

Supporting information

Techno-Economic and Life Cycle Analyses of the Synthesis of a Platinum-Strontium Titanate Catalyst

Sultana Ferdous,¹ Ulises R. Gracida-Alvarez,² Magali Ferrandon,³ Massimiliano Delferro,³
Pahola Thathiana Benavides,^{2*} and Meltem Urgun-Demirtas^{1*}

¹Applied Materials Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S Cass Ave, Lemont, IL,
60439, USA

²LCA and Technology Assessment Department, Energy Systems and Infrastructure Assessment
Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S Cass Ave, Lemont, 60439, USA

³Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S Cass Ave,
Lemont, IL, 60439, USA

*Corresponding authors emails: pbenavides@anl.gov (Pahola Thathiana Benavides),
demirtasmu@anl.gov (Meltem Urgun-Demirtas)

Section 1: Scaled-up production analysis

Section 2: Techno-economic analysis methods

Section 3: Life-cycle analysis methods.

Section 4: Supplementary results for techno-economic analysis

Section 5: Supplementary results for life-cycle analysis

Number of Pages: 8

Number of Tables: 11

24 Section 1: Scaled-up production analysis

25 **Table S1.** Equipment list for the design process

Equipment type	Material of construction	Quantity	Specifications	Capacity (unit)	Purchased price/unit (USD)	Reference
Reactor (jacketed, agitated)	stainless steel	1	Volume	1.5 m ³	\$162,405	CatCost ¹
Reactor (jacketed, agitated)	stainless steel	1	Volume	12 m ³	\$455,836	CatCost ¹
Muffle Furnace	stainless steel	4	Duty	18 kW	\$20,562	Across International ²
Vacuum Oven	stainless steel	1	Internal volume	47.2 L	\$19,473	Cascade TEK ³
Solid-liquid Separator	stainless steel	1	Bowl volume	23 L	\$275,968	Vendor ⁴
Ozone Generator	carbon steel	1	Duty	25 kW	\$275,000	Vendor ⁵

26

27

28 **Table S2.** Materials used for 0.99 kg strontium titanate (STO) production

Reactant	Amount (kg)
Strontium hydroxide octahydrate	1.98
Acetic acid	2.33
Titanium tetrachloride	1.34
Ethanol	12.27
Sodium hydroxide	4.04
Nitrogen	7.47
Process water	44.67

29

30

31 **Table S3.** Materials used for 1 kg Pt/STO catalyst production

Reactant	Amount (kg)
Strontium titanate (STO)	0.99
Pentane	2.48
Toluene	10.30
Dodecane	2.97
Trimethyl(methyl-cyclopentadienyl) Platinum (IV)	0.01
Hydrogen gas	0.01
Nitrogen gas	1.27

32

33

34 **Table S4.** Utility consumption for the design process

Utility	Consumption (/kg catalyst)	Units
Process water	0.79	m ³
Electricity	26.73	kWh
Natural gas	29.19	MJ

35

36

37 **Table S5.** Raw materials, chemical, and utilities price list for the design process

Item	Unit	*Cost	Source
Strontium hydroxide octahydrate	\$/kg	2.01	BLD pharm ⁶
Acetic acid	\$/kg	0.54	CatCost ¹
Titanium tetrachloride	\$/kg	2.53	ChemAnalyst ⁷
Ethanol	\$/kg	1.10	Davis et al. ⁸
Sodium hydroxide	\$/kg	0.75	Davis et al. ⁹
Process water	\$/kg	0.0006	Davis et al. ⁸
Toluene	\$/kg	1.02	Davis et al. ⁸
Dodecane	\$/kg	3.81	BLD Pharm ⁶
Pentane	\$/kg	8.28	BVV ¹⁰
Trimethyl(methylcyclopentadienyl)platinum(IV))	\$/kg	70,000	Umicore ¹¹
Hydrogen gas	\$/kg	2.26	Davis et al. ⁸
Nitrogen gas	\$/kg	0.02	Airgas ¹²
Natural gas	\$/MMBtu	4.39	U.S. EIA ¹³
Electricity	\$/kWh	0.085	U.S. EIA ¹⁴

38 *Cost adjusted for the analysis basis year 2023.

