## Efficient degradation of tetracycline hydrochloride by activation of peroxomonosulfate on magnetic steel slag/MoS<sub>2</sub> composite via ball milling

Caihong Fang, Longhui Nie\*, Ruihao Li, Yiting Zheng

(School of Materials and Chemical Engineering, Hubei University of Technology, Wuhan 430068, China)

\* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: nielonghui@mail.hbut.edu.cn (L. Nie)

## 1. Experimental section

1.1 Experimental steps for active species quenching

To further investigate the active species of the  $MSS@MoS_2-50/PMS$  system, active species quenching experiments were used to probe the presence of reactive species in the system. In this work, methanol (MeOH), isopropanol (IPA), p-benzoquinone (p-BQ), and furfuryl alcohol (FFA) were used as trapping agents for  $\cdot$ 

 $SO_4^{2-}$ , -OH,  $O_2^{-}$ , and  $O_2^{-}$ , respectively. The catalyst sample (0.02 g) was dispersed in TCH aqueous solution (50 ml, 70 mg/L) in four 150 mL conical flasks. After 30minute adsorption, the adsorption equilibrium was established. The quenching reagents (3 mL MeOH, 20 mmol IPA, 0.8 mmol p-BQ and 2 mmol FFA) and PMS (1 mmol/L) were added immediately to start the Fenton-like reaction. The next steps in the experiment are the same as those described in main text 2.3.





Fig. S1.  $N_2$  adsorption/desorption isotherms (a) and the corresponding pore size distribution (b) for the raw MSS, MSS, and MSS@MoS<sub>2</sub>-50 samples.



Fig. S2 The first-order-kinetics fitting of TCH degradation in different catalysts/PMS systems. ([catalyst dosage] =0.4 g/L, [PMS]=1 mM, [TCH]=70 mg/L, initial pH=4, temperature = 30 °C).



Fig. S3 Zeta potential dependence on pH for MSS@MoS<sub>2</sub>-50.



Fig. S4 Arrhenius plot for activation energy in the degradation of TCH by MSS@MoS<sub>2</sub>-50 at different temperatures.



Fig. S5. Photo images of the MSS@MoS<sub>2</sub>-50 suspension before and after magnetic



Fig. S6 The MSS (raw)@MoS<sub>2</sub>-50/PMS system degradation of TCH after three cycles. ([catalyst dosage] = 0.4 g/L, [PMS]=1 mM, [TCH]=70 mg/L, initial pH=4, initial temperature = 30 °C).



Fig. S7 Intermediates and three pathways for TCH degradation in MSS@MoS<sub>2</sub>-50/PMS system.

Table S1 Mass percentages of main elements in raw MSS supposing they exist as metal oxides

SiO <sub>2</sub> /wt%	$Fe_2O_3$ / wt %	CaO/ wt %	MgO/ wt %	Na <sub>2</sub> O/ wt %	$Al_2O_3$ / wt %
12.64	25.44	38.02	3.91	2.89	4.06

Table S2 Specific surface area, pore volume, and pore size parameters of steel slag

samples.					
catalyst	$S_{\rm BET}({ m m}^2\cdot{ m g}^{-1})$	$V_{\text{pore}}(\text{cm}^3\cdot\text{g}^{-1})$	$d_{\rm pore}(\rm nm)$		
MSS(raw)	4.3	0.01	3.8		
MSS	3.3	0.02	3.8		
MSS@MoS <sub>2</sub> -50	8.1	0.01	3.9		

Table S3 A comparison of catalytic activity between MSS@MoS<sub>2</sub>-50 and those in the literature. ( $k_1$  is the first-order rate constant;  $k_2$  is the second-order rate constant)

