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## General Consideration

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE NEO NMR SPECT. 400 NanoBay system in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as a residual undeuterated solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 7.26 / 77.0 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ for DABP, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ for PNIPAM-co-DABP $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: 3.35-4.78 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$, and DMSO for $\mathrm{P}\left(\right.$ NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc) $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{SOCD}_{3}: 2.49 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ using $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as an internal standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in ppm, and J values are given in Hz. The FT-IR spectra were recorded on neat (Solids) samples using Alpha 2, Bruker spectrometer. The mass spectra were recorded using LC/MS-MS (Agilent Technologies, USA). Column purification and Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) were performed on silica gel (CDH silica gel 60-120 mesh, F254, Merck ${ }^{\circledR}$ silica gel respectively). Evaporation of all solvents was performed at reduced pressure, using IKA rotary evaporator. All the chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Merck ${ }^{\circledR}$, and TCI chemicals and used as received. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was utilized to observe the morphological changes of the hydrogel, which was used for the in vitro release studies. The hydrogel samples which were utilized for the $5-\mathrm{FU}$ release in pH 1.2 and 6.8 at 37 C were lyophilized to maintain the morphological behavior before the SEM analysis. After the lyophilization, the samples were mounted on carbon tape and sputtered with gold using Quorum sputter (Q 150R S plus, Quorum, UK). The SEM
micrograph images were acquired using electron microscopy (Carl Zeiss/Gemini SEM 360) at the required magnification and voltage.

Typical synthetic scheme for triblock copolymer P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc)
A) Step-wise approach

## Step 1: Typical synthetic scheme for 2,4'-diacryloyloxy benzophenone (DABP)



## Scanned NMR, Mass, and FT-IR spectra



Supplementary Figure S1: $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ NMR of 2,4'-diacryloyloxy benzophenone


Supplementary Figure S2: $\mathrm{C}^{13}$ NMR of 2,4'-diacryloyloxy benzophenone


Supplementary Figure S3: Scanned FT-IR spectrum of 2,4'-diacryloyloxy benzophenone


Supplementary Figure S4: Scanned mass spectrum 2,4'-diacryloyloxy benzophenone


Supplementary Figure S5: Blank mass spectrum

## Step 2: Typical synthetic scheme for P(NIPAM-co-DABP)



Scanned NMR and FT-IR spectra



Supplementary Figure S6: Expanded $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ NMR of P(NIPAM-co-DABP)


Supplementary Figure S7: Scanned FT-IR spectrum of P(NIPAM-co-DABP)
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Supplementary Figure S8: Expanded $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ NMR of P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc)

## B) One-pot multicomponent synthesis of P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc)
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Supplementary Figure S9: Expanded $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ NMR of P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc)

## The detailed strategy to confirm regio-selective copolymerization of the PNIPAM to the para-acryloyl unit of DABP is given in supplementary information.

To confirm the regio-selective copolymerization of the PNIPAM to the para-acryloyl unit of DABP, we synthesized 4-acroryloxybenzophenone using the procedure reported in our previous published work ${ }^{1}$. In 4-acroryloxybenzophenone, the acryloyl protons are present at the para position, and we confirmed the same by taking the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 4acroryloxybenzophenone (As shown in Supplementary Figure S10).


Supplementary Figure S10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 4-acroryloxybenzophenone
In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 4-acroryloxybenzophenone, we got the para proton shift in the range of 5.98-6.60 ppm. In detail, 1H NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.88-7.66$ (m, 4H), 7.59 $7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27$ $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.3,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. In the next step, we analyzed the ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectra of DABP and compared them with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 4acroryloxybenzophenone. From the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of DABP (Supplementary Figure S1), we confirmed the presence of para and ortho proton and matched with the para shift of 4-
acroryloxybenzophenone. As shown in Supplementary Figure S1, the para-proton shifts were observed in the range of $6.0-6.58 \mathrm{ppm}$, slightly shifted as compared to the 4 acroryloxybenzophenone, and the ortho-proton shift was observed in the range of 5.8-6.25 ppm. Finally, the P(NIPAM-co-DABP) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded and compared with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 4-acroryloxybenzophenone and DABP and analyzed the regioselective copolymerization of the PNIPAM to the para-acryloyl unit of DABP. As shown in Supplementary Figure S6, indicating the absence of NMR signals in the region of 6.0-6.58 ppm, which was assigned as a para proton shift. On the other hand, the shift for the ortho protons is visible in the range of $5.60-6.20 \mathrm{ppm}$, which is aligned with the ortho proton shift in DABP, confirming the copolymerization of PNIPAM at the para position of the acryloyl unit of DABP.
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## Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure S11: First derivative TGA graph of (A) AAc, (B) NIPAM, (C) DABP, and (D) P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc).


Supplementary Figure S12: Full recorded MALDI-ToF spectrum of P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc)


Supplementary Figure S13: P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc) hydrogel diameter as a function of temperature at indicated pH values.


Supplementary Figure S14: pH-responsive FT-IR (a) and UV-visible spectroscopy (b).


