Supporting information for

A Ratiometric Green to Blue Emitting Hg²⁺ Ions Responsive Ionic Liquids-based Microoptode

Shubham Lama, Sabbir Ahamed, Shraddha Rai, Upika Darnal, Subekchha Pradhan, Najmin Tohora, and Sudhir Kumar Das^{*}

Department of Chemistry, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, Darjeeling,

West Bengal-734013, India

*Corresponding author (Dr. S. K. Das, E-mail: sudhirkumardas@nbu.ac.in)

Sl. No.	Descriptions	Page No.
Scheme. S1	Synthetic procedure of HPTIL.	3
Fig. S1	¹ H NMR spectrum (CDCl ₃ , 400 MHz) of HPTIL .	3
Fig. S2	LC-MS spectra of HPTIL (a) positive ion mode and (b) negative ion mode.	4-5
Fig. S3	FTIR spectra of TTPC1 - IL, HPTS, and HPTIL.	5
Fig. S4	The relative frequency vs. zeta potential distribution curve for the determination of the zeta potential of mHPTIL .	6
Fig. S5	(a) UV-visible absorption spectra of optode HPTIL in different percentages of water. (b) UV-visible absorption spectra with normalized absorbance of optode HPTIL in different percentages of water demonstrating the distinct 4 nm red shift with an increase in Mie scattering. (c) Fluorescence spectra of HPTIL in water-DMSO mixed solvents demonstrating ACQ.	6
Fig. S6	Time-dependent stability of mHPTIL under continuous UV exposure.	7
Table. S1	Calculated ΔG values in various temperatures.	7
Fig. S7	Kinetics plot of mHPTIL towards Hg ²⁺ showing pseudo-first- order kinetics	8
Fig. S8	The impact of pH on photoluminosity of mHPTIL with and without Hg^{2+} ions at 25°C.	8
Fig. S9	Distinctions in photoluminescence intensity were detected in urine, urine mixed with mHPTIL , and urine mixed with mHPTIL and Hg^{2+} ions.	9
Table S2	Comparison with the detection limits of sensing Hg ²⁺ ions by various sensors.	9

Table of Contents

Experimental section

General methods and instrumentation

Chemical reagents were procured from Sigma-Aldrich, India, and applied without any further purification. Anhydrous solvents and HPLC-grade solvents for spectroscopy were obtained from Merck, India. We have purchased all of the cationic and anionic salts from Sigma-Aldrich in India, including trihexyltetradecylphosphonium chloride ([TTP]Cl), 8hydroxy pyranine-1, and 3-trisulfonic acid trisodium salt [HPTS]. Also, Deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, India, and employed for NMR spectroscopic analysis. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded using a Bruker Optik GMBH (Germany) FT-IR spectrometer under ambient conditions. Mass spectrometric analysis was performed on a Quattro Micro API (MICROMASS, UK) coupled with an LC-WATERS 2695 system equipped with a PDA2998 detector ESI Negative with capillary voltage 3 kV, Cone -30 V and extractor-3 V employing source and dissolving temperature -90°C and -250°C respectively using dissolving gas-450 Litre/hour with flow rate-10 µL/min. We used a HITACHI U-2910 spectrophotometer for monitoring UV-visible absorption spectra, while fluorescence measurements were performed on a HITACHI F-7100 fluorimeter, employing a 5 nm slit width for both excitation and emission. During steadystate emission studies, the excitation wavelength was set at 330 nm, and emission was monitored over the range of 330-640 nm, respectively. The size and shape of the mHPTIL are estimated by field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (ZEISS) employing an operating voltage of 50 kV by drop casting the required amounts of **mHPTIL** (20 µg/mL) on the carbon-coated copper grids. The average particle size is determined by considering the size of more than 100 particles. The hydrodynamic radius of mHPTIL is measured employing the dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique (Anton Paar Litesizer 500). The zeta potential (ζ) of nanoparticles is also obtained by this instrument using a capillary ζ -cell.

