Supplementary materials for

Bacteria-responsive cytoderm drug delivery system

Chenmeng Zhou¹, Yue Zhang¹, Bo Tian², Yue Yu¹, Dongxiao Li², Bingbing Wu¹, Wenju Chang², Tongguo Shi³, Fang Xu^{1*}, Jinyu Bai^{2*}, Chao Wang^{1*}

¹ Institute of Functional Nano & Soft Materials (FUNSOM), Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Carbon-based Functional Materials and Devices, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, China

² Department of Orthopedics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, China

³ Jiangsu Institute of Clinical Immunology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University Suzhou 215000, China

* Corresponding author: Jinyu Bai: baijy@suda.edu.cn, Chao Wang: cwang@suda.edu.cn, Fang Xu: fangx@suda.edu.cn

Content:

Figure. S1 Preparation and characterization of PCGs.

Figure. S2 In vitro biocompatibility of PCGs.

Figure. S3 Interaction between PCGs and S. aureus.

Figure. S4 Loading capacity of PCGs to ciprofloxacin.

Figure. S5 Versatility of PCGs drug delivery system.

Figure. S6 Body weights of mice after different treatments.

Figure. S7 Coactions between PCGs and intratumor bacteria.

Figure. S8 Drug loading properties of PCGs.

Figure. S9 Intratumor bacteria mediated the release of drug in vivo.

Figure. S10 Tumor therapeutic efficacy with PCG@DOX.

Figure. S11 PCG@aPD-1 enhanced the anti-tumor immune responses.

Figure. S12 PCGs within good biosecurity.

Table S1 Materials and antibodies.



Figure S1. Preparation and characterization of PCGs. A. Representative SEM images of PCGs obtained by extraction with different processing time. Scale bar=20 μ m. B. The corresponding energy dispersive X-ray energy spectrum of PCGs. C. The corresponding element distribution of cellulose powder. Scale bar=10 μ m. D. The corresponding energy dispersive X-ray energy spectrum of purchased cellulose. E. The size distribution of PCGs powder and solution (n>100). F. Size distribution of PCGs in PBS at room temperature and 4 °C for different time. The data are presented as means ± SD.



Figure S2. Biocompatibility of PCGs. A. Representative picture of hemolysis test for PCGs. **B-E.** Cytotoxicity of PCGs. Cell viability of B16F10 cells (**B**), RAW264.7 (**C**), DC2.4 (**D**) and mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) (**E**) treated with PCGs (n=6). The data are presented as means \pm SD.



Figure S3. Interaction between PCGs and S. aureus. A. Representative SEM images of the microstructure of PCGs with or without S. aureus cocultured for 168 h. Scale bar=2 μ m. B. Proliferation of S. aureus during bacterial interaction. C. Size decreased ratio of PCGs after incubated with different concentrations of S. aureus. D. Changes in zeta potential of PCGs during bacterial interaction. E. Release ratio of Cy5.5 at acute and remission phase of S. aureus-infection in vivo. The data are presented as means ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-tests. ****p < 0.0001; ***p < 0.001.



Figure S4. Loading capacity of PCGs to ciprofloxacin. A. Infrared spectrogram of PCG, CIP and PCG@CIP. B. The loading amount and loading percentage of CIP into PCGs (n=5). The data are presented as means \pm SD.



Figure S5. Versatility of PCGs drug delivery system. A. *In vitro* cumulative release profiles of Cy5.5 from Cy5.5-labelled PCGs with or without *E. coli.* **B, C.** Representative photographs of *E. coli* colonies formed on LB-agar plates (**B**) and relevant statistical analysis (**C**) after cocultured with PBS, CIP and PCG@CIP *in vitro* (n=5). **D.** *In vitro* cumulative release profiles of Cy5.5 from Cy5.5-labelled PCGs with or without *P. aeruginosa.* **E, F.** Representative photographs of *P. aeruginosa* colonies formed on LB-agar plates (**E**) and relevant statistical analysis (**F**) after cocultured with PBS, CIP and PCG@CIP *in vitro* (n=5). The data are presented as means \pm SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-tests and one-way ANOVA with the Tukey post hoc test. ****p < 0.0001; ***p < 0.001; ***p < 0.01.



Figure S6. Body weights of mice after different treatments. The data are presented as means \pm SD.



Figure S7. Coactions between PCGs and intratumor bacteria. A. Proliferation of intratumor bacteria. B. Representative SEM images of the microstructure of PCGs after cocultured with or without the presence of intratumor bacteria for 96 h. Scale bar=10 μ m (left), 2 μ m (right). C. Representative SEM images of the macrostructure of PCGs after cocultured with intratumor bacteria for 96 h. Scale bar=20 μ m. D. Average size of PCGs after incubation with intratumor bacteria for different time. E. The size of PCGs, intratumor bacteria and PCGs with intratumor bacteria. F. The size distribution of PCGs with or without the presence of intratumor bacteria for 96 h according to SEM images. G. Changes in zeta potential of PCGs during bacterial interaction. H. Size decreased ratio of PCGs after incubated with different concentrations of intratumor bacteria. The data are presented as means ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-tests. ****p < 0.0001.



