Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI†)

High-efficient Pt catalyst immobilized on hierarchical N-doped carbon nanocages for preferential oxidation of CO in H₂

Lijie Yan, Xinyi Wang, Changkai Zhou, Haojie Yu, Lijun Yang, Qiang Wu*, Xizhang Wang*, Yining Fan and Zheng Hu

Key Laboratory of Mesoscopic Chemistry of MOE and Jiangsu Provincial Laboratory for Nanotechnology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China

E-mail: wangxzh@nju.edu.cn; wqchem@nju.edu.cn.

Experimental Section

Materials synthesis

The carbon-based nanocages were synthesized at 900 °C by the *in situ* MgO template method with respective benzene or pyridine precursor, similar to our previous reports.^{1,2} The thickness of carbon layers can be well regulated by changing the dosage of the precursors. After removing the template, the collapsed nitrogen-doped carbon nanocages (cNCNC) were obtained via capillarity compression because of its thin carbon layers. Carbon black (Vulcan XC-72R) was purchased from Acros, denoted as CB.

Supported Pt catalysts were synthesized by impregnation, freeze-drying and H_2 reduction. Typically, hNCNC was dispersed in an aqueous solution containing appropriate amount of $H_2PtCl_6 H_2O$. The mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 10 h, then freeze-dried for 24 h. The resulting powder was then reduced in H_2 atmosphere at 300 °C for 2 h, leading to the Pt/hNCNC. Pt/hCNC, Pt/cNCNC and Pt/CB were prepared by a similar impregnation and H_2 reduction process. The Pt mass loading is 4 wt.% for all the catalysts.

Characterization

The composition of the samples was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (PerkinElmer, Avio500), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ULVAC-PHI INC, PHI 5000 VersaProbe, Al K_{α}). The binding energies of XPS spectra refer to C 1s at 284.6 eV. The morphology and structure of the samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Philips X'pert Pro X-ray diffractometer), and high-resolution

transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JEOL-JEM-2100). N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured on Thermo Fisher Scientific Surfer Gas Adsorption Porosimeter at 77 K after degassed at 300 °C for 6 h. The specific surface area and pore size distribution were calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET, $0.05 < p/p_0 < 0.3$), Horvath-Kawazoe (HK, <2 nm micropores) and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH, >2 nm mesopores and macropores) methods from the adsorption branch data, respectively.

CO temperature-programmed desorption (CO-TPD) measurements were conducted by using 50 mg sample pre-reduced in H₂ flow at 300 °C for 2 h. After the adsorption of CO for 30 min, the temperature was elevated to 400 °C with a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, and the desorbed CO was detected by on-line mass spectrometry.

Activity measurements

Preferential oxidation of CO in H₂ experiments were performed in a continuous flow fixedbed quartz-glass microreactor (i.d. =6 nm) at atmospheric pressure. 20 mg of catalyst was mixed with 1.0 g of quartz sand (40-60 mesh). All the catalysts were *in situ* reduced for 120 min in H₂ at 300 °C before the catalytic test. The reaction gas mixture consists of 1 vol.% CO, 1 vol.% O₂, 49 vol.% H₂ and N₂. The weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) of total gaseous reactant was 24,000 mL g⁻¹ h⁻¹. The reactants and products were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, Qiyang GC9860), using a 5A molecular sieve column (2 m, 3 mm) connecting to a flame ionization detector. The CO conversion and the CO₂ selectivity are calculated as follows:

$$CO \ conversion(\%) = \frac{[CO]_{in} - [CO]_{out}}{[CO]_{in}} \times 100$$

$$CO_2 \text{ selectivity}(\%) = \left\{ 0.5 \times \frac{[CO]_{in} - [CO]_{out}}{[O_2]_{in} - [O_2]_{out}} \right\} \times 100$$

For kinetic measurement, the sample was diluted with quartz sand in a weight ratio of 1/30 and the performance was detected at a relatively high space velocity to ensure the conversions of all reactants below 20 %. The CO conversions (X_{CO}) were used to calculate the CO oxidation rates (mol_{CO} g_{Pt}⁻¹ h⁻¹):

$$r_{CO} = \frac{X_{CO} \cdot N_{CO}}{m_{Pt}}$$

Where m_{Pt} was the mass of Pt in the reactor bed, N_{CO} was CO molar flow rate in mol h⁻¹.

Mass flows of CO (99.9%), H_2 (99.999%), O_2 (99.999%), N_2 (99.999%, internal standard), and CO₂ (99.99%) were controlled by mass flow controllers (STEC).



Figure S1. XRD patterns of Pt/CB, Pt/hCNC, Pt/cNCNC and Pt/hNCNC.



Figure S2. N 1s XPS spectra of hNCNC, Pt/hNCNC and Pt/cNCNC.



Figure S3. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of CB, hCNC, cNCNC, and hNCNC.

The specific surface areas (SSA) of CB, hCNC, cNCNC, and hNCNC are 208.28 m² g⁻¹, 1050.6 m² g⁻¹, 1441.5 m² g⁻¹, 1447.3 m² g⁻¹, respectively.



Figure S4. TEM image and corresponding Pt particle size distribution of Pt/hNCNC after the stability test at 180 °C for 100 h.



