Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2024

## Vacancy engineering in MoS<sub>2</sub> nanolayers coupled with dual-carbon

## confinement for potassium storage

Xiaotong Wei<sup>a</sup>, Shuang Tian<sup>a,\*</sup>, Tengteng Wang<sup>a</sup>, Jingyi Huang<sup>a</sup>, Peibo Gao<sup>a</sup>, Yu

Feng<sup>a</sup>, Guangchao Yin<sup>a</sup>, Jin Zhou<sup>b</sup>, Tong Zhou<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo

255049, China

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo,

255049, China

## \*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: tianshuang@sdut.edu.cn (S. Tian), zhoutong@sdut.edu.cn (T.

Zhou).

## **Experiment Section**

**Preparation of MoS<sub>2</sub>@CNTs:** 0.484 g of sodium molybdate (99.0%, Aladdin Co., Ltd.) and 0.912 g of thiourea ( $\geq$ 99.0%, Aladdin Co., Ltd.) were dissolved in 30 mL of mixed solution (DMF: deionized water = 1:1) and stirred for 30 min. Then 80 mg of MWCNTs was added with continuous stirring for 2 h. The above solution was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and reacted at 200 °C for 24 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the obtained sample was washed repeatedly with deionized water and ethanol and freeze-dried for 12 h. The dried samples were placed in the middle of the tube furnace, and 0.3 g of monolithic sulfur was placed in the front of the quartz tube. And the samples were fully sulfurized under nitrogen atmosphere at 800 °C for 2 h with a heating rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to obtain MoS<sub>2</sub>@CNTs.

**Preparation of MoS**<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs: The MoS<sub>2</sub>@CNTs samples were placed in the middle of the tube furnace and raised to 650 °C under Ar atmosphere at a heating rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, then the hydrogen (7% in argon) was passed and kept for 20 min to obtain MoS<sub>2-x</sub>  $_x$ @CNTs.

**Preparation of C-MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs:** The MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs was dissolved in 100 mL of Tris-buffered solution (10 mM, pH 8.5). 200 mg of dopamine (98%, Aladdin Co., Ltd.) was added to the solution with stirring for 5 h to obtain PDA-coating MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs. Then washed with deionized water for several times, and freeze-dried for 12 h. Finally, the PDA-coating MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs was carbonized in tube furnace under Ar atmosphere at 600 °C for 3 h to obtain the C-MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs.

Material Characteristics: The morphology and structure of samples were

characterized by field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, HITACHI, SU8010) and transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F20 S-TWIN). X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded from Bruker D8 Advance powder X-ray diffractometer with Cu Ka ( $\lambda = 0.15406$  nm). Raman spectra were collected from a LabRAM HR evolution spectrometer under the excitation 532 nm laser. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy were carried out on a Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250XI equipment with Al K $\alpha$  as the excitation source. BET surface area and pore size distribution were evaluated by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption analyzer (ASAP 2420, Micrometrics). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using PerkinElmer TGA 4000 in the temperature range of 30-800 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> in air.

**Electrochemical Measurement:** The working electrodes were fabricated by uniformly pasting electrode slurry onto cupper foil, in which the electrode slurry consists of active material (80 wt%), ketjen black (10 wt%), polyvinylidiene fluoride (PVDF, Atofina) (10 wt%) and N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) solution. Then, the electrodes were dried at 80 °C under vacuum for more than 12 h. All electrodes with an areal density of about 1.0 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> were assembled into CR2032-type cells under Ar glove box (H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub> < 0.01 ppm, Mikrouna Super). The K foil was used as the counter electrode for the half-cell and 1.0 M KFSI in EC: DEC = 1:1 vol% was used as the electrolyte. Galvanostatic charge/discharge tests were performed with the Land CT2001A battery testing system. Cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy were carried out on an electrochemical workstation (IVIUM technology, nSTAT). The current density and

the capacity are based on the total mass of the electrodes.

**GITT Measurement:** Measurements of GITT profiles were made with pulse current at 0.05 A  $g^{-1}$  for 10 min between rest intervals for 20min. The diffusivity coefficient can be estimated according to Fick's second law as follows:

$$D_{k}^{+} = \frac{4}{\pi\tau} \left( \frac{m_{B}V_{M}}{M_{B}S} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{\Delta E_{S}}{\Delta E_{t}} \right)^{2}$$

where  $m_B$  and  $M_B$  are the effective mass and molar mass of the electrode material, respectively.  $V_M$  is the molar volume, S is the surface area of the electrode,  $\Delta E_S$  is the voltage change between the initial and steady state,  $\Delta E_t$  is the constant current discharge/charge potential change.

**Fabrication of PIC full cell:** PIC devices were also assembled into CR2032-type cells. Before assembling the PIC full cell, the C-MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs anode was pre-cycled five times at 0.05 A  $g^{-1}$  in half cell. PICs full cell was assembled by the pre-activated C-MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs and Active carbon with mass ratios of 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3.

In PIC full-cell tests, the specific capacities and current densities were all based on the total mass of both anode and cathode materials. The calculations of energy density (E, Wh kg<sup>-1</sup>) and power densities (P, W kg<sup>-1</sup>) were performed using the equations below:

$$E = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} IV dt = \Delta V \times \frac{1}{m} \times t \qquad P = \frac{E}{t}$$

where  $\Delta V$  represents the potential change after full discharge, *I* is the constant discharge current, and *m* is the total mass of the anode and cathode materials, *t* is the time for a full discharge.



