# **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI†)**

# High-efficient Pt catalyst immobilized on hierarchical N-doped carbon nanocages for preferential oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub>

Lijie Yan, Xinyi Wang, Changkai Zhou, Haojie Yu, Lijun Yang, Qiang Wu\*, Xizhang Wang\*, Yining Fan and Zheng Hu

Key Laboratory of Mesoscopic Chemistry of MOE and Jiangsu Provincial Laboratory for Nanotechnology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China

E-mail: wangxzh@nju.edu.cn; wqchem@nju.edu.cn.

## **Experimental Section**

#### Materials synthesis

The carbon-based nanocages were synthesized at 900 °C by the *in situ* MgO template method with respective benzene or pyridine precursor, similar to our previous reports.<sup>1,2</sup> The thickness of carbon layers can be well regulated by changing the dosage of the precursors. After removing the template, the collapsed nitrogen-doped carbon nanocages (cNCNC) were obtained via capillarity compression because of its thin carbon layers. Carbon black (Vulcan XC-72R) was purchased from Acros, denoted as CB.

Supported Pt catalysts were synthesized by impregnation, freeze-drying and  $H_2$  reduction. Typically, hNCNC was dispersed in an aqueous solution containing appropriate amount of  $H_2PtCl_6 H_2O$ . The mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 10 h, then freeze-dried for 24 h. The resulting powder was then reduced in  $H_2$  atmosphere at 300 °C for 2 h, leading to the Pt/hNCNC. Pt/hCNC, Pt/cNCNC and Pt/CB were prepared by a similar impregnation and  $H_2$  reduction process. The Pt mass loading is 4 wt.% for all the catalysts.

## Characterization

The composition of the samples was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (PerkinElmer, Avio500), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ULVAC-PHI INC, PHI 5000 VersaProbe, Al  $K_{\alpha}$ ). The binding energies of XPS spectra refer to C 1s at 284.6 eV. The morphology and structure of the samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Philips X'pert Pro X-ray diffractometer), and high-resolution

transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JEOL-JEM-2100). N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured on Thermo Fisher Scientific Surfer Gas Adsorption Porosimeter at 77 K after degassed at 300 °C for 6 h. The specific surface area and pore size distribution were calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET,  $0.05 < p/p_0 < 0.3$ ), Horvath-Kawazoe (HK, <2 nm micropores) and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH, >2 nm mesopores and macropores) methods from the adsorption branch data, respectively.

CO temperature-programmed desorption (CO-TPD) measurements were conducted by using 50 mg sample pre-reduced in H<sub>2</sub> flow at 300 °C for 2 h. After the adsorption of CO for 30 min, the temperature was elevated to 400 °C with a rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, and the desorbed CO was detected by on-line mass spectrometry.

#### Activity measurements

Preferential oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub> experiments were performed in a continuous flow fixedbed quartz-glass microreactor (i.d. =6 nm) at atmospheric pressure. 20 mg of catalyst was mixed with 1.0 g of quartz sand (40-60 mesh). All the catalysts were *in situ* reduced for 120 min in H<sub>2</sub> at 300 °C before the catalytic test. The reaction gas mixture consists of 1 vol.% CO, 1 vol.% O<sub>2</sub>, 49 vol.% H<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>. The weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) of total gaseous reactant was 24,000 mL g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. The reactants and products were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, Qiyang GC9860), using a 5A molecular sieve column (2 m, 3 mm) connecting to a flame ionization detector. The CO conversion and the CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity are calculated as follows:

$$CO \ conversion(\%) = \frac{[CO]_{in} - [CO]_{out}}{[CO]_{in}} \times 100$$

$$CO_2 \text{ selectivity}(\%) = \left\{ 0.5 \times \frac{[CO]_{in} - [CO]_{out}}{[O_2]_{in} - [O_2]_{out}} \right\} \times 100$$

For kinetic measurement, the sample was diluted with quartz sand in a weight ratio of 1/30 and the performance was detected at a relatively high space velocity to ensure the conversions of all reactants below 20 %. The CO conversions ( $X_{CO}$ ) were used to calculate the CO oxidation rates (mol<sub>CO</sub> g<sub>Pt</sub><sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>):

$$r_{CO} = \frac{X_{CO} \cdot N_{CO}}{m_{Pt}}$$

Where  $m_{\text{Pt}}$  was the mass of Pt in the reactor bed,  $N_{\text{CO}}$  was CO molar flow rate in mol h<sup>-1</sup>.

