# **Supporting Information**

# Unprecedented roll-off ratio in high-performing red TADF OLED emitters featuring 2,3-Indole-annulated naphthalene imide and auxiliary donors.

Magdalena Grzelak,<sup>‡,[a,d]</sup> Dharmendra Kumar, <sup>‡, [b]</sup> Michał Andrzej Kochman, <sup>‡, [b]</sup> Maja Morawiak,<sup>[a]</sup> Gabriela Wiosna-Sałyga,<sup>[b]</sup> Adam Kubas,<sup>\*[c]</sup> Przemysław Data,<sup>\*[b]</sup> and Marcin Lindner<sup>\*[a]</sup>

# **Content:**

S1 Materials and methods	2
S2 Synthetic procedures	3
S3 NMR, HRMS and IR spectra of synthesized compounds	
S4 Crystallography	
S5. Electrochemistry	
S6 Computational Methods	

## S1 Materials and methods

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and were used as received unless otherwise noted, i.e. 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride, and 3,6-di-tert-butylcarbazole (Ambeed), 2-nitrophenylboronic acid, 9,9-dimethyl-9,10-dihydroacridine, phenoxazine from Angene, N-bromosuccinimide (Chemat), tetra-N-butylammonium bromide (Fluka), phenothiazine (Fluorochem), 1-bromobutane, 9-anthraceneboronic acid, 4-cyanophenylboronic acid, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, Pd(PtBu<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, NaOtBu, 2,4,6-trimethylaniline, triphenylphosphine, *o*-DCB, and DMF from Aldrich, KOH, CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, DCM, toluene, ethanol, acetone, hexane, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> from POCH. Reagent-grade solvents (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, hexane) were distilled prior to use. For water-sensitive reactions, solvents were dried using Mbraun Solvent Purification System. Transformations with moisture and oxygen-sensitive compounds were performed under argon using the Schlenk line.

The reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC), performed on aluminium foil plates covered with Silica gel 60 F254 (Merck). Products purification was done utilizing column chromatography with Kieselgel 60 (Merck). The identity and purity of prepared compounds were confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy as well as by MS spectrometry (*via* EI-MS) and IR spectroscopy. NMR spectra were measured on Bruker 400 MHz, Bruker 500 MHz, Bruker 600 MHz or Varian 600 MHz instruments with the TMS as the internal standard. Chemical shifts for <sup>1</sup>H NMR are expressed in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane ( $\delta$  0.00 ppm), CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  7.26 ppm) or DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> ( $\delta$  2.50 ppm). Chemical shifts for <sup>13</sup>C NMR are expressed in parts per million (ppm). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, t = triplet, m = multiplet), coupling constant (Hz), and integration. EI mass spectra were obtained on Waters AutoSpec Premier Spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on JASCO FTIR 6200 and Shimadzu IRTracer-100 FTIR spectrometers.

## S2 Synthetic procedures



Scheme S1. The synthetic path towards "dyes 6a-d and and 7a-7b



**Figure 1.** Compounds **6a-6d** a) under visible light, b) under UV light,  $\lambda$  254nm.

## 6-bromo-2-mesityl-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (1):



4-Bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride (3 g, 10.83 mmol) was added to a pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar and dissolved in  $CH_3COOH$  (40 mL) under argon. To this solution, 2,4,6-trimethylaniline (3eq.; 4.56 mL, 32.49 mmol) was added, and the mixture was vigorously purged by Ar for 10 minutes and closed. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 140°C. After this time, the solution was cooled and poured into ice water to form a precipitate, followed by filtration. The crude product was purified by column chromatography separation on silica gel (DCM/hexane 5:1) to form a white solid product (3.6 g, 84%). Purification is also possible without column chromatography through crystallization from hot acetic acid (3.24 g, 76%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.72 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.66 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.48 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (s, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ 163.19, 163.16, 138.81, 135.19, 133.78, 132.64, 131.80, 131.33, 131.05, 130.82, 129.82, 129.56, 128.31, 123.36, 122.47, 21.33, 17.91.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub> 393.0364, found 393.0359.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3361, 3081, 3027, 2973, 2950, 2916, 2857, 1192, 1892, 1876, 1708, 1688, 1668, 1606, 1588, 1567, 1505, 1482, 1459, 1402, 1394, 1360, 1343, 1327, 1304, 1241, 1191, 1132, 1118, 1039, 967, 950, 902, 880, 847, 814, 783, 746, 732, 704, 563, 553, 497, 472, 436, 422.

## 2-mesityl-6-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (2):



Compound (1) (2.5 g, 6.34 mmol) and 2-nitrophenylboronic acid (1.2 eq.; 1.26 g, 7.61 mmol) were placed in a two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a reflux condenser and degassed. Under argon, toluene (37.5 mL) and ethanol (26 mL) were added, and the solution was purged with argon for 10 minutes. Next,  $K_2CO_3$  (19.4 mL of 2M aq. base solution) and Pd(PPh\_3)<sub>4</sub> (10%, 732 mg, 0.63 mmol) were added. The reactor was placed in an oil bath and stirred for 20h at 90°C. After cooling, the mixture was extracted three times with DCM, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and filtered. After evaporation of solvents, the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography separation on silica gel (DCM/hexane 2:1), affording (**2**) (2.58 g, 93%) as a beige solid. Purification is also possible without column chromatography, by filtration of the mixture after dryness over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

through the pad (silica gel, celite), evaporation of the solvent, precipitation in cold hexane and filtration of the obtained product (1.99 g, 72%).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ 8.72 – 8.66 (m, 2H), 8.24 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (ddd, *J* = 13.6, 8.0, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.76 – 7.69 (m, 2H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ 163.62, 163.39, 149.17, 142.93, 138.70, 135.45, 135.14, 133.83, 133.45, 132.73, 131.93, 131.55, 131.25, 131.21, 130.42, 130.03, 129.61, 129.47, 129.04, 127.63, 127.11, 125.05, 123.36, 122.97, 21.34, 18.09, 17.95.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 436.1423, found 436.1411.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3066, 3026, 2918, 2858, 1707, 1663, 1590, 1527, 14,83, 1462, 1437, 1402, 1360, 1306, 1238, 1191, 1131, 1092, 1030, 967, 908, 888, 851, 817, 786, 756, 706, 685, 649, 563, 542, 519, 495, 473, 418.

# 5-mesitylisoquinolino[4,5-bc]carbazole-4,6-dione (3):



Compound (2) (2.6 g, 5.96 mmol) and PPh<sub>3</sub> (3eq.; 4.69 g, 17.87 mmol) were placed in a pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar and degassed. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene (40 mL) was added, and the mixture was purged with argon for 10 minutes. The reaction was placed in an oil bath and heated for 72h at 180°C. After the solution was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated, and the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (DCM/acetone 98:2) to afford (3) as a yellow solid (1.82 g, 76%).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-** $d_6$ **)**  $\delta$  12.36 (s, 1H), 9.37 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (t, 1H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 163.42, 163.24, 140.53, 137.44, 136.58, 135.03, 131.84, 129.97, 128.76, 127.70, 127.62, 127.12, 126.93, 123.64, 122.83, 122.58, 121.79, 120.76, 119.42, 119.12, 118.97, 112.56, 20.61, 17.35.

HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 404.1525, found 404.1511.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3333, 3053, 2950, 2912, 2912, 1695, 1651, 1618, 1589, 1574, 1540, 1493, 1463, 1448, 1400, 1381, 1365, 1346, 1323, 1303, 1274, 1240, 1206, 1183, 1164, 1121, 1093, 1078, 1033, 976, 893, 870, 856, 813, 780, 739, 692, 623, 564, 554, 523, 484, 464, 417.

# 8-butyl-5-mesitylisoquinolino[4,5-bc]carbazole-4,6-dione (4):



Compound (3) (1.25 g, 3.09 mmol) was placed in a glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stir bar and reflux condenser and dissolved with acetone (30 mL) under Ar. Then KOH (3eq.; 0.52 g, 9.27 mmol), TBAB (10%, 100 mg, 0.309 mmol, and bromobutane (1.2eq.; 0.4 mL, 3.7 mmol) were added. The reactor was placed in an oil bath and refluxed for 18h. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was extracted with DCM, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (DCM as eluent) to get (1.4 g, 98%) of (4) as a yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.20 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.91 (s, 1H), 8.66 (t, 2H), 7.97 (t, 1H), 7.69 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.51 – 7.46 (m,

1H), 7.06 (s, 2H), 4.59 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 2.01 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.50 – 1.41 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ 164.41, 164.32, 141.28, 138.50, 137.46, 135.32, 131.68, 129.64, 129.49, 128.49, 128.00, 127.46, 127.04, 124.61, 123.51, 123.18, 122.56, 121.04, 120.34, 120.15, 116.90, 110.40, 43.53, 31.84, 21.36, 20.67, 18.01, 13.95.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd for  $C_{31}H_{28}N_2O_2$  460.2151, found 460.2131.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3052, 2955, 2927, 2869, 1703, 1663, 1613, 1584, 1531, 1485, 1462, 1440, 1405, 1347, 1334, 1306, 1279, 1244, 1207, 1188, 1166, 1153, 1091, 1041, 977, 889, 849, 832, 810, 779, 746, 690, 672, 598, 564, 526, 495, 467, 421.

## 11-bromo-8-butyl-5-mesitylisoquinolino[4,5-bc]carbazole-4,6-dione (5):



Compound (4) (500 mg, 1.09 mmol) was placed in a glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stir bar, then degassed and dissolved with DMF (10 mL) under Ar. The solution was placed in a cooling bath at 0°C, and then a solution of NBS (193.2 mg, 1.09 mmol) in DMF (6 mL) was slowly added dropwise. The mixture was kept at 0°C for an hour, then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight (additional 13h). After completion of the reaction, the solvent was evaporated, the residue was extracted with DCM, dried over anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$ , filtered through the pad (silica gel and celite), and the solvent was evaporated to get (5) (574 mg, 98%) as a yellow solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl**<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.08 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.88 (s, 1H), 8.78 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.66 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.20 (c, 2H), 2.45 (c, (H), 1.00 (c), 2H), 1.47

8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 2H), 4.56 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 1.99 – 1.90 (m, 2H), 1.47 – 1.39 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.20, 164.14, 139.80, 138.58, 137.88, 135.29, 131.56, 129.76, 129.51, 129.34, 128.36, 128.27, 127.86, 125.63, 124.67, 124.05, 123.61, 120.96, 119.19, 116.88, 114.03, 111.76, 43.71, 31.80, 21.36, 20.63, 18.00, 13.92.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>27</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 538.1256, found 538.1281.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2953, 2926, 2869, 1703, 1663, 1612, 1581, 1531, 1485, 1463, 1437, 1404, 1347, 1301, 1244, 1207, 1184, 1150, 1090, 1065, 1040, 947, 889, 843, 822, 799, 776, 752, 699, 679, 665, 566, 526, 498, 423.

## General procedure of Buchwald-Hartwig coupling (6a-6d):

Compound (5) (120 mg, 0.22 mmol) and amine (1.2 eq.; 0.264 mmol) (for (**6a**) 73,8 mg of 3,6-ditert-butylcarbazole; for (**6b**) 55.3 mg of 9,9-dimethyl-9,10-dihydroacridine; for (**6c**) 48.4 mg of phenoxazine; for (**6d**) 52.6 mg of phenothiazine) were placed in a pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar and degassed. The mixture was dissolved in 3 mL of anhydrous toluene and purged with argon for 10 minutes, and NaOtBu (1.2 eq.; 25.4 mg, 0.264 mmol) and Pd(P*t*Bu<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (10%, 11.3 mg, 0.022 mmol) were added. After overnight heating at 120°C, the solution was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was evaporated. The crude mixture was extracted with DCM, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, evaporated and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, DCM as eluent) to afford (**6a-6d**) with various yields.





Orange solid (121 mg, 74%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.02 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.96 (s, 1H), 8.80 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.63 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.89 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 0.6 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (s, 2H), 4.68 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.16 (s, 6H), 2.10 – 2.01 (m, 2H), 1.59 – 1.54 (m, 2H), 1.51 (s, 18H), 1.05 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.32, 164.20, 142.99, 140.41, 140.14, 138.58, 138.24, 135.31, 131.61, 131.49, 129.52, 129.44, 128.44, 128.22, 127.83, 126.62, 124.67, 123.85, 123.56, 123.39, 123.27, 121.90, 120.79, 120.03, 116.98, 116.55, 111.41, 109.15, 43.88, 34.95, 32.22, 31.98, 27.07, 21.37, 20.75, 18.01, 13.99.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd for  $C_{51}H_{51}N_3O_2$  737.3981, found 737.3950.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3046, 2954, 2952, 2863, 1707, 1655, 1613, 1585, 1532, 1487, 1441, 1405, 1346, 1315, 1294, 1206, 1182, 1147, 1089, 1034, 1011, 940, 919, 895, 876, 849, 839,

807, 778, 743, 695, 681, 656, 613, 578, 563, 528, 499, 469, 424.

8-butyl-11-(9,9-dimethylacridin-10(9H)-yl)-5-mesitylisoquinolino[4,5-bc]carbazole-4,6-dione (6b):



Yellow solid, (77 mg, 52%).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl**<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.03 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.96 (s, 1H), 8.65 – 8.61 (m, 2H), 7.92 – 7.86 (m, 2H), 7.58 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 – 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.07 (s, 2H), 6.99 – 6.92 (m, 4H), 6.37 – 6.32 (m, 2H), 4.66 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.16 (s, 6H), 2.10 – 2.03 (m, 2H), 1.80 (s, 6H), 1.60 – 1.55 (m, 2H), 1.06 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.34, 164.18, 141.65, 140.49, 138.58, 138.12, 135.31, 134.33, 131.60, 130.16, 130.04, 129.69, 129.52, 128.36, 128.30, 127.75, 126.57, 125.94, 125.68, 124.68, 124.23, 123.50, 120.76, 120.14, 116.97, 114.33, 112.64, 43.91, 36.22, 31.97, 31.94, 21.36, 20.77, 17.99, 14.00.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd for  $C_{46}H_{41}N_3O_2$  667.3199, found 667.2118.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3059, 3030, 2952, 2925, 2867, 2726, 1992, 1794, 1703, 1664, 1614, 1583, 1531, 1498, 1470, 1442, 1405, 1379, 1345, 1322,1292, 1269, 1246, 1208, 1183, 1164, 1149, 1112, 1089, 1043, 1011, 954, 926, 887, 849, 833, 780, 745, 695,

683, 652, 612, 595, 566, 545, 528, 497, 440, 426, 416, 405.

