Supplementary Information (SI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry C. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2025

**Supporting Information** 

# Switching the heavy-atom effect in blue thermally

## activated delayed fluorescence emitters

Jan Szumilas, Chi Hyun Ryoo, Michał Mońka, Piotr Bojarski, Soo Young Park,\*

Illia E. Serdiuk\*

Contents

Additional PL spectra Quantum chemical calculations Effect of concentration of emitter <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra

## **Additional PL spectra**







Figure S1. Steady state emission spectra in ZNX (A) and PMMA (B), neat (C), DPEPO (D),

and PVP (E) films.



**Figure S2**. Time-resolved emission spectra of **TNOPh-DMAC** (A), **TNSPh-DMAC** (B), and **TNSePh-DMAC** (C) in doped PMMA films.



**Figure S3**. Time-resolved emission spectra for the investigated compounds in various media at 10K: **TNOPh-DMAC** (A, D), **TNSPh-DMAC** (B, E) and **TNSePh-DMAC** (C, F).





**Figure S4.** Emission decays in various media at room temperature (RT) under vacuum in PMMA and neat films.

temperatures.

#### Quantum chemical calculations

#### **Rotational isomerism**

DFT calculations revealed two distinct local minima for all investigated compounds, differentiated by the dihedral angle between the phenyl group and chalcogen-*s*-triazine fragment. As such, geometry optimization and orbital calculations have been performed for both group A (phenyl ring oriented towards donor fragment) and B (phenyl ring oriented away from the donor fragment). In optimal structures, such an dihedral angle  $\alpha$  equals 59° (A) and 55° (B) in TNOPh-DMAC, and 90° in both configurations of TNSPh-DMAC and TNSePh-DMAC



The influence of the dihedral angle  $\theta$  between the acceptor and donor fragments has been taken into account to estimate the influence of the <sup>3</sup>CT-<sup>1</sup>CT transitions. As follows from the previous studies,<sup>S1</sup> the electronic features of the rotational isomer with  $\theta = 82^{\circ}$  reflects well the statistical sum of such  $\theta$  rotamers. The parameters of the <sup>3</sup>CT-<sup>1</sup>CT transition is presented thus for respective geometries with a fixed  $\theta = 82^{\circ}$ .



**TNOPh-DMAC** 

Figure S6. Natural transition orbitals and SOC constants of selected low-energy transitions in **TNOPh-DMAC**.



Figure S7. Natural transition orbitals and SOC constants of selected low-energy transitions in TNSPh-DMAC.



**Figure S8.** Natural transition orbitals and SOC constants of selected low-energy transitions in **TNSePh-DMAC**.

Table S1. TD-DFT predicted SOC constants for the  $T_2(^3LE)$ -S<sub>0</sub> and  $T_1(^3CT)$ -S<sub>0</sub> transitions.

Compound	TNOPh-DMAC		TNSPh	-DMAC	TNSePh-DMAC		
State	$T_1(^{3}CT)$	$T_2$ ( <sup>3</sup> LE)	$T_1$ ( <sup>3</sup> CT)	$T_2$ ( <sup>3</sup> LE)	$T_1$ ( <sup>3</sup> CT)	$T_2$ ( <sup>3</sup> LE)	
SOC [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	2.1	0.1	2.0	0.1	1.4	0.1	

Compound	Config.	HOMO [eV]	LUMO [eV]	<sup>3</sup> LE- <sup>1</sup> CT			<sup>3</sup> CT- <sup>1</sup> CT		
				λ [eV]	<i>∆E</i> [eV]	SOC [10 <sup>-4</sup> eV]	λ [eV]	<i>∆E</i> [eV]	SOC [10 <sup>-6</sup> eV]
TNOPh-DMAC	А	-4.97	-1.96	0.25	0.595	1.2	0.025	0.032	11.2
TNSPh-DMAC	А	-4.97	-1.97	0.23	0.571	1.1	0.021	0.027	9.9
TNSePh-DMAC	А	-4.97	-1.96	0.27	0.452	6.8	0.024	0.029	8.8
TNOPh-DMAC	В	-5.01	-2.00	0.26	0.608	1.2	0.023	0.030	10.0
TNSPh-DMAC	В	-5.00	-2.00	0.24	0.585	1.2	0.021	0.028	9.9
TNSePh-DMAC	В	-4.99	-1.98	0.24	0.577	1.2	0.017	0.024	4.1

Table S2. Selected electronic parameters for A and B configurations.

## Effect of concentration of emitter



Figure S9. PL decay curves at different concentration of emitter in DPEPO host: A - TNSPh-DMAC and B - TNSePh-DMAC

**Table S3**. Summary of photophysical parameters in neat films and **TNSPh-DMAC** doped DPEPO film.

Compound	Conc. w/w	PL <sub>max</sub> [nm]	τ <sub>PF</sub> [ns]	$ au_{ m DF}$ [µs]	PLQY [%]	$k_{\rm r}$ [10 <sup>7</sup> , s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$k_{\rm ISC}$ [10 <sup>7</sup> , s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$k_{\rm nr}$ [10 <sup>7</sup> , s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$k_{rISC}$ [10 <sup>4</sup> , s <sup>-1</sup> ]
TNOPh-DMAC	100% (neat)	480	12.5	6.6	59	2.8	3.2	2.0	25
TNSPh-DMAC	100% (neat)	478	8.7	5.7	52	2.3	3.5	2.3	25
TNSePh-DMAC	100% (neat)	483	12.8	3.8	49	2.8	2.1	2.9	36
TNSPh-DMAC	10%	494	15.1	58	67	1.7	4.1	0.8	4.5





**TNSPh-DMAC** 



TNSePh-DMAC



## <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

### **TNOPh-DMAC**



#### **TNSPh-DMAC**





#### References

<sup>[</sup>S1] Ryoo, C. H.; Han, J.; Yang, J.-H.; Yang, K.; Cho, I.; Jung, S.; Kim, S.; Jeong, H.; Lee, C.; Kwon, J. E.; Serdiuk, I. E.; Park, S. Y. Systematic Substituent Control in Blue Thermally Activated Delayed Fluorescence (TADF) Emitters: Unraveling the Role of Direct Intersystem Crossing between the Same Charge-Transfer States. *Adv. Optical Mater.* 2022, *10*, No. 2201622.