Supporting Information

Cross-linked K_{0.5}MnO₂ Nanoflower Composites for High

Rate and Low Overpotential Li–CO₂ Batteries

Jiawei Wu,^{a,c}[‡] Jian Chen,^{b‡} Xiaoyang Chen,^b Yang Liu,^{a,b} * Zhe Hu,^d Feijian Lou,^{a*} Shulei Chou^e and Yun Qiao^{a,b*}

^a School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang,

Henan 453007, China. E-mail address: liuy986@htu.edu.cn; loufeijian@htu.edu.cn;

^b School of Environment and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China. E-mail address: yunqiao@shu.edu.cn

^c Sinopec Petroleum Engineering Zhongyuan Co. Ltd., Natural Gas Technology Center, Zhengzhou, Henan 450000, China

^d College of Materials Science and Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518055, China

^e Institute for Carbon Neutralization, College of Chemistry and Materials Engineering,
 Wenzhou University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang 325035, China.

[‡]J. Wu and. J. Chen. contributed equally to this work.

Experimental

Materials

Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄), lithium bis(trifluoromethane sulfonimide) (LiTFSI), tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME) and N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) were purchased from Aladdin Ltd. Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 98%), nitric acid (HNO₃, 60%) were purchased from Guoyao Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. multi-wall carbon nanotubes (CNT) were brought from XFNANO Co., Ltd.

Preparation of $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$.

First, CNT were functionalized via acid treatment to enhance their hydrophilicity. The CNT were treated at 80 °C for 8 h in a mixed acid solution of H_2SO_4 and HNO_3 with the volume ratio of 3:1. Thereafter, 20 mg of the functionalized CNT were dispersed into 8 mmol L⁻¹ potassium permanganate solution, ultrasonicated and stirred for 1 h. And then, the mixed solution was transferred into a 100 mL polytetrafluoroethylene stainless steel autoclave and kept at 140 °C for 2 h. At last, the product was washed several times with deionized water and ethanol and dried under vacuum at 60 °C, the as-obtained sample was labeled as $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$ composite. *Materials Characterization*.

The morphology and the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum of the samples were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) (Hitachi SU8010). TEM and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) were recorded on a JEM-ARM300F. The high-angle-annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) and the corresponding EDS elemental mapping were performed on FEI Themis Z. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were measured on a X' Pert3 Powder diffractometer with Cu K α radiation (λ =1.54 Å). Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis was carried out by using Prodigy 7. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted on an ESCALAB 250Xi X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer. Raman spectra were token on a Horiba LabRAM HR Evolution using a 532 nm laser.

Battery Assemble and Electrochemical Tests.

First, for the cathode preparation, 90 wt% K_{0.5}MnO₂/CNT and 10 wt%

polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) were dispersed in the NMP solution. Then, the slurry was uniformly coated on carbon paper and dried under vacuum at 80 °C overnight. The Li–CO₂ batteries were assembled in a glove box filled with pure argon. The $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$ cathode and the lithium anode were separated by a glass fiber separator impregnated with 50 µL electrolyte (1.0 M LiTFSI/TEGDME), and sealed into a CR2032-type coin cell. Then, the battery was transferred into a glove box filled with pure CO₂. Finally, the galvanostatic discharge/charge measurements were performed on the LAND-CTA2001A test system. The cyclic voltammetry curves were obtained on the CHI760E electrochemical workstation.

Theoretical calculation.

DFT calculations were conducted using Vienna ab initio Simulation Package (VASP). ^{1,2} The projector-augmented wave method was used for the electron-ion interactions with a cut-off energy of 520 eV. ³ Generalized gradient approximation with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof function was used to approximate exchange correlation energy. ⁴ The k-point grid was set as $3 \times 3 \times 1$. Atomic positions and cell vectors were fully optimized until all force components were less than 0.02 eV Å⁻¹.



Fig. S1. SEM image of the pristine CNT.



Fig. S2. (a) XRD patterns of the $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$ and pure CNT.



Fig. S3. Thermogravimetric analysis for the proportion of CNT in the $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$ composite.



Fig. S4. The XPS survey spectrum of $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$.



Fig. S5. High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) C 1s, (b) Mn 2p, (c) Mn 3s and (d) O 1s for the $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$ sample.



