Supplementary Information (SI) for Polymer Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

> Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Polymer Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

# Supporting Information

## Constructing self-healing flexible supercapacitors via graphene oxide

## synergistic multi-network polymer-supramolecular hydrogel

## electrolyte

Xiaoyan Li<sup>a</sup>, Xuiting Shi<sup>a,1</sup>, Anbai Li<sup>a</sup>, Mengmeng Xun<sup>a</sup>, Shuzhen Cui<sup>a</sup>, Kanjun Sun<sup>b</sup>, Hui Peng<sup>a</sup>,

### Guofu Ma<sup>a\*</sup>, Yuxi Xu<sup>c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Eco-functional Polymer Materials of the Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of Eco-environmental Polymer Materials of Gansu Province, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, China.

<sup>b</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou City University, Lanzhou 730070, China.

°School of Engineering, Westlake University, Hangzhou 310024, China.

\*Corresponding authors. magf@nwnu.edu.cn (G. Ma); xuyuxi@westlake.edu.cn (Y. Xu).

#### **Experimental section**

#### S1. Materials

Acrylamide (AM, 99.0%), carboxymethyl chitosan (CMCS, 99.0%), polyethylene glycol (PEG, 99.0%), N, N'-methylenebis acrylamide (MBA, 98%), potassium persulfate (KPS, 98.5%), Sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 1 M), graphene oxide (GO, experimental preparation). All chemicals were analytical grade and used without further purification.

#### S2 Preparation of the PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel

PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel was prepared as follows: in 10 mL of 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> sulfuric acid ( $H_2SO_4$ ) solution, 0.2 g CMCS and 1 g PEG were added and stirred uniformly for 1 h to form a homogeneous solution. Subsequently, 2.7 g AM was added to the solution in the presence of appropriate amounts of MBA and KPS, and stirring was continued for 1 h until complete dissolution. Finally, GO with different mass fractions (3 wt%, 5 wt%, 7 wt%) was added to the solution, stirred until a homogeneous solution was formed and immediately poured into a circular Petri dish and put into an oven at 60 °C for 30 min to polymerize the PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel electrolyte film with physical cross-linking and chemical cross-linking.

#### **S3.** Material characterization

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, Digilab Merlin FTS 3000) was used to evaluate the chemical structure of hydrogels in the range of 500-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The morphology of the hydrogels was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FE-SEM, Ultra Plus,) The crystal structure of the material was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, D/Max-2400, physics) equipped with Cu Ka radiation (k=1.5418 A).

#### S4. Mechanical properties measurement

The hydrogel tensile test was carried out using AGS-X universal testing machine (AGS-X100 N Shimadzu, Japan), and the hydrogel sample  $(20 \times 15 \times 2 \text{mm})$  was tested with a tensile rate of 20 mm min<sup>-1</sup>. Compression tests of the hydrogel were performed on the AGS-X universal test machine.

#### **S5** Fabrication of the FSC

The 16 mg of activated carbon (AC), 2 mg of acetylene black and 2 mg of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) were dispersed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) to form uniform slurry. Then, the slurry was coated on nickel foams (2 cm × 1.5 cm) with the average mass of 8 mg, and dried at 60°C for 8 h. Finally, a symmetric SC with sandwich structure (AC/ PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel electrolyte /AC) was fabricated based on AC as electrode materials, PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel as electrolyte.

#### S6. Electrochemical properties measurement

The electrochemical performance of the assembled SC is tested by electrochemical workstation (CHI660D, Shanghai Chenhua, China). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed at different scanning rates (10~100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>)

and constant current charge-discharge (GCD) tests were performed at different current densities (0.3~3A g<sup>-1</sup>)

The specific capacitance of SC is calculated according to the following formula:

$$C_A = 4I \times \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta V}$$

 $\Delta t$  is the discharge time (h), I is the current density (A g<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\Delta V$  voltage range (V). The energy density (E<sub>A</sub>, Wh kg<sup>-1</sup>) and power density (P<sub>A</sub>, W kg<sup>-1</sup>) are calculated by the following formula:

$$E_{A} = \frac{CV2}{4}$$
$$P_{A} = \frac{EA}{\Delta t}$$

The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted using an electrochemical workstation (CHI660D, Shanghai Chenhua, China) within the frequency range of  $10^{-2}$  to 10000 Hz. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$\sigma = 1000 \text{ L} / (\text{R} \times \text{S})$$

where L is the thickness of the hydrogel electrolyte(cm), S is the area of the hydrogel electrolyte (3

cm<sup>2</sup>), R denotes the measured resistance.



Fig. S1 TGA and DTG diagram of PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel.



Fig. S2 Tensile stress-strain curves of PAM hydrogel.



**Fig. S3** Tensile stress-strain curves of (a) PAM/CMCS hydrogel with different CMCS contents, (b) PAM/CMCS/PEG hydrogel with different PEG contents, (c) PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel with different GO contents, (d) Schematic diagram of tensile properties of PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel.



