Supporting Information

Weakened Charge Trapping at the Electrode/Active Layer Interface in Bulk Heterojunction based Organic Phototransistor for Quick Photomultiplication

Chaoran Liu, Shicheng Xiong, Di Sun, Zengqi Xie, Linlin Liu*

Address: State Key Laboratory of Luminescent Materials and Devices, Institute of Polymer Optoelectronic Materials and Devices, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Luminescence from Molecular Aggregates, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510640, P. R. China.

*Corresponding Authors: Linlin Liu

E-mail: msliull@scut.edu.cn



Fig. S1 Transfer characteristics curves of Ag electrode device and Au electrode device in dark, (a)with $PC_{61}BM$, (b)without $PC_{61}BM$. the drain voltage is set to -60 V.

	μ (× 10 ⁻² cm ² ·V ⁻¹ ·s ⁻¹)	I _{on} /I _{off} (× 10 ⁵)	V _{on} (V)	I _{off} (A)
Ag electrode device with PC ₆₁ BM	3.08	1.76	6.5	1.24×10 ⁻¹¹
Au electrode device with PC ₆₁ BM	4.21	1.61	13	2.58×10 ⁻¹¹
Ag electrode device without PC ₆₁ BM	3.38	0.43	13	1.12×10 ⁻¹⁰
Au electrode device without PC ₆₁ BM	9.66	2.58	19.5	4.21×10 ⁻¹¹

Table S1 Field effect performance parameters of different electrode devices



Fig. S2 Optical absorption spectra of PDPPBTT and PDPPBTT: $PC_{61}BM$ films



Fig. S3 Transfer characteristics curves of different devices under illumination at $810@0.011 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ nm}$, the drain voltage is set to -60 V. PDPPBTT as active layer.(a) without PC₆₁BM, (b) with PC₆₁BM



Fig. S4 The energy level structure diagram of PffBT4T-2OD:PC₆₁BM and PDPPBTT:PC₆₁BM



Fig. S5 (a) Transfer characteristics curves of different devices without illumination and in light intensity illumination of 702@0.011 mW·cm⁻² nm, the drain voltage is set to -30 V.(a) Ag electrode without PC₆₁BM, (b) Au electrode without PC₆₁BM, (c) Ag electrode with PC₆₁BM, (d) Au electrode with PC₆₁BM.

As shown in Figure S5, when PC₆₁BM is not added, Au electrode device exhibits a more pronounced turn-on voltage drift than Ag electrode device (18.5V of Au electrode device vs. 15 V of Ag electrode device), which indicates that more photogenerated electrons are trapped in the active layer, and the accumulated photogenerated electrons at the electrode/active layer interface can further reduce the hole injection barrier and promote more hole injection from the source into the active layer, further increasing the output photocurrent of the device. Meanwhile, the I_{light}/I_{dark} of Au electrode device under illumination is two times higher than that of Ag electrode device, indicating that the photocurrent of Au electrode device rises faster under the same light conditions. However, when PC₆₁BM was added to form BHJ with PffBT4T-20D, the turn-on voltage

drift of Au electrode device (16.5V) was significantly smaller than that of Ag electrode device(18V), indicating that the number of photogenerated electrons captured in Au electrode device was lower than that of Ag electrode device. Meanwhile, the lower turn-on voltage drift made the I_{light}/I_{dark} of Au electrode device lower than that of Ag electrode device, indicating that the rise of photocurrent in Au electrode device becomes slower under the same light condition. Therefore ' when $PC_{61}BM$ was added ' the enhancement effect of Au electrode on the photocurrent of OPTs is weakened.



Fig. S6 Transfer characteristics curves of different devices under illumination at $702@0.011 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ nm}$, the drain voltage is set to -30 V. PffBT4T-2OD as active layer.(a) without PC₆₁BM, (b) with PC₆₁BM

PffBT4T-2OD	V _{on} (V) in dark	$\Delta V_{\rm on}$ (V)	$I_{\rm light}/I_{\rm dark}$	<i>R</i> (A·W⁻¹)	D* (Jones)
Ag electrode device without $PC_{61}BM$	1	15	3.5 × 10 ³	93.6	1.5 × 10 ¹⁵
Au electrode device without PC ₆₁ BM	1	18.5	6.5 × 10 ³	330.7	9.7 × 10 ¹⁵
Ag electrode device with PC ₆₁ BM	1.5	18	3.5 × 10 ⁴	684	7.4 × 10 ¹⁵
Au electrode device with PC ₆₁ BM	1.5	16.5	2.7 × 10 ⁴	1052.2	1.0×10^{16}

Table S2. The photodetection performance parameters of OPTs.

under 702 nm@0.011 mW · cm⁻² illumination at -30 V source drain voltage.