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 **Section 2: Techno-economic analysis methods**

50

51 **Table S6.** TEA analysis factored capital expenditures

Item	Cost item	Cost factor ¹
1. Direct capital	• Purchased equipment (PE) • Installation • Instrumentation and controls • piping • Electrical • Buildings • Yard improvements • Service facilities • Waste treatment • Land	100% of PE 60% of PE 26% of PE 31% of PE 10% of PE 29% of PE 12% of PE 55% of PE 5% of PE 6% of PE
2. Indirect capital	• Engineering and supervision • Construction expenses • Legal expenses • Contractor's fee • Contingency	32% of PE 34% of PE 4% of PE 19% of PE 37% of PE
3. Total fixed capital investment (FCI)	Direct capital cost + indirect capital cost	
Total capital investment (TCI)	FCI+ working capital (75% of PE)	

52

53

54 **Table S7.** TEA analysis factored operating expenditures

Item	Cost item	Cost factors ¹
1. Direct labor cost (DL)	- Direct labor operators - Direct labor hours per year - Direct labor rate	3 operators 26,280 hr/year 48 \$/hr
2. Direct operating costs (LSM)	- Supervisory & clerical labor(L) - Laboratory charges - Maintenance & repair (M &R)	18% of DL 15% of DL 5% of FCI
3. Fixed/ Indirect operating cost	- Operating supplies (S) - Local taxes - Insurance - Rent - Plant overhead	15% of M&R 2.5% of FCI 0.8% of FCI 10% of land value 60% of LSM
General expenses	- Administration - Distribution and marketing - Research and development	20% of LSM 10% of op. costs 5% of op. costs

Table S8. Assumptions to estimate the spent catalyst value (\$/kg)

Inputs	Base ¹
Metal to recover	Platinum (Pt)
Pt losses during use	4%
STO losses during use	2%
Metal losses during refining	2%

* % By default, the value from reference 1.

Section 3: Life-cycle analysis methods.

Table S9. Characteristics of the different electricity sources ¹⁵

Source	U.S. average grid mix	California (CA) grid mix	Nuclear power	Wind energy
Residual oil	0.3%	-	-	-
Natural gas	38.5%	42.8%	-	-
Coal	20.6%	3.4%	-	-
Biomass	0.3%	0.9%	-	-
Nuclear	18.9%	8.3%	100%	-
Hydroelectric	6.8%	12.5%	-	-
Geothermal	0.4%	3.8%	-	-
Wind	10.7%	7.4%	-	100%
Solar PV	3.3%	20.3%	-	-
Other	0.4%	0.7%	-	-
GHG emissions	0.440	0.010	0.002	0.000

(kg CO₂e/kWh)

Section 4: Supplementary results for techno-economic analysis

Table S10. Estimation of the catalyst cost

Item	Cost (\$/kg catalyst)
(Capital Costs (20-year plant life)	
Fixed capital investment (FCI)	11.69
Working capital	1.91
Total capital investment	13.60
2. Total operating costs	
Raw materials	800.69
Process utilities	2.90
Labor, Supplies, Maintenance, Lab	80.55
Taxes, Insurances Rent, Overhead	56.35
General expenses	110.16
Total operating cost	1,050.65
3. Selling margin	67.99
Catalyst purchase cost	1,132.24
Spent catalyst cost (SCV)	290.45
Net catalyst cost	842

Solvent conditions	Catalyst cost (\$/kg)	% Change from the baseline
--------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------

Baseline (No recycling)	842	
Recycling (25%)	822	-2%
Recycling (50%)	803	-5%
Recycling (75%)	784	-7%

Table S11. Solvent recycling effect on the catalyst cost

83
84
85
86
87
88
89

90 Section 5: Supplementary results for life-cycle analysis

91

Table S12. Tabulated GHG emissions results from Figure 6 (kg CO₂e/kg catalyst)

