Supporting Information: Viscotaxis of Beating Flagella

Shubham Anand,^{1, *} Jens Elgeti,^{1, †} and Gerhard Gompper^{1, ‡}

¹ Theoretical Physics of Living Matter, Institute for Advanced Simulation and Institute of Biological Information Processing, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany

(Dated: March 18, 2025)

S1. LIST OF PARAMETERS

Parameters	Symbol	Value
Particle number	N	314
Bond length	b	1.0
Flagellum length	L	314.0
Mass	m	1.0
Beat period	$ au_0$	10^{4}
Time step	dt/ au_0	5×10^{-8}
Friction anisotropy	$ \xi_{\perp 0}/\xi_{\parallel 0} $	1.81
Perpendicular friction coeff.	$\xi_{\perp 0}$	0.1
Parallel friction coeff.	$\xi_{\parallel 0}$	0.05525
Spring constant	kb^2	2×10^5
Bending rigidity	κ_0/L	63.69
Curvature amplitude	A_c	0.02

TABLE S1: Table of key simulation parameters, their descriptions, and respective values used in the model.

S2. VALIDATING FLAGELLAR DYNAMICS: SIMULATIONS VERSUS THEORY

We compare our simulation results with earlier analytical predictions of Gray and Hancock [1]. In the limit of small beat amplitude A_b , the expression for the swimming velocity is [1]

$$v_{flag} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\xi_{\perp}}{\xi_{\parallel}} - 1 \right) A_b^2 \omega q \tag{S1}$$

for the beat pattern $y(x,t) = A_b \sin(qx - \omega t)$ with beat frequency ω and wave number $q = 2\pi/\lambda$. In addition, the curvature and beat amplitudes, A_c and A_b , respectively are related by $A_b = \lambda^2 A_c/4\pi^2$.

The comparison of the analytical expression for the beat amplitude A_b as a function of curvature amplitude A_c with the simulation results in Fig. S1(a) shows excellent agreement for small curvature amplitudes $A_b/L \leq 0.1$. Also, the simulated swimming velocity agrees well with the analytical expression (S1) for smaller amplitudes

 $A_b/L \lesssim 0.05$, see Fig. S1(b). Deviations for larger beat amplitudes are due to higher-order effects neglected in the analytic model. Thus, our simulation results agree well with established theoretical predictions, validating our model's accuracy in studying flagellum dynamics.



FIG. S1: Quantitative comparison of simulation results with analytical expressions (a) Beat

amplitude A_b as a function of curvature amplitude A_c , with analytical expression (dashed lines) and simulation results (dotted lines). (b) Propulsion velocity of beating flagellum v_{flag} as a function of beating amplitude A_b .

^{*} s.anand@fz-juelich.de

[†] j.elgeti@fz-juelich.de

[‡] g.gompper@fz-juelich.de

S3. ANALYTICAL CALCULATION OF VISCOTACTIC BEHAVIOR

We perform the calculation of the torque induced by a viscosity gradient in a body-fixed reference frame (x', y'), where the x'-axis is along the symmetry axis of the flagellum. We assume a flagellar beat with a simple sinusoidal travelling bending wave,

$$y'(x',t) = A_b \sin(qx' - \omega t) \tag{S2}$$

This implies a mass distribution

$$\rho(x', y', t) = \delta(y' - A_b \sin(qx' - \omega t))$$
(S3)

from which we obtain for a time-averaged beat the projected mass density $\bar{\rho}(y')$ on the y'-axis,

$$\bar{\rho}(y') = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \int_0^L dt \, dx' \,\delta\left(y' - A_b \sin(qx' - \omega t)\right) \quad (S4)$$

The integrations in Eq. (S4) can be performed by using the property of the Dirac's δ function that

$$\delta(g(t)) = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{|g'(t_{0,i})|} \delta(t - t_{0,i})$$
(S5)

where $t_{0,i}$ are the roots of the function g(t), i.e. $g(t_{0,i}) = 0$. Thus, we have to determine the times t_0 where $y' = A_b \sin(qx' - \omega t_0)$. For y' within the range $[-A_b, A_b]$ and t in $[0, \tau]$ for one wavelength, the above equation has two solutions,

$$\omega t_{0,1} = qx' - \sin^{-1} \left(y' / A_b \right) \tag{S6}$$

$$\omega t_{0,2} = qx' - \pi + \sin^{-1} \left(y'/A_b \right) \tag{S7}$$

Using the property of the Dirac's δ function given in Eq. (S5), we first integrate over t,

$$\int_0^\tau dt \,\delta(y' - A_b \sin(qx' - \omega t)) = \sum_i \frac{1}{|\omega A_b \cos(qx' - \omega t_{0,i})|}$$
(S8)

