Supporting Information

Porphyrin metal-organic framework enhances photodynamic

therapy through lymphatic circulation

Qiuhong Ouyang,[‡]a Xin Li,[‡]a Yuechen He,^a Yuxin Bai,^a Xunhuan Song,^a Xinglv Chen,^a Yuzhou Xiao,^a Lili Mao,^b Min Chen,^a Xiaodan Pan,^a Weihong Kuang,^a Feng Qin,^{*a} Meng Qin^{*a} and Xiaoai Wu^{*a}

^a Department of Nuclear Medicine, Mental Health Center and Center for Preclinical Safety Evaluation of Drugs, State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy/Collaborative Innovation Center for Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China.

^b Key laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Department of Melanoma and Sarcoma, Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing 100142, China.

[†]These authors contributed to the work equally.

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail: qinfeng@scu.edu.cn, qinmeng212@scu.edu.cn, xiaoai.wu@scu.edu.cn



Figure S1. TEM image (a) and enlarged-TEM image (b) of MOF. Scale bars are 1 μ m (left) and 200 nm (right).



Figure S2. XRD pattern of MOFs.



Figure S3. TG analysis of MOFs and HA-MOFs.



Figure S4. UV-vis spectra of TCPP, MOFs and HA-MOFs in the 500-700 nm range.



Figure S5. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of MOFs.



Figure S6. Concentration-dependent UV-Vis absorption spectrum of TCPP (a). Standard curve based on absorbance at 416 nm and TCPP concentration (b).



Figure S7. TEM images of HA-MOFs on days 3 and 5 in different media. Scale bar is 100 nm.



20 μg mL⁻¹ **Figure S8.** Photograph of HA-MOFs dissolved in different solutions.



Figure S9. The hydrodynamic size and PDI value of HA-MOFs during storage in PBS buffer for different days.



Figure S10. Fluorescence images of B16F10 cells incubated with of HA^{5-AF}-MOFs at different time points. Scale bar is $100 \ \mu m$.



Figure S11. Fluorescence localization images of HA^{5-AF}-MOF in B16F10 cells at different time points. Scale bar is 50 μ m.



Figure S12. Fluorescence images of H1975 cells incubated with of HA^{5-AF}-MOFs at different time points. Scale bar is 100 μ m.



Figure S13. Fluorescence localization images of HA^{5-AF}-MOF in H1975 cells at different time points. Scale bar is $50 \ \mu m$.



Figure S14. Fluorescence intensity quantification of HA^{5-AF} -MOFs uptake by (a) B16F10 and (b) H1975 cells.



Figure S15. Cellular images of light-induced ROS generation in B16F10 cells incubated with HA-MOFs upon 650 nm laser irradiation. Scale bar is $100 \ \mu m$.



Figure S16. Cellular images of light-induced ROS generation in H1975 cells incubated with HA-MOFs upon 650 nm laser irradiation. Scale bar is $100 \mu m$.



Figure S17. Flow cytometry analysis of light-induced ROS generation in H1975 cells incubated with HA-MOFs upon 650 nm laser irradiation.



Figure S18. Calcein-AM/PI (live/dead) co-stained B16F10 cells incubated with various formulation. Scale bar is $100 \ \mu m$.



Figure S19. Calcein-AM/PI (live/dead) co-stained H1975 cells incubated with various formulation. Scale bar is $100 \ \mu m$.



Figure S20. ¹H-NMR spectra of (1) HA and (2) amino-modified HA. (a: represent Methyl on acetyl group. b and c: represent methylene on ethylenediamine groups)



Figure S21. Pharmacokinetic profile of HA-MOFs in blood after subcutaneous injection.



Figure S22. (a) Schematic illustration of PDT therapy in B16F10 tumor-bearing mice. (b) Body weight curves of mice during therapeutic period. (c) H&E, TUNEL and Ki67 staining of tumor slices from different experimental groups. Scale bars are 100 μ m.



Figure S23. H&E staining images of major organs in B16F10 tumor-bearing mice after different treatments. Scale bars are $200 \ \mu m$.



Figure S24. Survival curves of B16F10 tumor-bearing mice after different treatments.



Figure S25. H&E staining images of major organs in H1975 tumor-bearing mice after different treatments. Scale bars are $200 \ \mu m$.