8-butyl-5-mesityl-11-(10H-phenoxazin-10-yl)isoquinolino[4,5-bc]carbazole-4,6-dione (6c):



Yellow solid, (115 mg, 81%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.07 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H), 8.67 – 8.63 (m, 2H), 7.94 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (s, 2H), 6.76 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 6.59 (td, *J* = 8.0, 7.4, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 5.99 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 4.64 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 2.06 – 2.00 (m, 2H), 1.54 – 1.50 (m, 2H), 1.04 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.28, 164.15, 144.20, 140.55, 138.61, 138.14, 135.29, 135.24, 131.93, 131.56, 129.63, 129.53, 129.36, 128.37, 128.32, 127.86, 125.74, 124.68, 124.29, 123.53, 123.44, 121.53, 120.90, 119.99, 116.95, 115.64, 113.53, 112.90, 43.88, 31.93, 21.36, 20.74, 17.99, 13.98.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd for  $C_{43}H_{35}N_3O_3$  641.2678, found 641.2649.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2952, 2918, 2872, 1708, 1669, 1616, 1585, 1531, 1486, 1462, 1438, 1404, 1370, 1341, 1295, 1270, 1246, 1205, 1183, 1144, 1091, 1041, 917, 889, 854, 809, 779, 738, 681, 639, 616, 595, 562, 499.

8-butyl-5-mesityl-11-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)isoquinolino[4,5-bc]carbazole-4,6-dione (6d):



Orange solid, (96 mg, 66%).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl**<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.09 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.71 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.64 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.09 – 7.06 (m, 4H), 6.85 – 6.81 (m, 4H), 6.28 – 6.24 (m, 2H), 4.66 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 2.09 – 2.02 (m, 2H), 1.59 – 1.55 (m, 2H), 1.05 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.30, 164.15, 145.10, 140.46, 138.59, 138.15, 135.30, 133.87, 131.58, 130.17, 129.68, 129.52, 128.37, 128.33, 127.84, 127.02, 126.90, 125.84, 124.69, 124.23, 123.53, 122.60, 120.88, 120.15, 119.87, 116.94, 115.96, 112.28, 43.91, 31.96, 21.36, 20.76, 17.99, 13.99.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd for  $C_{43}H_{35}N_3O_2S$  657.2450, found 657.2422.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3060, 2952, 2921, 2858, 1705, 1664, 1614, 1583, 1531, 1460, 1441, 1405, 1370, 1346, 1303, 1244, 1207, 1188, 1148, 1126, 1090, 1041, 949, 920, 889, 849, 809, 779, 744, 695, 682, 641, 628, 697, 564, 529, 498, 473, 437.

## General procedure of Suzuki-Miyaura coupling (7a-7b):

Compound (5) (65 mg, 0.12 mmol) and 9-anthraceneboronic acid (32 mg, 0.144 mmol) or 4cyanophenylboronic acid (22 mg, 0.144 mmol) were placed in a two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a reflux condenser and degassed. Under argon, toluene (2 mL) and ethanol (1 mL) were added, and the solution was purged with argon for 10 minutes. Next,  $K_2CO_3$  (0.4 mL of 2M aq. base solution) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (13.9 mg, 0.012 mmol) were added. The reactor was placed in an oil bath and stirred for 20h at 90°C. After cooling, the mixture was extracted three times with DCM, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and filtered. After evaporation of solvents, the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography separation on silica gel (eluent: DCM for **7a**, and DCM/hexane 9:1 for **7b**).

## 11-(anthracen-9-yl)-8-butyl-5-mesitylisoquinolino[4,5-bc]carbazole-4,6-dione (7a):



Yellow solid, (73 mg, 95%).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl**<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.03 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.99 (s, 1H), 8.72 (s, 1H), 8.59 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, *J* = 15.7, 8.2 Hz, 3H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, 2H), 7.36 (t, 1H), 7.07 (s, 2H), 4.71 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 6H), 2.14 – 2.03 (m, 2H), 1.64 – 1.55 (m, 2H), 1.08 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.45, 164.28, 140.73, 138.53, 137.96, 137.27, 135.32, 131.67, 131.63, 131.53, 131.08, 130.39, 129.80, 129.51, 128.64, 128.49, 128.08, 127.50, 127.11, 126.95, 125.68, 125.61, 125.34, 124.66, 123.44, 122.76, 120.36, 120.29, 116.96, 110.26, 43.82, 32.02, 21.36, 20.81, 18.00, 14.04.

HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{45}H_{36}N_2O_2\ 636.2777$  , found 636.2770.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3046, 2955, 2924, 2860, 1704, 1663, 1612, 1584, 1531, 1482, 1460, 1349, 1404, 1349, 1320, 1204, 1246, 1208, 1186, 1156, 1112, 1090, 1039, 935, 890, 849, 809, 757, 698, 651, 608, 570, 528, 499, 466, 434.

4-(8-butyl-5-mesityl-4,6-dioxo-4,5,6,8-tetrahydroisoquinolino[4,5-bc]carbazol-11yl)benzonitrile (7b):



Yellow solid, (28 mg, 41%).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl**<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 8.93 (s, 1H), 8.84 (s, 0H), 8.68 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (t, 1H), 7.92 – 7.80 (m, 5H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 2H), 4.62 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.16 (s, 6H), 2.06 – 1.94 (m, 2H), 1.53 – 1.43 (m, 2H), 1.00 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.23, 164.15, 146.40, 141.25, 138.59, 138.15, 135.28, 132.93, 132.51, 131.56, 129.51, 129.44, 128.48, 128.28, 128.24, 127.79, 126.45, 124.77, 123.67, 123.23, 121.95, 120.80, 120.26, 119.19, 116.93, 111.02, 110.70, 43.75, 31.88, 21.35, 20.67, 18.00, 13.94.

**HRMS (EI)** calcd for  $C_{38}H_{31}N_3O_2561.2416$ , found 561.2421.

**IR (KBr)** ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2961, 2926, 2860, 2223, 1888, 1763, 1707, 1664, 1604, 1582, 1530, 1464, 1441, 1405, 1365, 1347, 1319, 1285, 1262, 1240, 1205, 1159, 1090, 1027, 950, 919, 901, 889, 845, 834, 800, 775, 744, 730, 698, 646, 609, 576, 552, 528, 511, 491, 467, 424.



# S3 NMR, HRMS and IR spectra of synthesized compounds

Figure 3. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of (1) (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 80.0 Selected filters: None

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 22 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-100 H: 0-200 N: 0-2 O: 2-2 Br: 1-2



Figure 4. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (1).



Figure 5. IR spectrum of (1) in KBr.





Figure 7. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of (2) (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 80.0

Selected filters: None

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 17 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-100 H: 0-200 N: 2-2 O: 2-4 M. Grzelak MGr0103-10b z10B\_mg0406h 70 (2.670) Cm (70:80) AUTOSPEC Voltage EI+ 573 436.1411 100-454.9723 442.9728 %-430.9728 437.1450 419.1378421.1178 404.9740 416.9762 422.1222 404.1476 431.9752 438.1485 455.9773 406.1632 412.9787 428.9769 443.9744 448.9886 -+---- m/z 460.0 0-400.0 405.0 410.0 415.0 420.0 425.0 430.0 435.0 440.0 445.0 450.0 455.0 Minimum: -1.5 80.0 5.0 20.0 Maximum: Calc. Mass PPM DBE i-FIT Formula Mass mDa 436.1411 436.1423 -1.2 -2.8 19.0 3.4 C27 H20 N2 O4

Figure 8. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (2).