Fig. S6. Discharge/charge curves of Li-CO₂ battery based on $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$ and CNT cathodes at 100 mA g⁻¹.



Fig. S7. The charge/discharge profiles of the CNT electrode at various current densities.



Fig. S8. EIS spectra for $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$ and CNT cathodes after the first discharge and charge processes.

Elements	K (wt%)	Mn (wt%)
Content	15.15	40.95

Table S1. The element content of K and Mn in the $K_{0.5}MnO_2/CNT$ from ICP results.

Cathode catalyst	Electrolyte	Discharge/C harge plateau (V)	Cycle capacity/ Current (mA h g ⁻¹ mA g ⁻¹)	Cycle number /(n)	Capacity (mA h g ⁻¹)	Energy efficiency (%)	Reference
K _{0.5} MnO ₂ /CNT	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.86/3.91	1000/100	100	14267	87.95	this work
CNT	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.7/4.3	1000/50	29	8379	63.5	Zhang et al. ⁵
Graphene	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.75/4.2	1000/100	10	14722	N/A	Zhang et al. ⁶
BN-hG	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.92/4.0	1000/100	200	16033	N/A	Qie et al. ⁷
Ni/NG	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.5 <v<4.2< td=""><td>1000/100</td><td>101</td><td>17625</td><td>67.5</td><td>Zhang et al.⁸</td></v<4.2<>	1000/100	101	17625	67.5	Zhang et al. ⁸
Cu/NG	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.85/3.62	1000/200	50	13590	N/A	Zhang et al.9
Ni/r-GO	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.7/4.0	1000/100	100	14.6 mA ł cm ⁻²	N/A	Qiao et al. ¹⁰
Ir/CNFs	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.76/4.14	1000/50	45	21528	N/A	Wang et al. ¹¹
Ir/N-CNFs	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.75/3.8	1000/500	400	7667	N/A	Xing et al. ¹²
NiO/CNT	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.7/4.1	1000/50	42	9000	66	Zhang et al. ¹³
P-Mn ₂ O ₃ /KB	LiClO ₄ in	2.5/4.3	1000/50	45	9434	N/A	Ma et al. ¹⁴

Table S2. The electrochemical performance summary and comparison of various Li-CO₂ batteries.

TEGDME

Mn-MOF	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.6/4.46	1000/200	50	18022	N/A	Li et al. ¹⁵
Mo ₂ C/CNT	LiCF ₃ SO ₃ in TEGDME	2.5/3.45	100μAh cm ⁻² /20μA	40	287.5	77	Hou et al. ¹⁶
CQD/hg	LiTFSI in DMSO with 0.3M LiNO ₃	3.0/4.0	500/1000	235	12300	74.3	Jin et al. ¹⁷
Ru/Ni foam	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.8/4.0	1000/200	100	9502	N/A	Zhao et al. ¹⁸
Ru/N-doped CNT	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.35/4.1	500/100	150	9300	N/A	Zhang et al. ¹⁹
Ru/ACNF	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.8/4.15	1000/100	50	11495	N/A	Qiao et al. ²⁰
RuP ₂ /NPCF	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.7/4.0	1000/200	200	11951	N/A	Guo et al. ²¹
CNT@RuO ₂	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.46/3.97	500/50 500/100 500/150	55 30 20	2187	N/A	Bie et al. ²²
RuO ₂ /LDO/Ni foam	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.52/3.25	1000/166	60	5455	N/A	Xu et al. ²³
ZnS QDs/N- rGO	-LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.75/4.13	1000/400	190	10310	N/A	Wang et al. ²⁴
N-CNTs@Ti	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.73/4.24	1000/250	45	9292.3	65	Li et al. ²⁵
Pt-based LCB	LiTFSI in TEGDME	2.56/2.91	1000/100	100	41470	89.5	Wang et al. ²⁶
Porous Pt @	LiTFSI in	2.55/3.0	100µAh	>200	5.81 mAl	n87.6	Chen et al. ²⁷

