Supplementary Information (SI) for Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. This journal is © the Owner Societies 2025

Supporting Information: Revisiting a Large and Diverse Data Set for Barrier Heights and Reaction Energies: Best Practices in Density Functional Theory Calculations for Chemical Kinetics

Xiao Liu,¹ Kevin A. Spiekermann,² Angiras Menon,² William H. Green² and Martin Head-Gordon^{*,1,3}

¹ Kenneth S. Pitzer Center for Theoretical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States of America

- ² Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States
- ³ Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States of America

In the main text, we focus on the RMSD values of BH and RE data within each subset. Here, in the supporting information, we provide more detailed statistical analyses for each task. For reactions in the easy and intermediate subsets, which comprise the majority of the full RDB7 set, error statistics are presented in tables embedded within the violin plots. Comparisons between forward and reverse barrier heights are provided - explicitly for the easy and intermediate subsets using split violin plots, and implicitly for different collections of the refined reactions in the difficult subset. We also present the FBH, RBH, and RE error statistics for the 3rd collection of the difficult subset (50 data points with largest U- ω B97X-D3 deviations vs. existing RCCSD(T)-F12 reference). Finally, we include Q-Chem and ORCA input templates for the data categorization workflow of this work. For raw data of the full RDB7 set or within a specific subset, please refer to the corresponding subsheet in the RDB7_refined.xlsx file.



1 BH and RE error statistics of the uncategorized full RDB7 set

Figure 1: Uncategorized full RDB7 set BH error distribution



Figure 2: Uncategorized full RDB7 set RE error distribution



The full RDB7 set FBH (11926 data points) vs. RBH (11926 data points) error distribution

Figure 3: Uncategorized full RDB7 set FBH v.s. RBH error distribution



2 BH and RE error statistics of the easy subset

Figure 4: Easy set BH error distribution



Figure 5: Easy set RE error distribution



Figure 6: Easy set FBH v.s. RBH error distribution

3 BH and RE error statistics of the intermediate subset



Figure 7: Intermediate set BH error distribution



Figure 8: Intermediate set RE error distribution



Figure 9: Intermediate set FBH v.s. RBH error distribution

4 1st collection in the difficult subset: RBH error statistics

Functional	Min	Max	MSD	MAD	RMSD
$U-\omega B97X-D3$	-5.56	9.42	2.61	3.32	4.16
$U-\omega B97M-V$	-3.78	8.88	4.12	4.27	4.86
U-MN15	-2.19	10.43	4.25	4.48	5.20
$U-\omega B97M(2)$	-3.27	8.52	4.72	4.85	5.32

Table 1: RBH error statistics of unrestricted DFT compared against spin projected UHF:UCCSD(T)-F12 reference, for the 50 reactions with largest R- ω B97X-D3 v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 RBH discrepancy (unit: kcal/mol)



Figure 10: RBH error distribution for the 50 reactions with the largest R- ω B97X-D3 v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 discrepancy.

5 2nd collection in the difficult subset: RBH error statistics

Functional	Min	Max	MSD	MAD	RMSD
U-ω B 97X-D3	-3.34	14.40	10.29	10.56	11.03
$U-\omega B97M-V$	-1.29	14.28	9.12	9.18	9.66
U-MN15	-2.23	15.68	8.12	8.26	9.14
$U-\omega B97M(2)$	0.39	14.43	8.07	8.07	8.58

Table 2: RBH error statistics of unrestricted DFT compared against spin projected UHF:UCCSD(T)-F12 reference, for the 50 reactions with largest U- ω B97X-D3 v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 RBH discrepancy (unit: kcal/mol)



Figure 11: RBH error distribution for the 50 reactions with the largest U- ω B97X-D3 v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 discrepancy.

6 3rd collection (largest U- ω B97M-V v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 dis-

crepancy) in the difficult subset: FBH, RBH, and RE error statis-

tics

Functional	Min	Max	MSD	MAD	RMSD
$U-\omega B97X-D3$	-3.24	17.26	8.73	9.02	10.00
$U-\omega B97M-V$	0.20	14.61	8.41	8.41	9.19
U-MN15	-2.13	12.90	7.76	7.95	8.92
$U-\omega B97M(2)$	1.58	12.74	8.23	8.23	8.74

Table 3: FBH error statistics of unrestricted DFT compared against spin projected UHF:UCCSD(T)-F12 reference, for the 50 reactions with largest U- ω B97M-V v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 FBH discrepancy (unit: kcal/mol)



Figure 12: FBH error distribution for the 50 reactions with the largest U- ω B97M-V v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 discrepancy.



Figure 13: RBH error distribution for the 50 reactions with the largest U- ω B97M-V v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 discrepancy.