Hence,

$$\sum_{i} \frac{1}{|\omega A_b \cos(qx' - \omega t_{0,i})|} = \frac{2}{\omega A_b \sqrt{1 - (y'/A_b)^2}}$$
(S9)

The remaining integration over x' finally yields

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^L dx' \frac{2}{\omega A_b \sqrt{1 - (y'/A_b)^2}} = \frac{L}{\pi \sqrt{A_b^2 - y'^2}}$$
(S10)

so that

$$\bar{\rho}(y') = \frac{L}{\pi\sqrt{A_b^2 - y'^2}}.$$
 (S11)

As a consistency check, we verify that the total mass of the flagellum is

$$\int \bar{\rho}(y') \, dy' = \int_{-A_b}^{A_b} dy' \frac{L}{\pi \sqrt{A_b^2 - y'^2}} = L \,. \tag{S12}$$

The rotational torque T_A required for reorientation of a flagellum then is

$$T_{A} = \int_{-A_{b}}^{A_{b}} dy' \, y' \bar{\rho}(y') \, v\xi_{\parallel} \, \frac{\alpha}{L} \cos(\theta) \, y'$$

$$= \frac{\xi_{\parallel} v \alpha \cos(\theta)}{\pi} \int_{-A_{b}}^{A_{b}} dy' \, y'^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{b}^{2} - y'^{2}}}$$

$$= \frac{\xi_{\parallel} v \alpha \cos(\theta)}{\pi} \left(\frac{A_{b}^{2}}{2} \sin^{-1}(\frac{y'}{A_{b}}) - \frac{y'}{2} \sqrt{A_{b}^{2} - y'^{2}} \right) \Big|_{-A_{b}}^{A_{b}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} v \alpha \xi_{\parallel} A_{b}^{2} \cos(\theta) , \qquad (S13)$$

where in the body-fixed reference frame, the gradient direction appears with an angle θ relative to the x'-axis.

The active torque T_A is balanced by the torque T_R due to frictional drag, which for a stiff rod (approximation of very small beat amplitude A_b) around its midpoint is

$$T_R = \Omega \xi_R \simeq \Omega \xi_\perp L^3 / 12 \,. \tag{S14}$$

The resulting angular velocity Ω is then

$$\Omega = 6v \, \frac{\xi_{\parallel}}{\xi_{\perp}} \, \left(\frac{A_b}{L}\right)^2 \, \mathbf{P} \times \nabla\left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_0}\right) \,. \tag{S15}$$

Furthermore, Eq. (S15) can be simply re-written as $\Omega(\theta, \alpha) = \Omega_1 \alpha \cos(\theta)$, where Ω_1 is the key viscotactic response coefficient. For $\theta \approx 0$, this becomes $\Omega(\alpha) = \Omega_1 \alpha$, in good agreement with the simulation results, see Fig. S2(a,b).

To validate our analytical result for Ω , we compared Eq. (S15) with the simulation data in Fig. S3. Both scale with the same power of beat amplitude, $\Omega_1 \tau \sim (A_b/L)^4$, as velocity depends quadratically on A_b .

S4. ANALYTICAL CALCULATION OF SWIMMING TRAJECTORY FOR TROCHOID-LIKE MOTION

The orientational motion of an asymmetric flagellum is obtained from the contribution of average spontaneous curvature C_0 and viscosity gradients $\nabla \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_0}\right)$. As derived in the main text, this motion is described by

$$\dot{\theta} = \Omega_0 + \Omega_1 \alpha \cos(\theta) \tag{S16}$$

From the indefinite integral of Eq. (S16) with initial condition $\theta_{init} = 0$ at t = 0, we derived the explicit dependence $t(\theta)$ as

$$t(\theta) = \frac{2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a-b}}{\sqrt{a+b}}\tan(\frac{\theta}{2})\right) + 2\pi\lfloor\frac{\theta}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\rfloor}{\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}$$
(S17)



