Validated green ultra-fast UPLC-MS/MS method for the determination of fedratinib in HLMs matrix: Application to in vitro and in silico metabolic stability studies

Mohamed W. Attwa *, Ali S. Abdelhameed, Adnan A. Kadi

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, P.O. Box

2457, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia.

*Correspondence to: Dr. Mohamed W. Attwa Fax: +966 1146 76 220 Tel.: +966 1146 70237 E-mail: <u>mzeidan@ksu.edu.sa</u> Supplementary data

Figures







Fig. S2. The outlined CSL mark of 0.9983 implies that FDB displays a high lability to metabolic procedures. The resultant scores were calculated employing the P450 program.



Fig. S3. The FDB ADME radar chart was employed using the in silico SwissADME program. LIPO (Lipophilicity) is estimated as XLOGP3 = +4.76. SIZE (Average molecular weight): 524.68 g/mol; INSOLU (Solubility): log S \leq 9.51; FLEX (Flexibility): 11 rotatable bonds; POLAR (Polarity): TPSA 116.86 Å²; Saturation (INSATU): fraction of carbons in sp³ hybridization 0.41.



Fig. S4. The FDB structural alarms were characterized applying the DEREK program and highlighted in red color.



Fig. S5. Two segments chromatogram for p-hydroxy phenytoin (A) and phenytoin (B).



Fig. S6. Mass spectra for p-hydroxy phenytoin.

Tables

Table S1. The ADME parameters of FDB	3 were assessed applying the online SwissADME
program.	

Physicochemical fea	tures	Water S	olubility		
Formula	C ₂₇ H ₃₆ N	V ₆ O ₃ S Solubilit	y g	9.86e-04 mg/ml ; 1.88e-06 mol/l	
Heavy atoms num.	37	Log S (E	SOL) -	-5.73	
Molecular weight	524.68	g/mol Class	1	Moderately soluble	
Rotatable bonds num	ber 11	Solubilit	y É	5.96e-05 mg/ml ; 1.14e-07 mol/l	
Arom. heavy atoms n	umber 18	Log S (A	li) -	-6.94	
Fraction Csp3	0.41	Class	I	Poorly soluble	
Number of H-bond ad Number of H-bond de	cceptors 7	Solubilit Log S (S Class	y 3 ILICOS-IT) - I	3.69e-07 mg/ml ; 7.03e-10 mol/l -9.15 Poorly soluble	
TPSA	116.86	Å ² Medicin	al Chemistry		
Molar Refractivity	151.66	PAINS	ar enemistry	0 alert	
Lipophilicity	131.00	Leadlike	ness	No; 3 violations: XLOGP3>3.5, MW>350, Rotors>7	
XLOGP3 (Log Po/w)	4.76	Brenk		0 alert	
Log Po/w (SILICOS-	Synthetic	accessibility	4.18		
Log Po/w (iLOGP)	4.21	Pharma	Pharmacokinetics		
WLOGP (Log Po/w)	5.52	P-gp sub	strate	No	
MLOGP (Log Po/w)	2.06	GI absor	ption	Low	
Consensus Log Po/w	3.90	BBB per	meant	No	
Druglikeness		CYP1A2	inhibition	No	
Ghose No M	o; 3 violations: #atoms W>480, MR>130,	s>70, CYP2D6	inhibition	Yes	
Lipinski Ye	es; 1 violation: MW>5	500 CYP3A4	inhibition	Yes	
Egan Yes		CYP2C1	9 inhibition	Yes	
Muegge Yes		Skin peri	neation (Log Kp)	-6.12 cm/s	
Veber Ro	otors>10: No; 1 violati	ion Inhibition	n of CYP2C9	Yes	
The score of 0.5 bioavailability	55				

	Extraction Recovery		Mobile Phase		Stationary Phase		
	Protein Precipitation	Solid Phase	ACN	Methanol	C18 Column	C8 Column	HILLIC Column
	Using ACN	Extraction					
FDB	High (101.96%± 5.99%)	Low (80.37%)	0.26 min	0.36 min	0.26 min	0.21 min	0.12
ГDВ	Precise (RSD < 5.88%)	Not precise	Optimum peak	Tailed	Good shape	Tailed peaks	Unretained peak
ENB	High (101.61 \pm 3.32 and)	Low (72.61%)	0.62 min	0.41 min	0.62 min	0.43 min	0.14
LIND	Precise (RSD < 3.18%)	Not precise	Optimum peak shape	Overlapped	Perfect shape	Perfect shape	Unretained peak

Table S2.	Optimized	features of	of the	present	UPLO	C-MS/MS	method	for FDB	and I	ENB.