**Fig. S4** PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel-based SCs of different GO contents (a) CV curves at sweep speed of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, (b) EIS diagram, (c) GCD curves s at current density of 0.5 A g<sup>-1</sup>, (d) Specific

capacitance curves.



Fig. S5 Specific capacitance of PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel-based FSC at different current

densities.



**Fig. S6** (a) Digital photographs of self-healing process, (b) Optical pictures of self-healing and electrical conductivity of the PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel electrolyte.



Fig. S7 Capacitance retention rate of PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel-based SCs at different



Fig. S8 EIS diagram of PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO hydrogel-based SCs under different bending angles.

Materials	P <sub>A</sub>	E <sub>A</sub>	Refs.
PAM/CMCS/PEG/GO	150 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	4.7 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	This work
PVA/Agar/EMIMBF	150 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	4 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	[S1]
Li-AG/PAM	48 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	1.75 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	[S2]
MGO/PAM	100 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	9.8 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	[S3]
PAM/β-CD/EMIMBF4-0.6	120 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	6.83 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	[S4]
PL-Proline	125 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	5.1 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	[S5]
5PA/Gly-2OHE	164 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	3.2 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	[S6]
PAM-HPC-0.4	72 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	4.7 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	[S7]
GCHME-KI	75 W kg <sup>-1</sup>	2.3 Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>	[S8]

Table S1. Comparison of electrochemical performance of capacitor with previous reports.

**Table S2.** Comparison of the self-healing efficiency and ionic conductivity of our device with recently

 reported gel-based supercapacitors.

Materials	Healing times	Healing efficiency (%)	Ionic conductivity (mS cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Refs.
PAM/CMC/Ag/ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	5	95 %	23.1	[S9]
B-PVA/KCl/GO	5	90.5 %	47.5	[S10]
PVA/PA-PANI	5	80 %	46.5	[S11]
Fe-DPCL	7	86 %	30	[S12]
AA/Betaine/ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	5	88.3 %	-	[S13]
PVA/Agar/EMIMBF <sub>4</sub> /Li <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	5	80 %	45	[S14]
PVA/ Gly/ H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	10	48.5 %	66.8	[S15]

#### References

- [S1] H. Peng, X. Gao, K. Sun, Xuan Xie, G. Ma X. Zhou and Z. Lei, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 422, 130353.
- [S2] Q. Hu, S. Cui, X. Shi, K. Sun, X. Wang, B. Liu, W. Sang, H. Peng and G. Ma, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2023, 663, 131022.
- [S3] T. Lin, M. Shi, F. Huang, J. Peng, Q. Bai, J. Li and M. Zhai, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2018, 10, 29684–29693.
- [S4] X. Jin, G. Sun, G. Zhang, H. Yang, Y. Xiao, J. Gao, Z. Zhang and L. Qu, Nano Res., 2019, 12, 1199–1206.
- [S5] J. Zeng, H. Chen, L. Dong, L. Wei and X. Guo, J. Colloid Inter. Sci., 2023, 652, 856-865.
- [S6] L. Feng, G. Mi, Q. Wang, M. Guo, J. Hao, Z. Li, J. Yang, G. Qin, G. Sun and Q. Chen, *J. Power Sources*, 2023, 580, 233453.
- [S7] M. Qu, D. Lei, H. Zhang, X. Zhang, Z. Shang, R. Wang and L. Wan, Z. Li, C. Si, J. Energy Storage, 2023, 72, 108644.
- [S8] D. Kamei and N. A. Choudhury, Mater. Today Chem., 2024, 37, 101891.
- [S9] W. Ling, F. Mo, J. Wang, Q. Liu, Y. Liu, Q. Yang, Y. Qiu and Y. Huang, *Mater. Today Phys.*, 2021, 20, 100458.
- [S10] H. Peng, Y. Lv, G. Wei, J. Zhou, X. Gao, K. Sun, G. Ma and Z. Lei, *J. Power Sources*, 2019, 431, 210–219.
- [S11] X. Gao, Q. Hua, K. Sun, H. Peng, X. Xie and H. Hamouda, G. Ma, J. Alloy. Compod., 2021, 888, 161554.
- [S12] Y. Lin, H. Zhang, H. Liao, Y. Zhao and K. Li, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 367, 139–148.
- [S13] Z. Zhang, Y. Gao, Y. Gao, F. Jia and G. Gao, Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 452, 139014.
- [S14] H. Peng, X. Gao, K. Sun, X. Xie, G. Ma and X. Zhou, Z. Lei, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 422, 130353.
- [S15] K. Zhu, X. Han, S. Ye, P. Cui, L. Dou, W. Ma, H. Sha, X. Tao and X. Wei, *J. Energy Storage*, 2022, 53, 105096.