Figure 9. IR spectrum of (2) in KBr.





Figure 11. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of (3) (126 MHz, DMSO-<sub>d6</sub>).



Figure 12. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (3).



Figure 13. IR spectrum of (3) in KBr.



Figure 15. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of (4) (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

ppm -10

### Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 80.0 Selected filters: None

Monoisotopio 18 formula(e Elements Us C: 0-100 H	Mass, Odd and E ) evaluated with 1 ed: : 0-200 N: 0-2	Even Electr results with O: 2-2	on lons nin limits	(up to 50	) closest re	sults for each	mass)			
M. Grzelak MMG0139-10b					AUTOSPEC					
z10B_mg0922h	108 (4.118) Cm (108:	137)								Voltage EI+
100					460.2	2131				152
430.97	728									
%-	4	42.9742		454.9	9743	461.2158			480.96	696
	442.056	5 443.2091				466	.9724			
431	.9749 436.9812	444.0	555 450.9912	454.9666	459.2076	462.2199	468.9792	473.9742	478.9752	481.9741
430.0	435.0 440.0	445.0	450.0	455	5.0 460	.0 465.0	470.0	475.0	480.0	485.0
Minimum: Maximum:		5.0	20.0	-1.5 80.0						
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FI	r Form	ula			
460.2131	460.2151	-2.0	-4.3	19.0	3.0	C31	H28 N2	02		

Figure 16. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (4).



Figure 17. IR spectrum of (4) in KBr.



Figure 18. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of (5) (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



**Figure 19.** <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of (**5**) (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

<b>Single Mass Analysis</b> Tolerance = 20.0 PPM Selected filters: None	/ DBE: min =	-1.5, max = 80.0		
Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and 6 formula(e) evaluated with 1 Elements Used: C: 0-100 H: 0-200 N: 2-2	Even Electron lor results within limi O: 2-2 Br: 1-1	ns ts (up to 50 closest resu	ilts for each mass)	
M. Grzelak		AUTOSPEC		
z10B_mg0923h 71 (2.707) Cm (71:7	79)			Voltage EI+
100			538.1281540.1266	238
504.9762 500.9840 500.9840 500.0 505.0 510.0	521.1297 0.9862 516.9747 515.0 520.0	523.1196 526.0471 530.9664 530 530.0 530.0	9740 535.0 540.0 545.0	554.9664 48.9814552.9675 550.0 555.0 560.0
Minimum: Maximum:	5.0 20.0	-1.5 0 80.0		
Mass Calc. Mass	mDa PPM	DBE i-FI	f Formula	
538.1281 538.1256	2.5 4.6	19.0 3.7	C31 H27 N2 O2	Br

Figure 20. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (5).



Figure 21. IR spectrum of (5) in KBr.



**Figure 23.** <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of (**6a**) (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

**Single Mass Analysis** Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 80.0 Selected filters: None Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron lons 9 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-100 H: 0-200 N: 3-3 O: 2-2 M. Grzelak MMG0152\_2-10b z10B\_mg1195h 210 (8.010) Cm (201:210) AUTOSPEC Voltage EI+ 110 737.3950 100-738.3987 730.9537 % 742.9537 722.3724 780.9562 716.9566 743.9539 728.9603 754.9549 781.9827 766.9625 774.9573 747.9385752.6204 758.9440 0 715.0 785.0 abi desta la وبالمعارية بالمع ha i di de la يعصله بمحلوك 11.1 720.0 725.0 730.0 735.0 740.0 745.0 750.0 755.0 760.0 765.0 770.0 775.0 780.0 Minimum: -1.5 80.0 5.0 20.0 Maximum: PPM DBE i-FIT Mass Calc. Mass mDa Formula 737.3950 737.3981 -3.1 -4.2 28.0 1.0 C51 H51 N3 O2

Figure 24. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (6a).



Figure 25. IR spectrum of (6a) in KBr.



**Figure 27.** <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of (**6b**) (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



Figure 28. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (6b).



Figure 29. IR spectrum of (6b) in KBr.



Figure 31. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of (6c) (151 MHz, CDCl3<sub>3</sub>).

Tolerance Selected	e = 20.0 PPM filters: None	/ DBE: m	nin = -1.8	5, max = 8	0.0				
Monoisoto 32 formula Elements I C: 0-100 M. Grzelak MMG0156-11	pic Mass, Odd ar (e) evaluated wit Used: H: 0-200 N: 0- <sup>0b</sup>	nd Even Electr h 1 results with 3 O: 3-3	on lons hin limits (	(up to 50 clo AUT	sest result	s for each mass)			
z10B_mg119 100	92h 293 (11.175) Cm	(293:307)	641.26	649					Voltage EI+ 444
%616.96	504			642.2700 642.9626	654.9	601	9618		
	617.9672 624.9669	4 630.9601	640.9626	643.2699 <sub>64</sub>	7.9615	655.9656 660.9683	667.9592	678.9622 <sup>680.96</sup> 678.9528	681.9486
615.0	620.0 625.0	630.0 635.0	640.0	645.0 6	50.0 655	.0 660.0 665.0	670.0	675.0 680.0	685.0
Minimum: Maximum:		5.0	20.0	-1.5 80.0					
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula			
641.2649	641.2678	-2.9	-4.5	28.0	0.8	C43 H35 N	3 03		

Figure 32. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (6c).



Figure 33. IR spectrum of (6c) in KBr.



Figure 35. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of (6d) (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM Selected filters: None	/ DBE: min = -1.5, max = 80	0.0	
Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and 8 formula(e) evaluated with 1 Elements Used:	Even Electron lons results within limits (up to 50 close	est results for each mass)	
C: 0-100 H: 0-200 N: 3-3	O: 2-2 S: 1-1		
MMG0157-10b	AUTC	JSPEC	
z10B_mg1193h 199 (7.590) Cm (19	9:212)	057.0400	Voltage EI+
100		657.2422	502
-			
_			
%		658.2461	
616.9601		654 9628	
617.0672 628.9604.6	642.9601	659.2466 666.9601	678 0617 680 9629
617.9672 020.0001	640.9632 642.9664	654.9548 660.2520 667	681.9673
0	30.0 635.0 640.0 645.0 65	0.0 655.0 660.0 665.0 6	70.0 675.0 680.0 685.0
Minimum: Maximum:	-1.5 5.0 20.0 80.0		
Mass Calc. Mass	mDa PPM DBE	i-FIT Formula	

Figure 36. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (6d).



Figure 37. IR spectrum of (6d) in KBr.