As shown in Table S2. When PffBT4T-2OD is used as a separate active layer, it can be seen that Au electrode device exhibits significant higher *R* and *D** values than Ag electrode device. The *R* and *D** values of Au electrode device at the turn-on voltage ($V_g = 1V$) are 330.7 A \cdot W⁻¹ and 9.7 × 10¹⁵ Jones, respectively. Compared with Ag electrode device, the *R* value (93.6 A \cdot W⁻¹) and *D** value (1.5 × 10¹⁵ Jones) at the turn-on voltage ($V_g = 1V$) are increased by 3.5 times and 6 times, respectively. As mentioned earlier, when constructing OPTs with a single p-type material, since the Au electrode has a smaller hole injection barrier than the Ag electrode, it is beneficial for holes to inject from the electrode into the active layer, thereby obtaining a larger photocurrent and improving the photodetection performance of OPTs.

It is worth noting that Au electrode device does not exhibit significantly higher *R* and *D** values than Ag electrode device when PC₆₁BM is added to form a native heterojunction (BHJ) with PffBT4T-2OD as the active layer. When $V_g = 1.5$ V (turn-on voltage), Au electrode device obtains the maximum *D** value of 1.0×10^{16} Jones, and the corresponding *R* value is 1052.2 A · W⁻¹. Although these two parameters are still larger than Ag electrode device (*R* = 684 A · W⁻¹, *D* * = 7.4 × 10¹⁵ Jones, V_g = 1.5 V), *R* and *D** are only increased by 1.5 times and 1.3 times, respectively. The advantage of Au electrode is no longer obvious compared to Ag electrode devices without PC₆₁BM. The above

experimental results are consistent with the results of Table 1, which again prove that our previous conclusion is correct.



Fig. S7 Response time of different electrode devices without $PC_{61}BM$ in PDPPBTT: $PC_{61}BM$



Fig. S8 Response time of different electrode devices with PC₆₁BM in PDPPBTT:PC₆₁BM



FigureS9. Comparison of charge trapping mechanism between lateral device and vertical device

The contact resistances of the Au electrodes are R_{Au1} and R_{Au2} , respectively. Therefore, the total resistance formulas of the following four devices are obtained.

$R_a = R_{Ag1} + R_1$	Ag electrode ,without PC ₆₁ BM	(S1)
$R_{b} = R_{Ag2} + R_{2}$	Ag electrode , with $PC_{61}BM$	(S2)
$R_{c} = R_{Au1} + R_{1}$	Au electrode , without $PC_{61}BM$	(S3)
$R_d = R_{Au2} + R_2$	Au electrode , with $\mathrm{PC}_{\mathrm{61}}\mathrm{BM}$	(S4)

The above formula is transformed to obtain:

$R_{Ag1} - R_{Au1} = R_a - R_c$	(\$5)

$$R_{Ag2}-R_{Au2}=R_{b}-R_{d}$$
(S6)

we calculated R_a , R_b , R_c , and R_d , and finally obtained the variation of contact resistance of different electrodes with and without $PC_{61}BM$ after deducting the channel resistance (Table S3).

(S7)



Table S3. Contact resistance different between Ag and Au electrode in OPTs under illumination

Figure S10. Output characteristics curves of different PDPPBTT:PC₆₁BM devices without illumination and in light intensity illumination of 810@0.037 mW·cm⁻² nm, the gate voltage is set at turn-on voltage. (a) Ag electrode device without $PC_{61}BM$, (b) Au electrode device without $PC_{61}BM$, (c) Ag electrode device with $PC_{61}BM$, (d) Au electrode device with $PC_{61}BM$.



Fig. S11 Transfer characteristics curves of different PDPPBTT:PC₆₁BM devices without illumination and in light intensity illumination of 810@0.037 mW·cm⁻² nm, the drain voltage is set to -60 V. (a) D/A=10:1 of Ag electrode device, (b) D/A=10:1 of Au electrode device (c) D/A=1:2 of Ag electrode device, (d) D/A=1:2 of Au electrode device

PDPPBTT:PC61BM	V _{on} (V) in dark	ΔV _{on} (V)	I _{light} /I _{dark}	<i>R</i> (A·W⁻¹)	D [*] (Jones)
Ag electrode device D/A=10:1	0	18	3.8 × 10 ³	133	3.1 × 10 ¹⁴
Au electrode device D/A=10:1	2	21	2.3 × 10 ⁴	552	9.8×10^{14}
Ag electrode device D/A=1:2	19	13	1.1 × 10 ³	112	1.9×10^{14}
Au electrode device D/A=1:2	14	15	1.2 × 104	420	5.3 × 10 ¹⁴

 Table S4. The photodetection performance parameters of different D/A ratio devices

under 810nm@0.037 mW cm⁻² illumination at -60 V source drain voltage.