# Compositional flexibility in irreducible antifluorite electrolytes for next-generation battery anodes

Victor Landgraf, Mengfu Tu, Zhu Cheng, Alexandros Vasileiadis, Marnix Wagemaker<sup>\*</sup>, Theodosios Famprikis<sup>\*</sup>

Faculty of Applied Sciences, Delft University of Technology, 2629 JB Delft, The Netherlands

\* <u>t.famprikis@tudelft.nl</u>; <u>m.wagemaker@tudelft.nl</u>



Figure S1. Schematic Illustration of the solid solution existing on the  $Li_2S-Li_3N$  tie line, that is the  $Li_{2+x}S_{1-x}N_x$  phases reported in ref<sup>1</sup>.



Figure S2.Rietveld refinements of the X-ray diffraction patterns of different  $Li_{1+2x}S_{1-x}N_x$  phases along with an illustration of the respective structures solutions on the right. (a) x=0.33. (b) x=0.4, (c) x=0.45, (d) x=0.5.

Table S1. Structure solution of the  $Li_{1.66}Cl_{0.66}N_{0.33}$  structure.  $R_{wp} = 4.9$ ,  $\chi^2 = 2.0$ , Lattice parameter: a = 5.387(1) Å. The rather large  $B_{iso}$  value for Li originate from displacive relaxations off the centre of tetrahedral Li sites with a mix of N and Cl at their corners.<sup>2</sup>

Atom	х	У	Z	Wyckoff	Occupancy	B <sub>iso</sub>
Li	0.25	0.25	0.25	8c	0.833	4.41183(7)
N	0	0	0	4a	0.333	0.99577(8)
Cl	0	0	0	4a	0.666	0.99577(8)

Table S2. Structure solution of the  $Li_{1.8}Cl_{0.6}N_{0.4}$  structure.  $R_{wp} = 5.3$ ,  $\chi^2 = 2.4$ . Lattice parameter: a = 5.368(1) Å. The rather large  $B_{iso}$  value for Li originate from displacive relaxations off the centre of tetrahedral Li sites with a mix of N and Cl at their corners.<sup>2</sup>

Atom	х	у	Z	Wyckoff	Occupancy	B <sub>iso</sub>
Li	0.25	0.25	0.25	8c	0.9	7.72856(7)
N	0	0	0	4a	0.4	0.77151(9)
Cl	0	0	0	4a	0.6	0.77151(9)

Table S3. Structure solution of the Li<sub>1.9</sub>Cl<sub>0.55</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> structure.  $R_{wp} = 4.8$ ,  $\chi 2 = 2.7$  Lattice parameter: a = 5.354(1) Å. The rather large B<sub>iso</sub> value for Li originate from displacive relaxations off the center of tetrahedral Li sites with a mix of N and Cl at their corners.<sup>2</sup>

Atom	х	У	Z	Wyckoff	Occupancy	B <sub>iso</sub>
Li	0.25	0.25	0.25	8c	0.95	8.45905(7)
N	0	0	0	4a	0.45	0.99576(6)
Cl	0	0	0	4a	0.55	0.99576(6)

Table S4. Structure solution of the  $Li_2Cl_{0.5}N_{0.5}$  structure.  $R_{wp} = 6.5$ ,  $\chi^2 = 1.8$  Lattice parameter: a = 5.348(1) Å. The rather large  $B_{iso}$  value for Li originate from displacive relaxations off the center of tetrahedral Li sites with a mix of N and Cl at their corners.<sup>2</sup>

Atom	x	у	Z	Wyckoff	Occupancy	B <sub>iso</sub>
Li	0.25	0.25	0.25	8c	1.00	11.69183(6)
N	0	0	0	4a	0.50	1.37784(8)
Cl	0	0	0	4a	0.50	1.37784(8)



Figure S3. Room temperature electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different  $Li_{1+2x}Cl_{1-x}N_x$  phases. The equivalent circuit fitted is shown in the inset of the figure.



Figure S4. Room temperature electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different LiCl phase corresponding to a conductivity on the order of 10<sup>-10</sup> S cm<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S5. Schematic of the energy profile for a Li ion jump from site A to site B. This figure demonstrates that if Ea, A->B > Ea, B->A then site A is more stable than site B by  $\Delta$ E.



