## Vacancy engineering enhanced photothermal-catalytic properties of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8-x</sub> nanozymes for mild NIR-II hyperthermia-amplified nanocatalytic cancer therapy

Yongyu Hao<sup>a,1</sup>, Nan Wang<sup>b,c,1</sup>, Jiaxu Wang<sup>a</sup>, Shuilin Shao<sup>a</sup>, Bo Gao<sup>a</sup>, Youping Tao<sup>a</sup>, Litao Huo<sup>a</sup>, Lang

Yan<sup>d</sup>, Jigong Wu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhiming Chen<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of spine surgery, the ninth Medical Center of PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100101,

China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, the First Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital,

Beijing 100853, China

<sup>c</sup> Medicine School of Chinese PLA, Beijing 100853, China

<sup>d</sup> Department of Health Toxicology, Faculty of Naval Medicine, Naval Medical University, Shanghai,

200433, China

E-mail addresses: docwjg@126.com (J. Wu); czm306@163.com (Z. Chen)

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors.



Fig. S1 Photographs of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  dispersed in DI water, FBS, or DMEM for different times.



Fig. S2 Survey XPS spectrum of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>.



Fig. S3 High-resolution S 2p spectrum of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  and  $Co_9S_8$ .



Fig. S4 Photographs of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8-x</sub> storing in normal saline for different times.



Fig. S5 (a, b) Bandgap of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  and  $Co_9S_8$ . (c) Scheme of the decreased bandgap structures of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$ 

induced by V<sub>S</sub>-doping.



Fig. S6 NIR-II photothermal properties measurements of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8-x</sub>.



Fig. S7 NIR-I photothermal properties measurements including concentration-dependent behaviors, stability, conversion efficiency, and infrared thermal images of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$ .



Fig. S8 Infrared thermal images of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  and  $Co_9S_8$  under 808 nm laser irradiation.



Fig. S9 Deep tissue photothermal property evaluation of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  in the presence of additional chicken breast tissues at varied thickness.



Fig. S10 Evaluation of POD-mimic catalytic activity of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8-x</sub> and Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub> in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at

pH 7.4.



Fig. S11 Evaluation of POD-mimic catalytic activity of  $Co_9S_{8-x} + 1064$  in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  at pH

7.4



Fig. S12 Evaluation of GSH-px-mimic catalytic activity of Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8-x</sub>.



Fig. S13 Relative cell viabilities of LO2 cells incubated with Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8-x</sub> with varied concentrations for

24 or 48 h.



Fig. S14 (a, b) Semi-quantitative analysis of live/dead cell staining and ROS staining determined by the Image J software. Data are presented as mean values  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).



Fig. S15 Time-dependent fluorescence intensity of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  in the major organs and tumor tissues.



Fig. S16 Plasma concentration-time profiles (a) and pharmacokinetic parameters (b) of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  after intravenous administration (n = 5).



Fig. S17 H&E-stained images obtained from the major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney)

of mice in different treatment groups.



**Fig. S18** (a-b) Biochemical blood analysis (a) and hematological index (b) of the mice that were sacrificed at 18 days after different treatments. The terms of biochemical blood analysis include ALB,

ALT, AST, TP, UREA, TBIL, CREA, and GLOB. The terms of hematological index include PLT, MCV, MCHC, MCH, HCT, Hb, WBC, and RBC.

Table S1. Comparison of the photothermal conversion efficiency of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  with other previously reported PTT agents.

PTT agents	Photothermal conversion efficiency	Laser	References
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8-x</sub>	53.4%	1064 nm	This work
Bi <sub>2-x</sub> Mn <sub>x</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	52.31%	808 nm	1
PDA@POM	40.9%	808 nm	2
PB NPs	47.01%	808 nm	3
MG 1655-M	42.3%	808 nm	4
$Bi_{19}S_{27}I_3$	41.5%	1064 nm	5
BP	28.4%	1064 nm	6
SiO <sub>x</sub> /CeO <sub>2</sub> /VO <sub>x</sub>	20.01%	1064 nm	7
Au NPs	24.1%	1064 nm	8
BCPH NSs	47.8%	1064 nm	9
Ce-MoO <sub>v3</sub>	49.86%	1064 nm	10