Figure 39. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of (7a) (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 150.0 Selected filters: None

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 73 formula(e) evaluated with 3 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-100 H: 0-200 N: 0-2 O: 0-2 M. Grzelak MMG0250-10b z10B\_mg0397h 60 (2.288) Cm (60:77) AUTOSPEC 06-Mar-2023 17:32:59 Operator: Klara Nestorowicz Voltage EI+ 636.2770 89 100 637.2833 % 638.2863 642.9742 652.2689 654.9601 657.1328 616.9539 619.2612 625.2051 630.9556 611.2040 612.9688 643.9579 \_\_\_\_\_ white for the second 0m/z 660.0 610.0 615.0 620.0 625.0 630.0 635.0 640.0 645.0 650.0 655.0 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 20.0 150.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 636.2770 636.2777 -0.7 C45 H36 N2 O2 -1.1 29.0 0.1

Figure 40. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (7a).



Figure 41. IR spectrum of (7a) in KBr.



**Figure 43.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of (**7b**) (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### **Single Mass Analysis**

Tolerance = 20.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 150.0 Selected filters: None

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions 49 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-100 H: 0-200 N: 3-3 O: 0-6 06-Mar-2023 17:11:51 Operator: Klara Nestorowicz Voltage EI+ 128 AUTOSPEC M. Grzelak MMG0249-10b z10B\_mg0395h 90 (3.432) Cm (90:99) 561.2421 100-562.2471 % 542.9664 557.1633 530.9683 566 9598 555.2953 540.1603 547.2235 578.9608 580.9535 585.9772 566.9693 550.0001 أشرائه 0-<u>՝՝՝՝՝՝</u> m/z 530.0 535.0 540.0 545.0 550.0 555.0 560.0 565.0 570.0 575.0 580.0 585.0 590.0 Minimum: -1.5 Maximum: 5.0 20.0 150.0 Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Formula 561.2421 561.2416 0.5 0.9 25.0 3.4 C38 H31 N3 O2

Figure 44. HRMS (EI) spectrum of (7b).



Figure 45. IR spectrum of (7b) in KBr.

# S4 Crystallography

# Table 1. Data collection and structure refinement parameters for6b, 5, 6c, 7a and 7b.

Compound number	6b NMI-Ind-DMAc	5	6c NMI-Ind-PXZ	7a NMI-Ind-ANT	7b NMI-Ind-PhCN
CCDC Number					
Chemical formula	C50H49N3O4	C <sub>63</sub> H <sub>60</sub> Br <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	C44H37Cl2N3O3	C45H36N2O2	C42H42N3O4
Formula weight	755.92 g/mol	1144.97 g/mol	726.66 g/mol	636.76 g/mol	652.78 g/mol
Temperature	296(2) K	296(2) K	100.01(10)	296(2) K	296(2) K
Wavelength	1.54178 Å	1.54178 Å	1.54184	1.54178 Å	1.54178 Å
Crystal size	0.212 x 0.298 x 0.641 mm	0.164 x 0.165 x 0.393 mm	0.15 × 0.14 × 0.06 mm	0.156 x 0.204 x 0.316 mm	0.220 x 0.224 x 0.378 mm
Crystal habit	orange plate	yellow needle	light orange block	yellow prism	fluorescent yellow plate
Crystal system	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic	triclinic
Space group	P1 21/c 1	P -1	P21/c	Pbca	P -1
Unit cell dimensions	a = 16.6094(6) Å $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ b = 9.5173(3) Å $\beta = 104.757(2)^{\circ}$ c = 27.5112(9) Å $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$	a = 12.1492(17) Å $\alpha$ = 84.599(11)° b = 15.494(2) Å $\beta$ = 75.061(10)° c = 15.744(3) Å $\gamma$ = 88.635(10)°	a = 23.9323(4) Å $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ b = 11.41330(17) Å $\beta = 97.5023(15)^{\circ}$ c = 13.3857(2) Å $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$	a = 11.4231(4) Å $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ b = 13.6228(4) Å $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ c = 44.3130(18) Å $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$	a = 11.2686(3) Å $\alpha$ = 113.3290(10)° b = 12.7274(3) Å $\beta$ = 94.2160(10)° c = 13.7006(3) Å $\gamma$ = 104.5440(10)°
Volume	4205.4(2) Å <sup>3</sup>	2850.8(7) Å <sup>3</sup>	3624.94(10) Å <sup>3</sup>	6895.8(4) Å <sup>3</sup>	1713.09(7) Å <sup>3</sup>
Z	4	2	4	8	2
Density (calculated)	1.194 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.334 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.332	1.227 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.266 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Absorption coefficient	0.596 mm <sup>-1</sup>	2.254 mm <sup>-1</sup>	1.974 mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.583 mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.647 mm <sup>-1</sup>

F(000)	1608	1184	1520	2688	694
Theta range for data collection	2.75 to 68.73°	2.87 to 62.11°	3.726 to 73.201	1.99 to 52.14°	3.58 to 68.35°
Index ranges	-18<=h<=20, - 10<=k<=11, - 30<=l<=33	-12<=h<=12, - 17<=k<=16, - 17<=l<=15		-10<=h<=9, - 12<=k<=11, - 44<=l<=40	-11<=h<=12, - 15<=k<=15, - 16<=l<=16
Reflections collected	32567	50181	21995	90340	50392
Independent reflections	7120 [R(int) = 0.0717]	7096 [R(int) = 0.1396]	7095 [R(int) = 0.0366]	3357 [R(int) = 0.1769]	6059 [R(int) = 0.0708]
Goodness-of- fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.055	1.201	1.032	0.975	0.997
Final R indices	4320 data; I>2σ(I) R1 = 0.0698, wR2 = 0.1741 all data R1 = 0.1167, wR2 = 0.2002	2963 data; $I>2\sigma(I)$ R1 = 0.1315, wR2 = 0.3471 all data R1 = 0.2850, wR2 = 0.4063	$5724 \text{ data; I} > 2\sigma(I)$ R1 = 0.0423, wR2 = 0.1097 all data R1 = 0.0547, wR2 = 0.1179	1373 data; $I > 2\sigma(I)$ R1 = 0.0731, wR2 = 0.1410 all data R1 = 0.2581, wR2 = 0.2068	3205 data; I>2σ(I) R1 = 0.0679, wR2 = 0.1836 all data R1 = 0.1371, wR2 = 0.2253
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.794 and -0.347 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>	1.048 and -0.834 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>	0.305 and -0.645 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>	0.261 and -0.279 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>	0.536 and -0.260 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>



**Figure 46.** Crystallographic structure of 6b NMI-Ind-DMAc, a) Front view, b) side view, c) torsion angle, d) and e) space arrangement. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for the sake of clarity.



Figure 47. Closer view and all distances between atoms in 6b and plane (relative to N3, C19, O2).



**Figure 48.** Crystallographic structure of 5, a) Front view, b) side view, c) and d) space arrangement. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for the sake of clarity.



**Figure 49.** Crystallographic structure of 6c NMI-Ind-PXZ, a) Front view, b) side view, c) torsion angle, d) and e) space arrangement. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for the sake of clarity.



**Figure 50.** Crystallographic structure of 7a NMI-Ind-ANT, a) Front view, b) side view, c) torsion angle, d) and e) space arrangement. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for the sake of clarity.



**Figure 51.** Crystallographic structure of **6b** NMI-Ind-PhCN, a) Front view, b) side view, c) torsion angle, d) and e) space arrangement. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for the sake of clarity.