Mass flows of CO (99.9%),  $H_2$  (99.999%),  $O_2$  (99.999%),  $N_2$  (99.999%, internal standard), and CO<sub>2</sub> (99.99%) were controlled by mass flow controllers (STEC).



Figure S1. XRD patterns of Pt/CB, Pt/hCNC, Pt/cNCNC and Pt/hNCNC.



Figure S2. N 1s XPS spectra of hNCNC, Pt/hNCNC and Pt/cNCNC.

![](_page_3_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S3. N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms of CB, hCNC, cNCNC, and hNCNC.

The specific surface areas (SSA) of CB, hCNC, cNCNC, and hNCNC are 208.28 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, 1050.6 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, 1441.5 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, 1447.3 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

![](_page_3_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Figure S4.** TEM image and corresponding Pt particle size distribution of Pt/hNCNC after the stability test at 180 °C for 100 h.

![](_page_4_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S5.** TEM images and Pt particle size distributions of Pt/hNCNC catalysts with different Pt loadings. (a) 1 wt.%, (b) 2 wt.%, (c) 3 wt.%, (d) 4 wt.%, (e) 6 wt.%.

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure S6. XRD patterns of Pt/hNCNC catalysts with different Pt loadings.

![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S7.** PROX performances of Pt/hNCNC catalysts with different Pt loadings. (a) CO conversion, (b) CO selectivity. Conditions: 1% CO, 1%  $O_2$  and 49%  $H_2$  balanced in nitrogen; space velocity: 24,000 mL g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>; 0.1 MPa.

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure S8. The modes for the adsorption of an  $O_2$  molecule on  $Pt_4/hCNC$  and  $Pt_4/hNCNC$ .

**Table S1.** The Pt loading of Pt/CB, Pt/hCNC, Pt/cNCNC, and Pt/hNCNC analyzed by ICP-OES.

| Sample    | Pt/CB | Pt/hCNC | Pt/cNCNC | Pt/hNCNC |
|-----------|-------|---------|----------|----------|
| Pt (wt.%) | 3.99  | 3.98    | 4.01     | 3.99     |

| Catalysts                           | Pt<br>loadings<br>(wt%) | Composition of<br>feed gas (%) |     | ion of<br>(%)  | Space velocity             | Maximal<br>CO     | Temperature<br>window for the |        | Def          |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------|
|                                     |                         | со                             | 0,  | H <sub>2</sub> | $(mL h^{-1} g_{cat}^{-1})$ | conversion<br>(%) | maximal CO<br>conversion (°C) | ΔT(°C) | Ref          |
| Pt/hNCNC                            | 1                       | 1                              | 1   | 49             | 24000                      | 100               | 160-180                       | 20     | This<br>work |
|                                     | 2                       | 1                              | 1   | 49             | 24000                      | 100               | 120-180                       | 60     |              |
|                                     | 4                       | 1                              | 1   | 49             | 24000                      | 100               | 80-180                        | 100    |              |
| Pt/cNCNC                            | 4                       | 1                              | 1   | 49             | 24000                      | 100               | 120-180                       | 60     |              |
| Pt/hCNC                             | 4                       | 1                              | 1   | 49             | 24000                      | 100               | 120-180                       | 60     |              |
| Pt/CNT                              | 4                       | 1                              | 1   | 50             | 120000                     | 100               | 170                           | 0      | 3            |
| Pt/CNT                              | 3                       | 1                              | 0.5 | 98.5           | 25000                      | 80                | 100                           | 0      | 4            |
| Pt/CB                               | 2                       | 1                              | 0.5 | 98.5           | 25000                      | ~60               | 180                           | 0      | 5            |
| Pt/CNT                              | 1                       | 2                              | 2   | 20             | 30000                      | 100               | 180                           | 0      | 6            |
| Pt/AC                               | 1                       | 2                              | 1   | 20             | 30000                      | 50                | 180                           | 0      |              |
| Pt/SiO <sub>2</sub>                 | 3.6                     | 1                              | 0.5 | 48             | 36000                      | ~80               | ~154-200                      | 46     | 7            |
| Pt/SiO <sub>2</sub>                 | 1                       | 0.5                            | 0.5 | 45             | 120000                     | 95                | 227                           | 0      | 8            |
| Pt/SiO <sub>2</sub>                 | 4                       | 1                              | 0.5 | 98.5           | 36000                      | 70                | 200                           | 0      | 9            |
| Pt/Mesopo-<br>rous Silica           | 5                       | 1                              | 1   | 93             | 12000                      | 100               | ~150                          | 0      | 10           |
|                                     | 5                       | 1                              | 0.5 | 93.5           | 12000                      | 100               | ~60-150                       | 90     |              |
| Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub>                 | 1                       | 0.5                            | 0.5 | 45             | 120000                     | 75                | 250                           | 0      | 11           |
| Pt/y-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | 1                       | 0.5                            | 0.5 | 45             | 120000                     | 100               | ~200                          | 0      |              |
| Pt/y-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | 1                       | 1                              | 1   | 10             | 60000                      | 100               | ~177                          | 0      | 12           |
|                                     | 1                       | 1                              | 1   | 80             | 60000                      | 70                | ~202                          | 0      |              |
| Pt/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>   | 0.72                    | 1                              | 1   | 50             | 20000                      | ~73               | 200                           | 0      | 13           |

