#### **Supporting Information**

# Computational high-throughput screening of high-performance transition metal C8N8 single-atom electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction reaction.

Keyuan Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Xingkao Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Li Ma<sup>1</sup>, Yongzhi Wu<sup>1</sup>, Hanqing Li<sup>1</sup>, Jueyi Ye,

Ju Rong<sup>1\*</sup>, Xiaohua Yu<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Zhaohua Liu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Materials Science and Engineering, Kunming University of Science

and Technology, Kunming, 650093, China

<sup>2</sup>Yunnan Key Laboratory of Integrated Computational Materials Engineering for Advanced Light Metals, Kunming, 650093, China

<sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Vanadium and Titanium Resources Comprehensive

Utilization, Panzhihua, 617000, China

#### **List of Contents**

Figure S1: Schematic diagram of M-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> structure with elements that form C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> complexes.

Figure S2: Linear relationship between formation energy and d-band center.

Figure S3: The structure diagram of Fe-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> monolayer.

Figure S4: AIMD energy change of Fe-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, Mn-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, Co-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, and Ru-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> at 1000K.

POSCAR: The POSCAR of Fe-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, Mn-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, Co-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, and Ru-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>.

Calculation formula: adsorption energy and d band center calculation formula.

**Figure S5:** Based on the Gibbs free energies of adsorbed oxygen-containing intermediates, the scaling relation of  $\Delta G_{OOH*VS} \Delta G_{OH*}$ .

Figure S6: Schematic of the molecular orbital energy levels of the O<sub>2</sub> molecule.

Figure S7: The major interactions and energy levels of the scalar relativistic Kohn-

Sham  $\beta$ -spin of isolated FeO<sub>2</sub> with correlation to the orbitals from Fe and O<sub>2</sub>.

Figure S8: Schematic interaction of the 3d orbitals of Fe, the 2p orbitals of the O<sub>2</sub>

molecule and the projected electronic density of states (PDOS).

Figure S9. ENCUT convergence test.

Figure S10. KPOINTS convergence test.

 Table S1: Formation energies of TM-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> monolayers.

Table S2: Adsorption energies of single O molecule on TM-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> monolayers.

Table S3: Comparison of the Calculated ORR Electrocatalytic Performance of TM-

C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> Materials with Experimental Data of TM-PC Materials.





complexes.



Figure. S2. Linear relationship between formation energy and d-band center.



Figure. S3. The structure diagram of Fe-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> monolayer.

Figure. S4. AIMD energy change of Fe-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, Mn-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, Co-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>, and Ru-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> at 1000K.



## The POSCAR of Fe-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>.

## POSCAR

1.0

7.0798144341	0.0000000000	0.0000000000
0.0000000000	7.0798144341	0.0000000000
0.0000000000	0.0000000000	15.000000000
C N Fe		
8 8 1		
Direct		
0.156021993	0.395461122	0.500000000
0.843977992	0.604538848	0.500000000
0.604538848	0.156021993	0.500000000
0.395461122	0.843977992	0.500000000
0.843977992	0.395461122	0.500000000
0.156021993	0.604538848	0.500000000
0.395461122	0.156021993	0.500000000
0.604538848	0.843977992	0.500000000
0.290396987	0.000000000	0.500000000
0.709602983	0.000000000	0.500000000
0.00000000	0.290396987	0.500000000
0.000000000	0.709602983	0.500000000
0.330963654	0.330963654	0.500000000

0.669036375	0.669036375	0.50000000
0.669036375	0.330963654	0.500000000
0.330963654	0.669036375	0.500000000
0.500000000	0.500000000	0.500000000

## The POSCAR of Mn-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>.

## POSCAR

1.0

7.0798144341	0.0000000000	0.0000000000
0.0000000000	7.0798144341	0.0000000000
0.0000000000	0.0000000000	15.000000000
C N Mn		
8 8 1		
Direct		
0.156021993	0.395461122	0.500000000
0.843977992	0.604538848	0.500000000
0.604538848	0.156021993	0.500000000
0.395461122	0.843977992	0.500000000
0.843977992	0.395461122	0.500000000
0.156021993	0.604538848	0.500000000
0.395461122	0.156021993	0.500000000
0.604538848	0.843977992	0.500000000

0.290396987	0.000000000	0.500000000
0.709602983	0.000000000	0.500000000
0.000000000	0.290396987	0.500000000
0.000000000	0.709602983	0.500000000
0.330963654	0.330963654	0.500000000
0.669036375	0.669036375	0.500000000
0.669036375	0.330963654	0.500000000
0.330963654	0.669036375	0.500000000
0.500000000	0.500000000	0.500000000

