100% Selective Cyclotrimerization of Acetylene to Benzene on Ag(111)

Volkan Çınar,^a Shengjie Zhang,^b Elizabeth E. Happel,^a Nipun T. S. K. Dewage,^a Matthew M. Montemore^{*b}, E. Charles H. Sykes^{*a}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, United States; Email: charles.sykes@tufts.edu

^bDepartment of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002- 4157-1745; Email: mmontemore@tulane.edu



Fig. S1 TPD data of benzene (m/z = 78) uptake on Ag(111). Benzene was dosed at 90 K for each TPD experiment.



Fig. S2 TPD traces for acetylene (m/z = 26), ethylene (m/z = 28), ethane (m/z = 30), 1,3butadiene (m/z = 54), butene (m/z = 56), benzene (m/z = 78), and cyclooctatetraene (m/z = 104). In this experiment 100 L of acetylene was deposited on Ag(111) at 90 K.



Figure S3: **UPPER** (A) Mass spectra for C₆D₆ and the ratio of C₆D₆ (m/z = 84) to C₆D₅H (m/z = 83) in the 5×10^{-9} mbar C₆D₆ background dose. (B) TPD data for C₆D₆ desorption from Ag(111) shows the ratio of C₆D₆ to C₆D₅H adsorbed on Ag(111) after a 2 L C₆D₆ exposure (C) TPD data for co-adsorbed C₆D₆ and C₂H₂ on Ag(111) illustrates the same C₆D₆ (m/z = 84) to C₆D₅H (m/z = 83) ratio, indicating no isotope scrambling between C₂H₂ and fully deuterated benzene occurred. **LOWER** Mass spectra for (A) UHV chamber background before 100 L acetylene dose showing prominent peaks at m/z 18 and 28 for water and CO, (B) 1×10⁻⁶ mbar of acetylene dose showing a diminished m/z 26 signal but enhanced m/z 28 consistent with acetylene hydrogenation to ethylene on the UHV chamber walls. Background spectrum collected in panel A before was subtracted from the spectrum in panel B to distinctly show the changes induced by the introduction of acetylene.

1. Explanation of Ethylene Peak

In order to probe the origin of the ethylene peak in Fig. S2 and support the claim of 100% selectivity of acetylene trimerization we used mass spectrometry¹ to study isotope scrambling of the reactant and product molecules. Specifically, if ethylene is to be reactively formed on the Ag(111) surface, C-H bonds in acetylene must be broken to supply H. We therefore deposited C_6D_6 and regular acetylene on Ag(111) and monitored H/D exchange between molecules that would indicate C-H activation. Fig. S3A UPPER shows a mass spectrum recorded when 5×10^{-9} mbar of

 C_6D_6 was introduced to the UHV chamber showing that the ratio of C_6D_6 (m/z = 84) to C_6D_5H (m/z = 83) in the incoming gas was 31.9. Similarly, after a 2 L C_6D_6 dose on Ag(111), the desorbing molecules in TPD have a C_6D_6 (m/z = 84) to C_6D_5H (m/z = 83) ratio 33.5 as shown in Fig. S3B UPPER. Then, TPD of co-adsorbed C_6D_6 and C_2H_2 on Ag(111) shown in Fig. S3C UPPER illustrates that the same C_6D_6 (m/z = 84) to C_6D_5H (m/z = 83) ratio (32.5) is observed, indicating no isotope scrambling occurs between C_2H_2 and fully deuterated benzene, and hence C-H bonds are not broken.

Furthermore, the expected ratio of m/z 26 to 28 according to the NIST¹ database is 999.9. However, as seen in Fig. S3 LOWER, during the acetylene dose the ratio of m/z 26 to 28 was 8.74. This indicates that acetylene (m/z 26) must be hydrogenating on the chamber walls to produce ethylene (m/z 28). Furthermore, after pump down to UHV the ratio of m/z 26 to 28 is 0.21 indicating that a significant amount of ethylene remains in the chamber background after the introduction of acetylene. This result indicates that the observed desorption of ethylene at low temperatures (around 95 and 120 K) in the cyclotrimerization experiments originates from background ethylene adsorption.



Fig. S4 Benzene desorption rates from adsorbed benzene (top) and acetylene trimerization (bottom) on Ag(111).



Fig. S5 DFT optimized structures and simulated STM images. (a), (b): $2 C_2H_2$ molecules in a 2x3 unit cell (packing density = 1/3), mimicking the square packing structure. (c), (d): $4 C_2H_2$ molecules in a 4x3 unit cell (packing density = 1/3), mimicking the square packing structure. (e), (f): $4 C_2H_2$ molecules in a 2x3 unit cell (packing density = 2/3). (g), (h): $7 C_2H_2$ molecules in a 7x4 unit cell (packing density = 1/4). (i), (j): $3 C_2H_2$ molecules and $2 C_4H_4$ groups in a 7x4 unit cell.



Fig. S6 The C₄ formation step with different packing densities. Barriers are calculated from the lowest energy state to the transition state (TS1). (a) Free energies for a single C₂H₂ layer with various structures on a Ag(111) surface, all with packing density $\leq 1/3$. (b) Free energies for different amounts of C₂H₂ in a 2x3 unit cell, forming multi-layers with packing density $\geq 1/3$. Note that energies cannot be compared across different numbers of acetylene molecules per unit cell.



Fig. S7 Average adsorption energy of physisorbed C_2H_2 molecules (i.e., not including any chemisorbed or reacting molecules). As the packing density increases, the physisorbed molecules adsorb more strongly in the two-chemisorbed state and the transition state as compared to the all-physisorbed state. This counteracts the increase in energy for the chemisorbed or reacting molecules and facilitates reaction.



Fig. S8 Acetylene C-H bond-breaking pathway on the Ag(111) surface. C-H bond breaking is both kinetically and thermodynamically unfavorable.

References

(1) NIST Chemistry WebBook https://webbook.nist.gov/