Figure S6. Arrhenius fits for the investigated antifluorite-like irreducible phases. (a) Arrhenius plots for  $Li_{1+2x}Cl_{1-x}N_x$  from 50 to 100 C for x=0.33, x0.4, and x=0.45. (b) Arrhenius plot for  $Li_{2+x}S_{1-x}N_x$  for x=0.33, x0.4, and x=0.45. Data for  $Li_{2+x}S_{1-x}N_x$  in (b) originally reported in ref.<sup>1</sup>.



Figure S7. LSV of Li/Li<sub>2+x</sub>S<sub>1-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub>/Li<sub>2+x</sub>S<sub>1-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub>-C cells and of a Li/Li<sub>3</sub>N/Li<sub>3</sub>N-C cell. We show that increasing the nitrogen content progressively decreases the oxidation limit. Scan rate 0.01 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The red lines in (a) and (b) show how the oxidation onset is obtained from the LSV measurements. We accord an uncertainty of ±0.1 V to the onsets determined in this way. The red lines are only shown for (a) and (b) but this approach was adopted to all LSV measurements. Data originally reported in ref.<sup>1</sup>



Oxidation window of  $Li_{1+2x}CI_{1-x}N_x$  phases

Figure S8. LSV of Li/Li<sub>1+2x</sub>Cl<sub>1-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub>/Li<sub>1+2x</sub>Cl<sub>1-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> –C cells and of a Li/Li<sub>3</sub>N/Li<sub>3</sub>N-C cell. We show that there is a discontinuous trend. Increasing the nitrogen content from x=0.33 to x=0.4 increases the oxidation limit. Further increasing the N content decreases the oxidation limit increasing the nitrogen content progressively decreases the oxidation limit. This may be explained by the increasing metastability of the Li-deficient antifluorite Li<sub>1+2x</sub>Cl<sub>1-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> phases at both the upper-N and lower-N boundary of the solid solution. Scan rate 0.01 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The oxidation onsets were determined following the approach shown in Figure S6 (a) and (b).



Figure S9. (a) highlighting which phases are shown in "b" and "c". (b) Room temperature electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different Li<sub>1.9+0.55x</sub>Cl<sub>0.55(1-x)</sub>S<sub>0.55x</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> phases. (c) X-ray diffraction pattern and Rietveld refinements of the different phases Li<sub>1.9+0.55x</sub>Cl<sub>0.55(1-x)</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub>. Dotted green line is guide to the eye to easily see the peak shift originating from different lattice parameters.



Figure S10. (a) highlighting which phases are shown in "b" and "c". (b) Room temperature electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different Li<sub>1.9+0.55x</sub>Br<sub>0.55(1-x)</sub>S<sub>0.55x</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> phases. (c) X-ray diffraction pattern and Rietveld refinements of the different phases Li<sub>1.9+0.55x</sub>Br<sub>0.55(1-x)</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> At x=0 an impure phase was obtained. The antifluorite structure can thus not be stabilized at this composition. Dotted green line is guide to the eye to easily see the peak shift originating from different lattice parameters.



Figure S11. (a) highlighting which phases are shown in "b" and "c". (b) Room temperature electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different Li<sub>2.31</sub>Br<sub>0.138x</sub>Cl<sub>0.138(1-x)</sub>S<sub>0.55x</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> phases. (c) X-ray diffraction pattern and Rietveld refinements of the different Li<sub>2.31</sub>Br<sub>0.138x</sub>Cl<sub>0.138(1-x)</sub>S<sub>0.55x</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> phases. Dotted green line is guide to the eye to easily see the peak shift originating from different lattice parameters.



Figure S12. (a) highlighting which phases are shown in "b" and "c". (b) Room temperature electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different Li<sub>2.175</sub>Br<sub>0.275x</sub>Cl<sub>0.275(1-x)</sub>S<sub>0.55x</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> phases. (c) X-ray diffraction pattern and Rietveld refinements of the different Li<sub>2.31+0.55x</sub>Br<sub>0.275x</sub>Cl<sub>0.275(1-x)</sub>S<sub>0.55x</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> phases. Dotted green line is guide to the eye to easily see the peak shift originating from different lattice parameters.



Figure S13. (a) highlighting which phases are shown in "b" and "c". (b) Room temperature electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different  $Li_{2.04}Br_{0.41x}Cl_{0.41(1-x)}S_{0.55x}N_{0.45}$  phases. (c) X-ray diffraction pattern and Rietveld refinements of the different  $Li_{2.04}Br_{0.41x}Cl_{0.41(1-x)}S_{0.55x}N_{0.45}$  phases. Dotted green line is guide to the eye to easily see the peak shift originating from different lattice parameters.