UPL	C conditions	MS	/MS (TQD MS) conditions
	0.1% HCOOH in H ₂ O (55%; pH: 3.2)		The flow rate of cone gas is 100 liters per hour.
	45% ACN		Positive mode
Isocratic mobile phase	Injection volume: 5.0 µl		The voltage of the RF lens is measured to be 0.1 volts.
	Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min.	ESI	The capillary voltage utilized in this experiment was set at 4 kilovolts (KV).
Eclipse SB C18 reversed column	30.0 mm long		The voltage of the extractor is 3.0 volts.
	2.1 mm i.d.		The drying gas used in this experiment is nitrogen, which is maintained at a temperature of 350 °C. The flow rate of nitrogen is set at 100 L/hr.
	1.8 µm particle size	Mode	MRM
	T: $22.0 \pm 2.0 \ ^{\circ}C$	Collision cell	Argon gas at 0.14 mL/min

 Table S3. UPLC-MS/MS optimized features.

	Time in min.	Rt in min.	Analyte	MRM features
Mass spectra segment	0.0 to 0.5		EDD	$525 \rightarrow 98 \text{ (CE a 42 and CV b 58)}$
		FDB (0.26)	FDB	525→ 84 (CE: 40 and CV: 58)
	0.5 to 1.0	ENB (0.62)	END (IC)	$540 \rightarrow 359 \text{ (CV: } 36 \text{ and } \text{CE: } 56\text{)}$
			ENB (15)	$540 \rightarrow 112 \text{ (CV: 32 and CE: 56)}$

Table S4. MRM-tuned parameters for the determination of FDB and ENB.

^a Collision energy, ^b cone voltage.

 Table S5. The greenness calculation of the established UPLC-MS/MS method.

Standards	Mark	Weight
1. Utilize direct approaches of analysis to circumvent the necessity for sample treatment.	0.3	2
2. The aims are to accomplish a limited sum of samples and a minimal sample size.	0.98	2
3. Whenever feasible, it is advisable to conduct observations on site.	0.66	2
4. The amalgamation of operational procedures and analytical approaches leads to conserving energy and a reduction in reagent usage.	1.0	2
5. One should choose automated and miniaturized approaches.	0.75	2
6. Avoidance of derivatization is recommended.	1.0	2
7. It is important to prevent the generation of a crucial amount of analytical surplus and confirm that appropriate measures are in place for its control.	1.0	2
8. Methods that analyze many analytes or parameters simultaneously are favored over methods that analyze one analyte at a time.	1.0	2
9. Energy consumption should be reduced.	0.0	2
10. It is sensible to arrange reagents that are origenated from natural resources.	0.5	2
11. It is important to remove or substitute toxic reagents.	1.0	3
12. The level of safety for operators should be enhanced.	0.8	2

Table S6.	MoGAPI	scores	for the	proposed	UPLC-MS/N	/IS approach.
-----------	--------	--------	---------	----------	-----------	---------------

Category	
Sample preparation	
Collection (1)	Offline (Red 1)
Preservation (2)	Chemical or physical (Yellow 2)
Transport (3)	None (Green 3)
Storage (4)	Under special conditions (Red 1)
Type of method: direct or indirect (5)	Extraction required (Red 1)
Scale of extraction (6)	Microextraction (Yellow 2)
Solvents/reagents used (7)	Non-green solvents/reagents used (Red 1)
Additional treatments (8)	None (Green 3)
Reagents and solven	ts
Amount (9)	<10 mL (<10 g) (Green 3)
Health hazard (10)	Slightly toxic, slightly irritant; NFPA health hazard score is 0 or 1 (Green 3)
Safety hazard (11)	Highest NFPA flammability, instability score of 0 or 1. No special hazards. (Green 3)
Instrumentation	
Energy (12)	>1.5 kW h per sample
Occupational hazard	Hermetization of the analytical process

Category				
(13)	(Green 3)			
Waste (14)	<1 mL (<1 g) (Green 3)			
Waste treatment (15)	Degradation, passivation (Yellow 2)			
ADDITIONAL MARK: QUANTIFICATION				
No oval in the midd (1)	e of GAPI: Procedure only for qualification			