Supporting Information

Trimetallic-Organic Framework/ MXene Composite as an Oxygen Evolution Reaction Electrocatalyst with Elevated Intrinsic Activity

Mahrokh Nazari⁺, Ali Morsali^{+*}

⁺Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Basic Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran 14117-13116, P.O. Box 14115-175, Iran;<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1828-7287</u>

Email: morsali_a@modares.ac.ir



1. FESEM and TEM images of FeNiCo-MIL/Ti₃C₂ composite

Figure S 1. (a and b) *FESEM* image of *FeNiCo-MIL*/ Ti_3C_2 composite from top and side view, (c and d) *TEM* and dark field *TEM* images of *FeNiCo-MIL*/ Ti_3C_2 in different magnifications respectively.

2. EDX and elemental mapping



Figure S 2. EDS spectrum and elemental mapping of FeNiCo-MIL/Ti₃C₂ composite. (a) EDS spectrum of the FeNiCo-MIL/Ti₃C₂ composite, (b) FE-SEM image and (c-) EDS elemental mappings of carbon, oxygen, titanium, iron, cobalt and nickel elements of the FeNiCo-MIL/Ti₃C₂ composite.



Figure S 3. EDS spectrum and elemental mapping of FeNiCo-MIL. (*a*) *EDS spectrum of the FeNiCo-MIL,* (*b*) *FE-SEM image and (c-g) EDS elemental mappings of carbon, oxygen, iron, cobalt and nickel elements of the FeNiCo-MIL.*

3. FT-IR analysis.



Figure S 4. FT-IR spectra of the FeNiCo-MIL (blue), and FeNiCo-MIL/Ti₃C₂ composite(green).

4. ECSA measurement.



Figure S 5. Estimation of the ECSA. CV curves of (a) NF, (b) Ti_3C_2 , (c) RuO_2 , (d) FeNiCo-MIL, and (e) $FeNiCo-MIL/Ti_3C_2$, in the non-Faradaic potential window at different scan rates from 20-200 mV s⁻¹ and (f) C_{dl} estimated from the plot of capacitive currents at the middle of the potential window versus scan rate.



5. Stability investigation of RuO₂ electrocatalyst

Figure S 6. Chronopotentiometry plot at the current density of 10 mA cm⁻² for 24 h.



6. XPS Analysis of FeNiCo-MIL/Ti₃C₂ electrode after stability test.

Figure S 7. Deconvoluted core-level XPS spectrum of the FeNiCo-MIL/Ti₃C₂ composite, (i) after 24 h chronopotentiometry test at current density of 10 mA cm⁻² and (ii) As-prepared; (a) C 1s, (b) O 1s, (c) Ti 2p, (d) Fe 2p, (e) Ni 2p and (f) Co 2p.

7. Calculation of the TOF

The surface concentration of metal atoms at the FeNiCo-MIL/ Ti_3C_2 electrode was calculated from the redox peak from the LSV.¹

Area from redox peak of FeNiCo-MIL is = 13.17×10^{-3} Coulombs

Then, no. of electrons = $13.17 \times 10^{-3} \text{ C}/1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} = 8.85 \times 10^{16}$

Now, divide by the number of e-transferred in the redox reaction which is 1 here.

= 8.85 ×10¹⁶ /1 = 8.85 ×10¹⁶ atoms

Then TOF calculated as below,

$$TOF = {^{i \times N_A}}/_{A \times F \times n \times \Gamma}$$

where *i* is the current (A) at the given overpotential, N_A is the Avogadro number (6.023×10²³), *A* is the geometrical surface area of the electrode (cm⁻²), *F* is the Faraday constant (96,485 C mol⁻¹), *n* is number of electrons and Γ is surface concentration. We have taken the OER current of 37 mA cm⁻² observed at 1.49 V vs. RHE, and 132 mA cm⁻² observed at 1.56 V vs. RHE with the loaded catalyst to calculate TOF_{max}. Hence we have,

$$TOF_{1.49V} = \frac{[(37 \times 10^{-3})(6.023 \times 10^{23})]}{[(1)(96485)(4)(8.85 \times 10^{16})]} = 0.65 \ s^{-1}$$
$$TOF_{1.56V} = \frac{[(132 \times 10^{-3})(6.023 \times 10^{23})]}{[(1)(96485)(4)(8.85 \times 10^{16})]} = 2.33 \ s^{-1}$$