Figure S8. Drug loading properties of PCGs. A. Infrared spectrogram of PCG, DOX and PCG@DOX. B. UV-vis absorption of PCG, DOX and PCG@DOX. C. The zeta potential of PCG, DOX and PCG@DOX (n=5). D. Representative confocal images of PCGs loaded with DOX. Scale bar=20 μ m. E. Infrared spectrogram of PCG, IgG antibody and PCG@IgG. F. UV–vis absorption of PCG, IgG antibody and PCG@IgG. G. The loading amount and loading percentage of α PD-1 antibody into PCGs (n=4). The data are presented as means ± SD.



Figure S9. Intratumor bacteria mediated the release of drug *in vivo*. A. Representative fluorescence imaging of tumor-bearing mice after intratumor injection of PCG@IgG-Cy5.5 at different time points. B. Quantification of the fluorescence intensity of Cy5.5 at different time points (n=6). The data are presented as means \pm SD.



Figure S10. Tumor therapeutic efficacy with PCG@DOX. Individual tumor growth curves after different treatments (n=5).



Figure S11. PCG@aPD-1 enhanced the anti-tumor immune responses. A. Corresponding quantitative analysis of CD4⁺ T cells in the tumor. **B.** Representative flow cytometry plots of LAG-3⁺ in CD8⁺ T cells. **C.** Corresponding quantitative analysis of LAG-3⁺ in CD8⁺ T cells. **D.** Corresponding quantitative analysis of TIM-3⁺ in CD8⁺ T cells. **E.** Corresponding quantitative analysis of IL-4⁺ in CD4⁺ T cells. **F.** Representative flow cytometry plots of Gr-1⁺ in CD11b⁺ cells for tumor. **G.** Corresponding quantitative analysis of Gr-1⁺ in CD11b⁺ cells. The data are presented as means ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-tests and one-way ANOVA with the Tukey post hoc test. ****p < 0.0001; ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; ns, no significance.



Figure S12. PCGs within good biosecurity. A. HE sections of major organs confirmed the good biosafety of PCGs. Scale bar=100 μ m. B. Blood routine index after treating with PCGs showed slight changes indicating the good biocompatibility. The data are presented as means \pm SD.

Material	Manufacturer	Catalog Number
Sodium carbonate anhydrous	Macklin	C10112442
Potassium bromide	ACMEC	P387386CE
α-Cellulose	Aladdin	D2122119
BeyoPure LB Broth (premixed powder)	Beyotime	ST156
Agar	Macklin	C14089442
High Glucose DMEM	Vivacell	2409079
Penicillin-Streptomycin Solution	Seven biotech	SC118-01
Trypsin 0.25% Solution	Seven biotech	SC107-01
PBS	Seven biotech	24EB1002
Ciprofloxacin	TCL	HRKFM-GD
Doxorubicin hydrochloride	Meryer	M12008
Mouse IgG powder	Solarbio	SP031
Cy5.5 NHS ester	Yuanye Bio	S27624
InVivoMAb anti-mouse PD-1(CD279)	BioXcell	BE0146
Western and IP cell lysis buffer	Beyotime	P0013
Rat IgG Total Uncoated ELISA kit	Invitrogen	293062-015
Enhanced BCA Protein Assay Kit	Beyotime	P0009
N01/Pl Live/Dead Bacterial Double Stain Kit	Bestbio	BB-41266
Mouse IFNg Uncoated ELISA kit	Invitrogen	274934-006
Mouse TNF alpha Uncoated ELISA kit	Invitrogen	328775-002
Mouse IL-6 Uncoated ELISA Kit	Invitrogen	330384-001
Crystal Violet Staining Solution	Seven biotech	24BD0480
PE anti-mouse CD45	Biolegend	103105
PE anti-mouse CD3	Biolegend	100206
FITC anti-mouse CD4	Biolegend	100406
APC anti-mouse CD8a	Biolegend	100712
PE anti-mouse CD8a	Biolegend	162304
FITC anti-mouse CD8a	Biolegend	100706
APC anti-human/mouse Granzyme B Recombinant	Biolegend	396408
PE anti-mouse IFN-γ	Biolegend	505808
FITC anti-mouse TNFα	Biolegend	506304
PE/Dazzle ^{TM 594} anti-mouse CD223 (LAG-3)	Biolegend	125223
APC anti-mouse CD366 (Tim-3)	Biolegend	134008
APC anti-mouse IL-4	Biolegend	504106
APC anti-mouse Ly-6G/Ly-6C (Gr-1)	Biolegend	108412
FITC anti-mouse/human CD11b	Biolegend	101206

Table S1 Materials and antibodies