Figure S14. (a) highlighting which phases are shown in "b" and "c". (b) Room temperature electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different Li<sub>1.9</sub>Br<sub>0.55x</sub>Cl<sub>00.55(1-x)</sub>S<sub>0.55x</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> phases. (c) X-ray diffraction pattern and Rietveld refinements of the different Li<sub>2.04</sub>Br<sub>0.41x</sub>Cl<sub>0.41(1-x)</sub>S<sub>0.55x</sub>N<sub>0.45</sub> phases. At x=1 an impure phase was obtained. The antifluorite structure can thus not be stabilized at this composition. Dotted green line is guide to the eye to easily see the peak shift originating from different lattice parameters.

Supercell Li <sub>2+x</sub> N <sub>x</sub> Cl <sub>1-x</sub> Supercell	Attempt frequency (10 <sup>13</sup> Hz)	Standard deviation (10 <sup>13</sup> Hz)
1	1.0	0.21
2	1.0	0.22
3	1.0	0.22
4	1.0	0.23
5	1.0	0.24

Table S6. Lattice parameter (experimental obtained from refinements) of different antifluorite-like phases. The lattice parameters for  $Li_{2+x}S_{1-x}N_x$  phases are obtained from previous work (ref 1).

х	Lattice params of antifluorite-like structure (Å			
	$Li_{1+2x}N_xCl_{1-x}$	Li <sub>2+x</sub> N <sub>x</sub> S <sub>1-x</sub>		
0.33	5.387	5.521		
0.4	5.367	5.491		
0.45	5.354	5.471		

Table S7. Table with the average jump-Ea values for each jump type existing in  $Li_{1+2x}N_xCl_{1-x}$  phases obtained from AIMD simulations of  $Li_{1+2x}N_xCl_{1-x}$  supercells. The total uncertainty on the individual jump-Ea values comprises uncertainty from convergence and uncertainty on the mean value.

lumn tyne	Average jump-Ea	Uncertainty on	Uncertainty from	Total uncertainty
Jump type	(eV)	Mean (eV)	convergence (eV)	(eV)
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>5</sub> -Cl <sub>4</sub> (ClClCl)	0.282	0.004	0.004	0.008
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>5</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClN)	0.249	0.003	0.001	0.005
N₅Cl₁-N₃Cl₁(NNN)	0.289	0.006	0.001	0.008
$N_5Cl_1-N_2Cl_2(CINN)$	0.291	0.006	0.007	0.013
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>5</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClCl)	0.363	0.007	0.014	0.021
N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CINN)	0.288	0.004	0	0.004
N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClN)	0.315	0.012	0.014	0.026
N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CICIN)	0.336	0.007	0.005	0.012
N₀-N₃Cl₁(NNN)	0.243	0.003	0.004	0.007
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClN)	0.268	0.003	0.001	0.004
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CICIN)	0.281	0.004	0.001	0.004
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CICIN)	0.327	0.004	0.003	0.007
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClN)	0.287	0.004	0.006	0.009
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CINN)	0.264	0.003	0.001	0.004
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> (CINN)	0.246	0.002	0.001	0.003
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -Cl <sub>4</sub> (ClClCl)	0.362	0.008	0.012	0.02
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>5</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (ClClN)	0.289	0.004	0.002	0.006
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> -Cl <sub>4</sub> (ClClCl)	0.336	0.005	0.008	0.013
N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> (CINN)	0.289	0.007	0.005	0.013
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>4</sub> (NNN)	0.24	0.005	0.001	0.006
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClCl)	0.457	0	0.457	0.04