| Catalyst | Electrolyte | $\eta_{j=10}$ | Tafel slope | TOF (s ⁻¹) | Reference |
|--|-------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | | (1110) | (mV | | |
| | | | dec ⁻¹) | | |
| Ni-BDC/NF | 1 M KOH | 289 | 154.5 | - | 2 |
| HZIF-2-CoMo | 1 M KOH | 277 | 70 | 0.01 | 3 |
| Fe _{2.1} Ni _{0.2} Co _{0.7} -MIL | 1 M KOH | 282 | 51 | - | 4 |
| Fe₂Ni MIL-88 | 1 M KOH | 246 | 62 | - | 5 |
| NiSe ₂ -FeSe DHPs | 1 M KOH | 280 | 58 | 0.044 @ η _{280 mV} | 6 |
| Fe _x Ni _y -BDC | 1 M KOH | 260 | 35 | 0.36 @ η _{330 mV} | 7 |
| Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x -CoBDC | 0.1 M KOH | 410 | 48.2 | - | 8 |
| CoFe-MOF | 1 M KOH | 265 | 44 | 0.4 @ η _{400 mV} | 9 |
| FeCo-LDH/MXene | 1 M KOH | 268 | 85 | 0.106 @ η _{400 mV} | 10 |
| S-NiFe2O4@ Ti3C2@NF | 1 M KOH | 270 | 46.8 | - | 11 |
| NiFeP/MXene | 1 M KOH | 286 | 35 | 0.35 @ η _{300 mV} | 12 |
| Co-B _i /Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x | 1 M KOH | 250 | 53 | - | 13 |
| Co/N-CNTs@ Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x | 1 M KOH | 411 | 79.1 | - | 14 |
| NiCoFe-HO@NiCo-LDH YSMRs | 1 M KOH | 278 | 49.7 | 0.051 @ η _{278 mV} | 15 |
| S/N-CMF@Fe _x Co _y Ni _{1-x-y} -MOF | 1 M KOH | 296 | 53.5 | 0.124 @ η _{300 mV} | 16 |
| NiCo _{2x} Fe _x O ₄ NBs | 1 M KOH | 274 | 42 | 0.016 @ η _{300 mV} | 17 |
| ZnCoFe-N-C | 1 M KOH | 370 | 82.9 | | 18 |
| FeCoNi-PBA | 1 M KOH | 236 | 43.8 | 0.136 @ η _{320 mV} | 19 |
| t-NiCoFe-LDH | 1 M KOH | 277 | 68.83 | - | 20 |
| MXene@RuCo NPs | 1 M KOH | 253 | 61.4 | 0.0113 | 21 |
| NiFeCoP/Mxene | 1 M KOH | 240 | 55 | - | 22 |
| CoNi MOF-mCNTs | 1 M KOH | 306 | 42 | - | 23 |
| Ru–CoN/Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x | 1 M KOH | 290 | 68 | - | 24 |
| FeOOH NSs/ Ti ₃ C ₂ | 1 M KOH | 400 | 95 | - | 25 |
| FeNiCo-MIL | 1 M KOH | 260 | 42.9 | 0.71 @ η _{270 mV} | This work |
| FeNiCo-MIL/Ti ₃ C ₂ | 1 M KOH | 231 | 34.5 | 2.33 @ η _{270 mV} | This work |
| | | | | | |

Table S 1. A comparison of FeNiCO-MIL/ Ti_3C_2 electrocatalyst with recently reported catalysts in OER performance.

* Note that, studies shows electrocatalyst activities will always depend greatly on intrinsic activities, therefore TOF is a reliable parameter, but still most researchers employ the overpotential at 10 mA cm⁻² and Tafel slope parameters which are actually mass dependent and are not very proper criteria for comparison.²⁶

Table S 2. The values of the Equivalent Series Resistance and Charge Transfer Resistance for the FeNiCo-MIL/Ti₃C₂, FeNiCo-MIL and Ti₃C₂ electrodes.

| Catalyst | ESR (Ω) | R _{ct} (Ω) |
|---|---------|---------------------|
| NF | 0.51 | 29.0 |
| RuO ₂ | 0.34 | 34.0 |
| Ti ₃ C ₂ | 0.206 | 27.5 |
| FeNiCo-MIL | 0.404 | 0.92 |
| FeNiCo-MIL/Ti ₃ C ₂ | 0.323 | 0.46 |

References.

(1) Anantharaj, S.; Karthik, P. E.; Kundu, S. Petal-like hierarchical array of ultrathin Ni(OH)2 nanosheets decorated with Ni(OH)2 nanoburls: a highly efficient OER electrocatalyst. *Catalysis Science & Technology* **2017**, *7* (4), 882-893, 10.1039/C6CY02282K. DOI: 10.1039/c6cy02282k.