Nanozymes	V <sub>max</sub>	K <sub>m</sub>	рН	Reference
				S
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8-x</sub>	0.9×10 <sup>-7</sup> M s <sup>-1</sup>	6.4 mM	5.0	This work
COF@Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	2.3×10 <sup>-8</sup> M s <sup>-1</sup>	2.1 mM	6.5	11
Ru/TiO <sub>2-x</sub> @TiCN	1.4×10 <sup>-8</sup> M s <sup>-1</sup>	0.23 mM	6.5	12
LDH-250	4×10 <sup>-8</sup> M s <sup>-1</sup>	0.24 mM	6.5	13
FePd-TPP/ADM	1.69×10 <sup>-9</sup> M s <sup>-1</sup>	3.65 mM	4.0	14
A-Gel-GOx-Fc	2.32×10 <sup>-9</sup> M s <sup>-1</sup>	7.6 mM	6.0	15
PEG/Cu-COF	2.52×10 <sup>-8</sup> M s <sup>-1</sup>	0.96 mM	4.5	16

**Table S2.** Comparison of the POD-like catalytic activity of  $Co_9S_{8-x}$  with other previously reported nanozymes.

## References

- X. Ma, B. Chen, H. Wu, Q. Jin, W. Wang, Z. Zha, H. Qian, Y. Ma, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2022, 10(18), 3452-3461.
- Q. Meng, W. Wang, H. Wang, Y. Tao, N. Anastassova, T. Sun, Y. Sun, L. Wang, J. Colloid. Interf. Sci., 2022, 678, 796-803.
- H. Pang, C. Tian, G. He, D. Zhang, J. Yang, Q. Zhang, R. Liu, Nanoscale, 2021, 13(18), 8490-8497.
- W. Song, Y. He, Y. Feng, Y. Wang, X. Li, Y. Wu, S. Zhang, L. Zhong, F. Yan, and L. Sun, *Small*, 2024, 20(26), 2305764.
- 5. J. Xiong, Q. Bian, S. Lei, Y. Deng, K. Zhao, S. Sun, Q. Fu, Y. Xiao, B. Cheng, Nanoscale, 2021,

**13**(10), 5369-5382.

- B. Geng, W. Shen, P. Li, F. Fang, H. Qin, X. Li, D. Pan, L. Shen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11(48), 44949-44960.
- R. Zhao, R. Zhang, L. Feng, Y. Dong, J. Zhou, S. Qu, S. Gai, D. Yang, H. Ding, P. Yang, Nanoscale, 2022, 14(2), 361–372.
- L. Sun, Y. Chen, F. Gong, Q. Dang, G. Xiang, L. Cheng, F. Liao, M. Shao, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2019, 7(28), 4393-4401.
- C. Chen, W. Zhang, Y. Ouyang, Y. Tan, S. Zhao, Y. Chen, L. He, S. Lu, H. Ran, H. Liu, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2023, 6(9), 7572-7581.
- S. Wen, Y. Shi, Y. Zhang, Q. Chang, H. Hu, X. Deng, Y. Xie, *Langmuir*, 2023, **39**(29), 10145-10153.
- C. Feng, J. Hu, C. Xiao, J. Yang, B. Xin, Z. Jia, S. Zhang, G. Tian, D. Zhang, L. Geng, L. Yan, L. Wang, B. Geng, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, 460, 141874.
- Y. Zhao, B. Yuan, L. Yan, Z. Wang, Z. Xu, B. Geng, X. Guo, X. Chen, *Adv. Sci.*, 2024, 11(4), 2307029.
- 13. R. Xu, D. Zhang, S. Chen, J. Qiu, X. Liu, Appl. Clay. Sci., 2023, 239, 106949.
- 14. W. Xie, G. Zhang, Z. Guo, J. Lu, J. Ye, W. Xu, X. Gao, K. Yue, Y. Wei, L. Zhao, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2022, 32(12), 2107518.
- 15. Y. Zhao, J. Du, Z. Xu, L. Wang, L. Ma, L. Sun, Adv. Sci., 2024, 11(10), 2308229.
- 16. X. Zhu, T. Feng, Y. Chen, Y. Xiao, W. We, X. Zhang, D. Wang, S. Wang, J. Liang, H. Xiong, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2024, **488**, 150770.