Emittor	Emmiter	λ	Von[a	EQE <sub>max</sub> [b]	EQE100[c]	EQE1000 <sup>[d]</sup>	EQE10000 <sup>[e]</sup>	L <sub>max</sub>
Emitter	[wt %]	[nm]	[V]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[cd/m <sup>2</sup> ]
BFDMAc-PhNAI <sup>[1]</sup>	1.5	590	3	19.8	10.6	10.4 <sup>[f]</sup>	4[f]	2 671
BTDPAc-PhNAI <sup>[1]</sup>	3	601	3	18.7	10.5	10.5 <sup>[f]</sup>	<b>4</b> [f]	2 537
BTDMAc-PhNA <sup>[1]</sup>	1.5	642	4	10.1	6.4	5.5 <sup>[f]</sup>	-[g]	680
<i>T-</i> DMAC-PPyM- 1% <sup>[2]</sup>	1	578	3.3	18.6	14.7	9.3	5[f]	14 265
<i>T-</i> DMAC-PPyM- 3% <sup>[2]</sup>	3	586	3.3	14.4	12.6	8.4	4[f]	13 553
<i>P</i> -DMAC-BPyM- 1% <sup>[2]</sup>	1	579	3.2	26	21.7	18.3	3 <sup>[f]</sup>	15 754
<i>P</i> -DMAC-BPyM- 3% <sup>[2]</sup>	3	593	3.3	21.2	20	16.2	2 <sup>[f]</sup>	15 505
PXZ-NA <sup>[3]</sup>	10	624	3.2	13	10.3 <sup>[f]</sup>	9.4 <sup>[f]</sup>	<b>_</b> [g]	<b>_</b> [g]
PTZ-NAI <sup>[3]</sup>	10	632	3.4	11.4	$10.1^{[f]}$	6 <sup>[f]</sup>	-[g]	-[g]
NAI-DMAC <sup>[4]</sup>	1.5	597	3	23.4	13.6	4.59	-[g]	-[g]
NAI-DPAC <sup>[4]</sup>	6	584	3	29.2	13	2.2	-[g]	-[g]
BTDMAc-NAI <sup>[5]</sup>	1.5	641	4	9.2	5[f]	-[g]	-[g]	773
BFDMAc-NAI <sup>[5]</sup>	1.5	590	3	20.3	10 <sup>[f]</sup>	3.5 <sup>[f]</sup>	-[g]	2 350
6,7-DCNQx-DICz <sup>[6]</sup>	1	578	<b>-</b> [g]	23.9	23 <sup>[f]</sup>	22 <sup>[f]</sup>	15 <sup>[f]</sup>	10 000 <sup>[f]</sup>
5,8-DCNQx-DICz <sup>[6]</sup>	1	603	<b>-</b> [g]	12.5	12.4 <sup>[f]</sup>	10.2 <sup>[f]</sup>	6 <sup>[f]</sup>	9 000 <sup>[f]</sup>
PXZ-PQM <sup>[7]</sup>	5	592	3.4	20.4	17.5	11.3	4.9	21 900
DPXZ-PQM <sup>[7]</sup>	5	590	2.8	26	20.1	13.7	5	14 140
DPXZ-DPPM <sup>[7]</sup>	5	630	3.6	11.5	10.5	6.8	-[g]	6 017
poly(DOPAcNICz- TMP) <sup>[8]</sup>	9	624	3.4	4.1	2	1.9	-[g]	1 800
NMI-Ind-PXZ <sup>[9]</sup>	1	604	2.8	19.63	19.48	18.95	17.22	35 221
NMI-Ind-PTZ <sup>[9]</sup>	1	613	2.8	23.62	23.60	23.15	21.61	38 319

Table 2. Performance summary of OLEDs with emission peaks from 570 nm to 650 nm.

[a] Turn-on voltage

[b] Maximum external quantum efficiency.

[c] Maximum EQE at 100 cd/m<sup>2</sup>

[d] Maximum EQE at 1000 cd/m<sup>2</sup>

[e] Maximum EQE at 10000 cd/m<sup>2</sup>

[f] Efficiency estimated from the chart given by the authors in the paper/ESI

[g] Data not given

[1] T. Chen, C.-H. Lu, Z. Chen, X. Gong, C.-C. Wu, C. Yang, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, 27, 3151.

[2] Y. Hu, Y. Zhang, W. Han, J. Li, X. Pu, D. Wu, Z. Bin, J. You, *Chem. Eng. J.* **2022**, 428, 131186.

[3] B. Wang, Y. Zheng, T. Wang, D. Ma, Q. Wang, Org. Electron. 2021, 88, 106012.

[4] W. Zeng, H.-Y. Lai, W.-K. Lee, M. Jiao, Y.-J. Shiu, C. Zhong, S. Gong, T. Zhou, G. Xie, M. Sarma, K.-T. Wong, C.-C. Wu, C. Yang, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, 30, 1704961.

[5] T. Chen, C.-H. Lu, C.-W. Huang, X. Zeng, J. Gao, Z. Chen, Y. Xiang, W. Zeng, Z. Huang, S. Gong, C.-C. Wu, C. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, **2019**, 7, 9087-9094.

[6] S. Kothavale, W. J. Chunga, J. Y. Lee, J. Mater. Chem. C, **2021**, 9, 528-536.

[7] J. Liang, C. Li, Y. Cui, Z. Li, J. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2020, 8, 1614-1622.

[8] S. Liu, Y. Tian, L. Yan, S. Wang, L. Zhao, H. Tian, J. Ding, L. Wang, *Macromolecules*, **2023**, 56, 3, 876–882.

[9] This work

#### **S5. Electrochemistry**



Figure 52. CV of 1 mM of compounds in 0.1 M  $Bu_4NBF_4$  in DCM electrolyte at a scan rate of 50 mV/s.

Cyclic voltammograms (CV) of all compounds were recorded in 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NBF<sub>4</sub> electrolyte solution of dichloromethane three electrodes arrangement being a working electrode (platinum disc), a reference electrode (silver wire) and a counter electrode (platinum wire). CV of all compounds were calibrated with ferrocene/ferrocenium ion (Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>) redox couple as the internal standard.



Figure 53 The non-logarithmic chart of the current density-bias characteristics.

# **S6 Computational Methods**

**S6. 1** For the purpose of the calculations, compound **4** and each compound in the series **6a–6d** were represented by truncated model compounds in which the *n*-butyl groups were replaced with methyl groups, while the mesityl (2,4,6-trimethylphenyl) groups were replaced with hydrogen atoms. Lastly, the two *tert*-butyl groups of compounds **6a** were also replaced with hydrogen atoms. The deletion of the above-mentioned substituents is justified by the fact that they are not expected to play a significant role in the photophysics of compounds **4** and **6a–6d** as isolated molecules; their purpose is to reduce the tendency towards  $\pi$ -stacking in the condensed phase.

All calculations were performed for isolated molecules, which is to say, in vacuum. Except when noted otherwise, molecular symmetry was not used in the calculations.

# S6.1.1 Conformation search

As a preliminary, the conformational preference of each model compound was investigated via geometry optimizations at the density functional theory (DFT) level of theory. The DFT calculations were performed in the computational chemistry software package Gaussian 16, Revision A.03.[1] The B3LYP exchange-correlation functional[2, 3] was employed in combination with the def2-SVP basis set.[4] In the course of the geometry optimizations, the energies and gradients were corrected for dispersion effects via the 'D3BJ' semiempirical correction scheme of Grimme and coworkers with Becke-Johnson damping.[5] All optimized geometries were confirmed to correspond to energy minima via the analytical calculation of vibrational modes.