Before the analysis, the stock solution of **HPTIL** was prepared instantly (1×10^{-3} M in DMSO). The solution of numerous inorganic metal salts like AlCl₃, BaCl₂, CaCl₂, CdCl₂, CoCl₂, CuCl₂, ZnCl₂, FeCl₃, HgCl₂, MgCl₂, MnCl₂, NiCl₂, PbCl₂, and FeCl₂ including all the inorganic and organic anion salt like AlPO₄, K₂CO₃, KBr, KCl, NaF, NaBPh₄, Na₂HPO4, NaH₂PO₄, Na₃PO₄, KPF₆, S²⁻ and Na₂SO₄ were prepared instantly in (1×10^{-3} M) concentration in water.

For the fabrication of a paper-based test kit, Whatman-41 filter paper is cut into strips, which are fully immersed in **mHPTIL** aqueous solution (29 μ M) for a while. Finally, the test strips are removed and dried in a hot air oven at 60 °C. Some drops of all the metal ions solution (10⁻³ M) are added to these filter papers.

Synthesis and characterization of HPTIL

We have used an optimized ion-exchange methodology to prepare **HPTIL** as a roomtemperature ionic liquid (RTIL) in quantitative yield, developed by Bakers and colleagues¹ with some modifications. (**Scheme S1**). In a 1:3 ratio, 8-hydroxy pyranine-1, 3, 6-trisulfonic acid trisodium salt [HPTS], and trihexyltetradecylphosphonium chloride ([TTP]Cl) are mixed in a mixture of dichloromethane (DCM)-Water of 2/1 (v/v) ratio with overnight stirring. A bluish fluorescence is seen under the 365 nm UV lamp with a distinct color change from colorless to yellowish in the necked eye. Successful ion exchange is indicated when the water layer (upper layer) becomes colorless. The DCM layer is washed with water several times to remove the byproduct, NaCl, thoroughly. The aqueous layer is further tested with AgNO₃ solution to ensure the complete removal of NaCl and continues until no white precipitate due to the formation of AgCl has appeared. The upper water layer is removed, and to ensure the complete removal of water from DCM, anhydrous Na₂SO₄ is added to absorb the trace amount of water. By evaporating the DCM layer and the remaining water, **HPTILs** are obtained under a vacuum (**Scheme S1**). The as-prepared ILs, **HPTIL**, are fully characterized by NMR, FTIR, and LC-MS analysis.

Synthesis and characterization of mHPTIL

The nano-GUMBOS **mHPTIL** has been fabricated using the reprecipitation method, and with the help of various analytical techniques, it has been characterized. A stock solution of **HPTIL** (1 mg/mL) was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) by dissolving the appropriate amount of **HPTIL** in the solvent. Aqueous suspended nanoparticles were formed by quickly injecting 60 μ L of the HPTIL solution into water, followed by sonication for approximately 30 minutes. The formation of nanoparticles, **mHPTIL**, is confirmed by SEM, DLS, UV–visible absorption, and emission spectral analysis.



Scheme S1. Synthetic procedure of HPTIL.

Characterization: (¹H NMR, CDCl₃, 400 MHz, in ppm units): 10.02 (s, 1H), 9.30 (s, 1H), 9.29 (s, 1H), 9.26 (s, 1H), 9.19 (d, 1H), 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d, 1H), 1.9 - 0.8 (various m, 204H) (Fig. S1).



Fig. S1 ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) of HPTIL.





Fig. S2 LC-MS spectra of HPTIL (a) positive ion mode and (b) negative ion mode.



Fig. S3 FTIR spectra of TTPC1 - IL, HPTS, and HPTIL.



Fig. S4 The relative frequency vs. zeta potential distribution curve for the determination of the zeta potential of **mHPTIL**.



Fig. S5 (a) UV-visible absorption spectra of optode HPTIL in different percentages of water.(b) UV-vis absorption spectra with normalized absorbance of optode HPTIL in different percentages of water demonstrating the distinct 4 nm red shift with an increase in Mie

scattering. (c) Fluorescence spectra of HPTIL in water-DMSO mixed solvents demonstrating ACQ.



Fig. S6 Time-dependent stability of mHPTIL under continuous UV exposure.

Temperature (°C)	$\Delta G (J \text{ mol}^{-1})$	Δ Η (J mol ⁻¹)	$\Delta S (J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$
15	-24728.52686	-23440.58	85.86
25	-25587.15626		
35	-26445.78567		
45	-27304.41507		
55	-28163.04448		
65	-29021.67388		

Table. S1 Calculated ΔG values in various temperatures.



