Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Linker Installation Transformations in a 2-D Rare Earth MOF: Increase of the Dimensionality and Turn on of the Temperature Sensing Capability

Laoura Komodikia, Nikos Panagiotoua, Hélène Serier-Braultb*, Anastasios J. Tasiopoulosa*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Cyprus, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus. E-mail: atasio@ucy.ac.cy

^bNantes Université CNRS Institut des Matériaux de Nantes Jean Rouxel, IMN Nantes F-44000, France. E-mail: helene.brault@cnrs-imn.fr

Table of Contents

Abbreviations	3
Experimental Part	3
Physical Measurements/Characterization of UCY-17(RE) (RE: Y, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho	, Er)7
Physical Measurements/Characterization of UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95}) and UCY-17(F Gd, Tb, Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95} , L=BDC ²⁻ , ABDC ²⁻ , FBDC ²⁻ , NDC ²⁻	RE)/L; RE= 15
References	

Abbreviations

The abbreviations of the organic molecules discussed in ESI are the following: $H_2BCPM = 4,4'-(hydroxymethylene)dibenzoic acid; H_2BDC = 1,4 benzenedicarboxylic acid); H_2ABDC = 2-aminobenzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid; H_2FBDC = 2-fluorobenzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid; H_2NDC = 1,4-naphthalenedicarboxylic acid; HFBA = 2-fluorobenzoic acid; TFA = trifluoroacetic acid; DMF =$ *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide; DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide

Experimental Part

Materials

Reagent grade chemicals were obtained from commercial sources (Aldrich, Merck, Alfa Aesar, TCI, etc.) and used without further purification. All synthetic procedures were carried out in air. Other chemical reagents and solvents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

Table S1: The Eu^{3+}/Tb^{3+} composition of the pristine MOF UCY-17($Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}$) and the exchanged analogues UCY-17($Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}$)/L (L = BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻ and NDC²⁻) determined by ICP-AES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Atomic Emission Spectrometry).

Theoretical composition	ICP composition
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})	Eu _{0.063} Tb _{0.937}
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/BDC	Eu _{0.058} Tb _{0.942}
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/ABDC	Eu _{0.059} Tb _{0.941}
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/FBDC	Eu _{0.064} Tb _{0.936}
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/NDC	Eu _{0.062} Tb _{0.938}

Crystallographic Data

Compound	UCY-17(Y)	UCY-17(Gd)	UCY-17(Tb)	UCY-17(Dy)	UCY-17(Ho)	UCY-17(Er)
Empirical formula	C ₃₀ H ₁₈ NO ₁₉ Y ₃	$\begin{array}{c} C_{30}H_{18}NO_{19}\\ Gd_3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C_{30}H_{18}NO_{19} \\ Tb_{3} \end{array}$	C ₃₀ H ₁₈ NO ₁₉ Dy ₃	C ₃₀ H ₁₈ NO ₁₉ Ho ₃	C ₃₀ H ₁₈ NO ₁₉ Er ₃
Formula weight	963.18	1168.20	1173.25	1183.96	1191.24	1198.23
Temperature / K	293(2)	145(2)	104(4)	102(1)	102(2)	104(2)
Wavelength / Å	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	C 2/m	C 2/m	C 2/m	C 2/m	C 2/m	C 2/m
a / Å	29.6715(3)	30.1356(7)	29.8060(8)	29.9207(7)	29.5465(5)	29.7195(6)
b / Å	18.3115(2)	18.2215(7)	18.4881(7)	18.2577(5)	18.6021(4)	18.1534(5)
c / Å	14.5989(1)	14.6429(4)	14.6432(4)	14.6257(4)	14.5637(3)	14.5912(3)
$\alpha = \gamma / \circ$	90	90	90	90	90	90
β/°	101.951 (1)	100.531(2)	100.960(3)	100.432(2)	102.304(2)	101.482(2)
Volume / Å ³	7760.1(2)	7905.2(4)	7922.0(4)	7857.7(4)	7820.7(3)	7714.6(3)
Ζ	4	4	4	4	4	4
d _{calc} ./ g/cm ³	0.824	0.982	0.984	1.001	1.012	1.032
Absorption	3 276	16 377	13 260	15 362	5 767	6 158
mm ⁻¹	5.270	10.377	15.209	15.502	5.707	0.158
F(000)	1896	2196	2113	2119	2232	2244
Reflections collected	42780	46765	27095	27408	25371	24940
Independent reflections	7147	7281	7291	7238	7197	7115
Completenes s to $\theta =$ 66.99°	99.7% $[R_{int} = 0.0399]$	100% [R _{int} = 0.0755]	99.9% $[R_{int} = 0.0566]$	99.9% $[R_{int} = 0.0474]$	99.8% [R _{int} = 0.0344]	99.8% $[R_{int} = 0.0225]$
Data / restraints / parameters	7147/17/248	7281/23/247	7291/22/247	7238/22/247	7197/22/247	7115/4/247
Goodness- of-fit	1.086	0.958	0.994	0.984	1.093	1.116
Final R indices [I > 2σ(I)]	$R_1 = 0.0609,$ $wR_2 = 0.1938$	$R_1 = 0.0668,$ $wR_2 = 0.1937$	$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 0.0597, \\ wR_2 = 0.1729 \end{array}$	$R_1 = 0.0519,$ wR2 = 0.1508	$R_1 = 0.0511,$ w $R_2 = 0.1626$	$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 0.0436, \\ wR_2 = 0.1412 \end{array}$
R indices [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0640,$ $wR_2 = 0.1988$	$R_1 = 0.0836,$ $wR_2 = 0.2059$	$R_1 = 0.0653,$ $wR_2 = 0.1809$	$R_1 = 0.0593,$ $wR_2 = 0.1594$	$R_1 = 0.0559,$ w $R_2 = 0.1680$	$R_1 = 0.0463,$ $wR_2 = 0.1437$