carbon cloth	TEGDME		$cm^{-2}/20\mu A$		cm ⁻²		
IrRu/N-CNT	LiTFSI in	2.6/3.8	500/100	600	6628	68.4*	Wang et al. ²⁸
	TEGDME						
	LiTFSI in						
Cd SAs/NC	DMSO+0.3 M	2.91/4.22	500/1000	1685	160045	70.4*	Zhu et al. ²⁹
	LiNO ₃						
SnCu _{1.5} O _{3.5} @MLiTFSI in		2 24/4 02	1000/100	100	22000	50.0*	Thu at al 30
FI	TEGDME	2.34/4.02	1000/100	100	23000	30.2	
TiVC/rGO	LiCF ₃ SO ₃ in	2 77/4 10	1000/20 0	91	27880	66.3*	Zhao et al. ³¹
aerogels	TEGDME	2.77/4.18	1000/20				
MOC@NCNF	NI/A	2 58/4 02	100µAh	171	10.31 mAł	1 64 04	Liu et al. ³²
	N/A	2.30/4.03	$cm^{\text{-}2/20}\mu A$		cm ⁻²	07.77	
S _V -CoS	LiTFSI in	3.07/3.5*	100µAh	40	7790.6	89.1	Mao et al. ³³
	TEGDME		$cm^{-2}/20\mu A$		$\mu Ah~cm^{-2}$		

Note: * denotes the energy efficiency value calculated from performance data reported in the literature.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 49, 14251–14269.
- [2] Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Comput. Mater. Sci. 1996, 6, 15–50.
- [3] Blöchl, P. E. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953–17979.
- [4] Perdew, J. P.; Yue, W. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 8800–8802.
- [5] Zhang, X.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, Y.; Xie, Z.; Wei, J.; Zhou, Z., Chem.Commun. 2015, 51, 14636-14639.
- [6] Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Chen, Y.; Bao, J.; Zhou, X.; Xie, Z.; Wei, J.; Zhou, Z., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6550-6553.
- [7] Qie, L.; Lin, Y.; Connell, J. W.; Xu, J.; Dai, L., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 6970-6974.
- [8] Zhang, Z.; Wang, X. G.; Zhang, X.; Xie, Z.; Chen, Y. N.; Ma, L.; Peng, Z.; Zhou,
 Z., Adv. Sci. 2018, 5, 1700567.
- [9] Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Z. W.; Liu, P. F.; Xie, Y. P.; Cao, K. Z.; Zhou, Z., J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, 6, 3218-3223.
- [10]Qiao, Y.; Liu, Y.; Chen, C.; Xie, H.; Yao, Y.; He, S.; Ping, W.; Liu, B.; Hu, L.,Adv. Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1805899.
- [11] Wang, C.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, X.; Wang, X. G.; Xie, Z.; Zhou, Z., Small 2018, 14, 1800641.
- [12]Xing, Y.; Yang, Y.; Li, D.; Luo, M.; Chen, N.; Ye, Y.; Qian, J.; Li, L.; Yang, D.;
 Wu, F.; Chen, R.; Guo, S., Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1803124.
- [13]Zhang, X.; Wang, C. Y.; Li, H. H.; Wang, X. G.; Chen, Y. N.; Xie, Z. J.; Zhou, Z.,
 J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, *6*, 2792-2796.
- [14]Ma, W.; Lu, S.; Lei, X.; Liu, X.; Ding, Y., Porous Mn₂O₃ cathode for highly durable Li–CO₂ batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A **2018**, *6*, 20829-20835.
- [15]Li, S. W.; Dong, Y.; Zhou, J. W.; Liu, Y.; Wang, J. M.; Gao, X.; Han, Y. Z.; Qi,
 P. F.; Wang, B., Energy Environ. Sci 2018, 11, 1318-1325.
- [16]Hou, Y.; Wang, J.; Liu, L.; Liu, Y.; Chou, S.; Shi, D.; Liu, H.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, W.; Chen, J., Adv. Funct. Mater. 2017, 27, 1700564.
- [17] Jin, Y.; Hu, C.; Dai, Q.; Xiao, Y.; Lin, Y.; Connell, J. W.; Chen, F.; Dai, L., Adv.

Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1804630.

[18]Zhao, H. M.; Li, D. D.; Li, H. D.; Tamirat, A. G.; Song, X. Y.; Zhang, Z. X.; Wang, Y. G.; Guo, Z. Y.; Wang, L.; Feng, S. H., Electrochim. Acta **2019**, *299*, 592-599.