N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> (NNN)	0.267	0.006	0.003	0.009
N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>4</sub> (NNN)	0.247	0.011	0.004	0.015
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CINN)	0.233	0.004	0.002	0.006
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> (CINN)	0.244	0.004	0.003	0.007
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClCl)	0.358	0.007	0.01	0.017
N₅Cl₁-N₄(NNN)	0.232	0.009	0.007	0.016
N₅Cl₁-N₃Cl₁(CINN)	0.288	0.007	0.007	0.015
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CIN)	0.483	0.003	0.012	0.016
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> (CIN)	0.486	0.005	0.011	0.016
$N_2Cl_2-N_1Cl_3(CIN)$	0.411	0.003	0.004	0.006
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClCl)	0.461	0.013	0.021	0.034
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (NN)	0.32	0.004	0.001	0.005
N₃Cl₁-N₃Cl₁(NN)	0.32	0.002	0	0.002
N₃Cl₁-N₁Cl₃(CIN)	0.43	0.003	0.004	0.007
$N_3Cl_1-N_2Cl_2(CIN)$	0.488	0.003	0.007	0.01
$N_1Cl_3-N_2Cl_2(CIN)$	0.41	0.003	0.004	0.007
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClCl)	0.525	0.012	0.033	0.045
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>4</sub> (NN)	0.43	0.007	0.001	0.008
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -Cl <sub>4</sub> (ClCl)	0.5	0.006	0.03	0.036
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> (ClN)	0.433	0.003	0.003	0.006
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (ClCl)	0.531	0	0.531	0.04
$N_2Cl_2-N_3Cl_1(NN)$	0.375	0.004	0.001	0.005
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -Cl <sub>4</sub> (CICI)	0.546	0.006	0.016	0.022
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> (ClN)	0.457	0.009	0.014	0.022
Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClCl)	0.406	0.005	0.016	0.021
Cl <sub>4</sub> -Cl <sub>4</sub> (ClCl)	0.322	0.004	0.003	0.007
Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (ClCl)	0.412	0.008	0.019	0.027
$N_3Cl_1-N_2Cl_2(NN)$	0.366	0.005	0.002	0.006
N <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (NN)	0.419	0.005	0.005	0.01
N₄-N₃Cl₁(NN)	0.328	0.003	0.001	0.004
N <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>4</sub> (NN)	0.321	0.003	0.002	0.005
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (CIN)	0.294	0.002	0	0.003
N₃Cl₁-N₄(NN)	0.333	0.003	0.002	0.005
$N_2Cl_2-N_4Cl_2(CINN)$	0.384	0.004	0.001	0.005
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClN)	0.464	0.004	0.003	0.007
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (CINN)	0.359	0.003	0.004	0.007
$N_2Cl_2-N_1Cl_5(CICIN)$	0.379	0.006	0.009	0.014
N₃Cl₁-N₅(NNN)	0.329	0.005	0	0.005
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (CINN)	0.322	0.003	0.001	0.004
$N_1Cl_3-N_2Cl_4(CICIN)$	0.344	0.003	0	0.004
$N_1Cl_3-N_1Cl_5(CICICI)$	0.49	0.007	0.018	0.025
$N_1Cl_3 - N_4Cl_2(CICIN)$	0.433	0.009	0.005	0.014
$N_2Cl_2-N_2Cl_4(CICIN)$	0.398	0.005	0.007	0.012
$N_2Cl_2-N_5Cl_1(CINN)$	0.408	0.007	0.001	0.008
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> (CINN)	0.333	0.007	0.001	0.008
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClN)	0.362	0.003	0.002	0.006

Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> (ClClCl)	0.341	0.005	0.006	0.011
Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>5</sub> (ClClCl)	0.277	0.003	0.002	0.005
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> -N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CINN)	0.338	0.006	0	0.006
N <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (NNN)	0.329	0.006	0.001	0.007
N₄-N₅Cl₁(NNN)	0.288	0.006	0.003	0.009
$N_1Cl_3-N_1Cl_5(CICIN)$	0.307	0.004	0.001	0.005
$N_3Cl_1-N_4Cl_2(NNN)$	0.352	0.006	0.001	0.007
$N_3Cl_1-N_2Cl_4(CINN)$	0.331	0.004	0.001	0.004
N <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (NNN)	0.293	0.005	0.003	0.008
Cl <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClCl)	0.351	0.01	0.018	0.027
N₃Cl₁-N₅Cl₁(NNN)	0.352	0.008	0.005	0.014
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CICIN)	0.468	0.007	0.003	0.01
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> (ClClCl)	0.472	0.012	0.012	0.024
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (ClClCl)	0.588	0.001	0.588	0.04
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> -N <sub>5</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> (CINN)	0.348	0.009	0.005	0.014
Cl <sub>6</sub> -Cl <sub>4</sub> (ClClCl)	0.279	0.005	0.001	0.006
Cl <sub>6</sub> -N₁Cl₃(ClClCl)	0.403	0.007	0.004	0.011
N₀-N₄(NNN)	0.234	0.007	0.012	0.019
N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (CICI)	0.58	0.009	0.013	0.022
Cl <sub>4</sub> -Cl <sub>6</sub> (ClClCl)	0.236	0.004	0.001	0.005
N <sub>1</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> -Cl <sub>6</sub> (ClClCl)	0.479	0.008	0.014	0.022
N <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>6</sub> (NNN)	0.285	0.006	0.005	0.011
N₃Cl₃-N₃Cl₁(NNN)	0.248	0.005	0.005	0.01
N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>1</sub> -N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> (NNN)	0.344	0.009	0.007	0.016

