| 1 | Supplementary Information | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Conformal CEI Formation Induced by Oxygen- | | | |
| 3 | Functionalized Conductive Agents on Mn-Rich Olivine | | | |
| 4 | Cathodes | | | |
| 5 | Eunseo Ko ^{a,b,†} , Seojin Lee ^{a,b,†} , Jaehwan Kim ^{a,†} , Minseo Kim ^{c,d} , So Hee Kim ^e , | | | |
| 6 | Yung-Eun Sung ^{c,d} , Seung-Ho Yu ^{b*} , Joonhee Moon ^{f*} , Jungjin Park ^{a,g,h*} | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | ^a Energy Storage Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul, | | | |
| 9 | Republic of Korea, 02792 | | | |
| 10 | ^b Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Korea University, Seoul, Republic of | | | |
| 11 | Korea, 02841 | | | |
| 12 | ° School of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Seoul National University, 1, Gwanak-ro, | | | |
| 13 | Gwanak, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea | | | |
| 14 | ^d Center for Nanoparticle Research, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), 1, Gwanak-ro, Gwanak, | | | |
| 15 | 5 Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea | | | |
| 16 | ⁶ ^e Advanced Analysis Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul, | | | |
| 17 | 7 Republic of Korea, 02792 | | | |
| 18 | ^f Research Center for Materials Analysis, Korea Basic Science Institute, Daejeon, Republic of | | | |
| 19 | Korea, 34133 | | | |
| 20 | ^g Division of Energy & Environment Technology, Korea University of Science and Technology | | | |
| 21 | (UST), Seoul, Republic of Korea, 02792 | | | |
| 22 | ^h KHU-KIST Department of Converging Science and Technology, Kyung-Hee University | | | |
| 23 | (KHU), Seoul, Republic of Korea, 02447 | | | |
| 24 | ⁺ Authors contributed equally. | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | E-mail address: jpark716@kist.re.kr, junnymoon@kbsi.re.kr, seunghoyu@korea.ac.kr | | | |
| 27 | | | | |

28 Materials and methods

29 **1.** Synthesis of LMFP

30 LiMn_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}PO₄(LMFP) powder was synthesized via a solid-state reaction method. Lithium carbonate (Li₂CO₃, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich), manganese oxalate dihydrate (MnC₂O₄·2H₂O, Mn 31 30%, Alfa Aesar), iron oxalate (FeC₂O₄·2H₂O, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich), and ammonium 32 phosphate dihydrate ((NH₄)2HPO₄, 98%, Sigma-Aldrich) were used as precursors. The 33 precursors were thoroughly mixed and pulverized using a planetary ball mill (P2, Fritsch) at 34 300 rpm for 12 hours. The mixture was pelletized and subjected to the first sintering process in 35 an Ar atmosphere at 600°C for 10 hours. Afterward, the pre-sintered material was mixed with 36 D-(+)-glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆, 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) as a carbon source and underwent a second 37 sintering process in an Ar atmosphere at 650 °C for 5 hours. 38

39

40 2. Preparation of LMFP Electrodes

The cathode was fabricated by combining LMFP powder, 5wt% solution of polyvinylidene 41 fluoride (PVDF) and the respective conductive (SP, rGO) in a weight ratio of 90:03:07 in N-42 methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, Daejung Chemicals & Metals Co., Korea). Reduced graphene 43 oxide (rGO) was prepared by the mild oxidation of chopped graphite fibers using a modified 44 Hummers' method, followed by heat treatment at 700°C. The rGO was premixed with a PVDF 45 solution before being added to the slurry. Each slurry was mixed with a planetary centrifugal 46 mixer (THINKY Co., Japan) and cast onto to the conductive carbon-coated aluminum foil 47 (MTI Co., Korea) with a thickness of 300 µm followed by being dried in an oven at 40 °C. 48

49 The dried electrodes were punched into 11.3 mm-diameter (1.003 cm²) using
50 a punch tool (Wellcos Co., Korea)

51 For the cell configuration, the cathode was tested using a coin-type half cell (CR2032-type) 52 with the addition of 100 μ L of 1M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate 53 (DEC)(1:1 vol%) (Dongwha Electrolyte Co., Korea) electrolyte and a polyethylene (PE, 54 Celgard) separator.

55

56 **3. Electrochemical measurements**

57 Galvanostatic charge-discharge tests were performed at 60 °C using a battery testing system

58 (Won-A Tech, WBCS3000L, South Korea). Long-term cyclability was evaluated at a constant

59 current density of 0.5C (theoretical capacity of LMFP: 170 mAh g⁻¹) within the voltage range

60 of 2.2–4.5 V (vs. Li/Li⁺).

