang, S. Zhu, Y. Liang, X. He, Y. Li, C. Wu, Q. Li, Y. Cui and X. Deng,
263 Neonicotinoids in draining micro-watersheds dominated by rice-vegetable rotations in tropical
264 China: Multimedia occurrence, influencing factors, transport, and associated ecological risks, *J*
265 *Hazard Mater*, 2023, **446**, 130716.
- 266 62. K. L. Klarich Wong, D. T. Webb, M. R. Nagorzanski, D. W. Kolpin, M. L. Hladik, D. M.
267 Cwiertny and G. H. LeFevre, Chlorinated byproducts of neonicotinoids and their metabolites:
268 an unrecognized human exposure potential?, *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*,
269 2019, **6**, 98-105.
- 270 63. K. L. Klarich, N. C. Pflug, E. M. DeWald, M. L. Hladik, D. W. Kolpin, D. M. Cwiertny and G.
271 H. LeFevre, Occurrence of neonicotinoid insecticides in finished drinking water and fate during
272 drinking water treatment, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2017, **4**, 168-173.
- 273 64. Y. He, B. Zhang, Y. Wu, J. Ouyang, M. Huang, S. Lu, H. Sun and T. Zhang, A pilot nationwide
274 baseline survey on the concentrations of Neonicotinoid insecticides in tap water from China:
275 Implication for human exposure, *Environ Pollut*, 2021, **291**, 118117.
- 276 65. G. Mahai, Y. Wan, W. Xia, A. Wang, L. Shi, X. Qian, Z. He and S. Xu, A nationwide study of
277 occurrence and exposure assessment of neonicotinoid insecticides and their metabolites in
278 drinking water of China, *Water Research*, 2021, **189**, 116630.
- 279 66. C. Zhang, X. Yi, L. Xie, H. Liu, D. Tian, B. Yan, D. Li, H. Li, M. Huang and G. G. Ying,
280 Contamination of drinking water by neonicotinoid insecticides in China: Human exposure
281 potential through drinking water consumption and percutaneous penetration, *Environ Int*, 2021,
282 **156**, 106650.
- 283 67. M. Chen, L. Tao, J. McLean and C. Lu, Quantitative analysis of neonicotinoid insecticide
284 residues in foods: implication for dietary exposures, *J Agric Food Chem*, 2014, **62**, 6082-6090.
- 285 68. C. Lu, C. H. Chang, C. Palmer, M. Zhao and Q. Zhang, Neonicotinoid Residues in Fruits and
286 Vegetables: An Integrated Dietary Exposure Assessment Approach, *Environ Sci Technol*, 2018,
287 **52**, 3175-3184.
- 288 69. P. Wang, X. Yang, J. Wang, J. Cui, A. J. Dong, H. T. Zhao, L. W. Zhang, Z. Y. Wang, R. B. Xu,
289 W. J. Li, Y. C. Zhang, H. Zhang and J. Jing, Multi-residue method for determination of seven
290 neonicotinoid insecticides in grains using dispersive solid-phase extraction and dispersive
291 liquid-liquid micro-extraction by high performance liquid chromatography, *Food Chem*, 2012,
292 **134**, 1691-1698.
- 293 70. Q. Zhang, Z. Lu, C. H. Chang, C. Yu, X. Wang and C. Lu, Dietary risk of neonicotinoid
294 insecticides through fruit and vegetable consumption in school-age children, *Environ Int*, 2019,
295 **126**, 672-681.
- 296 71. K. Cui, X. Wu, D. Wei, Y. Zhang, J. Cao, J. Xu, F. Dong, X. Liu and Y. Zheng, Health risks to
297 dietary neonicotinoids are low for Chinese residents based on an analysis of 13 daily-consumed
298 foods, *Environ Int*, 2021, **149**, 106385.
- 299 72. S. Li, D. Chen, B. Lv, J. Li, Y. Zhao and Y. Wu, Enhanced Sensitivity and Effective Cleanup
300 Strategy for Analysis of Neonicotinoids in Complex Dietary Samples and the Application in the
301 Total Diet Study, *J Agric Food Chem*, 2019, **67**, 2732-2740.
- 302 73. D. Chen, Y. Zhang, B. Lv, Z. Liu, J. Han, J. Li, Y. Zhao and Y. Wu, Dietary exposure to
303 neonicotinoid insecticides and health risks in the Chinese general population through two
304 consecutive total diet studies, *Environ Int*, 2020, **135**, 105399.
- 305