Fig. S7 Kinetics plot of mHPTIL towards Hg²⁺ showing pseudo-first-order kinetics



Fig. S8 The impact of pH on photoluminosity of **mHPTIL** with and without Hg²⁺ ions at 25 °C.



Fig. S9 Distinctions in photoluminescence intensity detected in urine, urine mixed with **mHPTIL**, and urine mixed with **mHPTIL** and Hg^{2+} ions.

Sl. N o	Sensor	Solvent	Sensor type	LOD	LOQ	Porta bility &cost- effecti ve	Application	Ref.
1		Aceton e mediu m	Turn- on	3 μΜ	10 μΜ	YES	NA	2
2		ACN	Turn- on	16 nM	53.3 nM	NA	Metal ion sensor and real sample analysis	3
3	OH V BF	dioxane -water (1:3, v/v) solvent	Turn- off	5 μΜ	16.6 μΜ	NA	Metal ion sensor	4

Table. S2 Comparison with the detection limits of sensing Hg^{2+} ions by various sensors

4	MeCN– HEPES (9:1, v/v) mediu m	Turn- on	30 µM	100 μΜ	NA	Water sample analysis	5
5	1:1 (v/v) acetonit rile/HE PES Buffer (10mM , pH 7.4)	Turn- on	2 μΜ	6.66 μM	NA	Water sample	6
6	THF	Turn- off	17 nM	56.66 nM	YES	Real sample analysis	7
7	EtOH- water (2 : 1, v/v)	Turn- on	150 nM	500 nM	NA	NA	8
8	aqueou s MeCN	Turn- off	90 nM	300 nM	YES	Paper-test strip application	9
9	$CH_{3}CN / H_{2}O (v/v = 3/7)$ solution	Turn- on	1.08 μM	3.6 µМ	NA	Bioimaging in living cells and zebrafish	10
10	DMSO/ H ₂ O (v/v=9/ 1) buffere d by 50mM Tris- HCl at pH=7.0	Turn- off	0.90 μM	3 μΜ	YES	Water sample	11
11	50% (1:1, v/v) H ₂ O/D MSO	Turn- on	0.4 μM	1.33 μΜ	YES	Real sample analysis	12

12		DMSO -water (1 : 1, v/v)	Turn- on	20.7 nM	69 nM	YES	Water sample analysis	13
13		Mixture of THF and water	Turn- off	8.0 ppm	26.6 ppm	YES	Paper-based sensor	14
14		MeCN/ H ₂ O (8:2 v/v)	Turn- on	6.9 μΜ	23 μΜ	NA	Real-time monitoring	15
15		H ₂ O: EtOH= 7:1, v/v	Turn- off	22.5 μΜ	75 μΜ	NA	NA	16
16		Dioxan e- H ₂ O (9:1, v/v)	Turn- off	30 μΜ	100 μΜ	NA	NA	17
17	С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	Acetate buffer aqueou s 10% DMSO	Turn- off	15 μΜ	50 μΜ	NA	NA	18
18		CH ₃ CN -H ₂ O (1:1)	Turn- on	18.1µ M	60.33 μΜ	YES	NA	19
19		Buffer- CH ₃ CN (3:7, v/v, 10 mM, pH=7.4)	Turn- on	36 nM	120 nM	YES	NA	20
20		H ₂ O (Ph 7.4)	Turn- off	0.061 μM	0.2 μΜ	YES	NA	21
21		DMSO- Water (1:99, v/v, HEPES buffer	Ratio- metric	14.7 nM	49 nM	NA	NA	22

		pH=7.2						
22		Ethanol -water (3:7)	Ratio- metric	45.4 nM	151.3 3 nM	NA	Real sample analysis	23
23		DMSO/ Tris- HCl (8:2, v/v, pH=7.0)	Turn- off	31 nM	103.3 3 nM	YES	Real sample analysis	24
24		HEPES buffer (20 mM, 3:7 CH ₃ CN /H ₂ O)	Turn- off	50 nM	166.6 7 nM	YES	Solid sensor	25
25		Ethanol -water (6:4, v/v)	Turn- off	17.8 nM	59.33 nM	NA	NA	26
26		CH ₃ CN -H ₂ O (5:95, v/v)	Turn- on	99 ppm	330 ppm	NA	NA	27
27		Aqueou s (1% DMSO)	Turn- on	591.9 nM	1.9 μΜ	NA	NA	28
28		MeCN- water (1 : 1, v/v)	Turn- on	100 nM	0.33 μΜ	NA	NA	29
29	но с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с	MeOH- Tris- HCl (95:5, v/v, pH=7.2)	Turn- off	7.38 nM	24.6 nM	NA	NA	30