#### Supplementary Note 1: Potential small occupation of octahedral sites

For the structure solutions shown in Tables S1-4 and Figure S2 all Li was assumed occupy tetrahedral sites as our energetic considerations show that tetrahedral sites are clearly more stable and based on the structure solution that was previously obtained for  $Li_5NCl_2$  i.e.  $Li_{1.66}Cl_{0.66}N_{0.33}$ .<sup>2</sup>

However, our energetic considerations would permit a small occupation of the octahedral sites at 300K. The energy difference between octahedral and tetrahedral sites may be estimated from tet-oct and oct-tet jump-Ea values. For the  $Li_{1+2x}Cl_{1-x}N_x$  phases the oct sites are destabilized by on average 0.07 ± 0.01 eV versus the tetrahedral sites. According to the partition function this would result in 3±1 % of Li ions occupying octahedral sites at 300 K:

$$q = 8 + 4 * \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta \in_{site}(oct, tet)}{kT}\right)$$
(S1)

Where q is the partition function,  $\Delta E_{site}$  (oct,tet) is the average energy difference between tet and oct sites, k is Boltzmann constant T is temperature.

$$p_{oct} = \frac{4 * \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta \epsilon_{site} (oct, tet)}{kT}\right)}{q} (S2)$$

where  $p_{oct}$  is the of Li occupying the octahedral sites.

We investigated how the quality of Rietveld fits would vary with Li occupying octahedral sites. As a representative of the Li<sub>1+2x</sub>Cl<sub>1-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> phase we use the Li<sub>1.66</sub>Cl<sub>0.66</sub>N<sub>0.33</sub> phase. In Figure S14 we report on Rietveld fits of the x-ray diffraction pattern of a Li<sub>1.66</sub>Cl<sub>0.66</sub>N<sub>0.33</sub> phase assuming 0%, 3%, 5%, 10%, 20%, 40% and 60% of Li occupying the octahedral sites. Between 0% and 5% the quality of fit (as determined by the R<sub>wp</sub> and  $\chi^2$  fit parameters) is hardly altered. From 10% of Li occupying octahedral sites onwards the fit quality gradually worsens. We performed the same investigation on a Neutron diffraction pattern of Li<sub>1.66</sub>Cl<sub>0.66</sub>N<sub>0.33</sub> which was additionally annealed to 600 °C for 3h and quenched in ambient temperature to improve crystallinity Figure (S15). The conclusions drawn from Figure S15 are equivalent: Between 0% and 5% the quality of fit (as determined by the R<sub>wp</sub> and  $\chi^2$  fit parameters) is hardly gradually worsens. This investigation experimentally supports that the tetrahedra are indeed more stable than the octahedral sites (as our energetic considerations from DFT clearly demonstrate) however ~3% of Li ions occupying the octahedral sites may not be confirmed or excluded from neutron and x-ray Rietveld refinements and is thus conceivable. We note that a potentially (~3%) of Li ions occupying octahedral sites would not alter any of the conclusions of this study.



Figure S15. Rietveld refinements of a Li<sub>1.66</sub>Cl<sub>0.66</sub>N<sub>0.33</sub> x-ray diffraction patterns with different fractions of Li-ions occupying the tet and oct sites. (a)  $R_{wp}$  and  $\chi^2$  fit parameters as a function of the fraction of Li ions occupying the oct and tet sites respectively. (b) Rietveld fits with increasing fraction of Li ions occupying the oct sites along with the respective unit cells viewed along the [001] axis.



