Supporting Information

Investigation of the substituent influence on dielectric properties for

polyethylene derivatives

Bing Zhong^{$\dagger, \ddagger}$, Yin Zhang^{$\dagger, \ddagger}$, Wei You^{$\dagger, \ddagger, *$}, and Yu Wang^{$\dagger, *$}</sup></sup>

[†] Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences (BNLMS), CAS Key

Laboratory of Engineering Plastics, Institute of Chemistry, Chinses Academy of

Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

[‡] University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

* Corresponding authors: Yu Wang (ywang507@iccas.ac.cn)

Wei You (weiyou@iccas.ac.cn)

General Information

Materials

Poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate) (EVA, VA=40 wt%=18 mol%, melt index @190 °C=52 g/10 min), was purchased from Aladdin Co., Ltd. Shanghai, China. 1,1'-(azodicarbonyl)-dipiperidine (ADDP) was purchased from Innochem Co., Ltd., Beijing, China. All abovementioned chemicals were used as received without further purification.

Characterization and Instrumentation

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrum were recorded on a Thermo Fisher Nicolet 6700 FTIR spectrometer. The measurement was carried out in the range of 4000–650 cm⁻¹ with the number of scans per spectrum of 32 and the spectruml resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker Avance III 400 HD spectrometer operating at 400 MHz chloroform-d or 1,2-dichlorobenzene- d_4 solvents. Molecular weight and polydispersity (*D*) were measured by gel permeation chromatography (Agilent 1260 Infinity II) in THF (40 °C) mobile phase based on narrowly distributed polystyrene (PS) standard with a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. Melting and glass transition behavior of the polymers were measured by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) using a TA Q200 instrument. The samples were heated under nitrogen from 60 °C to 200 °C at a rate of 20 °C per minute and isothermal for 3 min, then cooled to –130 °C at a rate of 10 °C per minute, followed by heating to 200 °C at a rate of 10 °C per minute. The T_m and T_g were determined from the second heating run, and the crystallization temperature T_c was obtained from the cooling trace.

Experimental Procedures

Preparation of PE-OTol

For information on the raw materials and reagents used and the procedure for the synthesis of EVOH, please refer to the *General Information* and *Preparation of EVOH* sections in the Supplementary Information of reference 43 in the main text. PE derivative PE-OTol was prepared by using EVOH (1 mmol of functionality) and PPh3 (3 mmol) were dissolved in THF (20 mL), followed by adding *p*-cresol (3 mmol). After stirred for 15 min, ADDP (3 mmol) and BHT (50 mg) were added. The mixture was allowed to stir at 25 °C for 16 hours before precipitation and sonication in methanol for three times. PE-OTol were obtained after drying under vacuum with the isolated yield of 92%.¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.11-6.95 (br m, 2H), δ 6.82-6.66 (br m, 2H), δ 4.21-4.06 (br m, 1H), δ 2.27 (s, 3H), δ 1.76-1.52 (br m, 8H), δ 1.43-0.98 (br m, 34H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 156.7, 129.9, 129.6, 115.9, 77.0, 34.0, 29.7, 27.2, 25.4, 20.5; IR (neat): 2918 (s), 2850 (s), 1611 (m), 1507 (s), 1458 (m), 1233 (s), 816 (m), 718(m).