30	но соон соон	Aqueou s mediu m	Turn- off	0.34 μM	1.13 μM	YES	Real-time sensing	31
31		Aqueou s mediu m	Ratio- metric	0.138 ppm	0.46 ppm	YES	Real sample analysis	32
32		EtOH- water (5/5, v/v, HEPES pH = 7.4)	Turn- on	55 nM	183.3 nM	NA	NA	33
33		THF- water (1 : 1, v/v)	Ratio- metric	0.31 μΜ	1.03 μΜ	NA	NA	34
34		HEPES buffer/ DMSO (v/v = 9 : 1, pH = 7.2)	Turn- on	70 nM	0.23 μΜ	NA	biological systems	35
35		PBS/Et OH (9 : 1, v/v) mediu m	Turn- on	9.1 nM	30.33 nM	NA	Real sample analysis	36
36		HEPES buffer solution (20 mM, pH 7.4, 1% EtOH)	Turn- on	40 nM	133.3 nM	NA	NA	37
37		ACN	Colori- metric	9.22 nM	30.73 nM	NA	Multiple metal sensor	38
38		methan ol- water (1:1) mixture	Colori- metric	47 μΜ	156.6 6 μΜ	YES	Real sample analysis	39
39		EtOH– water (1 : 1,	Turn- on	10.3 nM	34.33 μΜ	YES	Real sample analysis	40

		v/v)						
40	mHPTIL	100%	Ratiom	1.67	5.56	YES	Real	This
		water	etric	nM	nM		sample	wor
							analysis	k

References

- K. Y. Yung, A. J. S. Hewitt, N. P. Hunter, F. V. Bright and G. A. Baker, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 4775–4777.
- L. Zong, C. Wang, Y. Song, Y. Xie, P. Zhang, Q. Peng, Q. Li and Z. Li, Sensors Actuators B Chem., 2017, 252, 1105–1111.
- J. Hu, X. Yu, X. Zhang, C. Jing, T. Liu, X. Hu, S. Lu, K. Uvdal, H. W. Gao and Z. Hu, *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.*, 2020, **241**, 118657.
- S. Y. Moon, N. R. Cha, Y. H. Kim and S. K. Chang, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 181–
 183.
- 5 M. Hong, S. Lu, F. Lv and D. Xu, *Dye. Pigment.*, 2016, **127**, 94–99.
- 6 R. Singh and G. Das, *Sensors Actuators B Chem.*, 2018, **258**, 478–483.
- N. Tohora, R. Sahoo, S. Ahamed, J. Chourasia, S. Lama, M. Mahato, S. Ali and S. K.
 Das, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, DOI:10.1039/D4CP04660A.
- 8 M. Ozdemir, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem., 2016, **318**, 7–13.
- 9 Chethanakumar, M. Budri, K. B. Gudasi, R. S. Vadavi and S. S. Bhat, J. Fluoresc., 2023, 33, 539–551.
- C. G. Chen, N. Vijay, N. Thirumalaivasan, S. Velmathi and S. P. Wu, Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 2019, 219, 135–140.
- L. Feng, W. Shi, J. Ma, Y. Chen, F. Kui, Y. Hui and Z. Xie, *Sensors Actuators B Chem.*, 2016, 237, 563–569.
- T. Sultana, M. Mahato, S. Ahamed, N. Tohora, J. Chourasia, S. Ghanta and S. Kumar Das, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem., 2025, 459, 116028.