 Table S2: Selected Crystal Data for compounds UCY-17(RE).

Compound	UCY-17(Tb)/BDC	UCY-17(Tb)/ABDC	UCY-17(Tb)/FBDC	UCY-17(Tb)/NDC
Empirical formula	$C_{34}H_{20}O_{18}Tb_3$	$C_{68}H_{41}NO_{36}Tb_6$	$C_{68}H_{39}FO_{36}Tb_{6}$	$C_{36}H_{20}O_{18}Tb_3$
Formula weight	1193.26	2401.54	2404.51	1217.28
Temperature / K	100(2)	100(2)	100(2)	100(1)
Wavelength / Å	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	C 2/m	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>m</i>	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>m</i>	C 2/m
a / Å	29.812(2)	29.609(2)	29.7002(6)	18.795(2)
b / Å	18.891(3)	18.616(1)	18.5497(6)	29.712(2)
c / Å	14.834(2)	14.9895(5)	14.9529(3)	15.479(2)
$\alpha = \gamma / \circ$	90	90	90	90
β / \circ Volume / Å ³	100.85(1) 8205 (2)	99.990(4) 8137.0(6)	100.273(2) 8106.0(4)	102.31(1) 8445(2)
d_{calc} ./ g/cm ³	4 0.966	0.980	0.985	4 0.957
Absorption coefficient / mm ⁻¹	12.804	12.915	12.973	12.448
F(000)	2252	2268	2268	2300
Reflections collected	24220	17541	27258	16388
Independent reflections	7508	7448	7466	7626
Completeness to θ = 66.99°	99.3% [R _{int} =0.1156]	99.5% [R _{int} =0.0508]	99.9% $[R_{int}=0.0552]$	99.2% [R _{int} = 0.0813]
Data / restraints / parameters	7508/298/232	7448/132/251	7466/45/254	7626/279/290
Goodness-of-fit	0.982	0.954	1.048	1.070
Final R indices [I $> 2\sigma(I)$]	$R_1 = 0.1038,$ $wR_2 = 0.2865$	$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 0.0903, \\ wR_2 = 0.2392 \end{array}$	$R_1 = 0.0893,$ $wR_2 = 0.2429$	$R_1 = 0.1170,$ $wR_2 = 0.3044$
R indices [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1302,$ $wR_2 = 0.3198$	$R_1 = 0.1094,$ $wR_2 = 0.2502$	$R_1 = 0.0978,$ $wR_2 = 0.2544$	$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 0.1356, \\ wR_2 = 0.3283 \end{array}$

 Table S3: Selected Crystal Data for compounds UCY-17(Tb)/L.

Physical Measurements/Characterization of UCY-17(RE) (RE: Y, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er)



Figure S1: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the as synthesized compounds UCY-17(RE) along with the simulated pattern of UCY-17(Tb) from the single crystal data.



Figure S2: IR spectra of H₂BCPM ligand and the as synthesized compounds UCY-17(RE).