(2) Qiang, C.; Liu, M.; Zhang, L.; Chen, Z.; Fang, Z. In Situ Growth of Ni-Based Metal–Organic Framework Nanosheets on Carbon Nanotube Films for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2021**, *60* (5), 3439-3446. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c00026.

(3) Muthurasu, A.; Dahal, B.; Chhetri, K.; Kim, H. Y. Vertically Aligned Metal–Organic Framework Derived from Sacrificial Cobalt Nanowire Template Interconnected with Nickel Foam Supported Selenite Network as an Integrated 3D Electrode for Overall Water Splitting. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2020**, *59* (6), 3817-3827. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b03466.

(4) Li, F.; Tian, Y.; Su, S.; Wang, C.; Li, D.-S.; Cai, D.; Zhang, S. Theoretical and experimental exploration of tri-metallic organic frameworks (t-MOFs) for efficient electrocatalytic oxygen evolution reaction. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2021**, *299*, 120665. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120665</u>.

(5) Abazari, R.; Sanati, S.; Morsali, A. Mixed Metal Fe2Ni MIL-88B Metal–Organic Frameworks Decorated on Reduced Graphene Oxide as a Robust and Highly Efficient Electrocatalyst for Alkaline Water Oxidation. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2022**, *61* (8), 3396-3405. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c03216.

(6) Ramesh, S. K.; Ganesan, V.; Kim, J. NiSe2–FeSe Double-Shelled Hollow Polyhedrons as Superior Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *ACS Applied Energy Materials* **2021**, *4* (11), 12998-13005. DOI: 10.1021/acsaem.1c02634.

(7) Li, J.; Huang, W.; Wang, M.; Xi, S.; Meng, J.; Zhao, K.; Jin, J.; Xu, W.; Wang, Z.; Liu, X.; et al. Low-Crystalline Bimetallic Metal– Organic Framework Electrocatalysts with Rich Active Sites for Oxygen Evolution. *ACS Energy Letters* **2019**, *4* (1), 285-292. DOI: 10.1021/acsenergylett.8b02345.

(8) Zhao, L.; Dong, B.; Li, S.; Zhou, L.; Lai, L.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, S.; Han, M.; Gao, K.; Lu, M.; et al. Interdiffusion Reaction-Assisted Hybridization of Two-Dimensional Metal–Organic Frameworks and Ti3C2Tx Nanosheets for Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution. *ACS Nano* **2017**, *11* (6), 5800-5807. DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.7b01409.

(9) Zou, Z.; Wang, T.; Zhao, X.; Jiang, W.-J.; Pan, H.; Gao, D.; Xu, C. Expediting in-Situ Electrochemical Activation of Two-Dimensional Metal–Organic Frameworks for Enhanced OER Intrinsic Activity by Iron Incorporation. *ACS Catalysis* **2019**, *9* (8), 7356-7364. DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.9b00072.

(10) Tian, M.; Jiang, Y.; Tong, H.; Xu, Y.; Xia, L. MXene-Supported FeCo-LDHs as Highly Efficient Catalysts for Enhanced Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *ChemNanoMat* **2020**, *6* (1), 154-159. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cnma.201900613.

(11) Tang, Y.; Yang, C.; Yang, Y.; Yin, X.; Que, W.; Zhu, J. Three dimensional hierarchical network structure of S-NiFe2O4 modified few-layer titanium carbides (MXene) flakes on nickel foam as a high efficient electrocatalyst for oxygen evolution. *Electrochimica Acta* **2019**, *296*, 762-770. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.11.083</u>.

(12) Chen, J.; Long, Q.; Xiao, K.; Ouyang, T.; Li, N.; Ye, S.; Liu, Z.-Q. Vertically-interlaced NiFeP/MXene electrocatalyst with tunable electronic structure for high-efficiency oxygen evolution reaction. *Science Bulletin* **2021**, *66* (11), 1063-1072. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2021.02.033.

(13) Liu, J.; Chen, T.; Juan, P.; Peng, W.; Li, Y.; Zhang, F.; Fan, X. Hierarchical Cobalt Borate/MXenes Hybrid with Extraordinary Electrocatalytic Performance in Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *ChemSusChem* **2018**, *11* (21), 3758-3765. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201802098.

(14) Zhang, Y.; Jiang, H.; Lin, Y.; Liu, H.; He, Q.; Wu, C.; Duan, T.; Song, L. In Situ Growth of Cobalt Nanoparticles Encapsulated Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes among Ti3C2Tx (MXene) Matrix for Oxygen Reduction and Evolution. *Advanced Materials Interfaces* **2018**, *5* (16), 1800392. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.201800392</u>.