Figure S5. TEM images and Pt particle size distributions of Pt/hNCNC catalysts with different Pt loadings. (a) 1 wt.%, (b) 2 wt.%, (c) 3 wt.%, (d) 4 wt.%, (e) 6 wt.%.



Figure S6. XRD patterns of Pt/hNCNC catalysts with different Pt loadings.



Figure S7. PROX performances of Pt/hNCNC catalysts with different Pt loadings. (a) CO conversion, (b) CO selectivity. Conditions: 1% CO, 1% O_2 and 49% H_2 balanced in nitrogen; space velocity: 24,000 mL g⁻¹ h⁻¹; 0.1 MPa.



Figure S8. The modes for the adsorption of an O_2 molecule on $Pt_4/hCNC$ and $Pt_4/hNCNC$.

Table S1. The Pt loading of Pt/CB, Pt/hCNC, Pt/cNCNC, and Pt/hNCNC analyzed by ICP-OES.

Sample	Pt/CB	Pt/hCNC	Pt/cNCNC	Pt/hNCNC
Pt (wt.%)	3.99	3.98	4.01	3.99

Catalysts	Pt loadings (wt%)	Composition of feed gas (%)		ion of (%)	Space velocity	Maximal CO	Temperature window for the		Def
		со	0,	H ₂	$(mL h^{-1} g_{cat}^{-1})$	conversion (%)	maximal CO conversion (°C)	ΔT(°C)	Ref
Pt/hNCNC	1	1	1	49	24000	100	160-180	20	This work
	2	1	1	49	24000	100	120-180	60	
	4	1	1	49	24000	100	80-180	100	
Pt/cNCNC	4	1	1	49	24000	100	120-180	60	
Pt/hCNC	4	1	1	49	24000	100	120-180	60	
Pt/CNT	4	1	1	50	120000	100	170	0	3
Pt/CNT	3	1	0.5	98.5	25000	80	100	0	4
Pt/CB	2	1	0.5	98.5	25000	~60	180	0	5
Pt/CNT	1	2	2	20	30000	100	180	0	6
Pt/AC	1	2	1	20	30000	50	180	0	
Pt/SiO ₂	3.6	1	0.5	48	36000	~80	~154-200	46	7
Pt/SiO ₂	1	0.5	0.5	45	120000	95	227	0	8
Pt/SiO ₂	4	1	0.5	98.5	36000	70	200	0	9
Pt/Mesopo- rous Silica	5	1	1	93	12000	100	~150	0	10
	5	1	0.5	93.5	12000	100	~60-150	90	
Pt/TiO ₂	1	0.5	0.5	45	120000	75	250	0	11
Pt/y-Al ₂ O ₃	1	0.5	0.5	45	120000	100	~200	0	
Pt/y-Al ₂ O ₃	1	1	1	10	60000	100	~177	0	12
	1	1	1	80	60000	70	~202	0	
Pt/Al ₂ O ₃	0.72	1	1	50	20000	~73	200	0	13

Table S2. Comparison of the PROX performances.

Reference

- 1. Y. Bu, T. Sun, Y. Cai, L. Du, O. Zhuo, L. Yang, Q. Wu, X. Wang and Z. Hu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700470.
- G. Li, K. Mao, M. Liu, M. Yan, J. Zhao, Y. Zeng, L. Yang, Q. Wu, X. Wang and Z. Hu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 2004632.
- 3. X. Hu, Y. Gao, W. Wang and C. Chen, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, **41**, 14079-14087.
- 4. L. Chen, Y. Bao, Y. Sun, D. Ma, D. Ye and B. Huang, *Catal. Sci. Techn.*, 2016, 6, 98-106.
- 5. H. Xu, Q. Fu, X. Guo and X. Bao, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 1645-1652.
- 6. E. O. Jardim, M. Gonçalves, S. Rico-Francés, A. Sepúlveda-Escribano and J. Silvestre-Albero, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2012, **113-114**, 72-78.
- L. Cao, W. Liu, Q. Luo, R. Yin, B. Wang, J. Weissenrieder, M. Soldemo, H. Yan, Y. Lin, Z. Sun, C. Ma, W. Zhang, S. Chen, H. Wang, Q. Guan, T. Yao, S. Wei, J. Yang and J. Lu, *Nature*, 2019, 565, 631-635.
- 8. A. Siani, B. Captain, O. S. Alexeev, E. Stafyla, A. B. Hungria, P. A. Midgley, J. M. Thomas, R. D. Adams and M. D. Amiridis, *Langmuir*, 2006, **22**, 5160-5167.
- Q. Fu, W.-X. Li, Y. Yao, H. Liu, H.-Y. Su, D. Ma, X.-K. Gu, L. Chen, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, B. Wang and X. Bao, *Science*, 2010, **328**, 1141-1144.
- 10. A. Fukuoka, J.-i. Kimura, T. Oshio, Y. Sakamoto and M. Ichikawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 10120-10125.
- 11. O. S. Alexeev, S. Y. Chin, M. H. Engelhard, L. Ortiz-Soto and M. D. Amiridis, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 23430-23443.
- 12. E.-Y. Ko, E. D. Park, K. W. Seo, H. C. Lee, D. Lee and S. Kim, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, 2006, **23**, 182-187.
- 13. H. Zhang, X. Liu, N. Zhang, J. Zheng, Y. Zheng, Y. Li, C.-J. Zhong and B. H. Chen, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2016, **180**, 237-245.