Figure S3: ¹H-NMR spectrum of as synthesized UCY-17(Y) digested in d₆-DMSO. These data indicate the presence of the organic ligand BCPM²⁻ as well as DMF molecules in UCY-17(Y). ¹H NMR (DMSO): δ 2.500 (t, residual solvent peaks), δ 2.709 (s, 3H, CH₃, DMF), δ 2.872 (s, 3H, CH₃, DMF), δ 7.932 (s, H, CHO, DMF), δ 7.476-7.504 (d, 4H, Ar-H, BCPM²⁻), δ 7.844 – 7.872 (d, 4H, Ar-H, BCPM²⁻).

Determination of the amount of F⁻ anions per $(Gd^{3+})_6$ SBU in bulk UCY-17(Gd) microcrystalline powder: In order to quantify the amount of F⁻ anions per $(Re^{3+})_6$ SBU ¹⁹Fq-NMR measurements were performed. For this purpose, a trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) solution in D₂O was used as an external standard. Prior the ¹⁹Fq-NMR experiment, the concentration of the trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) solution was determined using NaF solutions with known concentration. Afterwards microcrystalline powder of UCY-17(Gd) (~ 10 – 15mg in each experiment) was digested in a 0.5M KOH solution in D₂O and then 500 µL of the resulting solution was transferred to the NMR tube along with the microprobe tube containing the external standard (the known concentration trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) solution). The experiment was repeated three times.^{1,2}



Figure S4: ¹⁹Fq-NMR calibration spectra of the TFA solution external standard using NaF solutions of known concertation. TFA concentration was found equal to 5.9 ± 0.8 mM. ¹⁹F NMR (D₂O): δ -75.71 (TFA), δ -121.99 (F⁻).



Figure S5: ¹⁹Fq-NMR spectra of as synthesized UCY-17(Gd) digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O along with the TFA solution (external standard). These studies indicated that UCY-17(Gd) contains ~ 1.6 ± 0.12 F⁻anions / (Gd³⁺)₆SBU. ¹⁹F NMR (D₂O): δ -75.71 (TFA), δ -116.44 (FBA⁻), δ -121.99 (F⁻).



Figure S6: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of as synthesized **UCY-17**(Tb) treated in various organic solvents for 10 days.



Figure S7: TGA graphs of the as synthesized compounds UCY-17(RE) (a) RE = Y, (b) RE = Gd, (c) RE = Tb, (d) RE = Dy, (e) RE = Ho, (f) RE = Er). TG analysis reveals that the thermal decomposition of compounds UCY-17(RE) proceeds via a two-step process. The first step (until ~400-450°C) is attributed to the removal of the terminal water molecules and lattice DMF molecules. The second mass loss which is completed at ~620-640 °C is attributed to the rare earth oxide of the corresponding RE^{III} ion. Details on calculated values for solvent removal and ligand decomposition along with the experimental values obtained from TG analysis are shown in Table S4.

Table S4: Calculated values for solvent removal and ligand combustion along with the experimental values obtained from TG analysis for the assynthesized compounds UCY-17(RE).

	Lattic	Lattice Solvent Removal			ombustion	R	Residual Oxide	
Compound	Temperature (°C)	Experimental (Calculated) (%)	xDMF	Temperature (°C)	Experimental (Calculated) (%)	Temperature (°C)	Experimental (Calculated) (%)	Formula
UCY-17 (Y)	r.t 450	40 (40)	16	450 - 620	37 (38)	900	23 (22)	Y ₂ O ₃
UCY-17(Gd)	r.t 450	37 (37)	17	450 - 620	32 (33)	900	31 (30)	Gd_2O_3
UCY-17(Tb)	r.t 450	36 (35)	16	450 - 640	34 (33)	900	30 (32)	$\mathrm{Tb}_4\mathrm{O}_7$
UCY-17 (Dy)	r.t 450	34 (34)	15	450 - 620	35 (34)	900	31 (32)	Dy ₂ O ₃
UCY-17(Ho)	r.t 450	36 (36)	17	450 - 620	33 (33)	900	31 (31)	Ho ₂ O ₃
UCY-17(Er)	r.t 450	36 (36)	17	450 - 630	32 (33)	900	32 (31)	Er ₂ O ₃

Physical Measurements/Characterization of UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}) and UCY-17(RE)/L; RE= Gd, Tb, Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}, L=BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻, NDC²⁻



Figure S8: Stereoscope images of the crystals of UCY-17(Tb), UCY-17(Tb)/L; L= BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻, NDC²⁻.



Figure S9: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of UCY-17(Tb) and the exchanged analogues UCY-17(Tb)/L (L = BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻ and NDC²⁻) along with the simulated pattern of UCY-17(Tb) from the single crystal data.



Figure S10: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the pristine MOF UCY-17($Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}$) and the exchanged analogues UCY-17($Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}$)/L (L = BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻ and NDC²⁻) along with the simulated pattern of UCY-17(Tb) from the single crystal data.



