# **Supporting Information**

## Label-free SERS detection of foodborne pathogens based on flexible

#### **PMMA-BP@MoS<sub>2</sub>** binary substrate

Xiaohan Liu, Shijiao Fu, Jialong Zhao, Chenjie Gu, Danting Yang, Tao Jiang\*

School of Physical Science and Technology, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, Zhejiang, P. R. China

### **Experimental details**

### 1. Materials and Instruments

Black phosphorus (BP), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, 99.5%), and methylene blue (MB, 98%) were obtained from Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. Ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O), thiourea (CH<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S) and ethanol (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH,  $\geq$  99.7%) were bought from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) and methylbenzene were bought from Aladdin Co., Ltd. Glutaraldehyde (2.5%) was purchased from Macklin Co., Ltd. The bacterial strains of E. coli (BNCC 335839) and Lauria Broth (LB) agar were purchased from Bena Culture Collection Co., Ltd. NaCl (0.9%) and PBS (PH = 7.4) were

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Tao Jiang, E-mail: jiangtao@nbu.edu.cn

purchased from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. All the above chemicals reagents were analytical pure without further purification and Milli-Q water (18.2 M $\Omega$ · cm) was used in all experiments.

Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM, SU-70, 5 kV), energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) (EDAX TEAM Apollo XL,129 eV), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F20, 200 KV), ultraviolet-visible (UV–vis) spectrometer (TU-1901), X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance), and X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS) (Kratos Axis Ultra DLD) were all utilized to characterize the samples. Ultraviolet Photoelectron Spectroscopy (UPS) was performed using a Thermo ESCALAB XI+ instrument with the He I line as the ultraviolet emission source (hv = 21.2 eV). 532-nm Raman QE Pro spectrometer (Ocean Optics, USA) and ProSp-Micro40-VIS system were used to acquire Raman signals. Laser power on samples was 2 mW and acquisition time was set at 10 seconds (s). The ultrasonic cell crusher (JY92-II) was adapted to exfoliate BP bulk with a single ultrasound time of 20 s and an interval duration of 5 s.

#### 2. 1 Preparation of BP nanosheets

BP nanosheets (BP NSs) were prepared by a typical sonicationassisted liquid exfoliation method <sup>1</sup>. In a typical process, 40 mg BP bulk sample was added into 40 ml NMP solution, which was ultrasonicated at temperature below 4 °C for 4 h. Then, the mixed solution was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 15 minutes (mins) to obtain the solution of few-layer BP NSs by removing the unexfoliated BP bulk sample. Finally, the product was washed by deionized water and then vacuum-dried for storage.

### 2.2 Synthesis of BP@MoS2 nanocomposites

Hydrothermal method was used to synthesize the sample of BP@MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites. Specifically, 10 mg of BP NSs, 60 mg of  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}\cdot 4H_2O$  (or 20, 40, 80 mg), and 130.3 mg of  $CH_4N_2S$  (or 43.4, 86.9, 173.7 mg) were mixed in 20 mL of deionized water, followed by ultrasonication for 10 minutes. Subsequently, the mixture was transferred into 20 mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave and heated at 165 °C for 10 h. After being cooled down to room temperature, the products were washed with deionized water for three times and dried overnight at 60 °C to obtain sample.

### 2.3 Synthesis of PMMA-BP@MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites

In the typical process, PMMA was mixed with toluene in a ratio of 60 mg/mL using an ultrasonic method to prepare the PMMA colloid.<sup>2</sup> The colloid was then spin-coated onto a silicon wafer at 2000 rpm for 10 seconds and subsequently cured in an oven at 60 °C. Upon completion of the curing process, the PMMA film was carefully peeled off the silicon

wafer. Finally, the resulting PMMA film served as a substrate, which was modified by spin-coating  $BP@MoS_2$  at a speed of 2000 rpm for 10 seconds, thereby completing the preparation of the PMMA-BP@MoS\_2 binary SERS substrate.