Figure S16. Rietveld refinements of a  $Li_{1.66}Cl_{0.66}N_{0.33}$  neutron diffraction patterns with different fractions of Li-ions occupying the tet and oct sites. (a)  $R_{wp}$  and  $\chi^2$  fit parameters as a function of the fraction of Li ions occupying the oct and tet sites respectively. (b) Rietveld fits with increasing fraction of Li ions occupying the oct sites along with the respective unit cells viewed along the [001] axis.

# Supplementary Note 2: tetrahedral sites in LiCl – sites or 'merely' positions ?

Catlow et al. proposed conditions that must be fulfilled so that ion diffusion in solids may be interpreted as ion-hops between well-defined sites.<sup>3</sup> For crystallographic positions to qualify as sites Catlow et al. propose that the following conditions laid out in Table S8 need to be satisfied. Whether these conditions are fulfilled for tetrahedral and octahedral positions in LiCl was investigated via the AIMD simulations of LiCl. The results are reported in Table S9. As clearly identified in Table S9 the octahedral positions in LiCl from AIMD simulations fulfil all conditions to qualify as sites while the tetrahedral positions do not. The tetrahedral site should thus arguably more generally be referred to as 'positions' than 'sites'. In this study however, we refer to both the octahedral and tetrahedral positions as sites while highlighting the high metastability of the tetrahedral position in LiCl in the main text.

Table S8 shows the requirements for crystallographic positions to qualify as sites defined by Catlow et al. <sup>3</sup> Tr stands for time spent in site, Th stands for time of hopping between sites, v\* stands for the attempt frequency d stands for the distance between two sites and a for the vibrational amplitude in the site. ΔE<sub>hop</sub> stands for the hop activation energy. All these properties may be extracted from AIMD

simulations.

Property
T <sub>r</sub> >>T <sub>h</sub>
Tr>>(v*) <sup>-1</sup>
d>>a
ΔE <sub>hop</sub> >>kT

Table S9 shows whether the requirements defined in Table S8 are fulfilled for oct and tet sites in LiClobtained from AIMD simulation of LiCl.

Property	Oct-site	Tet site	Conditions for site fulfilled [oct,tet]
T <sub>r/</sub> T <sub>h</sub>	892.8	0.12	[Yes, No]
Tr/(v*) <sup>-1</sup>	1863	0.26	[Yes, No]
d/a	5.79	5.79	[Yes, Yes]
$\Delta E_{hop}/kT$	25	1.2	[Yes, No]

## Supplementary Note 3: Calculating the max ion radius that fits in a tetrahedral site



Figure S17 . Schematic of how the maximum ion radius fitting in a specific tetrahedral site may be obtained. An ion fitting in the tetrahedron spanned by the blue spheres also fits in a tetrahedron spanned by the pink spheres so that the cube consisting of blue/grey and pink spheres may be used to calculate the max ion radius fitting in a tetrahedral site. Note this method only works for tetrahedra where the ions at the corners are all the same. The tetrahedral site is indicated by a green sphere. The red line is the cube body diagonal.

An ion fitting in a tetrahedral site spanned by the blue spheres in Figure S16 also fits in the tetrahedron spanned by the pink spheres (i.e. rotated by 90 degrees) and thus the cube spanned by the pink and blue spheres may be used to calculate the max ion radius that fits in a site. This method only for tetrahedra where the ions at the corner of the tetrahedron are all the same. The maximum ion radius ( $r_{void}$ ) fitting in a tetrahedron may be calculated as such

$$d = 2 * (r_{void} + r_{peripheral})$$
 (S3)

$$r_{void} = \frac{d}{2} - r_{peripheral}$$
 (S4)

Where  $r_{peripheral}$  is the radius of the ions at the corner of the tetrahedron (Cl<sup>-</sup> or N<sup>3-</sup>) and d the body diagonal of the cube spanned by the positions of the peripheral ions and the periphareal ion-positions rotated by 90 around an arbitrary rotation axis going through the tetrahedron centre (Figure S16) (i.e. blue and pink spheres)

For LiCl this results in  $r_{void}$  = 0.38 Å by estimating d from the unit cell of LiCl. Table S shows different  $r_{void}$  radii calculated for different phases.