## The POSCAR of Co-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>.

#### POSCAR

1.0

7.0798144341	0.000000000	0.0000000000
0.0000000000	7.0798144341	0.000000000
0.0000000000	0.000000000	15.000000000

C N Co

8 8 1

Direct

0.156021993	0.395461122	0.50000000
0.843977992	0.604538848	0.500000000
0.604538848	0.156021993	0.500000000

0.395461122	0.843977992	0.500000000
0.843977992	0.395461122	0.500000000
0.156021993	0.604538848	0.500000000
0.395461122	0.156021993	0.500000000
0.604538848	0.843977992	0.500000000
0.290396987	0.000000000	0.500000000
0.709602983	0.000000000	0.500000000
0.000000000	0.290396987	0.500000000
0.000000000	0.709602983	0.500000000
0.330963654	0.330963654	0.500000000
0.669036375	0.669036375	0.500000000
0.669036375	0.330963654	0.500000000
0.330963654	0.669036375	0.500000000
0.500000000	0.500000000	0.500000000

# The POSCAR of Ru-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>.

#### POSCAR

1.0

7.0798144341	0.000000000	0.0000000000
0.0000000000	7.0798144341	0.0000000000
0.0000000000	0.000000000	15.000000000

C N Ru

8 8 1

Direct

0.156021993	0.395461122	0.50000000
0.843977992	0.604538848	0.500000000
0.604538848	0.156021993	0.500000000
0.395461122	0.843977992	0.500000000
0.843977992	0.395461122	0.500000000
0.156021993	0.604538848	0.500000000
0.395461122	0.156021993	0.500000000
0.604538848	0.843977992	0.500000000
0.290396987	0.000000000	0.500000000
0.709602983	0.000000000	0.500000000
0.000000000	0.290396987	0.500000000
0.000000000	0.709602983	0.500000000
0.330963654	0.330963654	0.500000000
0.669036375	0.669036375	0.500000000
0.669036375	0.330963654	0.500000000
0.330963654	0.669036375	0.500000000
0.500000000	0.500000000	0.500000000

(1) The adsorption energies Eads are calculated by the equation.

$$E_{ads} = E_{total} - E_{catalysts} - E_{adsorbates} \#(1)$$

Where  $E_{total}$ ,  $E_{catalysts}$  and  $E_{adsorbates}$  represent the energies of the total system after the adsorption, the catalyst, and the adsorbed species, respectively.

(1) The energy of the d band center  $E_{d-band center}$  can be calculated by the

$$E_{d-band center} = \frac{\int E \cdot n(E) dE}{\int n(E) dE} \ \#(2)$$

following formula:

Where n(E) is the density of states at energy E, and the integration is performed over the entire energy range of the d orbital.

**Figure. S5.** Based on the Gibbs free energies of adsorbed oxygen-containing intermediates, the scaling relation of  $\Delta G_{OOH*}$  vs  $\Delta G_{OH*}$ .





Figure. S6. Schematic of the molecular orbital energy levels of the  $O_2$  molecule.

**Figure. S7.** The major interactions and energy levels of the scalar relativistic Kohn–Sham  $\beta$ -spin of isolated FeO<sub>2</sub> with correlation to the orbitals from Fe and O<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure. S8.** Schematic interaction of the 3d orbitals of Fe, the 2p orbitals of the  $O_2$  molecule and the projected electronic density of states (PDOS).



Figure. S9. ENCUT convergence test.



Figure. S10. KPOINTS convergence test.



structure	Ea	E <sub>b</sub>	E <sub>atom</sub>	Ef
Sc	-143.24	-132.82	-2.02	-8.40
Ti	-147.93	-132.82	-2.39	-12.72
V	-149.22	-132.82	-3.58	-12.82
Cr	-149.45	-132.82	-5.45	-11.18
Mn	-149.16	-132.82	-5.15	-11.19
Fe	-148.05	-132.82	-3.24	-11.99
Co	-146.71	-132.82	-1.84	-12.05
Ru	-144.34	-132.82	-0.29	-11.23
Cu	-140.64	-132.82	-0.24	-7.58
Zn	-137.38	-132.82	-0.01	-4.55
Y	-138.64	-132.82	-2.08	-3.74
Zr	-144.34	-132.82	-2.25	-9.27
Nb	-146.90	-132.82	-3.18	-10.91
Мо	-147.17	-132.82	-4.65	-9.70
Тс	-147.25	-132.82	-3.28	-11.15
Ru	-145.97	-132.82	-1.21	-11.95
Rh	-144.09	-132.82	-1.26	-10.02
Pd	-141.01	-132.82	-1.48	-6.71
Ag	-135.84	-132.82	-0.20	-2.82
Cd	-133.73	-132.82	-0.01	-0.90

Table S1. Formation energies of TM-C $_8N_8$  monolayers.