[19]Zhang, P.-F.; Lu, Y.-Q.; Wu, Y.-J.; Yin, Z.-W.; Li, J.-T.; Zhou, Y.; Hong, Y.-H.;

Li, Y.-Y.; Huang, L.; Sun, S.-G., Chem. Eng. J 2019, 363, 224-233.

[20]Qiao, Y.; Xu, S.; Liu, Y.; Dai, J.; Xie, H.; Yao, Y.; Mu, X.; Chen, C.; Kline, D. J.;

Hitz, E. M.; Liu, B.; Song, J.; He, P.; Zachariah, M. R.; Hu, L., Energy Environ. Sci. **2019**, *12*, 1100-1107.

[21]Guo, Z.; Li, J.; Qi, H.; Sun, X.; Li, H.; Tamirat, A. G.; Liu, J.; Wang, Y.; Wang,
L., Small 2019, 15, 1803246.

[22]Bie, S.; Du, M.; He, W.; Zhang, H.; Yu, Z.; Liu, J.; Liu, M.; Yan, W.; Zhou, L.;Zou, Z., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 5146-5151.

[23]Xu, S.-M.; Ren, Z.-C.; Liu, X.; Liang, X.; Wang, K.-X.; Chen, J.-S., Energy Storage Mater. **2018**, *15*, 291-298.

[24] Wang, H.; Xie, K.; You, Y.; Hou, Q.; Zhang, K.; Li, N.; Yu, W.; Loh, K. P.; Shen,C.; Wei, B., Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 9, 1901806.

[25]Li, Y.; Zhou, J.; Zhang, T.; Wang, T.; Li, X.; Jia, Y.; Cheng, J.; Guan, Q.; Liu, E.;Peng, H.; Wang, B., Adv. Funct. Mater. 2019, 29, 1808117.

[26] Wang, M.; Yang, K.; Ji, Y.; Liao, X.; Zhang, G.; Masteghin, M. G.; Peng, N.;
Richheimer, F.; Li, H.; Wang, J.; Liu, X.; Yang, S.; Petrucco, E.; Shearing, P.; Castro,
F. A.; Silva, S. R. P.; Zhao, Y.; Pan, F.; Zhao, Y. Energy Environ. Sci. 2023, 16, 3960– 3967.

[27] Chen, S.; Yang, K.; Zhu, H.; Wang, J.; Gong, Y.; Li, H.; Wang, M.; Zhao, W.; Ji,

Y.; Pan, F.; Silva, S. R. P.; Zhao, Y.; Yang, L. Nano Energy 2023, 117, 108872.

[28] Wang, Z.; Liu, B.; Yang, X.; Zhao, C.; Dong, P.; Li, X.; Zhang, Y.; Doyle-Davis,

K.; Zeng, X.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, X. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2023, 33, 2213931.

[29]Zhu, K.; Li, X.; Choi, J.; Choi, C.; Hong, S.; Tan, X.; Wu, T.-S.; Soo, Y.-L.; Hao,

L.; Robertson, A. W.; Jung, Y.; Sun, Z. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2023, 33, 2213841.

[30] Zhu, Y.; Li, P.; Yang, X.; Wang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Gao, P.; Huang, Q.; Wei, Y.;

Yang, X.; Wang, D.; Shen, Y.; Wang, M. Adv. Energy Mater. 2023, 13, 2204143.

[31]Zhao, W.; Yang, Y.; Deng, Q.; Dai, Q.; Fang, Z.; Fu, X.; Yan, W.; Wu, L.; Zhou,Y. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2023, 33, 2210037.

[32]Liu, L.; Shen, S.; Zhao, N.; Zhao, H.; Wang, K.; Cui, X.; Wen, B.; Wang, J.;
Xiao, C.; Hu, X.; Su, Y.; Ding, S. Adv. Mater. 2024, 2403229. DOI: 10.1002/adma.202403229.

[33]Mao, R.; Liu, Y.; Shu, P.; Lu, B.; Chen, B.; Chen, Y.; Song, Y.; Jia, Y.; Zheng,Z.; Peng, Q.; Zhou, G. EcoMat 2024, e12449. DOI: 10.1002/eom2.12449.