FIG. S2: Quantifying visotactic behavior. (a) Averaged orientation of flagellum per beat $\langle \theta \rangle$ (in radians) for different viscosity gradients α as a function of time. (b) Effect of different viscosity gradients on rotational velocity Ω . Data points are obtained from linear fits in (a). The dashed line is linear fit to $\Omega(\alpha) = \Omega_1 \alpha$, yielding Ω_1 . In

(a-b), the initial orientation is chosen to be $\theta \approx 0$. The single fit parameter $\Omega_1 \tau = 0.02196$ is the viscotactic response coefficient.



FIG. S3: Rotational velocity dependence on beat amplitude. Rotational velocity Ω_1 of the flagellum as a function of beating amplitude for $\alpha = 0.4$. A fit

(dashed red lined) of the simulation data (red dot symbols) to the functional dependence $\Omega_1 \tau \sim (A_b/L)^4$ (see text) and the analytical predictions (blue solid line) of Eq. (S15) are shown to agree very well.

where, $a = \Omega_0$, $b = \Omega_1 \alpha$ and $\lfloor \dots \rfloor$ is the floor function. The resulting trochoid-like trajectory is shown in Fig. 10(b) of the main text.

From Eq. (S17), we can easily obtain the time period ΔT of a full cycle by taking the difference of time for $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 2\pi$, which implies

$$\Delta T = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{(\Omega_0)^2 - (\Omega_1 \alpha)^2)}} \tag{S18}$$

S5. PROJECTED LENGTH OF FLAGELLUM AS A FUNCTION OF BEATING AMPLITUDE

In order to investigate to which extent the projected length for flagellum L_{eff} (end-to-end distance) is geometrically affected by the beat amplitude A_b , we derive an expression for L_{eff} for small beat amplitudes. The total contour length of the flagellum is fixed and is always given by L. Furthermore, we consider a sinusoidal flagellum at time t = 0 with beat shape y(x) (orientation parallel to x-axis). Differential geometry implies

$$L = \int_0^{L_{eff}} dx \sqrt{1 + (\partial_x y)^2} \tag{S19}$$

For small beat amplitudes, the square root can be expanded to first order, so that

$$L = L_{eff} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{L_{eff}} dx \, (\partial_x y)^2 \tag{S20}$$

For a flagellum with a single wave length, the projected length of the flagellum is thus reduced from the full length with increasing beat amplitude changes as

$$\Delta L = L - L_{eff} = \pi^2 (A_b/L)^2$$
 (S21)

Moreover, the projected length of the flagellum has an influence on the rotational friction coefficient which is given by $\xi_{R,F} \sim L_{eff}^3$, analogous to a stiff rod where $\xi_{R,rod} \sim L^3$. Consequently, as increasing flexibility (Sp^4) leads to decrease of the beat amplitude, it implies an increase of the projected length, and thus of $\xi_{R,F}$, see Fig. S4.



FIG. S4: Rotational friction coefficient vs. Sp^4 . Variation of the ratio of rotation friction coefficients, $\xi_{R,F}/\xi_{R,rod}$, as a function of sperm number Sp^4 . The coefficient increases with increasing Sp^4 .

S6. MOVIE CAPTIONS

- Movie M1 Reorientation of a symmetrically beating flagellum toward regions of higher viscosity, which demonstrates positive viscotaxis for $C_0L =$ $0.0, \tau/\tau_0 = 0.2, \alpha = 0.4$ and $\theta_{init} \approx 0.0$.
- Movie M2 Linear motion of an asymmetric flagellum with small intrinsic curvature moving in a viscosity gradients with $C_0 L = -0.0157$, $\tau/\tau_0 = 0.2$, $\alpha = 0.4$ and $\theta_{int} \approx 0.0$.
- Movie M3 Trochoid-like motion perpendicular to the viscosity gradient of an asymmetric flagellum awith large intrinsic curvature, for $C_0 L = -0.0628$, $\tau/\tau_0 = 0.2$, $\alpha = 0.4$ and $\theta_{int} \approx 0.0$.

[1] J. Gray and G. Hancock, J. Exp. Biol. 32, 802 (1955).