Fig. S1 SEM image of the  $MoS_2@CNTs$ .



Fig. S2 SEM image of the  $MoS_{2-x}@CNTs$ .



**Fig. S3** SEM image of the B-MoS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S4 Regional enlargement of Raman spectra.



Fig. S5 N 1s spectrum of C-MoS $_{2-x}$ @CNTs.



Fig. S6 Thermogravimetric analysis of C-MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs.



Fig. S7 (a)  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherm, and (b) pore size distribution of the  $MoS_2@CNTs$ , (c, d)  $MoS_{2-x}@CNTs$ , and (e, f) C-MoS\_{2-x}@CNTs.



Fig. S8 The initial three CV curves at 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> of (a) MoS<sub>2</sub>@CNTs, (b) MoS<sub>2</sub>.  $_x$ @CNTs.



Fig. S9 Discharge-charge profiles at 0.05 A g<sup>-1</sup> of (a) MoS<sub>2</sub>@CNTs, (b) MoS<sub>2-x</sub>@CNTs.



Fig. S10 SEM image of C-MoS $_{2-x}$ @CNTs after the cycling test



Fig. S11 Rate capabilities of the CNTs.

**Table S1** Comparison of the electrochemical performance of C-MoS $_{2-x}$ @CNTs anodewith previously reported anode materials for PIBs.

Anode materials	Rate capability	Reference
C-MoS <sub>2-x</sub> @CNTs	$\begin{array}{c} 323.8mA \ h \ g^{-1} @ 0.05 \ A \ g^{-1} \\ 180.9mAh \ g^{-1} @ 2 \ A \ g^{-1} \end{array}$	This work
$S_v$ - $WS_2$	$\begin{array}{c} 303.3 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 0.05 \text{ A } g^{-1} \\ 136.6 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 2 \text{ A } g^{-1} \end{array}$	J. Power Sources. 542 (2022) 231791
2H-MoS <sub>2</sub> /CNC	$\begin{array}{c} 220 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 0.05 \text{ A } g^{-1} \\ 139 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 1 \text{ A } g^{-1} \end{array}$	Small 17 (2021) 2102263
FeSe <sub>2</sub> /N-C	277 mA h g <sup>-1</sup> @0.1 A g <sup>-1</sup> 155 mA h g <sup>-1</sup> @2 A g <sup>-1</sup>	Adv. Energy Mater. 10 (2019) 1903277
FeSe <sub>2</sub> @C NBs	$\begin{array}{c} 257 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 0.1 \text{ A } g^{-1} \\ 128 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 1 \text{ A } g^{-1} \end{array}$	Chin. Chem. Lett. 32 (2021) 3601-3606
MoS <sub>2</sub> /N-doped-C	$\begin{array}{c} 258 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 0.1 \text{ A } g^{-1} \\ 131 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 2 \text{ A } g^{-1} \end{array}$	Adv. Funct. Mater. 28 (2018) 1803409
MoSe <sub>2</sub> /N-C	$\begin{array}{c} 300 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 0.1 \text{ A } g^{-1} \\ 178 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 2 \text{ A } g^{-1} \end{array}$	Adv. Energy Mater. 8 (2018) 1801477
S-HCS	$\begin{array}{c} 389 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 0.1 \text{ A } g^{-1} \\ 110 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 5 \text{ A } g^{-1} \end{array}$	Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) 1900429
V <sub>S</sub> -WS <sub>2</sub> -Se@1 NS	$\begin{array}{c} 363.9 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 0.05 \text{ A } g^{-1} \\ 154.2 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 2 \text{ A } g^{-1} \end{array}$	ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 14 (2022) 51994-52006
C-p-MoS <sub>2</sub> /CNT	$\begin{array}{c} 284 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 0.05 \text{ A } g^{-1} \\ 168 \text{ mA h } g^{-1} @ 2 \text{ A } g^{-1} \end{array}$	Adv. Funct. Mater. 33 (2022) 2207548

PICs	Rate capability	Reference
C-MoS <sub>2-x</sub> @CNTs  AC	89.2 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @160.8 W kg <sup>-1</sup> 60.2 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @12.05 kW kg <sup>-1</sup>	This work
N-MoSe <sub>2</sub>  G  AC	119 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @39.6 W kg <sup>-1</sup> 29 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @7212 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	Adv. Funct. Mater. 30 (2020) 1903878
BSH	120 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @96 W kg <sup>-1</sup> 13.3 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @599 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	Adv. Mater. 30 (2018) 1800804
AgP <sub>2</sub>  CNT  AC	37.3 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @12.2073 kW kg <sup>-1</sup>	ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 6 (2023) 822- 831
HNTs@NG  AC	71.5 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @214 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	Appl. Mater. Today 30 (2023) 101702
S-KTO@C  AC	57.4 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @1.74 kW kg <sup>-1</sup>	Small 16 (2019) 1906131
BiSbO <sub>4</sub>   AC	117.9 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @100.5 W kg <sup>-1</sup> 23.2 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> @3932.2 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	ACS Nano 16 (2022) 1486-1501

Table S2 Comparison of the C-MoS $_{2\text{-x}}@\text{CNTs}\|\text{AC}$  with previously reported PICs.