A stable Zn-coordination polymer for the quantitative and selective

detection of biomarker phenylglyoxylic acid in urine

Rongqi Wang^{2,3,†}, Ruxue Wang^{4,†}, Zeqin Chen¹, Laiwen Li¹, Xinyu Zong⁵, Jing Wang^{1,*}, Ziao Zong^{1,*} ¹Key Laboratory of Research on Environmental Pollution and Health Risk Assessment, Youjiang Medical University for Nationalities, Baise, Guangxi 533000, PR China

²Affiliated Hospital of Youjiang Medical University for Nationalities, Baise, Guangxi 533000, China

³Key Laboratory of Biomedical Material Research of Guangxi (Cultivation), Baise, Guangxi 533000, China

⁴College of Biological Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, Shandong 266042, P.R. China

⁵ School of Public Health, Guilin Medical University, Guilin, Guangxi 541199, P.R. China

*Correspondence: wangjing@ymcn.edu.cn (J. W) zongziao@126.com (Z.-A. Z)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.



Figure S1 FT-IR curves of 1.



Figure S2 TGA curves of 1.



Figure S3 Solid-state fluorescence emissions of $\mathbf{1}$, H_2L and bibp.



Figure S4 Fluorescence emissions of 1 in aqueous solutions with different pH values.



Figure S5 (a)Cyclic stability of **1** for the detection of PGA. (b) PXRD patterns of **1** before and after soaking in the solution of PGA.



Figure S6 UV–Vis absorption spectra of different urine components and the fluorescence emission/excitation bands of **1** in water.



Selected bond length	s	Selected bond lengths angle	
Zn1—O2	1.955(2)	O2—Zn1—O3 ⁱ	108.67(10)
Zn1—O3 ⁱ	1.959(2)	O2—Zn1—N1	121.58(11)
Zn1—N1	2.008(3)	O2—Zn1—N4 ⁱⁱ	109.40(11)
Zn1—N4 ⁱⁱ	2.036(3)	O3 ⁱ —Zn1—N1	112.45(11)
		O3 ⁱ —Zn1—N4 ⁱⁱ	95.92(11)
		N1—Zn1—N4 ⁱⁱ	105.67(11)

Table S1 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1

Symmetry code: (i) *x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (ii) -*x*+7/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*+3/2.

Table S2 Non-bonding interactions geometry (Å, °)

Complex	D—H…A	d(D—H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	∠DHA
O ₅ - C ₂₀ 1 C ₆₂ C ₁₄	O ₅ —H _{5A} …N ₉	0.767	2.286	2.937	143.27
	C_{20} — $H_{20}O_5$	0.940	2.364	3.116	136.78
	C_{62} — H_{62} O_1	0.940	2.612	3.205	121.55
	C ₁₄ —H ₁₄ O ₅	0.940	2.691	3.605	164.35

Table S3 Comparison of various methods used for sensing PGA

Method	Material	Sample	LOD (µM)	Ref
	LCP 1	Water	3.4	
Luminescence	LCP 2	Water	3.8	S1
	LCP 3	Water	5.8	
HPLC-MS	/	Urine	6.67	S2
Capillary electrophoresis (CE)	/	Urine	20	S3
Voltammetry	/	Urine	33	S4
Potentiometry	Salen-Co(III)	Water	1.96	S5
Luminescence	1	Urine	2.67	This work

Complex	Add	Found	Recovery	RSD
	(µM)	(µM)	(%)	(%)
1	5.00	4.73	94.60	3.57
	10.00	11.54	115.40	3.48
	15.00	14.92	99.47%	2.31

Table S4 1 was used to detect the concentration of PGA in human urine.

References

- [S1] S. Wu, M. Zhu, Y. Zhang, M. Kosinova, V. P. Fedin and E. Gao, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2020, 35.
- [S2] J. Z. Wang , X. J. Wang , Y. H. Tang , S. J. Shen , Y. X. Jin and S. Zeng , J. Chromatogr. B: Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci., 2006, 840, 50—55.
- [S3] C. Y. Wang, C. T. Huang and Y. Z. Hsieh, J. Sep. Sci., 2003, 26, 69-74.
- [S4] T. Navrátil, Z. Šenholdová, K. Shanmugam and J. Barek, Electroanalysis, 2006, 18, 201–206.
- [S5] B. Li, J. Hou, Y. Y. ang, S. Chen and L. Xu, Chem. Sens., 2011, 01.