| sample | ε ['] @10 ⁻² Hz | ε ['] @10 ⁰ Hz | ε' @10 ³ Hz | ε ['] @10 ⁷ Hz | $\omega_{\mathrm{p}^{a}}$ (Hz) | <i>ɛ</i> "p ^{<i>b</i>} |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| LLDPE | 2.03 | 2.03 | 2.03 | 2.04 | N.A. | N.A. |
| PE-Br | 14.24 | 5.83 | 2.82 | 2.26 | 1.8×10^{6} | 0.16 |
| PE-I | 129.47 | 24.80 | 4.35 | 2.61 | 1.8×10^{6} | 0.31 |
| PE-OPh | 3.71 | 3.38 | 3.33 | 2.75 | 3.2×10 ⁵ | 0.15 |
| PE-OTol | 2.21 | 1.97 | 1.93 | 1.59 | 8.7×10 ⁴ | 0.10 |
| PE-ONaph | 3.60 | 2.89 | 2.64 | 2.57 | N.A. | N.A. |
| PE-N ₃ | 14.10 | 5.09 | 4.35 | 3.52 | N.A. | N.A. |
| PE-Tr | 5.07 | 4.80 | 2.98 | 2.37 | 5.6×10 ¹ | 0.50 |
| PE-Naph | 2.31 | 2.27 | 2.24 | 2.22 | N.A. | N.A. |
| PE-pip | 4.59 | 3.40 | 2.65 | 2.46 | 2.1×10 ⁻¹ | N.A. |
| PE-NB | 2.89 | 2.39 | 2.20 | 2.18 | N.A. | N.A. |
| | | | | | | |

Table S1. Determined dielectric properties of the synthesized PE derivatives.

^{*a*} Corresponding frequency at the ε ["] peak. ^{*b*} Peak value in the plot of ε ["] versus frequency.

| Table S2. The determined | elemental composi | tion in the blends | of PE-Br/LLDPE. PE- |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | | |

I/LLDPE, and PE-OPh/LLDPE. The PE derivatives content is 5.9 wt% for the blends

| sample | C/wt% | Br/ wt% | I /wt% | O /wt% | total /wt% |
|--------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| PE-Br/LLDPE | 98.1±0.3 | 1.9±0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| PE-I/LLDPE | 98.0±0.6 | 0.0 | 2.0±0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| PE-OPh/LLDPE | 99.0±0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0±0.7 | 100.0 |

Table S3. Determined mechanical properties of the selected PE derivatives, their blends with the LLDPE matrix, and EVA/LLDPE blend. The PE derivative content is 5.9 wt% for all the

| sample | Young's modulus | stress-at-break | strain-at-break | stress-at-yield |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (MPa) | (MPa) | (%) | (MPa) |
| LLDPE | 36.1±1.5 | 9.2±1.3 | 496.5±262.9 | 8.7±0.6 |
| PE-Br | 3.8±0.5 | 8.4±0.7 | 1069.6±127.8 | N.D. ^a |
| PE-I | 1.9±0.2 | 3.7±0.1 | 429.7±84.0 | N.D. |
| PE-OPh | 2.9±0.8 | 11.5±2.5 | 531.3±85.0 | N.D. |
| PE-Br/LLDPE | 58.9±3.7 | 12.5±2.3 | 1066.8±308.2 | 9.3±0.1 |
| PE-I/LLDPE | 56.7±2.4 | 7.6±2.4 | 443.6±250.1 | 8.9±0.3 |
| PE-OPh/LLDPE | 54.2±1.5 | 13.0±1.6 | 1106.2±165.8 | 8.9±0.1 |
| EVA/LLDPE | 69.4±0.9 | 11.8±0.5 | 917.8±82.8 | 8.6±0.5 |

blends.

^{*a*} N.D. means not determined.

| Table S4. $T_{g,DMA}$ values | of PE derivatives of | of PE-Br. PE-L | . PE-OPh and the | heir blends, the |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------|-------------------|
| INCIC ST. I g, DMA FORMOS | | <i>J</i> L D I <i>J</i> I L I | , 1 | veri evenus, vive |

LLDPE is used as reference material. The PE derivative content is 5.9 wt% for the blends.

| Sample | T _{g,DMA} (°C) | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| LLDPE | -22.9 | | |
| PE-Br | -18.7 | | |
| PE-Br/LLDPE | -25.5, 46.3 | | |
| PE-I | -18.1 | | |
| PE-I/LLDPE | -31.6, 43.2 | | |
| PE-OPh | -14.1 | | |
| PE-OPh/LLDPE | -24.2, 29.8 | | |



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectra of the synthesized PE-OTol in CDCl₃.