Phase	Lattice param (Å)	Tertrahedron type	r <sub>void</sub> (Å)
LiCl	5.15	Cl <sub>4</sub>	0.38
Li <sub>1+2x</sub> Cl <sub>1-x</sub> N <sub>x</sub> (0.33 <x<0.5)< td=""><td>5.36 (on average)</td><td>Cl<sub>4</sub></td><td>0.48</td></x<0.5)<>	5.36 (on average)	Cl <sub>4</sub>	0.48
Li <sub>1+2x</sub> Cl <sub>1-x</sub> N <sub>x</sub> (0.33 <x<0.5)< td=""><td>5.36 (on average)</td><td>N<sub>4</sub></td><td>0.84</td></x<0.5)<>	5.36 (on average)	N <sub>4</sub>	0.84
Li <sub>1+2x</sub> Cl <sub>1-x</sub> N <sub>x</sub> (0.33 <x<0.5)< td=""><td>5.36 (on average)</td><td>Cl<sub>3</sub>N<sub>1</sub>, Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, Cl<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub></td><td>0.48 <r<sub>void&lt; 0.84</r<sub></td></x<0.5)<>	5.36 (on average)	Cl <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> , Cl <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> , Cl <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	0.48 <r<sub>void&lt; 0.84</r<sub>

Table S10. Max radii fitting in tetrahedra of LiCl and Li<sub>1+2x</sub>Cl<sub>1-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> phases

## Supplementary Note 4: More details on the metastability calculations

Phase diagrams for the metastability calculations were built by leveraging the materials project database. The phases in table S11 are thermodynamically stable in the materials project database <sup>4</sup> on the LiCl-Li<sub>3</sub>N and Li<sub>3</sub>N Li<sub>2</sub>S tielines. In the materials project database the Li<sub>9</sub>S<sub>3</sub>N (mp557964) phase is slightly metastable (0.008 eV/atom above the hull). We lowered the energy of this phase to the hull since previous experimental work showed that this phase is thermodynamically stable and should be considered in phase diagrams. <sup>1,5</sup>

Thermodynamically	Material's project ID
stable phases	of computed entry
(space group)	
LiCl (Fm-3m)	mp1185319
Li₂S (Fm-3m)	mp1153
Li₃N (P6/mmm)	mp2251
Li₄NCl (R -3 m h)	mp29149
Li <sub>9</sub> S₃N (Pm-3m)	mp557964

#### Table S11. Entries taken from the materials project database

The reactions for the LiCl-Li<sub>3</sub>N tieline where, in the equations below  $Li_{1+2x}Cl_{1-x}N_x$  refers to antifluorite-like phases and  $Li_4NCl$  refers to the R-3mh phase:

$$Li_{1+2x}Cl_{1-x}N_x \rightarrow (1-2x)LiCl + x Li_4NCl \quad (for \ 0 < x < 0.5)$$
(S5)  
$$Li_{1+2x}Cl_{1-x}N_x \rightarrow (1-x) Li_4NCl + (2x-1)Li_3N \quad (for \ x > 0.5)$$
(S6)

The reactions for the  $Li_2S-Li_3N$  tieline where in the equations below  $Li_{2+x}S_{1-x}N_x$  refers to antifluorite-like phases and  $Li_9S_3N$  refers to the Pm-3m phase:

$$Li_{2+x}S_{1-x}N_x \rightarrow (4x-1)Li_2S + xLi_9S_3N \quad (for \ 0 < x < 0.25) \quad (S7)$$
$$Li_{2+x}S_{1-x}N_x \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}(1-x)Li_9S_3N + \frac{1}{3}(4x-1)Li_3N \quad (for > 0.25) \quad S(8)$$

## References

- 1. Landgraf, V. *et al.* Entropy-induced high conductivity in fully-reduced electrolytes for solid-state batteries with lithium metal anodes. *ChemRxiv* (2023).
- 2. Marx, R. & Mayer, H. M. Preparation and Crystal Structure of Ordered and Disordered Lithium Nitride Dichloride, Li5NCl2. *J. Solid State Chem.* **130**, 90–96 (1997).
- 3. Catlow, C. R. A. Static lattice simulation of structure and transport in superionic conductors. *Solid State lonics* **8**, 89–107 (1983).
- 4. Jain, A. *et al.* Commentary: The materials project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Mater.* **1**, 001002 (2013).
- 5. Marx, R., Lissner, F. & Schleid, T. Li<sub>9</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>: Das erste Nitridsulfid der Alkalimetalle in einer Li2O-Typ-Variante. *Zeitschrift für Anorg. und Allg. Chemie* **632**, 2151 (2006).