Figure S11: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the exchanged analogues UCY-17(Gd)/L (L = BDC^{2-} , $ABDC^{2-}$, $FBDC^{2-}$ and NDC^{2-}) along with the experimental and simulated patterns of UCY-17(Gd) from the single crystal data.



Figure S12: IR spectra of H₂BCPM, H₂BDC, H₂ABDC, H₂FBDC, H₂NDC ligands, the pristine MOF UCY-17(Tb) and the exchanged analogues UCY-17(Tb)/L (L = BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻ and NDC²⁻).



Figure S13: TGA graphs of the exchanged analogues UCY-17(Tb)/L (L = BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻ and NDC²⁻). TG analysis reveals that the thermal decomposition of compounds UCY-17(Tb)/L proceeds via a two-step process. The first step (until ~400-450°C) is attributed to the removal of the terminal water molecules and lattice DMF molecules. The second mass loss which is completed at ~600°C for UCY-17(Tb)/L is attributed to the decomposition of the ligands BCPM²⁻ and L²⁻. Lastly the residual mass at 900°C corresponds to Tb₄O₇. Details on calculated values for solvent removal and ligand decomposition along with the experimental values obtained from TG analysis are shown in Table S5.

		Lattice Solvent Removal		Ligand Combustion		Residual Oxide			
Com	pound	Temperature (°C)	Experimental (Calculated) (%)	xDMF	Temperature (°C)	Experimental (Calculated) (%)	Temperature (°C)	Experimental (Calculated) (%)	Formula
UCY-17	(Tb)/BDC	r.t 450	41 (42)	22	450 - 600	30 (30)	900	29 (28)	Tb ₄ O ₇
UCY-17(Tb)/ABDC	r.t 450	39 (39)	20	450 - 600	31 (30)	900	30 (29)	$\mathrm{Tb}_4\mathrm{O}_7$
UCY-17((Tb)/FBDC	r.t 400	27 (27)	11	400 - 600	36 (38)	900	37 (35)	Tb_4O_7
UCY-17	(Tb)-NDC	r.t 450	37 (38)	19	450 - 600	33 (33)	900	30 (29)	Tb_4O_7
exchanged	analogues	UCY-17(Tb)/L	(L	= BDC	²⁻ , ABDC ²⁻	, FBDC	²⁻ and	NDC ²⁻)

Table S5: Calculated values for solvent removal and ligand combustion along with the experimental values obtained from TG analysis for the



Figure S14: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the exchanged analogues UCY- $17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/L$ (L = BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻ and NDC²⁻) along with the simulated pattern of UCY-17(Tb) from the single crystal data, after heating at 90°C (following the process described in the experimental part in the main manuscript).



Figure S15: IR spectra of the pristine MOF UCY-17($Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}$) and the exchanged analogues UCY-17($Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}$)/L (L = BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻ and NDC²⁻).



24

and $\lambda_{em.}$ =495 nm) and H₂BCPM.



Figure S17: Solid-state emission spectra of compounds UCY-17(Tb), UCY-17(Tb)/L (L= BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻, NDC²⁻) and UCY-17(Gd) with $\lambda_{exc} \sim 350$ nm.



Figure S18: Room temperature excitation spectra of the pristine UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}) MOF monitoring the transitions ${}^{5}D_{0} \times {}^{7}F_{2}$ of Eu³⁺ (red solid line, $\lambda_{em} = 614$ nm) and ${}^{5}D_{4} \times {}^{7}F_{5}$ of Tb³⁺ (green solid line, $\lambda_{em} = 543$ nm) ions.



Figure S19: Room temperature excitation spectra of the exchanged MOFs a) UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/BDC, b) UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/ABDC, c) UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/FBDC, d) UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/NDC, monitoring the transitions ${}^{5}D_{0} \times {}^{7}F_{2}$ of Eu³⁺ (red solid line, $\lambda_{em} = 614$ nm) and ${}^{5}D_{4} \times {}^{7}F_{5}$ of Tb³⁺ (green solid line, $\lambda_{em} = 543$ nm) ions.



Figure S20: Normalized phosphorescence spectrum recorded at 77K of the pristine UCY-17(Gd) (blue solid line) ($\lambda_{exc} = 301$ nm, according to the excitation spectrum (black solid line)), and time-resolved phosphorescence spectrum at 77K integrated in a sweep range of 0.10 ms, to remove the fluorescence emission (S₁ \gg S₀) (red solid line).