Materials and energy sources	Baseline	Solvent recycling			Clean energy sources			Combined strategies
		25%	50%	75%	CA grid	Nuclear power	Wind energy	
Natural gas	2.0	2.3	2.6	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.6
Electricity	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7	7.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
Sodium hydroxide	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	6.7	4.3	4.3	4.3
Platinum	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
Nitrogen	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Strontium hydroxide octahydrate	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.1	3.1	3.1
Titanium tetrachloride	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5
Acetic acid	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0
Ethanol	18.0	13.5	9.0	4.5	17.4	16.5	16.5	8.2
Pentane	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	2.0	1.8	1.8	0.9
Toluene	10.7	8.0	5.4	2.7	10.7	10.6	10.6	5.3
Dodecane	2.4	1.8	1.2	0.6	2.3	2.2	2.2	1.1
Total	65.6	57.5	49.5	41.6	57.4	44.4	44.3	29.3

93

94 References

95 1 ChemCatBio, CatCost v1.1.0 <https://catcost.chemcatbio.org>, 2021.
 96 2 Across International, *Muffle furnace*, Personal communication,
 97 <https://www.acrossinternational.com>, 2024.
 98 3 Cascade TEK Solutions, LLC, *Vacuum oven*, Personal communication,
 99 <https://www.cascadetek.com>, 2024.
 100 4 Anonymous vendor, *solid-liquid separator*, Personal communication, 2024.
 101 5 Anonymous vendor, *Ozone generator*, Personal communication, 2023.
 102 6 BLD pharm, *Strontium hydroxide octahydrate and dodecane*, Personal communication,
 103 <https://www.bldpharm.com>, 2024.
 104 7 ChemAnalyst, *Titanium tetrachloride*, Personal communication,
 105 <https://www.chemanalyst.com/Pricing-data/titanium-tetrachloride-1478>, 2024.
 106 8 R. Davis, M. Wiatrowski, C. Kinchin and D. Humbird, *Conceptual Basis and TechnoEconomic*
 107 *Modeling for Integrated Algal Biorefinery Conversion of Microalgae to Fuels and Products*
 108 *2019 NREL TEA Update: Highlighting Paths to Future Cost Goals via a New Pathway for*
 109 *Combined Algal Processing*, NREL/TP-5100-75168, National Renewable Energy Lab.
 110 (NREL), Golden, CO, 2020.
 111 9 R. Davis, A. Bartling and L. Tao, *Biochemical Conversion of Lignocellulosic Biomass to*
 112 *Hydrocarbon Fuels and Products: 2020 State of Technology and Future Research*, NREL/TP-
 113 5100-79930, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO, 2021.
 114 10 Building Vision and variety (BVV), *Pentane*, Personal communication,
 115 [https://shopbv.com/products/bvv-high-purity-lab-grade-pentane-](https://shopbv.com/products/bvv-high-purity-lab-grade-pentane-99?variant=32380031271008)
 116 [99?variant=32380031271008](https://shopbv.com/products/bvv-high-purity-lab-grade-pentane-99?variant=32380031271008), 2024.
 117 11 Umicore, *Trimethyl(methylcyclopentadienyl)platinum(iv)*, Personal communication,
 118 <https://pmc.umicore.com>, 2024.
 119 12 Airgas, *Nitrogen gas*, Personal communication, <https://www.airgas.com>, 2024.
 120 13 U.S. Energy Information Administration (U.S. EIA), Natural gas prices,
 121 https://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng_pri_sum_dcu_nus_m.htm, (accessed August 30, 2024).
 122 14 U.S. Energy Information Administration (U.S. EIA), Electric Power Monthly. Table 5.6.A.
 123 Average Price of Electricity to Ultimate Customers by End-Use Sector,
 124 https://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/epm_table_grapher.php?t=table_5_06_a, (accessed
 125 August 30, 2024).
 126 15 Argonne National Laboratory, Greenhouse gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy use in
 127 Technologies Model ® (2023 Excel). [https://doi.org/10.11578/GREET-Excel-](https://doi.org/10.11578/GREET-Excel-2023/dc.20230907.1)
 128 [2023/dc.20230907.1](https://doi.org/10.11578/GREET-Excel-2023/dc.20230907.1) 2023.
 129