**Figure S1.** (a) XPS survey spectrum and (b-d) the corresponding highresolution spectra of P 2p, S 2p and Mo 3d, of PMMA-BP@MoS<sub>2</sub> prepared with the concentration ratio of 1: 6.



**Figure S2.** SEM images of BP@MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites synthesized with different relative concentration ratios of BP and MoS<sub>2</sub>: (a) 1: 2, (b) 1: 4, and (c) 1: 8. (d) The corresponding variation of SERS strength of peak at  $1621 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .



Figure S3. (a) UPS spectra and (b) Kubelka-Munk plots converted from the UV-vis spectra of  $MoS_2$ . (c) UPS spectra and (d) Kubelka-Munk plots converted from the UV-vis spectra of BP NSs.

Firstly, UPS detection was performed to acquire the band alignment of the BP@MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids. Figure S3a showed the full photoemission spectrum of MoS<sub>2</sub>. It could be found that the extrapolated cut-off energy was 15.95 eV, which revealed that the Fermi level was -5.25 eV when the energy was referred to the vacuum level (0 eV). In the meanwhile, the extrapolated onset energy was 1.43 eV, placing the top of the valence band (VB) at -6.68 eV. Similarly, the full photoemission spectrum of BP NSs in Fig. S3c proved that its Fermi level was -1.94 eV and the top of the VB was -5.45 eV. In addition, the band gaps of the MoS<sub>2</sub> and BP were extracted from their respective absorption spectra in Figs. S3b and d, which were 1.56 eV for  $MoS_2$  and 1.60 eV for BP NSs, respectively. Using the formula  $E_{CB} = E_{VB}$  - Eg, the corresponding conduction band (CB) levels of  $MoS_2$  and BP NSs were calculated to be approximately -5.12 and -3.85 eV, respectively.



Figure S4. SERS spectrum of MB ( $10^{-5}$  M) from PMMA-BP@MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites and Raman spectrum of MB powder.

### **Calculation of Enhancement Factor (EF)**

SERS spectrum of MB molecules ( $10^{-5}$  M) adsorbed on PMMA-BP@MoS<sub>2</sub> and Raman spectrum of MB powder (10 mg) were shown in Fig. S4.

To quantitatively assess the SERS enhancement capacity of the PMMA-BP@MoS<sub>2</sub>, the corresponding EF was calculated by the following

equation:<sup>3,4</sup>

$$EF = \frac{I_{SERS}}{I_{bulk}} \times \frac{N_{bulk}}{N_{SERS}}$$
(1)

where  $I_{SERS}$  and  $I_{bulk}$  were the Raman integrated intensities of the MB molecule deposited on the SERS active substrate as well as the MB powder at 1621 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, the obtained  $I_{SERS}$  and  $I_{bulk}$  were  $1.09 \times 10^5$  and  $1.61 \times 10^3$ , respectively.  $N_{bulk}$  was the number of molecules on non-SERS substrates inside the laser spot, while  $N_{SERS}$  was the number of molecules adsorbed on SERS substrates inside the laser spot, respectively. The calculations of  $N_{bulk}$  and  $N_{SERS}$  were related to the specifications of the Raman spectrometer. Firstly, we needed to calculate the diameter of the focused laser spot ( $D_{diamter}$ ):

$$D_{Diameter} = \frac{\lambda}{NA} \times 1.22$$
 (2)

where  $\lambda$  and *NA* (numerical aperture) were 532 nm and 0.55 corresponding to the specification of the Raman spectrometer. Therefore,  $D_{diamter}$  was calculated as 1.18 µm from Equation (2). Simultaneously, equation (3) was applied to estimate the penetration depth of the focused laser spot (*Depth*) into the MB powder and the substrates:

$$D_{depth} = \frac{\lambda}{NA^2}$$
(3)

the result was 1.76  $\mu$ m. Next, we needed to calculate the detection volume irradiated by the laser spot ( $V_{illumination}$ ):

$$V_{illumination} = \frac{1}{3} \times \pi \left(\frac{1.22\lambda}{2NA}\right)^2 \times \frac{\lambda}{NA^2}$$
(4)