Supplementary Figure S15: Biochemical parameters of all experimental rats at indicated days (ns = nonsignificant)


Supplementary Figure S16: SEM images of hydrogel samples which were used for the 5FU release in (a) pH 1.2 solution and (b) pH 6.8 solution

## Supplementary Tables

Table 1: General and body weight observations of all experimental rats as a preliminary evaluation for any sign of toxicity of P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc)

| Parameters to be observed | Control Group (n=3) <br> Mean $\pm$ SD | Test Group (n = 3) <br> Mean $\pm \mathbf{S D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body weight (gm) | $187.5 \pm 12.21$ |  |
| Before treatment | $185.0 \pm 14.95$ | $173.5 \pm 3.39$ |
| 04 hr |  |  |
| Day 01 | $189.83 \pm 14.74$ | $170.0 \pm 2.75$ |
| Day 07 | $191.33 \pm 15.30$ | $179.33 \pm 6.59$ |
| Day 14 | $191.83 \pm 14.21$ | $180.33 \pm 5.75$ |
| General Appearance <br> (Dehydration, diarrhea, Body <br> temperature, Alopecia, <br> Lacrimation, Eye opacity, etc.) <br> Before treatment <br> 04 hr <br> Day 01 |  |  |

Day 07
Day 14

Table 2: Total haematological parameters of SD rats before and after oral administration of synthesized P(NIPAM-co-DABP-co-AAc)

| Hematological parameters | $\text { Control Group }(\mathrm{n}=3)$$\text { Mean } \pm \text { SD }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Group }(\mathrm{n}=3) \\ \text { Mean } \pm \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before dosing | 01 Day | 07 Day | 14 Day | Before dosing | 01 Day | 07 Day | 14 Day |
| $\operatorname{WBC}\left(10^{3} / \mu \mathrm{L}\right)$ | $15.86 \pm 5.24$ | $13.26 \pm 3.01$ | $12.26 \pm 3.58$ | $12.06 \pm 2.31$ | $13.66 \pm 3.36$ | $10.1 \pm 1.64$ | $10.21 \pm 2.55$ | $11.23 \pm 2.93$ |
| $\operatorname{RBC}\left(10^{3} / \mu \mathrm{L}\right)$ | $8.63 \pm 0.49$ | $8.78 \pm 0.23$ | $8.11 \pm 0.33$ | $8.81 \pm 4.87$ | $9.77 \pm 0.96$ | $6.08 \pm 0.56$ | $8.37 \pm 2.06$ | $10.36 \pm 1.82$ |
| HGB (g/dL) | $15.83 \pm 1.80$ | $13.98 \pm 1.20$ | $14.83 \pm 1.50$ | $18.33 \pm 7.49$ | $17.96 \pm 2.02$ | $11.28 \pm 0.77$ | $16.05 \pm 4.18$ | $16.89 \pm 1.38$ |
| HCT (\%) | $44.06 \pm 4.75$ | $47.56 \pm 3.27$ | $46.56 \pm 4.81$ | $51.13 \pm 27.28$ | $50.73 \pm 5.37$ | $32.5 \pm 2.49$ | $50.3 \pm 11.75$ | $51.24 \pm 8.78$ |
| MCV (fL) | $50.93 \pm 2.60$ | $54.55 \pm 4.61$ | $57.26 \pm 3.61$ | $58.3 \pm 3.02$ | $51.9 \pm 1.32$ | $53.46 \pm 1.39$ | $55.21 \pm 1.63$ | $58.93 \pm 2.13$ |
| MCH (pg) | $18.3 \pm 1.03$ | $17.85 \pm 1.32$ | $18.26 \pm 1.12$ | $22.43 \pm 4.60$ | $18.36 \pm 0.50$ | $18.56 \pm 0.60$ | $19.11 \pm 0.44$ | $21.55 \pm 2.37$ |
| MCHC (g/dL) | $35.93 \pm 1.07$ | $31.54 \pm 0.06$ | $31.86 \pm 0.05$ | $38.43 \pm 7.40$ | $35.36 \pm 0.25$ | $34.70 \pm 0.37$ | $32.76 \pm 0.77$ | $36.51 \pm 3.19$ |
| $\operatorname{PLT}\left(10^{3} / \mu \mathrm{L}\right)$ | $680.0 \pm 95.68$ | $754.66 \pm 60.30$ | $798.66 \pm 52.30$ | $815.12 \pm 56.21$ | $717.66 \pm 74.31$ | $848.8 \pm 76.21$ | $859.21 \pm 68.21$ | $865 \pm 58.12$ |
| RDWCV (\%) | $13.33 \pm 0.92$ | $14.3 \pm 0.84$ | $14.6 \pm 0.87$ | $14.7 \pm 0.84$ | $12.76 \pm 0.73$ | $13.08 \pm 0.51$ | $13.54 \pm 0.72$ | $13.65 \pm 0.54$ |
| RDWSD (fL) | $27.13 \pm 2.00$ | $29.3 \pm 3.87$ | $33.5 \pm 3.91$ | $35.6 \pm 4.21$ | $26.5 \pm 2.21$ | $28.0 \pm 1.64$ | $30.23 \pm 1.96$ | $29.54 \pm 1.65$ |
| PCT (\%) | $0.38 \pm 0.06$ | $0.49 \pm 0.05$ | $0.53 \pm 0.06$ | $0.49 \pm 0.06$ | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | $0.41 \pm 0.16$ | $0.45 \pm 0.13$ | $0.51 \pm 0.07$ |
| MPV (fL) | $5.7 \pm 0.26$ | $5.98 \pm 0.40$ | $6.16 \pm 0.35$ | $6.12 \pm 0.32$ | $5.53 \pm 0.15$ | $5.54 \pm 0.27$ | $6.11 \pm 0.17$ | $5.95 \pm 0.40$ |
| PDW (\%) | $15.86 \pm 0.20$ | $14.98 \pm 0.45$ | $15.63 \pm 0.55$ | $18.2 \pm 0.17$ | $15.7 \pm 0.40$ | $14.98 \pm 0.33$ | $15.02 \pm 0.49$ | $16.12 \pm 0.35$ |