Figure S21: Normalized phosphorescence spectra recorded at 77K of the exchanged analogues UCY-17(Gd)/L (blue solid line) (excitation according to the excitation spectra (black solid line)), and time-resolved phosphorescence spectra at 77K integrated in a sweep range of 0.10 ms to remove the fluorescence emission (S₁ \gg S₀) (red solid line) of a) UCY-17(Gd)/BDC, b) UCY-17(Gd)/ABDC, c) UCY-17(Gd)/FBDC (red dot line corresponds to the phosphorescence at $\lambda_{exc} = 446$ nm), d) UCY-17(Gd)/NDC.



Figure S22: Thermal evolution of emission spectrum of the pristine MOF UCY- $17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})$ in the temperature range 270 - 360 K.



Figure S23: Thermal evolution of emission spectrum of compound UCY- $17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/BDC$ in the temperature range 270 - 360 K.



Figure S24: Thermal evolution of emission spectrum of compound UCY- $17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/ABDC$ in the temperature range 270 - 360 K.



Figure S25: Thermal evolution of emission spectrum of compound UCY- $17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/FBDC$ in the temperature range 270 - 360 K.



Figure S26: Thermal evolution of emission spectrum of compound UCY- $17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/NDC$ in the temperature range 270 - 360 K.



Figure S27: Thermal evolution of the integrated emission intensity of the pristine MOF UCY- $17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})$ in the temperature range 270 - 360 K.



Figure S28: Temperature dependence of Δ in the indicated temperature range for the exchanged MOFs a) UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/BDC, b) UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/FBDC and c) UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/NDC. The red solid line represents the calibration curve obtained by the best fit of the experimental points to a polynomial equation (r² > 0.990). As the thermal quenching of Eu³⁺ and Tb³⁺ emission for UCY-17(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/ABDC are nearly identical, the thermal evolution of the thermometric parameter for this exchanged analogue is not plotted.

Table S6. Chromaticity coordinates of the pristine UCY-17($Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}$) and the exchanged analogues UCY-17($Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95}$)/L (L = BDC²⁻, ABDC²⁻, FBDC²⁻ and NDC²⁻) excited at various wavelengths (according to their respective excitation spectra).

Compound	(x;y) coordinates	$\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}} (\mathrm{nm})$
UCY-17 (Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})	(0.4205; 0.5238)	301
UCY-17 (Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/BDC	(0.4007; 0.5378)	278
UCY-17 (Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/ABDC	(0.6134; 0.3740)	282
UCY-17 (Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/FBDC	(0.4405; 0.5091)	301
UCY-17 (Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/NDC	(0.6032; 0.3765)	280

Table S7. Fitting parameters of the polynomial equation ($Y = A + Bx + Cx^2 + Dx^3$) to the experimental curve $\Delta = f(T)$ for the exchanged analogues **UCY-17**(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/L. Since the thermal quenching of Eu³⁺ and Tb³⁺ emission is nearly identical for **UCY-17**(Eu_{0.05}Tb_{0.95})/ABDC, it was more accurate to fit the thermal evolution of emission intensity data than these of the thermal evolution of the thermometric parameter.

Compound	Adjustment parameters	R ²
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/BDC	$\begin{array}{l} A = 28 \pm 2 \\ B = -0.15 \pm 0.01 \\ C = 2.1.10^{-4} \pm 0.2.10^{-4} \\ D = 0 \end{array}$	0.996
	$\frac{I_{Tb} = f(T)}{A = -245 \pm 54}$	
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/ABDC	$B = 2.3 \pm 0.5$ C = -0.007 ± 0.001 D = 7.10 ⁻⁶ ± 1.10 ⁻⁶	0.991
	$I_{Eu} = f(T)$	
	$A = -220 \pm 21$ B = 2.0 ± 0.2 C = -0.0061 ± 0.0006 D = 6.1.10 ⁻⁶ ± 0.6.10 ⁻⁶	0.999
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/FBDC		0.999
UCY-17(Eu _{0.05} Tb _{0.95})/NDC		0.994

References

- 1. W. He, F. Du, Y. Wu, Y. Wang, X. Liu, H. Liu and X. Zhao, Quantitative ¹⁹F NMR method validation and application to the quantitative analysis of a fluoro-polyphosphates mixture, *J. Fluor. Chem.*, 2006, **127**, 809.
- N. Panagiotou, F. G. Moscoso, T. Lopes-Costa, J. M. Pedrosa and A. J. Tasiopoulos, 2-Dimensional rare earth metal-organic frameworks based on a hexanuclear secondary building unit as efficient detectors for vapours of nitroaromatics and volatile organic compounds, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2022, 9, 4850.