## S6. 1. 2 Optical properties

Having determined the conformational preference of the compounds under study, we proceeded to calculate their optical properties. At this stage, the ground electronic states of each compound was described with the use of the Møller-Plesset perturbation method of second order (MP2), while its excited electronic states were calculated with the use of the second-order algebraic diagrammatic construction (ADC(2)) method.[6, 7] The spin-opposite scaling [8, 9] (SOS) procedure was imposed at all times in both the MP2 and ADC(2) calculations. In order to avoid confusion with the conventional implementations of the MP2 and ADC(2) methods (i.e., without a rescaling of the same- and opposite-spin contributions to the correlation energy), these calculations are referred to by the acronyms SOS-MP2 and SOS-ADC(2).

The SOS-MP2 and SOS-ADC(2) calculations were performed with the program Turbomole, version 6.3.1.,[10] taking advantage of the frozen core and resolution of the identity[11-14] approximations. A restricted Hartree--Fock (RHF) reference determinant was used. The cc-pVDZ basis set [15] was employed in combination with the default auxiliary basis set. [16]

Before calculating the vertical excitation spectra of the compounds under study at the SOS-ADC(2)/cc-pVDZ level of theory, the ground-state equilibrium geometry of each conformer was reoptimized at the SOS-MP2/cc-pVDZ level of theory. This was done to ensure consistency between the method used for the optimization of the ground-state geometry, and the one employed in the subsequent calculation of the electronic excitation spectrum.

The structures of the excited electronic states were characterized by plotting electron density difference maps (EDDMs). An EDDM is defined simply as difference of the electron density of the excited state and that of the ground state at the same nuclear geometry. Thus, the EDDM shows the redistribution of electron density due to a vertical transition.

# S6. 2. Results and Discussion

# S6. 2.1. Conformational preference

We begin the discussion of the simulation results with the DFT-based conformation search. In compound **4** as well as in compounds **6a–6d**, the fused 1,8-naphthalimide-indole moiety is roughly planar. Compound **4** lacks an electron-donating group, and hence it exits in only a single conformation. As for the other four compounds, their conformers can be broadly classified into axial (*ax*) and equatorial (*eq*) geometries according to the internal conformation of the pendant electron-donating moiety (D).

The Gibbs free energies and mole fractions of the various conformers are listed in Table 3. Accompanying this data, their DFT-optimized equilibrium geometries are shown in Figure 53. The conformers of each compound are labeled with Roman numerals in order of increasing Gibbs free energy. The suffix *-ax* or *-eq* denotes the internal conformation of the D moiety.

Compound **6a** only has a single conformer, which is equatorial. Compounds **6b** and **6d** each have two equatorial conformers and a single axial conformer. For either compound, the equatorial conformers lie substantially lower energy than the axial conformer. This finding is consistent with the fact that in the molecular crystal phase, compound **6b** exists in an equatorial conformation.

Lastly, compound **6c** only has a single, equatorial, conformer. Again, this result is consistent with the crystallographic geometry of this compound. We have explicitly verified that, in the case of compound **6c**, an axial conformation does not correspond to a minimum on the ground-state potential energy surface (PES). An attempt to optimize an axial conformation leads to a first-order saddle point on the ground-state PES, as opposed to a minimum.

**Table 3:** Conformational preference of compounds **4** and **6a–6d** – calculated energies (*E*, including zero-point vibrational corrections), Gibbs free energies (*G*), and mole fractions (*x*) of the various conformers, as calculated at the B3LYP-D3BJ/def2-SVP level of theory. For each compound, the energy and the Gibbs energy of the most stable conformer (denoted conformer 1) are set to zero.

Compound	Conformer	<i>E</i> , kJ/mol	<i>G</i> , kJ/mol	X
4	1	0	0	1
6a	1- <i>eq</i>	0	0	1
6b	1- <i>eq</i>	0	0	0.53
	2- <i>eq</i>	0.0	0.3	0.47
	3- <i>ax</i>	18.7	21.2	1×10-4
6c	1- <i>eq</i>	0	0	1

6d	1- <i>eq</i>	0	0	0.51
	2- <i>eq</i>	0.1	0.1	0.48
	3- <i>ax</i>	9.2	10.1	0.01



(a) compound 4



(d) compound **6b**, conformer 2-*eq* 



(g) compound **6d**, conformer 1-*eq* 



(b) compound **6a**, conformer 1-*eq* 



(e) compound **6b**, conformer 3-*ax* 



(h) compound **6d**, conformer 2-*eq* 



(c) compound **6b**, conformer 1-*eq* 



(f) compound **6c**, conformer 1-*eq* 



(i) compound **6d**, conformer 3-*ax* 

**Figure 54:** Ground-state equilibrium geometries of compounds **4** and **6a–6d** as optimized at the B3LYP-D3BJ/def2-SVP level of theory.

S6 2.2. Optical Properties

The detailed discussion of the optical properties of the compounds under study can be found in the main body of the present paper. Accompanying this analysis, Table 4 provides an overview of the vertical excitation spectra of the predominant conformers.

Note that in the case of compound **6c**, there is a very slight inconsistency between the results of DFT and SOS-MP2 geometry optimizations – the former method predicts that the phenoxazine based electron-donating moiety is near-planar, whereas according to the latter method, it is somewhat non-planar with a visible butterfly-like deformation. As a consequence, at the SOS-MP2 level of theory, compound **6c** possesses two inequivalent equatorial conformers, which are denoted 1-*eq* and 2-*eq* in Table 4. The two conformers lie very close in energy, and their electronic excitation spectra are very similar.

**Table 4:** Electronic excitation spectra of compounds **4** and **6a–6d** as calculated at the SOS-ADC(2)/cc-pVDZ level of theory – vertical excitation energies ( $\Delta E$ ) and associated oscillator strengths (*f*).  $\mu$  is the orbital-unrelaxed electric dipole moment of the given state.

Compound	Conformer	State	$\Delta E$ , eV	f	μ, D
4		S <sub>0</sub>			5.9
		$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.489	0.080	10.3
		$S_2$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.849	0.455	11.5
		S3 (NI ππ*)	4.471	0.014	8.5
		T <sub>1</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.759	0	7.7
		T <sub>2</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.269	0	9.8
		T <sub>3</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.731	0	7.0
6a	1- <i>eq</i>	So			4.7
		$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ ) <sup>a</sup>	3.455	0.061	10.3
		$S_2$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.768	0.527	11.3
		S <sub>3</sub> (D ππ*)	4.092	0.034	4.4
		T <sub>1</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.773	0	5.9
		T <sub>2</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.211	0	9.7
		T <sub>3</sub> (D ππ*)	3.670	0	4.7
6b	1- <i>eq</i>	S <sub>0</sub>			5.1
		$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.478	0.085	9.3
		$S_2$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.846	0.521	10.2
		S₃ (D→NI ICT)	3.936	$7 \times 10^{-4}$	28.8
		T <sub>1</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.759	0	6.6
		T <sub>2</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.260	0	8.9
		T <sub>3</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.727	0	6.3
6b	2- <i>eq</i>	S <sub>0</sub>			5.0
		$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.512	0.079	9.1
		S <sub>2</sub> (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.831	0.565	10.4