Catalysts	Reaction conditions	Catalytic	Rate constant	References
		performance	1 ( • 1)/1	
			$k_1 (\text{min}^{-1})/k_2$	
			$(L \cdot mg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1})$	
MSS@Co-CN	pH: 4.0; Catalyst dosage: 0.6 g/L;	90% removal in 30	/	[1]
	[PMS]: 1 mM; <i>C</i> <sub>0</sub> : 70 mg/L; <i>t</i> : 30 °C	min		
Co-Fe@PPBC	pH: 3.0; Catalyst dosage: 0.1 g/L;	78.0% removal in	k <sub>1</sub> =0.3295	[2]
	[PMS]: 3.35 mM; <i>C</i> <sub>0</sub> : 50 mg/L; <i>t</i> : 25 °C	30 min		
Fe-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	pH:7; Catalyst dosage: 0.4 g/L; Light;	94.0% removal in	<i>k</i> <sub>1</sub> =0.0123	[3]
	<i>C</i> <sub>0</sub> : 20 mg/L; <i>t</i> : 20 °C	180 min		
Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	pH: 5.2; Catalyst: 0.1 g/L; [PMS]: 1.0	88.2% removal in	$k_1 = 0.121$	[4]
	mM; C <sub>0</sub> : 10 mg/L; t: 25 °C	30 min		
0.6LDO/M-I	pH: 4.98; Catalyst: 0.4 g/L; [PMS]: /	92.92% removal in	k <sub>2</sub> =1.4133	[5]
	mM; C <sub>0</sub> : 500 mg/L; t: 25 °C	25 min		
CuO-550	Catalyst: 0.2 g/L; [PMS]: 1 mM; C <sub>0</sub> : 40	100% removal in	$k_1 = 0.1467$	[6]
	μM; <i>t</i> : 28 °C	40 min		
Fe/NC-30	pH: 6.6; Catalyst: 0.1 g/L; [PMS]: 0.2	95.0% removal in	<i>k</i> <sub>1</sub> =0.222	[7]
	mM; C <sub>0</sub> : 10 mg/L; t: 25 °C	30 min		
MM3	pH: 7.0; Catalyst: 0.2 g/L; [PMS]: 1.0	92.9% removal in	$k_1 = 0.150$	[8]
	mM; C <sub>0</sub> : 10 mg/L; t: 25 °C	30 min		

C-Co-TN	pH: 4.6; Catalyst dosage: 0.1 g/L;	93.3% removal in	k <sub>1</sub> =0.042	[9]
	[PMS]: 0.75 mM; <i>C</i> <sub>0</sub> : 20 mg/L; <i>t</i> : 25 °C	30 min		
MSS@MoS <sub>2</sub> -	pH: 4.0; Catalyst: 0.4 g/L; [PMS]: 1.0	92.0% removal in	<i>k</i> <sub>1</sub> =0.208,	This work
0.01	mM; C <sub>0</sub> : 70 mg/L; t: 30 °C	60 min, 82% in	<i>k</i> <sub>2</sub> =10.59	
		first 5 min		

Table S4 The first-order-kinetics reaction rate constant  $(k_1)$  for different catalysts/PMS

system		
sample	$k_1 ({ m min}^{-1})$	$R^2$
PMS	0.013	0.931
MSS (raw)	0.003	0.922
MSS (raw)+PMS	0.048	0.997
MSS+PMS	0.057	0.992
MSS@MoS <sub>2</sub> -25+PMS	0.110	0.988
MSS@MoS <sub>2</sub> -50+PMS	0.208	0.925
MSS@MoS <sub>2</sub> -100+PMS	0.188	0.929

Table S5 The pseudo-second-order-kinetics reaction rate constant  $(k_2)$  for different catalysts/PMS system

sample	$k_2$ (L·g <sup>-1</sup> ·min <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^2$
PMS	0.20	0.999
MSS (raw)	0.05	0.999
MSS (raw)+PMS	1.32	0.997
MSS+PMS	1.23	0.999
MSS@MoS <sub>2</sub> -25+PMS	3.17	0.999
MSS@MoS <sub>2</sub> -50+PMS	10.59	0.997
MSS@MoS <sub>2</sub> -100+PMS	9.68	0.966

Elements/ Atomic% Sample C 1s O 1s Fe 2p S 2p Mo 3d 2.03 1.07Before 41.68 0.40 54.81 After 40.24 2.23 0.24 56.41 0.88

Table S6 Atomic percentage of each element in  $MSS@MoS_2-50$  catalyst before and after the reaction from XPS.