Based on the equation,  $V_{illumination}$  was calculated to be  $6.41 \times 10^{-16}$  dm<sup>3</sup>. To facilitate the calculation, 10 mg of solid MB powder was compressed tightly through two clean coverslips into a rectangular shape with length, width, and height of 5, 5, and 0.1 mm, respectively, and the volume of MB powder ( $V_{powder}$ ) was calculated as 2.5 mm<sup>3</sup>. The molecular number of 10 mg MB powder ( $N_{powder}$ ) was calculated by the following equation:

$$N_{powder} = \frac{m_{MB}}{M_{MB}} \times N_A \tag{5}$$

Therefore,  $N_{powder}$  was calculated as  $1.88 \times 10^{19}$ , where  $N_A$  was Avogadro constant. Hence, the volume density of 10 mg MB powder ( $\delta_{powder}$ ) was calculated from the following equation:

$$\delta_{powder} = \frac{N_{powder}}{V_{powder}} \tag{6}$$

so  $\delta_{powder}$  was calculated to be 7.52 × 10<sup>24</sup>, and  $N_{bulk}$  was calculated from the following equation:

$$N_{bulk} = V_{illumination} \times \delta_{powder}$$
 (7)

Based on the equation,  $N_{bulk}$  was calculated to be 4.82 × 10<sup>9</sup>.  $N_{SERS}$  was calculated from the following equation:

$$N_{SERS} = C \times V_{illumination} \times N_A \tag{8}$$

where C was the molar concentration of MB, so  $N_{SERS}$  was calculated to be  $3.86 \times 10^3$ . Finally, the EF of PMMA-BP@MoS<sub>2</sub> was calculated as  $8.45 \times 10^7$ .

#### **Calculation of limit of detection (LOD)**

The LOD is estimated as the analyte concentration corresponding to the sample blank value plus three standard deviation and the expression is  $LOD = X_{b1} + 3S_{b1}$ , where  $X_{b1}$  is the mean concentration of the blank and Sb1 is the standard deviation of the blank.<sup>5</sup> According to this formula, the LOD for E. coli and MB were calculated to be  $5.89 \times 10^3$  CFU/mL and  $6.67 \times 10^{-7}$  M utilizing the linear calibration curve and the sample blank signal.

Vibration mode	MB	
	Observed	References <sup>6</sup>
C–N–C skeletal deformation	447	448
C–N–C skeletal deformation	498	499
C–N symmetrical stretching	1392	1393
C–C ring stretching	1621	1621

**Table S1** Assignment of Raman shift for MB molecule.

#### References

Z. Liu, H. Chen, Y. Jia, W. Zhang, H. Zhao, W. Fan, W. Zhang, H. Zhong, Y. Nia and Z. Guo, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 18795–18804.

2. S. Q. Tang, H. M. Liu, Y. R. Tian, D. Chen, C. J. Gu, G. D. Wei, T. Jiang and J. Zhou, Spectrochim. Acta Part A, 2021, 262, 120092.

S. E. J. Bell, G. Charron, E. Cortés, J. Kneipp, M. L. de la Chapelle, J. Langer, M. Procházka, V. Tran and S. Schlücker, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit, 2020, 59, 5454-5462.

- 4. Y. Chen, H. M. Liu, Y. R. Tian, Y. Y. Du, Y. Ma, S. W. Zeng, C. J. Gu,
- T. Jiang and J. Zhou, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 14386-14399.
- 5. A. Shrivastava and V. Gupta, Chron. Young Sci., 2011, 2, 21–25.
- 6. Y. Kou, T. Wu, H. Zheng, N. R. Kadasala, S. Yang, C. Guo, L. Chen,
- Y. Liu and J. Yang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 14549–14556.