(15) Niu, Q.; Yang, M.; Luan, D.; Li, N. W.; Yu, L.; Lou, X. W. Construction of Ni-Co-Fe Hydr(oxy)oxide@Ni-Co Layered Double Hydroxide Yolk-Shelled Microrods for Enhanced Oxygen Evolution. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2022**, *61* (49), e202213049. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202213049</u>.

(16) Zhao, Y.; Lu, X. F.; Wu, Z.-P.; Pei, Z.; Luan, D.; Lou, X. W. Supporting Trimetallic Metal-Organic Frameworks on S/N-Doped Carbon Macroporous Fibers for Highly Efficient Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution. *Advanced Materials* **2023**, *35* (19), 2207888. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202207888.

(17) Huang, Y.; Zhang, S. L.; Lu, X. F.; Wu, Z.-P.; Luan, D.; Lou, X. W. Trimetallic Spinel NiCo2–xFexO4 Nanoboxes for Highly Efficient Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2021**, *60* (21), 11841-11846. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103058.

(18) Lin, X.; Li, Q.; Hu, Y.; Jin, Z.; Reddy, K. M.; Li, K.; Lin, X.; Ci, L.; Qiu, H.-J. Revealing Atomic Configuration and Synergistic Interaction of Single-Atom-Based Zn-Co-Fe Trimetallic Sites for Enhancing Oxygen Reduction and Evolution Reactions. *Small* **2023**, *19* (30), 2300612. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202300612</u>.

(19) Nguyen, T. X.; Yang, K.-H.; Huang, Y.-J.; Su, Y.-H.; Clemens, O.; Xie, R.-K.; Lin, Y.-J.; Lee, J.-F.; Ting, J.-M. Anodic oxidationaccelerated self-reconstruction of tri-metallic Prussian blue analogue toward robust oxygen evolution reaction performance. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **2023**, 145831. (20) Karuppasamy, K.; Bose, R.; Velusamy, D. B.; Vikraman, D.; Santhoshkumar, P.; Sivakumar, P.; Alfantazi, A.; Kim, H.-S. Rational Design and Engineering of Metal–Organic Framework-Derived Trimetallic NiCoFe-Layered Double Hydroxides as Efficient Electrocatalysts for Water Oxidation Reaction. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **2022**, *10* (45), 14693-14704. DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c02830.

(21) Li, J.; Hou, C.; Chen, C.; Ma, W.; Li, Q.; Hu, L.; Lv, X.; Dang, J. Collaborative Interface Optimization Strategy Guided Ultrafine RuCo and MXene Heterostructure Electrocatalysts for Efficient Overall Water Splitting. *ACS Nano* **2023**, *17* (11), 10947-10957. DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.3c02956.

(22) Li, N.; Han, J.; Yao, K.; Han, M.; Wang, Z.; Liu, Y.; Liu, L.; Liang, H. Synergistic phosphorized NiFeCo and MXene interaction inspired the formation of high-valence metal sites for efficient oxygen evolution. *Journal of Materials Science & Technology* **2022**, *106*, 90-97. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmst.2021.08.007</u>.

(23) Yu, S.; Wu, Y.; Xue, Q.; Zhu, J.-J.; Zhou, Y. A novel multi-walled carbon nanotube-coupled CoNi MOF composite enhances the oxygen evolution reaction through synergistic effects. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2022**, *10* (9), 4936-4943, 10.1039/D1TA10681C. DOI: 10.1039/D1TA10681C.

(24) Yan, L.; Zhang, B. Rose-like, ruthenium-modified cobalt nitride nanoflowers grown in situ on an MXene matrix for efficient and stable water electrolysis. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2021**, *9* (36), 20758-20765, 10.1039/D1TA05243H. DOI: 10.1039/D1TA05243H.

(25) Zhao, K.; Ma, X.; Lin, S.; Xu, Z.; Li, L. Ambient Growth of Hierarchical FeOOH/MXene as Enhanced Electrocatalyst for Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *ChemistrySelect* **2020**, *5* (6), 1890-1895. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201904506</u>.

(26) Nazari, M.; Ghaemmaghami, M. Approach to Evaluation of Electrocatalytic Water Splitting Parameters, Reflecting Intrinsic Activity: Toward the Right Pathway. *ChemSusChem* **2023**, *16* (11), e202202126. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202202126</u>.