**Table S2.** Comparison of the PROX performances.

# Reference

- 1. Y. Bu, T. Sun, Y. Cai, L. Du, O. Zhuo, L. Yang, Q. Wu, X. Wang and Z. Hu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700470.
- G. Li, K. Mao, M. Liu, M. Yan, J. Zhao, Y. Zeng, L. Yang, Q. Wu, X. Wang and Z. Hu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 2004632.
- 3. X. Hu, Y. Gao, W. Wang and C. Chen, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, **41**, 14079-14087.
- 4. L. Chen, Y. Bao, Y. Sun, D. Ma, D. Ye and B. Huang, *Catal. Sci. Techn.*, 2016, 6, 98-106.
- 5. H. Xu, Q. Fu, X. Guo and X. Bao, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 1645-1652.
- 6. E. O. Jardim, M. Gonçalves, S. Rico-Francés, A. Sepúlveda-Escribano and J. Silvestre-Albero, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2012, **113-114**, 72-78.
- L. Cao, W. Liu, Q. Luo, R. Yin, B. Wang, J. Weissenrieder, M. Soldemo, H. Yan, Y. Lin, Z. Sun, C. Ma, W. Zhang, S. Chen, H. Wang, Q. Guan, T. Yao, S. Wei, J. Yang and J. Lu, *Nature*, 2019, 565, 631-635.
- 8. A. Siani, B. Captain, O. S. Alexeev, E. Stafyla, A. B. Hungria, P. A. Midgley, J. M. Thomas, R. D. Adams and M. D. Amiridis, *Langmuir*, 2006, **22**, 5160-5167.
- Q. Fu, W.-X. Li, Y. Yao, H. Liu, H.-Y. Su, D. Ma, X.-K. Gu, L. Chen, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, B. Wang and X. Bao, *Science*, 2010, **328**, 1141-1144.
- 10. A. Fukuoka, J.-i. Kimura, T. Oshio, Y. Sakamoto and M. Ichikawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 10120-10125.
- 11. O. S. Alexeev, S. Y. Chin, M. H. Engelhard, L. Ortiz-Soto and M. D. Amiridis, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 23430-23443.
- 12. E.-Y. Ko, E. D. Park, K. W. Seo, H. C. Lee, D. Lee and S. Kim, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, 2006, **23**, 182-187.
- 13. H. Zhang, X. Liu, N. Zhang, J. Zheng, Y. Zheng, Y. Li, C.-J. Zhong and B. H. Chen, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2016, **180**, 237-245.