61 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests were conducted using a BioLogic62 potentiostat instrument (VMP3 BioLab, Inc.).

$$D_{Li} = \frac{R^2 T^2}{2A^2 n^4 F^4 c^2 \sigma^2}$$

63 The following equation can be used to calculate the diffusion coefficient of the Li^+ (D_{Li})¹:

64 R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature, A is the electrode area, n represents the 65 electrons number, F is the Faraday constant, c is the lithium ion concentration, and σ shows the 66 Warburg factor, which relates to Z' through equation $Z' = R_{electrolyte} + R_{CEI} + R_{ct} + \sigma \cdot \omega^{-1/2}$. The 67 σ can be obtained from the slope of Fig. S4. (b)

68

69 4. Characterization

Raman measurements were performed using a confocal Raman microscope (Ramanforce, 70 Nanophoton, Japan) at the Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI, QM12). A 532 nm laser with 71 an excitation power of less than 1 mW was utilized. The crystalline silicon peak at 520 cm⁻¹ 72 served as an internal standard for system calibration. Raman spectra were collected using a 600 73 grooves mm^{-1} diffraction grating and a LU Plan Fluor 100× objective lens (Nikon, NA = 0.9), 74 achieving a laser spot size of less than 1 µm² on the electrode surface. High-resolution 75 transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) images of rGO and super P articles were obtained 76 using transmission electron microscopy (FEI TecnaiF20 G2, Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) at 77 an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of LMPF powder was 78 performed with X-ray diffractometers (D8 advance, Bruker, USA) with Cu K-alpha beam 79 source. The measurement was conducted over a 2θ range of $10-80^{\circ}$ with a step size of 0.02° . 80 The surface chemistry of cathode was analyzed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, 81 NEXSA, Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) with a total of 10 scans, a spot size of 400 µm, and 82 spectra acquired in constant analyzer energy (CAE) mode with a pass energy of 50.0 eV and 83

an energy step size of 0.10 eV. Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy 84 (ICP-OES) analysis was performed using an instrument from PerkinElmer (USA). Prior to 85 analysis, the powdered sample was completely dissolved in aqua regia, followed by a 100-fold 86 dilution with deionized water. To ensure measurement reliability, each sample was analyzed 87 in triplicate. The electrical resistivity of rGO and SP powders was measured using a four-point 88 probe system (HANTECH Co., Ltd., Korea) equipped with a vertical compression cell 89 comprising a cylindrical insulating mold (inner diameter: 22 mm) and copper plungers. Powder 90 samples, pre-dried at 40 °C for 5 hours, were compressed up to 40 MPa using a universal testing 91 machine. A constant current was applied through the outer electrodes, and the voltage drop was 92 recorded between the inner electrodes using a precision source meter (RM3545, HIOKI, 93 Japan). Resistivity (ρ) was calculated from the measured resistance (R = V/I) considering the 94 cross-sectional area and compressed thickness. All measurements were performed at room 95 temperature and repeated three times for reproducibility. 96



97

Fig. S1 Schematic illustration of a four-point probe measurement system under compression for powder resistivity analysis. Current (I) is applied via the top and bottom electrodes (in red), while the voltage drop (ΔV) is measured across two independent voltage-sensing probes embedded in the sample region (in blue). This configuration enables accurate determination of the powder's intrinsic resistivity by eliminating contact resistance effects during compression.



103

104 Fig. S2 TEM images of the CEI layers formed on the surface of (a) SP@LMFP and (b)105 rGO@LMFP electrodes after 100 cycles.



107 Fig. S3 Cycling performance based on actual discharge capacity: the initial two activation108 cycles were performed at 0.1 C, followed by cycling at 0.5 C.



109

110 Fig. S4 (a) Equivalent circuit models corresponding to the pristine and 100th cycle samples. (b)

111 Fitting of the real part of the impedance data against $\omega^{-1/2}$ to calculate the Warburg coefficient

- 112 $\sigma'(c)$ Summary table of R_{electrolyte}, R_{CEI}, R_{ct}, σ and D_{Li} of rGO@LMFP and SP@LMFP after
- 113 100 cycles.



114

115 **Fig. S5.** (a) Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results, (b) summary table of TGA data and 116 dQ/dV analysis, and dQ/dV profiles at 0.5C current density for LMFP samples with carbon

117 coating ratios of (c) 1:0.5, (d) 1:1, and (e) 1:2.



118

Fig. S6. (a) TEM image of the synthesized LMFP particles. (b) corresponding Fourier
transform electron diffraction spot pattern. (c) high-magnification TEM image of the boxed
region in (a).

122

| Elements(/P) | Mn | Fe | Р |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|------|
| Pristine LMFP | 0.810 | 0.197 | 1.00 |
| SP@LMFP (100 cycles) | 0.721 | 0.209 | 1.00 |
| rGO@LMFP (100 cycles) | 0.788 | 0.204 | 1.00 |

123

124 **Table S1.** ICP-OES analysis showing Mn and Fe dissolution from SP@LMFP and 125 rGO@LMFP electrodes after 100 cycles.

126
127
128
129
130
131
132 References
133 1. L. Wang, J. Zhao, X.

133 1. L. Wang, J. Zhao, X. He, J. Gao, J. Li, C. Wan and C. Jiang, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2012,
134 7, 345–353.

135