## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for**

Colorimetric detection of methotrexate leveraging the halogen peroxidasemimicking activity of Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> nanoflowers

Xueting wang, Xiaorong Sun, Zhongfang Hu, Guang-Li Wang\*

Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Biological Colloids (Ministry of Education), School of Chemical and Material Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China \*Corresponding author: Guang-Li Wang \*E-mail address: <u>glwang@jiangnan.edu.cn</u>



**Fig.S1** The catalytic effect of Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> on substrates as a mimic of halogen peroxidase: (A,B) Chromatogram and mass spectrum before the iodination reaction of TB. (C-F) Chromatogram and mass spectrum after the iodination reaction of TB.



**Fig.S2** The catalytic effect of Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> on substrates as a mimic of halogen peroxidase: (A,B) Chromatogram and mass spectrum before the bromination reaction of PR. (C,D) Chromatogram and mass spectrum after the bromination reaction of PR.



**Fig.S3** The relative catalytic activity of Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> iodoperoxidase in the presence of TB as a substrate vary with (A) reaction pH, (B) reaction temperature, and (C) reaction time, (D) over different storage time.



**Fig.S4** The relative catalytic activities of Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> bromoperoxidase in the presence of PR as a substrate changes with (A) reaction pH, (B) reaction temperature, and (C) reaction time.



**Fig.S5** The absorbance at 620 nm of Iodoperoxidase mimetic enzyme the nanoenzyme catalytic system in the presence of different concentrations of (A)  $H_2O_2$  or (C) KI; The steady-state kinetic analysis of the  $Bi_2WO_6$  nanoenzyme catalytic reaction in the presence of different substrates (B)  $H_2O_2$  or (D) KI (the inset is the Lineweaver-Burk plot).

Materials	Substrate	K <sub>m</sub> (mM)	V <sub>max</sub> (nM/s)	Reference	
CuO	$H_2O_2$	0.556	26.5	[1]	
CeO <sub>2</sub> -X nanorods	$H_2O_2$	0.261	1.667	[2]	
Bi <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub>	$H_2O_2$	16.593	0.417	[3]	
W-UiO	$H_2O_2$	0.555	0.317	[4]	
CeMOF	$H_2O_2$	0.1	4	[5]	
Bi <sub>2</sub> WO <sub>6</sub>	$H_2O_2$	0.137	30.4	This work	
	I-	0.214	169.6		

 Table S1 Comparison of the kinetic parameters between Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> and other reported nanomaterials



**Fig.S6** UV-Vis spectra of oxTMB at different solutions. (a)  $Bi_2WO_6 + H_2O_2 + KI+MTX$ ; (b)  $Bi_2WO_6 + H_2O_2 + KI$  (the concentration of  $Bi_2WO_6$  is 0.1 mg/mL, TMB is 0.05 mM, KI is 4.0 mM,  $H_2O_2$  are 2.0 mM and MTX is 100  $\mu$ M).



**Fig.S7** The relative catalytic activities of Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> iodoperoxidase change with (A) reaction pH, (B) reaction temperature, and (C) reaction time under the condition that TMB was used as the substrate.

Mathad	Linear Range	LOD	Referenc	
Methou	(µM)	(µM)		
Fluorescence	2.9~117.4	0.95	[6]	
Fluorescence	1~300	0.33	[7]	
SERS	0~100	2.36	[8]	
Electrochemistry	5-75	1.98	[9]	
SERS	5~150	2.1	[10]	
Colorimetry	1-100	0.31	This work	

Table S2 Comparison with other reported methods for MTX detection.

Sample number	Spiked (µM)	Detected (µM)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
1	1.0	$1.25 \pm 0.04$	125.0	4.0
2	10	$10.49 \pm 0.36$	104.9	3.6
3	20	$22.39 \pm 0.66$	119.5	3.5
4	50	47.86±1.31	95.0	2.6
5	75	$80.00 \pm 1.38$	106.0	1.8
6	100	$105.9 \pm 1.22$	105.9	1.2

**Table S3**Recovery of MTX in serum samples.

## References

- 1. L. Wang, J. Hou, S. Liu, A. J. Carrier, T. Guo, Q. Liang, D. Oakley and X. Zhang, *Sens. Actuators B: Chem.*, 2019, **287**, 180-184.
- K. Herget, P. Hubach, S. Pusch, P. Deglmann, H. Gotz, T. E. Gorelik, I. A. Gural'skiy, F. Pfitzner, T. Link, S. Schenk, M. Panthofer, V. Ksenofontov, U. Kolb, T. Opatz, R. Andre and W. Tremel, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1603823.
- 3. S. S. Kulkarni, D. K. Tong, C. T. Wu, C. Y. Kao and S. Chattopadhyay, *Small*, 2024, **20**, e2401929.
- 4. W. Wei, L. Qiang, L. Jinyang, L. Yunhong, W. Ronggen, L. Yilan, H. Xiaobing and W. Ning, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **431**, 133483.
- 5. Y. Cheng, L. Liang, F. Ye and S. Zhao, *Biosensors (Basel)*, 2021, 11, 204.
- 6. P. Zuo, J. Liu, H. Guo, C. Wang, H. Liu, Z. Zhang and Q. Liu, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2019, **411**, 1647-1657.
- 7. X. Wei, X. Si, M. Han and C. Bai, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**, 2118.
- 8. A. Subaihi, D. K. Trivedi, K. A. Hollywood, J. Bluett, Y. Xu, H. Muhamadali, D. I. Ellis and R. Goodacre, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 6702-6709.
- 9. I. N. Qureshi, A. Tahira, K. Aljadoa, A. M. Alsalme, A. A. Alothman, A. Nafady, A. Karsy and Z. H. Ibupoto, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.*, 2021, **32**, 15594-15604.
- 10. Y. Göksel, K. Zor, T. Rindzevicius, B. E. Thorhauge Als-Nielsen, K. Schmiegelow and A. Boisen, *ACS Sens.*, 2021, **6**, 2664-2673.