- G. Yang, X. Meng, S. Fang, H. Duan, L. Wang and Z. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 8529–8536.
- 14 E. G. C. Ergun, New J. Chem., 2021, 45, 4202–4209.
- T. Rasheed, F. Nabeel, M. Bilal, Y. Zhao, M. Adeel, H. M. N. Iqbal, T. Rasheed, F. Nabeel, M. Bilal, Y. Zhao, M. Adeel and H. M. N. Iqbal, *Math. Biosci. Eng. 2019* 41861, 2019, 16, 1861–1873.
- H. H. Wu, Y. L. Sun, C. F. Wan, S. T. Yang, S. J. Chen, C. H. Hu and A. T. Wu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, 53, 1169–1172.
- Y. H. Kim, S. Y. Jin, Y. M. So, J. I. Choe and S. K. Chang, *Chem. Lett.*, 2004, 33, 702–703.
- M. G. Choi, H. De Ryu, H. L. Jeon, S. Cha, J. Cho, H. H. Joo, K. S. Hong, C. Lee, S. Ann and S. K. Chang, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, 10, 3717–3720.
- A. Maity, A. Sil, S. Nad and S. K. Patra, *Sensors Actuators B Chem.*, 2018, 255, 299–308.
- C. B. Bai, P. Xu, J. Zhang, R. Qiao, M. Y. Chen, M. Y. Mei, B. Wei, C. Wang, L.
 Zhang and S. S. Chen, *ACS Omega*, 2019, 4, 14621–14625.
- 21 A. Kumar, R. Ananthakrishnan, G. Jana, P. K. Chattaraj, S. Nayak and S. K. Ghosh, *ChemistrySelect*, 2019, 4, 4810–4819.
- B. Muzey and A. Naseem, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem., 2020, 391, 112354.
- Y. Yuan, X. Chen, Q. Chen, G. Jiang, H. Wang and J. Wang, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2019, 585, 113403.
- 24 Y. Li, W. Shi, J. Ma, X. Wang, X. Kong, Y. Zhang, L. Feng, Y. Hui and Z. Xie, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem., 2017, 338, 1–7.
- 25 Shaily, A. Kumar and N. Ahmed, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **56**, 6358–6368.
- 26 K. M. Vengaian, C. D. Britto, K. Sekar, G. Sivaraman and S. Singaravadivel, Sensors

Actuators B Chem., 2016, 235, 232–240.

- M. Lo Presti, R. Martínez-Máñez, J. V. Ros-Lis, R. M. F. Batista, S. P. G. Costa, M. M. Raposo and F. Sancenón, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, 42, 7863–7868.
- 28 R. X. Zhang, P. F. Li, W. J. Zhang, N. Li and N. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2016, 4, 10479–10485.
- 29 G. Singh, S. I. Reja, V. Bhalla, D. Kaur, P. Kaur, S. Arora and M. Kumar, Sensors Actuators B Chem., 2017, 249, 311–320.
- 30 P. Piyanuch, S. Watpathomsub, V. S. Lee, H. A. Nienaber and N. Wanichacheva, Sensors Actuators B Chem., 2016, 224, 201–208.
- 31 R. V. Rathod, S. Bera, P. Maity and D. Mondal, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 4982–4990.
- J. Wang, Q. Rao, H. Wang, Q. Zhang, G. Liu, Z. Wu, J. Yu, X. Zhu, Y. Tian and H.
 Zhou, *Anal. Methods*, 2019, 11, 227–231.
- 33 J. Xu, Z. Xu, Z. Wang, C. Liu, B. Zhu, X. Wang, K. Wang, J. Wang and G. Sang, *Luminescence*, 2018, **33**, 219–224.
- 34 T. Leng, Y. Ma and G. Chen, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem., 2018, 353, 143–149.
- 35 Y. Gao, C. Zhang, S. Peng and H. Chen, *Sensors Actuators B Chem.*, 2017, 238, 455–461.
- 36 Y. Li, S. Qi, C. Xia, Y. Xu, G. Duan and Y. Ge, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2019, 1077, 243–248.
- A. Picard-Lafond, D. Larivière and D. Boudreau, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 701–711.
- X. Cao, Y. Li, Y. Yu, S. Fu, A. Gao and X. Chang, *Nanoscale*, 2019, 11, 10911– 10920.
- 39 T. Anand and S. K. Sahoo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 11839–11845.
- 40 L. Lan, Q. Niu and T. Li, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2018, 1023, 105–114.