Supplementary Information

Tunable Structural and Optical Properties of Bi₂Se_xTe_{3-x} Thin Films: Implications for Nonlinear Optical Applications

Tengfei Zhang, ^a Shenjin Wei, ^a Shubo Zhang, ^a Menghan Li, ^a Jiawei Wang, ^a Jingze Liu, ^a Junhua Wang, ^b Ertao Hu^c and Jing Li *^a

^a Department of Optical Science and Engineering, Shanghai Ultra-Precision Optical Manufacturing Engineering Center, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

^b Shanghai Frontiers Science Research Base of Intelligent Optoelectronics and Perception, Institute of Optoelectronics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

^c College of Electronic and Optical Engineering and Jiangsu Province Engineering Research Center for Fabrication and Application of Special Optical Fiber Materials and Devices, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: lijing@fudan.edu.cn (Jing Li)

Contents list

Fig. S1 | Absorption coefficient spectra and Tauc plots of $a-Bi_2Se_xTe_{3-x}$ thin films.

Fig. S2 | Open-aperture Z-scan curves for clean fused quartz.

 Table S1 | Comparison of the nonlinear optical parameters for different materials.



Fig. S1. Absorption coefficient spectra and Tauc plots (insets) of a-Bi₂Se_xTe_{3-x} thin films: (a) a-Bi₂Te₃,
(b) a-Bi₂SeTe₂ (c) a-Bi₂Se₂Te, (d) a-Bi₂Se₃.



Fig. S2. Open-aperture Z-scan curves for clean fused quartz at low incident light intensities of (a) 33 GW/cm² and (b) 66 GW/cm².

Samples	Laser	β (cm/GW)	Reference
Graphene	800 nm, 1 kHz, 100 fs	-(1.52 \pm 0.42) \times 10 ⁻²	[1]
MoS_2	800 nm, 1 kHz, 100 fs	$-(2.42 \pm 0.80) \times 10^{-2}$	[1]
MoSe ₂	800 nm, 1 kHz, 100 fs	$-(2.54 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-3}$	[1]
MoTe ₂	800 nm, 1 kHz, 100 fs	$-(3.7 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$	[1]
WS_2	800 nm, 1 kHz, 40 fs	-397 ± 40	[2]
TiS_2	800 nm, 1 kHz, 120 fs	-62.6	[3]
In_2Te_3	800 nm, 1 kHz, 100 fs	-805.6	[4]
Graphene Oxide	800 nm, 10 kHz, 85 fs	~ -4	[5]
Black Phosphorus	800 nm, 1 kHz, 100 fs	$-(4.08 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$	[6]
Bi ₂ Se _x Te _{3-x}	800 nm, 1 kHz, 100 fs	$(-542.54 \pm 12.56) \sim$ (-4256.39 ± 31.42)	This work

Table S1 Comparison of the nonlinear optical parameters for different materials.

References

- K. Wang, Y. Feng, C. Chang, J. Zhan, C. Wang, Q. Zhao, J. N. Coleman, L. Zhang, W. J. Blau and J. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 10530-10535.
- 2 S. Zhang, N. Dong, N. McEvoy, M. O'Brien, S. Winters, N. C. Berner, C. Yim, Y. Li, X. Zhang and Z. Chen, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 7142-7150.
- 3 X. Tian, R. Wei, M. Liu, C. Zhu, Z. Luo, F. Wang and J. Qiu, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 9608-9615.
- 4 J. Wang, F. Jin, X. Cao, S. Cheng, C. Liu, Y. Yuan, J. Fang, H. Zhao and J. Li, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 103357-103363.
- 5 J. Ren, X. Zheng, Z. Tian, D. Li, P. Wang and B. Jia, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2016, 109, 221105.
- 6 S. B. Lu, L. L. Miao, Z. N. Guo, X. Qi, C. J. Zhao, H. Zhang, S. C. Wen, D. Y. Tang and D. Y. Fan, *Opt. Express*, 2015, 23, 11183-11194.