Table S7 TCH degradation intermediates in the MSS@MoS<sub>2</sub>-50/PMS system.

Products	R.T.	m/z	Proposed structure
ТСН		445	
P1-1	11.41	415	
P1-2	7.02	400	
P1-3	4.54	340	
P1-4	9.60	274	OH O OH O
P2-1	7.02	426	
P2-2	6.49	397	

_				
_	P2-3	9.60	318	OH
	P2-4	8.46	200	
	P3-1	5.44	475	
	Р3-2	5.44	453	
	P3-3	11.41	298	он он он о ОН ОН ОН ОН ОН
	P3-4	0.67	214	
	P3-5	6.74	218	O OH O OH
	Р4	4.96	130	
	Р5	0.67	126	ОН
	P6	5.05	149	O OH

[1]. C. Fang, L. Nie, H. Chen, and Y. Yang, Magnetic recyclable Co-MOF derivatemodified steel slag for tetracycline removal by integrated adsorption and Fenton-like catalysis. Journal of Water Process Engineering. 63 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2024.105434

[2]. S. Han and P. Xiao, Catalytic degradation of tetracycline using peroxymonosulfate activated by cobalt and iron co-loaded pomelo peel biochar nanocomposite: Characterization, performance and reaction mechanism. Sep. Purif. Technol. 287 (2022) 120533. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2022.120533</u>

[3]. Y. Feng, Y. Liang, C. Ding, Y. Jiang, H. Jin, S. Rong, J. Wu, S. He, C. Xia, and L. Xue, Sustainable design of photo-Fenton-like oxidation process in actual livestock wastewater through the highly dispersed FeCl<sub>3</sub> anchoring on a g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> substrate. Water Research. 259 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2024.121889

[4]. G. Zhang, J. Gao, J. Wang, H. Lin, J. Xu, and L. Wang, ZIF-67/melamine derived hollow N-doped carbon/Co<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub> polyhedron to activate peroxymonosulfate for degradation of tetracycline. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 11 (2023) 109355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2023.109355

[5]. Y.Y. Liu, J. Chen, Y.Q. Wang, W.H. Zhu, T.F. Xie, Q.Q. Qiu, and T.X. Liang, Stable MOF-In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/ZnFe layered dioxide heterostructures for improving photocatalytic degradation: Degradation pathways, kinetics, and charge migration. Colloids. Surf. A.689 (2024) 133758. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2024.133758</u>

[6]. D.D. Yang, P.D. Hong, Y. Hu, Y.L. Li, C.M. Wang, J.Y. He, B. Sun, S.G. Zhu, L.
T. Kong, and J.H. Liu, Carbon framework-encapsulated copper oxide particles to activate peroxymonosulfate for the efficient degradation of tetracycline. Appl. Surf.
Sci. 552 (2021) 149424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2021.149424

[7]. D. Li, H. Li, M. Long, X. Bai, Q. Zhao, Q. Wen, and F. Song, Synergetic effect of photocatalysis and peroxymonosulfate activation by MIL-53Fe@TiO<sub>2</sub> on efficient degradation of tetracycline hydrochloride under visible light irradiation. CrystEngComm. 24 (2022) 4283-4293. https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ce00372d

[8]. X. Peng, J. Wu, Z. Zhao, X. Wang, H. Dai, Y. Li, Y. Wei, G. Xu, and F. Hu, High efficiency degradation of tetracycline by peroxymonosulfate activated with Fe/NC

catalysts: Performance, intermediates, stability and mechanism. Environ. Res. 205 (2022) 112538. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112538</u>

[9]. P. Xu, S. Xie, X. Liu, L. Wang, R. Wu, and B. Hou, Efficient removal of tetracycline using magnetic MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite activated peroxymonosulfate: Mechanistic insights and performance evaluation. Chem. Eng. J. 480 (2024) 148233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.148233</u>