Functional	Min	Max	MSD	MAD	RMSD
$U-\omega B97X-D3$	-6.30	14.40	6.87	8.26	9.49
$U-\omega B97M-V$	-10.21	14.28	6.44	8.36	9.18
U-MN15	-9.69	15.68	5.90	7.73	8.86
$U-\omega B97M(2)$	-8.20	14.43	5.80	7.35	7.94

Table 4: RBH error statistics of unrestricted DFT compared against spin projected UHF:UCCSD(T)-F12 reference, for the 50 reactions with largest U- ω B97M-V v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 RBH discrepancy (unit: kcal/mol)

Functional	Min	Max	MSD	MAD	RMSD
U-ω B 97X-D3	1.68	13.06	7.07	7.07	7.35
$U-\omega B97M-V$	4.25	11.30	7.40	7.40	7.49
U-MN15	0.75	9.46	5.51	5.51	5.88
$U-\omega B97M(2)$	4.85	12.24	7.73	7.73	7.82

Table 5: RE error statistics of unrestricted DFT compared against spin projected UHF:UCCSD(T)-F12 reference, for the 50 reactions with largest U- ω B97M-V v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 RE discrepancy (unit: kcal/mol)



Figure 14: RE error distribution for the 50 reactions with the largest U- ω B97M-V v.s. RHF:RCCSD(T)-F12 discrepancy.

7 Sample input templates for data categorization workflow

\$rem JOBTYPE SP METHOD ΗF BASIS cc-pVDZ UNRESTRICTED FALSE SCF_ALGORITHM GDM MAX_SCF_CYCLES SCF_CONVERGENCE 100 8 SYM_IGNORE TRUE SYMMETRY FALSE STABILITY_ANALYSIS TRUE \$end

7.1 Easy Subset: RHF stability analysis with Q-Chem

7.2 Intermediate Subset: unrestricted κ -OOMP2 $< S^2 >$ calculation with Q-Chem

\$rem					
JOBTYPE	SP				
METHOD	HF				
BASIS	cc-pVDZ				
UNRESTRICTED	TRUE				
SCF_GUESS_MIX	1				
SCF_ALGORITHM	GDM				
MAX_SCF_CYCLES	100				
SCF_CONVERGENCE	8				
SYM_IGNORE	TRUE				
SYMMETRY	FALSE				
INTERNAL_STABIL	ITY TRUE				
\$end					
000					
\$molecule					
READ					
\$end					
.					
Şrem					
JOBTYPE	SP				
METHOD	KOOMP2		1 4 5		
KEG_VARIABLE	1450	: Set карра =	= 1.45		
BASIS	cc-pVDZ				
AUX_BASIS	KIMPZ-CC-DADZ				
UNKESIKICIED	IRUE				
SCE_GUESS	KEAD				
SUF_ALGURIIHM	GDM 100				
MAA_SUF_UIULES	100				
SCF_CONVERGENCE	. 8				

SYM_IGNORE	TRUE	
SYMMETRY	FALSE	
GEN_SCFMAN	TRUE	
DO_S2	TRUE	! Unrestricted kappa-OOMP2 <s^2> calculation</s^2>
\$end		

7.3 Difficult Subset: UHF:UCCSD(T) and UCCSD $< S^2 >$ calculation with Q-Chem

\$rem		
JOBTYPE	SP	
METHOD	HF	
BASIS	cc-pVDZ	
UNRESTRICTED	TRUE	
SCF_GUESS_MIX	1	
SCF_ALGORITHM	GDM	
MAX_SCF_CYCLES	100	
SCF_CONVERGENCE	8	
SYM_IGNORE	TRUE	
SYMMETRY	FALSE	
INTERNAL_STABILITY	TRUE	
\$end		
(d (d (d		
\$molecule		
READ		
\$end		
Śrem		
JOBTYPE	SP	
METHOD	CCSD(T)	
BASIS	ZGVq-DD	
UNRESTRICTED	TRUE	
SCF_GUESS	READ	
SCF_ALGORITHM	GDM	
MAX_SCF_CYCLES	100	
SCF_CONVERGENCE	8	
SYM_IGNORE	TRUE	
SYMMETRY	FALSE	
GEN_SCFMAN	TRUE	
CC_REF_PROP_TE	TRUE	! UCCSD <s^2> calculation</s^2>
MEM_TOTAL	8000	
\$end		

7.4 Selected Reactions from the Difficult Subset: UHF:UCCSD(T)-F12 calculation with ORCA

! CCSD(T)-F12/RI cc-pVDZ-F12 cc-pVDZ-F12-CABS cc-pVTZ/C # For restricted species

! UHF cc-pVDZ-F12 cc-pVDZ-F12-CABS # For unrestricted species %scf Stabperform true end

\$new_job
! CCSD(T)-F12/RI cc-pVDZ-F12 cc-pVDZ-F12-CABS cc-pVTZ/C