Hf	-146.65	-132.82	-3.15	-10.68
Ta	-148.76	-132.82	-3.50	-12.44
W	-148.71	-132.82	-4.57	-11.31
Re	-148.03	-132.82	-4.61	-10.60
Os	-146.86	-132.82	-2.89	-11.14
Ir	-144.82	-132.82	-1.23	-10.77
Pt	-141.82	-132.82	-0.53	-8.47
Au	-136.68	-132.82	-0.19	-3.67
Hg	-135.21	-132.82	-0.01	-2.38
Rf	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26
Db	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26
Sg	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26
Bh	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26
Hs	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26
Mt	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26
Ds	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26
Rg	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26
Cn	-139.20	-132.82	-1.12	-5.26

structure	E <sub>total</sub>	Ecatalysts	Eadsorbates	E <sub>ads</sub>
Sc	-147.77	-143.24	-1.54	-2.62
Ti	-154.89	-147.93	-1.54	-4.98
V	-156.58	-149.22	-1.54	-5.38
Cr	-156.40	-149.45	-1.54	-4.96
Mn	-155.37	-149.16	-1.54	-4.22
Fe	-153.03	-148.05	-1.54	-3.00
Co	-150.54	-146.71	-1.54	-1.88
Ni	-146.83	-144.34	-1.54	-0.57
Cu	-142.88	-140.64	-1.54	-0.32
Zn	-139.67	-137.38	-1.54	-0.36
Y	-143.27	-138.64	-1.54	-2.74
Zr	-151.40	-144.34	-1.54	-5.10
Nb	-155.04	-146.90	-1.54	-6.16
Мо	-155.09	-147.17	-1.54	-5.94
Tc	-154.65	-147.25	-1.54	-5.41
Ru	-151.92	-145.97	-1.54	-3.97
Rh	-148.50	-144.09	-1.54	-2.45
Pd	-143.69	-141.01	-1.54	-0.75
Ag	-137.94	-135.84	-1.54	-0.26
Cd	-138.01	-133.73	-1.54	-2.33

Table S2. Adsorption energies of single O molecule on TM-C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> monolayers

Hf	-153.94	-146.65	-1.54	-5.32
Ta	-156.93	-148.76	-1.54	-6.19
W	-156.48	-148.71	-1.54	-5.79
Re	-155.22	-148.03	-1.54	-5.19
Os	-152.82	-146.86	-1.54	-3.99
Ir	-149.32	-144.82	-1.54	-2.54
Pt	-144.77	-141.82	-1.54	-1.02
Au	-138.79	-136.68	-1.54	-0.22
Hg	-137.63	-135.21	-1.54	-0.53
Rf	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63
Db	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63
Sg	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63
Bh	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63
Hs	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63
Mt	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63
Ds	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63
Rg	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63
Cn	-140.49	-139.20	-1.54	0.63

structure	$\Delta G_{O^{\ast}}$	$\Delta G_{OH^*}$	$\Delta G_{OOH*}$	$\eta_{ORR}$
Fe- C <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8 (theory)</sub>	2.16	0.87	3.96	0.26
Fe-Pc <sub>(experiment)</sub>	1.65	1.00	3.91	0.54
Mn- C <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8 (theory)</sub>	1.76	0.87	4.06	0.36
Mn-Pc <sub>(experiment)</sub>	1.65	1.03	4.05	0.56
Co- $C_8 N_8$ (theory)	3.46	1.58	4.62	0.93
Co-Pc <sub>(experiment)</sub>	3.13	1.40	4.27	0.58
Ru- $C_8N_8$ (theory)	1.96	0.67	4.11	0.42
Ru-Pc <sub>(experiment)</sub>	4.49	2.38	5.11	1.42

Table S3. Comparison of the Calculated ORR Electrocatalytic Performance of TM-

C<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub> Materials with Experimental Data of TM-PC Materials.