Figure S2. ¹³C NMR spectra of the synthesized PE-OTol in CDCl₃.



Figure S3. FTIR spectrum of the synthesized PE-OTol.



Figure S4. DSC traces of PE-ONaph, PE-pip, and PE-NB during (a) cooling and (b) second heating. The determined T_g is indicated by a dash line. A reference for comparison is provided with LLDPE featuring saturated C-H bonds (black line). No evident T_c and T_m is detected in

the plots.



Figure S5. TGA results of the PE derivatives: (a) plots of weight percentage versus

temperature and (b) their first derivative curves. LLDPE (block line) is used as the basis of

comparison.



Figure S6. Comparison of the 1-D WAXD curves of the obtained PE derivatives. The curves

have been vertically shifted to avoid overlap.



Figure S7. Semi-log plots of relaxation time versus temperature inverse for PE-OPh and PE-Naph. Slid lines are the fitting results by Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) equation, which is inserted in the figure. The temperature corresponding to a relaxation time of 100 s was extrapolated from the fitted line, and determined as the characteristic temperature $T_{\tau=100s}$ (indicated in the figure).



Figure S8. Temperature dependence of the measured dielectric properties of PE derivatives PE-Br (top), PE-I (middle), and LLDPE (bottom), including the log-log plots of ε' ((a), (c), and (e)) and ε'' ((b), (d), and (f)) versus frequency, respectively.



Figure S9. TGA results of the blends of PE derivatives with LLDPE matrix: PE-Br/LLDPE,

PE-I/LLDPE, and PE-OPh/LLDPE. The LLDPE is used a reference material. The PE

derivative content is 5.9 wt% for all the three blends.



Figure S10. Comparison of the 1-D WAXD curves of the blends of PE-Br/LLDPE, PE-

I/LLDPE, PE-OPh/LLDPE, and the LLDPE matrix. The PE derivative content is 5.9 wt% for

all the three blends.



Figure S11. The obtained (a) ε' and (b) ε'' of the reactant EVA used in this work and its blends



Figure S12. Variable-temperature dielectric results of (a)(b) PE-Br/LLDPE and (c)(d) PE-I/LLDPE, including the ε' ((a) and (c)) and ε'' ((b) and (d)). The PE derivative content is 5.9 wt% for all the three blends.

S12



Figure S13. Representative engineering stress-strain curves for the selected PE derivatives, their blends with LLDPE matrix, and the EVA/LLDPE blends. The contents of PE derivatives and EVA in the blends are 5.9 wt%.



Figure S14. The obtained (a) 1-D SAXS and (b) Iq²-q plots of PE derivatives of PE-Br, PE-I, and PE-OPh quenched from 200 °C by a liquid nitrogen bath. From the profiles, it seems that some weak signals could be detected in quenched PE-Br and PE-OPh. However, it could not be distinguished the microphase separation signals from that of crystallization, because a melting peak was observed in the DSC first heating curve.



Figure S15. The (a) cooling and (b) second heating DSC traces of PE-Br/LLDPE, PE-I/LLDPE, and PE-OPh/LLDPE. The applied thermal protocol is that firstly heating from 60 $^{\circ}$ C to 200 $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of 20 $^{\circ}$ C/min and isothermal for 3 min to eliminate the thermal history. Then, cooled from 200 $^{\circ}$ C to -130 $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of ca. 50 $^{\circ}$ C/min. The final step is heated from -130 $^{\circ}$ C to 200 $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of 10 $^{\circ}$ C/min. Despite rapid cooling using a liquid nitrogen cooling system, crystallization peaks were still observed in the cooling curves, suggesting the rate was not fast enough to inhibit crystallization. In the second heating traces, no T_g was determined for any of the samples.