		S₃ (D→NI ICT)	4.016	$1 \times 10^{-4}$	30.1
		T <sub>1</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.776	0	6.4
		T <sub>2</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.281	0	9.0
		T <sub>3</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.740	0	6.1
6b	3- <i>ax</i>	So			6.8
		$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.160	0.094	17.4
		$S_2$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.629	0.443	12.2
		S3 (NI ππ*)	4.189	0.097	10.9
		T <sub>1</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.734	0	9.3
		T <sub>2</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.893	0	14.6
		T <sub>3</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.671	0	8.5
6c	1- <i>eq</i>	S <sub>0</sub>			5.0
		$S_1$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.520	0.080	8.6
		S₂ (D→NI ICT)	3.802	0.001	28.4
		$S_3$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.848	0.558	10.0
		T <sub>1</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.779	0	6.0
		Τ2 (ΝΙ ππ*)	3.289	0	8.7
		T <sub>3</sub> (D ππ*)	3.548	0	6.7
6c	2- <i>eq</i>	So			4.0
		$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.492	0.083	7.9
		S₂ (D→NI ICT)	3.724	0.001	26.9
		$S_3$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.857	0.511	8.7
		Τ <sub>1</sub> (ΝΙ ππ*)	2.764	0	5.2
		Τ2 (ΝΙ ππ*)	3.272	0	7.6
		Τ3 (D ππ*)	3.543	0	6.8
6d	1- <i>eq</i>	S <sub>0</sub>			3.5
		$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.487	0.084	7.5
		$S_2$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.847	0.520	8.4
		S₃ (D→NI ICT)	3.954	5×10 <sup>-6</sup>	25.0
		Τ <sub>1</sub> (ΝΙ ππ*)	2.765	0	4.8
		Τ2 (ΝΙ ππ*)	3.264	0	7.2
		Τ3 (ΝΙ ππ*)	3.731	0	5.3
6d	2- <i>eq</i>	So			5.5
		$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.515	0.081	9.1
		$S_2$ (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.857	0.550	10.4
		S₃ (D→NI ICT)	4.053	2×10-4	26.8
		T <sub>1</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.781	0	6.6
		Τ2 (ΝΙ ππ*)	3.289	0	9.1
		T <sub>3</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.743	0	5.1
6d	3- <i>ax</i>	S0			7.2

$S_1$ (dark NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.275	0.084	6.1
S <sub>2</sub> (bright NI $\pi\pi^*$ )	3.664	0.483	5.1
S <sub>3</sub> (NI ππ*)	4.253	0.050	4.2
T <sub>1</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.741	0	8.7
T <sub>2</sub> (NI ππ*)	2.999	0	14.2
T <sub>3</sub> (NI ππ*)	3.699	0	8.2

<sup>a</sup> In state labels, the fused 1,8-naphthalimide-indole moiety is denoted NI, and the donor moiety is denoted D.

**Figure 55** Canonical Hartree-Fock orbitals of conformers of compounds in the series **6a–6b**, plotted in the form of isosurfaces with isovalues of  $\pm 0.025 a_0^{-3/2}$ . The orbitals were calculated at ground-state equilibrium geometries as optimized at the SOS-MP2/cc-pVDZ level of theory.

(a) compound **6a**, conformer 1-*eq* 



HOMO

LUMO

(b) compound **6b**, conformer 1-*eq* 



НОМО

LUMO

(c) compound **6b**, conformer 2-*eq* 





номо

LUMO

(d) compound **6b**, conformer 3-*ax* 





НОМО

LUMO





номо

LUMO

(f) compound **6c**, conformer 2-*eq* 





номо

LUMO

(g) compound **6d**, conformer 1-*eq* 



номо

LUMO

(h) compound **6d**, conformer 2-*eq* 



номо

LUMO

(i) compound **6d**, conformer 3-*ax* 





номо

LUMO

# References

[1] Gaussian 16, Revision A.03, Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Li, X.; Caricato, M.; Marenich, A. V.; Bloino, J.; Janesko, B. G.; Gomperts, R.; Mennucci, B.; Hratchian, H. P.; Ortiz, J. V.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Williams-Young, D.; Ding, F.; Lipparini, F.; Egidi, F.; Goings, J.; Peng, B.; Petrone, A.; Henderson, T.; Ranasinghe, D.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Gao, J.; Rega, N.; Zheng, G.; Liang, W.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Throssell, K.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M. J.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E. N.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Keith, T. A.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A. P.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Adamo, C.; Cammi, R.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.

[2] Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact Exchange. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652. DOI: 10.1063/1.464913

[3] Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Chabalowski, C. F.; Frisch, M. J. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 11623–11627. DOI: 10.1021/j100096a001

[4] Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced Basis Sets of Split Valence, Triple Zeta Valence and Quadruple Zeta Valence Quality for H to Rn: Design and Assessment of Accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305. DOI: 10.1039/b508541a

[5] Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. *J. Comp. Chem.* **2011**, *32*, 1456–1465. DOI: 10.1002/jcc.21759

[6] Trofimov, A. B.; Schirmer, J. An Efficient Polarization Propagator Approach to Valence Electron Excitation Spectra. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.* **1995**, *28*, 2299–2324. DOI: 10.1088/0953-4075/28/12/003

[7] Hättig, C. Structure Optimizations for Excited States with Correlated Second-Order Methods: CC2 and ADC(2). In Advances in Quantum Chemistry; Jensen, H. J. Å., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 2005; Vol. 50, pp 37–60.

 [8] Jung, Y.; Lochan, R. C.; Dutoi, A. D.; Head-Gordon, M. Scaled Opposite-Spin Second Order Møller-Plesset Correlation Energy: An Economical Electronic Structure Method. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2004, *121*, 9793–9802. DOI: 10.1063/1.1809602

[9] Winter, N. O. C.; Hättig, C. Scaled Opposite-Spin CC2 for Ground and Excited States with Fourth Order Scaling Computational Costs. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *134*, No. 184101. DOI: 10.1063/1.3584177

[10] TURBOMOLE V6.3.1 2011, a development of University of Karlsruhe and Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH (1989-2007), and TURBOMOLE GmbH (since 2007). Available from: http://www.turbomole.com [11] Haase, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Semi-direct MP2 Gradient Evaluation on Workstation Computers: The MPGRAD Program. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1993**, *14*, 907–912. DOI: 10.1002/jcc.540140805

[12] Weigend, F.; Häser, M. RI-MP2: First Derivatives and Global Consistency. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **1997**, *97*, 331–340. DOI: 10.1007/s002140050269

[13] Hättig, C.; Weigend, F. CC2 Excitation Energy Calculations on Large Molecules Using the Resolution of the Identity Approximation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 5154–5161. DOI: 10.1063/1.1290013

[14] Köhn, A.; Hättig, C. Analytic Gradients for Excited States in the Coupled-Cluster Model CC2 Employing the Resolution-of-the-Identity Approximation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *119*, 5021–5036. DOI: 10.1063/1.1597635

[15] Dunning, T. H., Jr. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron Through Neon and Hydrogen. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 1007–1023. DOI: 10.1063/1.456153

[16] Weigend, F.; Köhn, A.; Hättig, C. Efficient Use of the Correlation Consistent Basis Sets in Resolution of the Identity MP2 Calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2002, *116*, 3175–3183. DOI: 10.1063/1.1445115